comments should address one or more of the following four points:
—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
—Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Procedures for the Administration of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965
(3) Agency form number: None.
(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State or Local or Tribal Government. Other: None. Abstract: Jurisdictions specially covered or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State or Local or Tribal Government. Other: None. Abstract: Jurisdictions specially covered under the Voting Rights Act are required to comply with Section 5 of the Act before they may implement any change in a standard, practice, or procedure affecting voting. One option for such compliance is to submit that change to the Attorney General for review and establish that the proposed voting changes are not racially discriminatory. The procedures facilitate the provision of information that will enable the Attorney General to make the required determination.
(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: It is estimated that 4,109 respondents will complete each form within approximately 10.02 hours.
(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 41,172 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 17, 2010.

Lynn Bryant,
Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice

BILLING CODE 4410–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[CPCLO Order No. 001–2010]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Justice Management Division, DOJ.

ACTION: Minor modification to a system of records.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, the Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, proposes to modify the Accounting Systems for the Department of Justice, Justice/DOJ–001, to update the “Record Source Categories” section of the notice. The full text of this System of Records Notice was last published at 69 FR 31406, June 3, 2004. This minor modification does not require a comment period or notification to OMB and the Congress. The modification will be effective March 22, 2010. The modification to the system description is set forth below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Robin Moss, Privacy Analyst, Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 12, 2010.

Nancy C. Libin,
Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE–001

SYSTEM NAME:
Accounting Systems for the Department of Justice (DOJ).

* * * * * *

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:
[Delete the current entry and substitute the following.]
Individuals covered by the system, Federal agencies, and banking/credit institutions under contract to provide financial services related to this system of records to the Department.
* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2010–6213 Filed 3–19–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–FB–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

[OMB Number 1121–0235]

Agency Information Collection Activities

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Revision of a currently approved collection; Bulletproof Vest Partnership.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register, Volume 75, Number 8, page 1812 on January 13, 2010, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until April 21, 2010. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806. Comments may also be submitted to M. Berry, at 202-616–6500 or by e-mail at M.A.Berry@ojp.usdoj.gov or by postal mail at the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20531 via facsimile to (202) 305–1367.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;