may also be examined during normal business hours at the office of the Western Washington Center, Air Traffic Organization, Federal Aviation Administration, 1601 Lind Avenue, 15000 SW., Renton, WA 98055.

Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should contact the FAA’s Office of Rulemaking, (202) 267–9677, for a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Distribution System, which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is proposing an amendment to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 to remove the segment of J–3 that extends from the Spokane VORTAC to Cranbrook, BC. The route terminates at the EDGES fix located on the United States and Canadian border. The FAA has determined that this segment of J–3 is not required since the Jet Route, as currently described, terminates or originates at a point in space on the international border and does not meet or connect to any corresponding airway within Canadian airspace. Additionally, the segment between the Spokane VORTAC and Cranbrook, BC VOR/DME causes confusion because it appears that pilots can file a flight plan all the way to the Cranbrook, BC VOR/DME, however, the computer rejects the flight plans filed to the Cranbrook, BC VOR/DME.

Jet Routes are published in paragraph 2004 of FAA Order 7400.9T, dated August 27, 2009 and effective September 15, 2009, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Jet Route listed in this document would be subsequently published in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of the airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it modifies a Jet Route from Oakland, CA, to Spokane, WA.

Environmental Review

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1E, “Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures,” prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:


§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9T, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 27, 2009 and effective September 15, 2009, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 2004 Jet Routes.

J–3 [Modified]

From Oakland, CA, via Red Bluff, CA; Lakeview, OR; Kimberly, OR; Spokane, WA.


Edith V. Parish,
Manager, Airspace and Rules Group.

[FR Doc. 2010–2471 Filed 2–3–10; 8:45 am]
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Proposed Modification of Jet Routes J–37 and J–55; Northeast United States

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This action proposes to modify Jet Routes J–37 and J–55 in the Northeast United States (U.S.) by terminating the routes at a navigation aid prior to the U.S./Canadian Border. This change is proposed to resolve flight plan processing issues between U.S. and Canadian air traffic control (ATC) systems.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 22, 2010.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify both docket numbers (FAA Docket No. FAA–2010–0003 and Airspace Docket No. 09–
ANE–104) and be submitted in triplicate
to the Docket Management Facility (see
ADDRESSES section for address and
phone number). You may also submit
comments through the Internet at
Comments wishing the FAA to
acknowledge receipt of their comments
on this action must submit with those
comments a self-addressed, stamped
postcard on which the following
statement is made: “Comments to FAA
Docket No. FAA–2010–0003 and
Airspace Docket No. 09–ANE–104.” The
postcard will be date/time stamped and
returned to the commenter.
All communications received on or
before the specified closing date for
comments will be considered before
taking action on the proposed rule. The
proposition contained in this action may
be changed in light of comments
received. All comments submitted will
be available for examination in the
public docket both before and after the
closing date for comments. A report
summarizing each substantive public
contact with FAA personnel concerned
with this rulemaking will be filed in the
docket.

Availability of NPRMs
An electronic copy of this document
may be downloaded through the
Internet at http://www.regulations.gov.
Recently published rulemaking
documents can also be accessed through
the FAA’s Web page at http://
www.faa.gov/airports_airtraffic/
air_traffic/publications/
airspace_amendments/
You may review the public docket
containing the proposal, any comments
received and any final disposition in
person in the Dockets Office (see
ADDRESSES section for address and
phone number) between 9 a.m. and 5
p.m., Monday through Friday, except
Federal holidays. An informal docket
may also be examined during normal
business hours at the office of the
Eastern Service Center, Operations
Support Group, Federal Aviation
Administration, Room 210, 1701
Columbia Ave., College Park, GA 30337.
Persons interested in being placed on
a mailing list for future NPRMs should
contact the FAA’s Office of Rulemaking,
(202) 267–9677, for a copy of Advisory
Circular No. 11–2A, Notice of Proposed
Rulemaking Distribution System, which
describes the application procedure.

The Proposal
The FAA is proposing an amendment
to Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations
(14 CFR) part 71 to modify Jet Route
J–37 by terminating the route at the
Massena, NY, very high frequency
omnidirectional range/tactical air
navigation (VORTAC) aid, J–37
currently terminates at a point where
the Massena VORTAC 037° (T) radial
intersects the U.S./Canadian border.
Wherein J–37 terminates at the
international boundary rather than a
navigation aid or fix imposes flight plan
processing issues between U.S. En
Route Automation Modernization
(ERAM) and Canadian ATC facilities.
The proposed change would eliminate
the short segment of J–37 that extends
between the Massena VORTAC and the
U.S./Canadian border. Four other
existing Jet Routes that terminate at the
Massena VORTAC provide connectivity
between J–37 and routes that extend
into Canadian airspace.
The FAA is also proposing an
amendment to 14 CFR part 71 to modify
Jet Route J–55 by terminating that route
at the Presque Isle, ME, VOR/distance
measuring equipment (DME) aid. This
would eliminate the segment of J–55
that extends between the Presque Isle
VOR/DME and the Mont Joli, PQ,
Canada VOR/DME.
All radials in the J–37 and J–55 legal
descriptions in this NPRM are stated in
reference to True North only.
Jet routes are published in paragraph
2004 of FAA Order 7400.9T dated
August 27, 2009 and effective
September 15, 2009, which is
incorporated by reference in 14 CFR
71.1. The jet routes listed in this
document would be subsequently
published in the Order.
The FAA has determined that this
proposed regulation only involves an
established body of technical
regulations for which frequent and
routine amendments are necessary to
keep them operationally current.
Therefore, this proposed regulation: (1)
Is not a “significant regulatory action”
under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a
“significant rule” under Department of
Transportation (DOT) Regulatory
Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034;
February 26, 1979); and (3) does not
warrant preparation of a regulatory
evaluation as the anticipated impact is
so minimal. Since this is a routine
matter that will only affect air traffic
procedures and air navigation, it is
certified that this proposed rule, when
promulgated, will not have a significant
economic impact on a substantial
number of small entities under the
criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.
The FAA’s authority to issue rules
regarding aviation safety is found in
Title 49 of the United States Code.
Subtitle I, section 106 describes the
authority of the FAA Administrator.
Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs,
describes in more detail the scope of the
agency’s authority.
This rulemaking is promulgated
under the authority described in subtitle
VII, part A, subpart I, section 40103.
Under that section, the FAA is charged
with prescribing regulations to assign
the use of the airspace necessary to
ensure the safety of aircraft and the
efficient use of airspace. This
regulation is within the scope of that authority
as it modifies the route structure as
required to preserve the safe and
efficient flow of air traffic.

