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2857, e-mail address: martin.kathleen@epa.gov.
4. PP 9F7618. (EPA–HQ–OPP–2010–0053), Technology Sciences Group Incorporated, 1150 18th Street NW., Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20036 (on behalf of BioWorks Incorporated, 100 Rawson Road, Suite 205, Victor, NY 14564), proposes to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the fungicide, *Trichoderma virens* strain G-41, in or on all food commodities. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because, as proposed, the use of *Trichoderma virens* strain G-41 would not result in residues that are of toxicological concern. Contact: Jeannine Kausch, (703) 347–8920, e-mail address: kausch.jeannine@epa.gov.

5. PP 9F7623. (EPA–HQ–OPP–2010–0099), SciReg Incorporated, 12733 Director’s Loop, Woodbridge, VA 22192 (on behalf of bio-Ferm GmbH, Konrad Lorenz Strasse 20, A-3430, Tulln, Austria), proposes to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the fungicides, *Aureobasidium pullulans* strains DSM 14940 and DSM 14941, in or on all food commodities. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because they are submitting a petition to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. Contact: Susanne Cerrelli, (703) 308–8077, e-mail address: cerrelli.susanne@epa.gov.

6. PP 9F7643. (EPA–HQ–OPP–2010–0104), Certis USA LLC, 9145 Guilford Road, Suite 175, Columbia, MD 21046, proposes to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the microbial pesticide, *Bacillus subtilis* strain CX-9060, in or on all food commodities including residues resulting from post-harvest uses. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance is being sought. Contact: Denise Greenway, (703) 308–8263, e-mail address: greenway.denise@epa.gov.

7. PP 9F7665. (EPA–HQ–OPP–2010–0087), Certis USA LLC, 9145 Guilford Road, Suite 175, Columbia, MD 21046, proposes to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the insecticide, *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* var. Apopka strain 97, in or on all food commodities. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because the pesticide occurs naturally and would be present irrespective of treatment, and there are no residues of toxicological concern. Contact: Shanaz Bacchus, (703) 308–8097, e-mail address: bacchus.shanaz@epa.gov.

8. PP 9F7670. (EPA–HQ–OPP–2010–0065), Technology Sciences Group Incorporated, 1150 18th Street NW., Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20036 (on behalf of AMVAC Chemical Corporation, 4695 MacArthur Court, Suite 1250, Newport Beach, CA 92660–1706), proposes to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the potato sprout inhibitor, 3-decen-2-one, in or on all food commodities. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because the use of 3-decn-2-one would not result in residues that are of toxicological concern. Contact: Driss Bennhend, (703) 308–9525, e-mail address: bennhend.driss@epa.gov.

9. PP 9F7674. (EPA–HQ–OPP–2010–0054), Marrone Bio Innovations Incorporated, 2121 Second Street, Suite B-107, Davis, CA 95618, proposes to establish an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the insecticide, *Chromobacterium subtusgae* strain PRAA4-1T, in or on all food commodities. The petitioner believes no analytical method is needed because, as proposed, the use of *Chromobacterium subtusgae* strain PRAA4-1T would not result in residues that are of toxicological concern. Contact: Jeannine Kausch, (703) 347–8920, e-mail address: kausch.jeannine@epa.gov.
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II. What Does this Correction Do?

The preamble for FR Doc. 2010–2382 published in the Federal Register of Thursday, February 4, 2010 (75 FR 5790) (FRL–8807–5) is corrected as follows:

On page 5792, third column, under the heading New Tolerances, paragraph 4, PP 9E7651 (EPA–HQ–OPP–2009–0980), line 6, remove the word “insecticide” and add the word “herbicide” in place thereof.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Walsh, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 308–2972; e-mail address: walsh.michael@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

The Agency included in the notice a list of those who may be potentially affected by this action. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

EPA has established a docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2009–0980. Publicly available docket materials are available either in the electronic docket at http://www.regulations.gov, or, if only available in hard copy, at the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S–4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. The hours of operation of this Docket Facility are from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Notice of Receipt of Several Pesticide Petitions Filed for Residues of Pesticide Chemicals in or on Various Commodities; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: EPA issued a notice in the Federal Register of Thursday, February 4, 2010, Vol. 75, No. 23, page 5790, FRL–8807–5, concerning the receipt of the initial notice of filing of pesticide petition (PP) 9E7651 in Docket identification No. EPA–HQ–OPP–2009–0980 proposing to establish import tolerances in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the “insecticide” fluazifop-p-butyl in various potato commodities. This document is being issued to correct typographical error from “insecticide” to “herbicide,” and to extend the comment period for an additional 30 days.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 9, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Walsh, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 308–2972; e-mail address: walsh.michael@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

The Agency included in the notice a list of those who may be potentially affected by this action. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

EPA has established a docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2009–0980. Publicly available docket materials are available either in the electronic docket at http://www.regulations.gov, or, if only available in hard copy, at the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S–4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. The hours of operation of this Docket Facility are from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

II. What Does this Correction Do?

The preamble for FR Doc. 2010–2382 published in the Federal Register of Thursday, February 4, 2010 (75 FR 5790) (FRL–8807–5) is corrected as follows:

On page 5792, third column, under the heading New Tolerances, paragraph 4, PP 9E7651 (EPA–HQ–OPP–2009–0980), line 6, remove the word “insecticide” and add the word “herbicide” in place thereof.
I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in this unit could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA has established a docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2009–0776. Publicly available docket materials are available either in the electronic docket at http://www.regulations.gov, or, if only available in hard copy, at the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S–4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. The hours of operation of this Docket Facility are from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

In accordance with section 3(c)(2) of FIFRA, a copy of the approved label, the list of data references, the data and other scientific information used to support registration, except for material specifically protected by section 10 of FIFRA, are also available for public inspection. Requests for data must be made in accordance with the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act and must be addressed to the Freedom of Information Office (A–101), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 305–5314; e-mail address: metzger.autumn@epa.gov.

II. Description of New Chemical

EPA received an application from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA, APHIS), Environmental Services Unit 149, 4700 River Road, Riverdale, MD 20737, to register the pesticide product, Gonacon Immunocontraceptive Vaccine contraceptive (EPA File Symbol 56228–GN), containing 1.0 milliliter doses in pre-packaged syringes at .03% active ingredient. This product was not previously registered.

III. Regulatory Conclusions

The application was approved on September 29, 2009, as Gonacon Immunocontraceptive Vaccine (EPA Registration Number 56228–40) for contraception of white-tailed deer. The Agency approved the application after considering all required data on risks associated with the proposed use of Mammalian Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone (GnRH), and information on social, economic, and environmental benefits to be derived from use. Specifically, the Agency has considered the nature of the chemical and its pattern of use, application methods and rates, and level and extent of potential exposure. Based on these reviews, the Agency concluded the determinations made pursuant to FIFRA section 3(c)(5) require registration of GnRH.

IV. Missing Data

Conditional data required for GonaCon consists of:

- OPPTS Harmonized Test Guideline 830.1700—Validating the method of analysis of the formulation and additional preliminary analysis.
- OPPTS Harmonized Test Guideline 830.1750—Certified Limits.

V. Response to Comments

EPA published a notice of receipt in the Federal Register of May 1, 2009 (74 FR 20298) (FRL–8404–9), which announced that the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA, APHIS), Environmental Services Unit 149, 4700 River Road, Riverdale, MD 20737, had submitted an application to register the pesticide product, Gonacon Immunocontraceptive Vaccine contraceptive. During the public comment period for this active ingredient one comment was received from a private citizen who did not oppose the manufacturing or selling of this product, but rather the hunting of animals, therefore no response was necessary.