Tuesday, February 23, 2010. If the number of registrants requesting to make statements is greater than can be reasonably accommodated during the meeting, the International Trade Administration (ITA) may conduct a lottery to determine the speakers. Speakers are requested to bring at least 20 copies of their oral comments for distribution to the participants and public at the meeting.

Any member of the public may submit pertinent written comments concerning the CINTAC’s affairs at any time before and after the meeting. Comments may be submitted to the Civil Nuclear Trade Advisory Committee, Office of Energy & Environmental Industries, Room 4053, 1401 Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20230. To be considered during the meeting, comments must be received no later than 5 p.m. EST on Tuesday, February 23, 2010, to ensure transmission to the Committee prior to the meeting. Comments received after that date will be distributed to the members but may not be considered at the meeting.

Copies of CINTAC meeting minutes will be available within 90 days of the meeting.


Henry P. Misisco,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Manufacturing, Acting.

[FR Doc. 2010–3940 Filed 2–25–10; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510–0R–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XU68

Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC); Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC) and the New England Fishery Management Council’s Joint Spiny Dogfish Committee will hold a public meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Tuesday, March 16, 2010, from 8 a.m. to noon.

ADDRESSES: The workshop will be held at the Kingsmill Conference Center, 1010 Kingsmill Road, Williamsburg, VA 23185; telephone: (800) 832–5665. Council address: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 800 N. State St., Suite 201, Dover, DE 19901; telephone: (302) 674–2331.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel T. Furlong, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council; telephone: (302) 674–2331.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the meeting is to consider the provisional MAFMC quota recommendation from its December meeting. A review of that recommendation is necessary in light of updates to spiny dogfish stock status that occurred through the recent Transboundary Resource Assessment Committee (TRAC) review.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, in accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Actions will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under Section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council’s intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aid should be directed to M. Jan Bryan, (302) 674–2331, at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.


Tracey L. Thompson,
Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–4017 Filed 2–25–10; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1661]

Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 274 Under Alternative Site Framework; City and County of Butte-Silver Bow, MT

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) in December 2008 (74 FR 1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the City and County of Butte-Silver Bow, Montana, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 274, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 33–2009, filed 8/4/2009) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Butte-Silver Bow County, Montana, within and adjacent to the Butte-Silver Bow Customs and Border Protection port of entry, and FTZ 274’s existing Site 1 would be categorized as a magnet site;

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the Federal Register (74 FR 40566–40567, 8/12/09) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendation of the examiner’s report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board’s regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 274 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.28, and to the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project.

Signed at Washington, DC, this February 5, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–4054 Filed 2–25–10; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

Notice of Petitions by Firms for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice and Opportunity for Public Comment.

Pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2341 et seq.), the Economic Development Administration (EDA) has received petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance from the
EDA has initiated separate investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each firm contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the firm’s workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

LIST OF PETITIONS RECEIVED BY EDA FOR CERTIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY TO APPLY FOR TRADE ADJUSTMENT
[1/21/2010 through 2/22/2010]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firm</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date accepted for filing</th>
<th>Products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VR Industries, Inc</td>
<td>333 Strawberry Field Road, Warwick, RI 02886.</td>
<td>2/2/2010</td>
<td>VR Industries is an electronic contract manufacturer that assembles proprietary products for its customers. The production steps involved, utilize a variety of manual and automated assembly soldering processes as well as test procedures required to insure the integrity of the assemblies. The primary materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action Publishing, Inc</td>
<td>2415 Blue Heron Road, Grand Junction, CO 81505.</td>
<td>2/12/2010</td>
<td>The company produces custom notebooks and planners for schools. The planners are designed, produced, customized, and printed by Action Publishing, Inc., Grand Junction, CO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bells Powder Coating Inc</td>
<td>500 John Dietsch Blvd., N., Attleboro, MA 02763.</td>
<td>2/12/2010</td>
<td>Powder coating for a variety of industries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;D Lumber Co</td>
<td>1182 Pruner Rd, P.O. Box, Riddle, OR 97469.</td>
<td>2/16/2010</td>
<td>C&amp;D Lumber produced Port Oxford and incense cedar wood, and Douglas Fir products, shop products, and dimension lumber products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine Woods Company, LLC</td>
<td>P.O. Box 111, 92 Fish Lake, Portage Lake, ME 04768.</td>
<td>2/16/2010</td>
<td>Maine Woods manufactures dry hardwood lumber, from hardwood logs, for five specific end uses in furniture, molding, cabinets, flooring and cants. Venango Steel is a steel fabricator and manufactures parts for structures such as buildings and bridges. We also perform industrial weldments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venango Steel, Inc</td>
<td>1655 Pittsburgh Road, Franklin, PA 16323.</td>
<td>2/16/2010</td>
<td>Venango Steel is a steel fabricator and manufactures parts for structures such as buildings and bridges. We also perform industrial weldments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple Archery Products LLC</td>
<td>60 Leigh Drive, York, PA 17406</td>
<td>2/17/2010</td>
<td>Apple Archery manufactures archery repair tools and equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christen Carter Inc. d/b/a Busy Beaver Button Co.</td>
<td>3279 W. Armitage, Chicago, IL 60647</td>
<td>2/22/2010</td>
<td>Custom pin-back buttons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Pipe &amp; Supply Co</td>
<td>1120 W. Pershing Road, Chicago, IL 60609.</td>
<td>2/22/2010</td>
<td>Industrial pipe/valve/fittings, plumbing equipment and supplies, HVAC/ Hydronic Equipment and supplies, automated valves and process specialties, pipe hangers and supports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillside Jetty Pelagic, LLC</td>
<td>1532 NW 56th Street, Seattle, WA 98107.</td>
<td>2/22/2010</td>
<td>Marketer/Broker of seafood including salmon, sole, pollock, etc. Services include all marketing activities related to selling seafood products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larkin Industries, Inc</td>
<td>2020 Energy Park Drive, St. Paul, MN 55108.</td>
<td>2/22/2010</td>
<td>The company is a provider of print finishing services for the paper industry. The firm provides foil stamping, embossing, die-cutting, hologram, folding, gluing, packaging, taping and shrink wrapping services for the paper industry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.R.A. Industries, Inc. d/b/a Huntwood ...</td>
<td>23800 E. Appleway, Liberty Lake, WA 99019.</td>
<td>2/22/2010</td>
<td>Huntwood Industries is a manufacturer of custom kitchen and bath cabinets, as well as commercial cabinetry and office furniture, with products produced by a combination of purchased raw materials.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room VerDate Nov<24>2008 16:39 Feb 25, 2010 Jkt 220001 PO 00000 Frm 00030 Fmt 4703 Sfmt 4703 E:\FR\Fm\26FEN1.SGM 26FEN1sroberts on DSKD5P82C1PROD with NOTICES
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–475–059]

Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape from Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty investigation on pressure sensitive plastic tape from Italy pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221(c)(3). We preliminarily determine that Evotape S.p.A was the successor—in-interest to Tyco Adhesives Italia S.p.A. (Tyco), and that Evotape Packaging S.r.l. (Evotape Packaging) and Evotape Masking S.r.l. (Evotape Masking) are both successors—in-interest to Evotape S.p.A for purposes of determining antidumping liability.

INTERESTED PARTIES ARE INVITED TO COMMENT ON THESE PRELIMINARY RESULTS. INTERESTED PARTIES ARE INVITED TO COMMENT ON THESE PRELIMINARY RESULTS.

Preliminary Results

The products covered by the finding are shipments of pressure sensitive plastic tape measuring over one and three-eighths inches in width and not exceeding four mils in thickness, currently classifiable under subheadings 3919.10.20 and 3919.90.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Scope of the Finding

The products covered by the finding are shipments of pressure sensitive plastic tape measuring over one and three-eighths inches in width and not exceeding four mils in thickness, currently classifiable under subheadings 3919.10.20 and 3919.90.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Successor—in-Interest Determination

adopts a successor—in-interest to Tyco for purposes of determining antidumping liability. Evotape Packaging provided additional information at the Department’s request on August 28, 2009. On September 10, 2009, the Department initiated a changed circumstances review but did not expedite the review, as requested by Evotape Packaging, because questions remained as to the factual claims forming the basis of the change circumstances review request. See Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape from Italy; Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 74 FR 47555 (September 16, 2009). On October 26, 2009, the Department placed on the record a memorandum regarding corrections to the scope language contained in the initiation notice. (See October 26, 2009, Memorandum to The File regarding “Corrections to Scope Language”). On November 3, 2009, the Department requested additional information from Evotape Packaging, and on November 24, 2009, Evotape Packaging submitted its response to the Department’s information request.

The products covered by the finding are shipments of pressure sensitive plastic tape measuring over one and three-eighths inches in width and not exceeding four mils in thickness, currently classifiable under subheadings 3919.10.20 and 3919.90.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Successor—in-Interest Determination

In making a successor—in-interest determination, the Department typically examines several factors including, but not limited to: (1) management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. See, e.g., Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan, 69 FR 67890 (November 22, 2004); (Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan) citing; Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 57 FR 20460 (May 13, 1992) (Canadian Brass); and, Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Taiwan: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review, 70 FR 17063 (April 4, 2005).

While no single factor or combination of these factors will necessarily be dispositive, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if its resulting operation is not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor. See, e.g., Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan; Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, 59 FR 6944 (February 14, 1994); Canadian Brass; Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Notice of Intent to Revoke in Part 74 FR 39042 (August 5, 2009); (unchanged in final results Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Thailand: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Notice of Revocation in Part 74 FR 52452 (October 13, 2009)). Thus, if the evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the former company, the Department will generally accord the new company the same antidumping duty treatment as its predecessor.

Preliminary Results

In its request for a changed circumstances review, Evotape Packaging explained that, in May 2005, its parent company, Evotape S.p.A, acquired Tyco’s business and assets, which included two production units (packaging and masking tape) and related sales offices. Subsequently, in December 2007, Evotape S.p.A went through a restructuring that created two wholly–owned subsidiaries (i.e., Evotape Packaging and Evotape Masking S.r.l. (Evotape Masking)) using the assets and business of the two production units. In response to the Department’s questions concerning the corporate restructuring, Evotape Packaging stated that Evotape Masking produces two in–scope products, but does not ship or sell these products to the United States, and has no future plans to do so (see August 28, 2009, and November 24, 2009, responses).2 Based on the facts on the record surrounding the acquisition and

---

2Notwithstanding this fact and Evotape Packaging’s original changed circumstances review request to determine that only it is the successor—in-interest to Tyco, Evotape Packaging acknowledged in its November 24, 2009, response that it may be appropriate to consider both Evotape Packaging and Evotape Masking as successors—in-interest to Tyco because they are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of Evotape S.p.A.