pre-development costs, capital costs for a regionally significant development or infrastructure investment, or land acquisition investments. We are considering how to make best use of new federal dollars in the context of existing programs and their requirements—and also in the context of innovative practices in the field. Applicants would need to demonstrate that they have in place an adopted regional vision that is substantially consistent with the Livability Principles, metrics identified in the published NOFA to measure performance, and have commitments from affected participating partners to initiate implementation efforts, but have funding gaps that could be closed within the grant limits for this program.

HUD seeks comments on the following questions:

—Would “pre-certification” be an added value and, if so, what programs should this approach apply to? What criteria should be considered for meeting the “pre-certification” status?

—is the direct support of implementation activities appropriate within this Program given the limited amount of resources and the expected modest size of grants?

—What criteria should be used to judge that an applicant successfully demonstrates that it has an adopted regional vision and that the project for funding under this category is truly catalytic?

—Specifically, what criteria should be considered for a project to be catalytic?

—What types of activities might be included, the timeframe by what time the project should be completed, and how much leveraging should be considered appropriate for demonstrating that the proposed investment will serve as a region’s commitment to a sustainable future?

B. Entities Eligible for Funding

In the Program, HUD is considering an eligible entity a multi-jurisdictional and multi-sector partnership consisting of a consortium of units of general local government and all government, civic, philanthropic and business entities with a responsibility for implementing a Regional Plan for Sustainable Development.

HUD seeks input on the following questions:

—Should certain entities be required partners in multi-jurisdictional regions such as a metropolitan planning organization as defined in 23 CFR 104, or a rural planning organization or network of rural planning organizations in a rural area?

—What definitions should HUD use to define a rural multi-jurisdictional region eligible for funding?

—What units of government should be allowed to serve as a lead agency for funding purposes?

—What should demonstrate commitment on the part of each member organization, and whether there should be a minimum number of member organizations?

C. Selection Criteria

In evaluating an application for a grant, HUD, in partnership with DOT and EPA, will evaluate whether the application furthers the creation of livable communities by advancing regional planning that integrates housing, transportation, and environmental decisions and the extent to which the applicant represents a strong collaboration effort for the region in question.

HUD seeks input on how to judge the capacity of the regional entity to carry out the proposed Program, including the extent of technical and organizational capacity to conduct the project in the proposed timeframe, past experience in implementing a planning process, and/or an implementation project as proposed, and the extent to which the consortium has developed partnerships throughout an entire metropolitan or rural area, including, as appropriate, partnerships with the entities described above. Specifically, should a needs assessment be required as an application submission requirement, and, if so, what data elements should be mandatory in judging need and the scope of the needs assessment to ensure that it addresses the comprehensive needs of the region?

While HUD specifically seeks comment on the foregoing questions, HUD welcomes additional information that will help inform the Sustainable Communities Planning Grant Program.


Ron Sims,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–2979 Filed 2–9–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for 1029–0057

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing that the information collection request for 30 CFR part 882, Reclamation on Private Land, has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. This information collection request describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost.

DATES: OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collection requests but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, public comments should be submitted to OMB by March 12, 2010, in order to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Department of the Interior Desk Officer, via e-mail at OIRA_Docket@omb.eop.gov, or by facsimile to (202) 395–5806. Also, please send a copy of your comments to John Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1551 Constitution Ave, NW., Room 202–SIB, Washington, DC 20240, or electronically to jtrelease@osmre.gov. Please reference 1029–0057 in your correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To receive a copy of the information collection request, contact John Trelease at (202) 208–2783. You may also contact Mr. Trelease at jtrelease@osmre.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OMB regulations at 5 CFR 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities [see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)]. OSM has submitted the request to OMB to renew its approval for the collection of information found at 30 CFR part 882. OSM is requesting a 3-year term of approval for this information collection activity.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection of information is 1029–0057, and may be found in OSM’s regulations at 30 CFR 882.10. States and Tribes are required to respond to obtain a WA, Rit.

As required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), a Federal Register notice soliciting
comments on this collection was published on November 24, 2009 (74 FR 61363). No comments were received.

