Administrative Action accompanying the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, H.R. Doc. No. 103–316 (1994), reprinted in 1994 U.S.C.C.A.N. 4040; (3) Department of Commerce regulations set forth in part 351 of Title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations, in particular sections 351.212(b) and 351.414(c) and (e); (4) the Import Administration Antidumping Manual (1997 ed.), including the computer programs referenced therein; and (5) the general procedures and methodology employed by the United States to determine dumping margins in administrative reviews, whereby the Department of Commerce, in comparing weighted average normal value with the transaction price of individual export transactions, treats as zero negative intermediate comparison results (i.e., situations in which the individual export price is greater than the weighted average normal value), which methodology Vietnam asserts is commonly referred to as “simple zeroing” and/or the U.S. “zeroing procedure.”

Vietnam alleges that these laws, regulations, administrative procedures, practices, and methodologies are, as such and as applied in the determinations by the Department of Commerce and actions by U.S. Customs and Border Protection in the shrimp administrative reviews and new shipper reviews, inconsistent with Articles I, II, VI:1, and VI:2 of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994; Articles 2.1, 2.4, 2.4.2, 6.8, 6.10, 9.1, 9.3, 9.4, 11.2, 11.3, 13.1, and 18.4, and Annex II of the Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (the Anti-Dumping Agreement); Article XVI:4 of the WTO Agreement; and Vietnam’s Protocol of Accession to the WTO.

Vietnam alleges that the United States acted inconsistently with the WTO Agreement obligations identified above by applying so-called “zeroing” in the determination of the margins of dumping in the reviews identified above, by repeatedly and consistently failing to provide most Vietnamese respondents seeking a review an opportunity to demonstrate the absence of dumping by being permitted to participate in a review, and by requiring companies to demonstrate their independence from government control and applying an adverse facts available rate to companies failing to do so in all reviews.

Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in this dispute. Persons may submit public comments electronically to http://www.regulations.gov docket number USTR–2010–0008. If you are unable to submit comments using http://www.regulations.gov, please contact Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395–9483 to arrange for an alternative method of transmission.

To submit comments via http://www.regulations.gov, enter docket number USTR–2010–0008 on the home page and click “search.” The site will provide a search-results page listing all documents associated with this docket. Find a reference to this notice by selecting “Notice” under “Document Type” on the left side of the search results page, and click on the link entitled “Submit a Comment.” (For further information on using the http://www.regulations.gov Web site, please consult the resources provided on the Web site by clicking on the “Help” link at the top of the home page.)

The http://www.regulations.gov Web site provides the option of providing comments by filling in a “Type Comment and Upload File” field, or by attaching a document. It is expected that most comments will be provided in an attached document. If a document is attached, it is necessary and sufficient to type “See attached” in the “Type Comment and Upload File” field.

A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as business confidential information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the submitter. Business confidential information must be clearly designated as such and the submission must be marked “BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL” at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page. Any comment containing business confidential information must be submitted by fax to Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395–3640. A non-confidential summary of the confidential information must be submitted to http://www.regulations.gov. The non-confidential summary will be placed in the docket and open to public inspection.

Comments will be placed in the docket and open to public inspection pursuant to 15 CFR 2006.13, except confidential business information exempt from public inspection in accordance with 15 CFR 2006.15 or information determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with 19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2). Comments open to public inspection may be viewed on the http://www.regulations.gov Web site.

Steven F. Fabry,
Acting Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2010–3551 Filed 2–22–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190–W5–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Office of the Secretary

Application of Rugby Aviation LLC D/B/A Northwest Sky Ferry for Commuter Air Carrier Authority

AGENCY: Department of Transportation.


SUMMARY: The Department of Transportation is directing all interested persons to show cause why it should not issue an order finding Rugby
Aviation, LLC d/b/a Northwest Sky Ferry fit, willing, and able, and awarding it computer air carrier authority to conduct scheduled commuter service.

DATES: Persons wishing to file objections should do so no later than February 26, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Objections and answers to objections should be filed in Docket DOT–OST–2009–0188 and addressed to Docket Operations, (M–30, Room W12–140), U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, and should be served upon the parties listed in Attachment A to the order.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine O’Toole, Air Carrier Fitness Division (X–56, Room W86–489), U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366–9721.

