Commission set a bond of five percent of entered value of accused products imported during the period of Presidential review.

On October 30, 2009, SCT and Barber (“SCT–Barber”) filed a joint petition for review of the final ID. Tianrui filed a petition for review on November 2, 2009, and complainant Amsted filed a contingent petition for review that same day. Amsted filed responses to SCT–Barber’s and Tianrui’s petitions on November 9 and 10, respectively, and SCT–Barber and Tianrui filed their responses to Amsted’s petition on November 10. The Commission investigative attorneys (“IAs”) filed responses to the various petitions for review on November 10. The IAs did not petition for review of the ID.

On December 17, 2009, the Commission determined not to review the ID and requested briefing on remedy, the public interest, and bonding, 74 FR 68282–83 (Dec. 23, 2009). On December 29, 2009, the parties submitted written submissions on the issues for which the Commission requested further briefing, and submitted replies to the written submissions on January 6, 2010.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the ID and the parties’ written submissions, the Commission has determined that the appropriate remedy is a limited exclusion order lasting a period of ten (10) years as well as cease and desist orders, lasting the same period, directed to Respondents. The limited exclusion order prohibits the entry of cast steel railway wheels and products containing same, manufactured using any of the asserted ABC Trade Secrets by or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of, Respondents, or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, or other related business entities, or their successors or assigns, for consumption in the United States. The cease and desist orders prohibit Respondents from importing, selling, marketing, advertising, distributing, offering for sale, transferring (except for exportation), soliciting U.S. agents or distributors, or aiding or abetting other entities in the importation, sale for importation, sale after importation, transfer (except for exportation), or distribution of cast steel railway wheels and products containing the same manufactured using any of the asserted ABC Trade Secrets.

The Commission further determines that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d) and (f) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d) and (f)) do not preclude issuance of the limited exclusion order. Finally, the Commission determines that a bond of five percent of the entered value is required to permit temporary importation during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)) of cast steel railway wheels and products containing the same that are subject to the order. The Commission’s order and opinion were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.


By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–672]

In the Matter of Certain Electronic Devices Having Image Capture or Display Functionality and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 19) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) terminating the above-captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 30, 2009, based on a complaint filed by LG Electronics of Seoul, Korea (“LG”), alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic devices having image capture or display functionality or components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,995,767, 5,774,131, and 6,281,895. 74 FR 14157 (2009). The complainant named Eastman Kodak Company of Rochester, New York (“Kodak”) as the respondent.

On December 16, 2009, LG and Kodak jointly moved to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion.

On January 19, 2010, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 19) granting the motion. No party petitioned for review of the ID, and the Commission has determined not to review it.


By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P
simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Acts provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, 31 new standards have been initiated and 21 existing standards are being revised. More detail regarding these changes can be found at http://standards.ieee.org/standardswire/sba/ll-2009.html and http://standards.ieee.org/standardswire/sba/l2-09-09.html.

On September 17, 2004, IEEE filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2004 (69 FR 64105).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 28, 2009. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 19, 2010 (75 FR 2890).

Patricia A. Brink,
Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 2010–3085 Filed 2–22–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE M

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Antitrust Division**

**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Network Centric Operations Industry Consortium, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on January 11, 2010, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. (”the Act”), the Network Centric Operations Industry Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, General Dynamics, Falls Church, VA; STM (Savunma Teknolojileri Muhendislik ve Ticaret A.S.), Ankara, TURKEY; Twisted Pair Solutions, Inc., Seattle, WA; TKC Communications, LLC, Fairfax, VA; Huneed Technologies, Gunpo-si, Gyeonggi-do, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Teldindus, Heverlee, BELGIUM; Bellcomm Information Systems, Madrid, Spain; and SenseResponder LLC, San Diego, CA have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and NCOIC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 19, 2004, NCOIC filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on February 2, 2005 (70 FR 5486).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 26, 2009. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 30, 2009 (74 FR 62600).

Patricia A. Brink,
Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 2010–3088 Filed 2–22–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE M

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Antitrust Division**

**Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Network Centric Operations Industry Consortium, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on January 15, 2010, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. (“the Act”), the Joint Venture under TIP Award No. 70NANB10H009 (“JV TIP H009”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing the joint venture’s activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: wTe Corporation, Bedford, MA; Energy Research Company, Staten Island, NY; and National Recovery Technologies, Inc., Nashville, TN. The general area of JV TIP H009’s planned activity is to develop, scale-up and integrate transformational technologies for high-speed scrap sorting of mixed metals by alloy type, and for real-time, molten metal analysis of high-temperature alloys.

Patricia A. Brink,
Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.
[FR Doc. 2010–3084 Filed 2–22–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–11–M