This notice provides the public with an additional 30 days in which to comment on the following information collection activity:

**Title:** 30 CFR 882—Reclamation on Private Land.

**OMB Control Number:** 1029–0057.

**Summary:** Public Law 95–87 authorizes Federal, State, and Tribal governments to reclaim private lands and allows for the establishment of procedures for the recovery of the cost of reclamation activities on privately owned lands. These procedures are intended to ensure that governments have sufficient capability to file liens so that certain landowners will not receive a windfall from reclamation.

**Bureau Form Number:** None.

**Frequency of Collection:** Once.

**Description of Respondents:** State governments and Indian tribes.

**Total Annual Burden Hours:** 120.

**Total Annual Responses:** 1.

**DATES:** The time limits for filing an appeal are:

1. Any party claiming a property interest which is adversely affected by the decision shall have until March 12, 2010 to file an appeal.
2. Parties receiving service of the decision by certified mail shall have until March 29, 2010.

**ADDRESSES.** Please refer to control number 1029–0057 in all correspondence.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publically available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.


Steve M. Felch,
Acting Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.

[FR Doc. 2010–2759 Filed 2–9–10; 8:45 am]

---

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Land Management**

[F–21905–56; LLAK964000–L14100000–KC0000–P]

**Alaska Native Claims Selection**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of decision approving lands for conveyance.

**SUMMARY:** As required by 43 CFR 2650.7(d), notice is hereby given that an appealable decision approving the surface and subsurface estates in certain lands for conveyance pursuant to the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act will be issued to Doyon, Limited. The lands are in the vicinity of Tanana, Alaska, and are located in:

Fairbanks Meridian, Alaska

T. 5 N., R. 24 W.,

Secs. 3, 4, 5, and 8;

Secs. 9, 33, 34, and 35.

Containing approximately 4,805 acres.

Notice of the decision will also be published four times in the Fairbanks Daily News-Miner.

**DATES:** The time limits for filing an appeal are:

1. Any party claiming a property interest which is adversely affected by the decision shall have until March 12, 2010 to file an appeal.
2. Parties receiving service of the decision by certified mail shall have 30 days from the date of receipt to file an appeal.

**ADDRESS:** A copy of the decision may be obtained from: Bureau of Land Management, Alaska State Office, 222 West Seventh Avenue, #13, Anchorage, Alaska 99513–7504.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Bureau of Land Management by phone at 907–271–5960, or by e-mail at ak.blm.conveyance@ak.blm.gov. Persons who use a telecommunication device (TTD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to contact the Bureau of Land Management.

**HILLARY WOODS,**

Land Law Examiner, Land Transfer Adjudication Branch.

[FR Doc. 2010–2847 Filed 2–9–10; 8:45 am]
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**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**


**Lewis and Clark National Wildlife Refuge and Julia Butler Hansen Refuge for the Columbian White-Tailed Deer**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability: draft comprehensive conservation plan and draft environmental impact statement; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of our draft comprehensive conservation plan and draft environmental impact statement (CCP/DEIS) for the Lewis and Clark National Wildlife Refuge and Julia Butler Hansen Refuge for the Columbian White-tailed Deer (refuge or, collectively, refuges) for public review and comment. The CCP/DEIS describes our proposal for managing the refuges for the next 15 years. Both refuges are managed as part of the Willapa National Wildlife Refuge Complex located in Ilwaco, WA.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, please send your written comments by March 29, 2010.

**ADDRESS:** More information on the refuges is available on the Internet at http://www.fws.gov/Willapa. You may submit comments, request a copy of the CCP or EIS, or request more information by either of the following methods:

E-mail: FWIPlanningComments@fws.gov. Include “Lewis and Clark CCP” and/or “Julia Butler Hansen CCP” in the subject line of the message.


**FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charlie Stenvall, Project Leader, (360) 484–3482.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The CCP Process

The CCP/DEIS was prepared pursuant to the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 668dd–668ee) as amended (Refuge Administration Act); the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) (NEPA); and the Service’s Wilderness Stewardship Policy (610 FW 3). The Refuge Administration Act requires us to