

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-905]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of certain polyester staple fiber from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). This review covers the period June 1, 2008, through May 31, 2009.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 9, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Huang or Bobby Wong, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4047 or (202) 482-0409, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On July 29, 2009, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from the PRC. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 74 FR 37690 (July 29, 2009). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than March 2, 2010.

Statutory Time Limits

In antidumping duty administrative reviews, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this administrative review within the original time limit because the Department requires additional time to analyze questionnaire responses, issue supplemental questionnaires, and evaluate surrogate value submissions for purposes of the preliminary results.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review by 101 days. The preliminary results will now be due no later than June 11, 2010. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 3, 2010.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010-2800 Filed 2-8-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-475-818]

Certain Pasta from Italy: Notice of Final Results of the Twelfth Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 6, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the twelfth administrative review for the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy. The review covers ten manufacturers/exporters: Domenico Paone fu Erasmo S.p.A. (Erasmo), Industria Alimentare Colavita, S.p.A. (Indalco), P.A.M. S.p.A. (PAM), Pasta Lenzi (Lenzi), Pastificio Fratelli Pagni S.p.A. (Pagani), Pastificio Labor S.r.l. (Labor), Pastificio Lucio Garofalo (Garofalo), Pastificio Riscossa F.lli Mastromauro S.r.l. (Riscossa), Rummo S.p.A. Molino e Pastificio (Rummo), and Rustichella d'Abruzzo S.p.A. (Rustichella). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2008. PAM and Garofalo were selected as mandatory respondents.¹

¹ See Memorandum to James Terpstra, from the Team regarding Selection of Respondents for Individual Review, dated September 25, 2008.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, the final results differ from the preliminary results for PAM and Garofalo. The final weighted-average dumping margins for these companies are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 9, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Victoria Cho (Garofalo) and Christopher Hargett (PAM), AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5075 and (202) 482-4161, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On August 6, 2009, the Department published the preliminary results of the twelfth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy. See *Certain Pasta from Italy: Notice of Preliminary Results of Twelfth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 39285 (August 6, 2009) (*Preliminary Results*).

Petitioners,² PAM, Garofalo, Riscossa and Rummo submitted case briefs on November 20, 2009. Petitioners, Pam and Garofalo submitted rebuttal briefs on December 4, 2009. On August 6, 2009, PAM requested a hearing. A public hearing was held on December 14, 2009.

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by this order are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds four ounces or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastasis, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this scope is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons, or polyethylene or polypropylene bags of varying dimensions.

Excluded from the scope of this order are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg white. Also excluded are imports of organic pasta from Italy that are accompanied by the appropriate certificate issued by the Istituto Mediterraneo Di Certificazione, by QC&I International Services, by Ecocert Italia, by Consorzio per il

² Petitioners are New World Pasta Company, Dakota Growers Pasta Company, and American Italian Pasta Company.