Dated: February 16, 2010,

Susan L. Kurland,
Assistant Secretary For Aviation and International Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2010–3452 Filed 2–22–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB–1036]

The City of Chicago, Illinois—Adverse Abandonment—Chicago Terminal Railroad in Chicago, IL

On February 1, 2010, the City of Chicago, IL (the City), filed an application under 49 U.S.C. 10903, requesting that the Surface Transportation Board (Board) authorize the third-party or adverse abandonment of two railroad lines in the City owned by the Chicago Terminal Railroad (CTR), totaling 1.625 miles: (1) a portion of the Kingsbury Branch from its southern terminus at the intersection of Kingsbury, Division, and Halstead Streets, to, but not including, the point at which the Goose Island Branch diverges from the Kingsbury Branch at or near Willow Street, a distance of approximately 6 city blocks (.75 mile) (the Kingsbury Segment); and (2) a portion of the Lakewood Avenue Line between the southwest right-of-way line of Clybourn Avenue and the Line’s northern terminus at Diversey Parkway, a distance of approximately 7 city blocks (.875 mile) (the Lakewood Segment). The lines traverse United States Postal Service Zip Codes 60614 and 60622 and include no stations. The application is available on the Board’s Web site at http://www.stb.dot.gov, or a copy can be secured from applicant’s counsel, whose name and address appear below.

According to the City, these segments are not required for rail service, and their abandonment would benefit the City by improving safety and facilitating the reconstruction of the streets where the track is located.

In a decision served in this proceeding on July 10, 2009, the City was granted exemptions from several statutory provisions as well as waivers of certain Board regulations at 49 CFR 1152 that were not relevant to its adverse abandonment application or that sought information not available to it. Specifically, the City was granted waiver of certain requirements pertaining to the notice of intent prescribed at 49 CFR 1152.21; waivers of and exemptions from requirements in 49 CFR 1152.20(a)(2)(i) and (a)(3), and 49 U.S.C. 10903(a)(3)(D) and (B) that the notice be served on significant users and posted, except to the extent necessary to require the City to mail a copy of its notice to four shippers located on contiguous lines; waiver of the requirement in 49 CFR 1152.20(a)(2)(xii) that the notice be served on certain labor organizations; waiver of and exemption from the requirements pertaining to the System Diagram Map in 49 CFR 1152.10 to 1152.14, 1152.24(e)(1), 1152.22(a)(5), and 49 U.S.C. 10903(c)(2); waiver of the requirements of 49 CFR 1152.22(b)–(d), which require a description of the physical condition of the line, estimated deferred maintenance and rehabilitation costs, a description of service performed on the line during the prior year, and computation of the revenues and avoidable costs attributable to the line; certain requirements in 49 CFR 1152.22(i) pertaining to the draft Federal Register notice; waiver of the 1-year time limit on abandonment authority specified at 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2); exemption from 49 U.S.C. 10904, which governs offers of financial assistance (OFAs), and waiver of the implementing regulations at 49 CFR 1152.27; and exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10905, which provide for the offering of rail properties approved for abandonment for sale for public purposes, and waiver of the implementing regulations at 49 CFR 1152.28.

The City states that there is no documentation in its possession indicating that the lines contain Federally granted rights-of-way and that it will make any such documentation relating to this abandonment available promptly to those requesting it. The City’s entire case for adverse abandonment was filed with the application.

The interests of railroad employees, if there are any employees on the lines, will be protected by the conditions set forth in Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Goshen, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979).

Any interested person may file written comments concerning the proposed abandonment or protests (including the protestant’s entire opposition case), by March 18, 2010. Persons who may oppose the proposed adverse abandonment but who do not wish to participate fully in the process by submitting verified statements of witnesses containing detailed evidence should file comments. Persons opposing the proposed adverse abandonment who wish to participate actively and fully in the process should file a protest, observing the filing, service, and content requirements in 49 CFR 1152.25.

Because this is an adverse abandonment proceeding, OFAs and public use requests are not appropriate and will not be entertained. The City’s reply is due by April 2, 2010.

The Board has not yet had occasion to decide whether the issuance of a certificate of interim trail use in an adverse abandonment would be consistent with the grant of such an application. Accordingly, any request for a trail use condition under 16 U.S.C. 1247(d) (49 CFR 1152.29) must be filed by March 18, 2010, and should address that issue. Each trail use request must be accompanied by a $250 filing fee. See 49 CFR 1602.2(f)(27).

All filings in response to this notice must refer to STB Docket No. AB–1036 and must be sent to: (1) Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001; (2) counsel for applicant—Thomas F. McFarland, 208 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1890, Chicago, IL 60604–1112; and (3) counsel for CTR—John D. Heffner, 1750 K Street, NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006.

Filings may be submitted either via the Board’s e-filing format or in the traditional paper format. Any person using e-filing should comply with the instructions found on the Board’s http://www.stb.dot.gov Web site, at the “E-FILING” link. Any person submitting a