Environmental Review
This proposal is not subject to
environmental review because all
proposed actions relate to flight above
Flight Level 180 in accordance with
FAA Memorandums dated January 17,
2001 and September 25, 2003 related to
the altitude cut-off to be used in
determining the study areas for
environmental analyses. Additionally, if
environmental review were required,
this proposed action would qualify for
categorical exclusion in accordance
with FAA Order 15051.1, “Environmental
Impacts: Policies and Procedures”
Paragraph 311a.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71
Airspace, Incorporation by reference,
Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment
In consideration of the foregoing, the
Federal Aviation Administration
proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as
follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A,
B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR
TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND
REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71
continues to read as follows:
Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113,
40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–
1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]
2. The incorporation by reference in
14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9T,
Airspace Designations and Reporting
Points, Dated August 27, 2009 and
effective September 15, 2009, is
amended as follows:

Paragraph 2004 Jet Routes.

J–37 [Amended]
From Hobby, TX, via INT of the Hobby
090° and Harvey, LA, 266° radials; Harvey;
Semmes, AL; Montgomery, AL; Spartanburg,
SC; Lynchburg, VA; Gordonsville, VA;
Brooke, VA; INT Brooke 067° and Coyle, NJ,
From Dolphin, FL; INT Dolphin 331° and Gators, FL, 160° radials; INT Gators 160° and Craig, FL, 192° radials; Craig; INT Craig 004° and Savannah, GA, 193° radials; Savannah; Charleston, SC; Florence, SC; INT Florence 003° and Raleigh-Durham, NC, 224° radials; Raleigh-Durham; INT Raleigh-Durham 035° and Hopewell, VA, 234° radials; Hopewell; INT Hopewell 030° and Nottingham, MD, 174° radials. From Sea Isle, NJ; INT Sea Isle 050° and Hampton, NY, 223° radials; Hampton; Providence, RI; Boston, MA; Kennebunk, ME; Presque Isle, ME.

* * * * *

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 26, 2010.

Edith V. Parish,
Manager, Airspace & Rules Group.

[FR Doc. 2010–2468 Filed 2–3–10; 8:45 am]
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RIN 2502–AI45

Federal Housing Administration: Insurance for Manufactured Housing; Reopening of Public Comment Period

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of public comment period.

SUMMARY: On September 15, 2008, HUD published a proposed rule entitled “Federal Housing Administration: Insurance for Manufactured Housing.” The comment period for the proposed rule ended on November 14, 2008. This notice identifies an additional issue related to the rule that has resulted from HUD’s implementation of a June 2008 final rule pertaining to the federal manufactured home installation program. Accordingly, HUD reopens the comment period for the proposed rule to present this additional issue for consideration in relation to the September 2008 proposed rule and to solicit public comment only on this additional issue.

DATES: Comment Due Date: March 8, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposed rule to the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410–0500. There are two methods for submitting public comments. All submissions must refer to the above docket number and title.

1. Submission of Comments by Mail. Comments may be submitted by mail to the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410–0001.

2. Electronic Submission of Comments. Interested persons may submit comments electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov. HUD strongly encourages commenters to submit comments electronically. Electronic submission of comments allows the commenter maximum time to prepare and submit a comment, ensures timely receipt by HUD, and enables HUD to make them immediately available. Comments submitted electronically through the http://www.regulations.gov Web site can be viewed by other commenters and interested members of the public. Commenters should follow the instructions provided on that site to submit comments electronically.

Note: To receive consideration as public comments, comments must be submitted through one of the two methods specified above. Again, all submissions must refer to the docket number and title of the rule.

No Facsimile Comments. Facsimile (FAX) comments are not acceptable. Public Inspection of Public Comments. All properly submitted comments and communications submitted to HUD will be available for public inspection and copying between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. weekdays at the above address. Due to security measures at the HUD Headquarters building, an advance appointment to review the public comments must be scheduled by calling the Regulations Division at 202–708–3055 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with speech or hearing impairments may access this number via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at 800–877–8339. Copies of all comments submitted are available for inspection and downloading at http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Gillispie, Home Valuation Policy Division, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room 9270, Washington, DC 20410–8000, telephone number 202–708–2121 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with speech or hearing impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: HUD published a proposed rule on September 15, 2008 (73 FR 53346), that would amend HUD’s regulations governing manufactured homes that are to be the security for Federal Housing Administration (FHA) Title I-insured loans and Title II-insured mortgages. The September 15, 2008 rule proposed to permit, as eligible for FHA insurance, mortgages on manufactured homes to be installed in accordance with the Model Installation Standards, which were the subject of notice and rulemaking that resulted in a final rule published on October 19, 2007. Current regulations provide that manufactured homes that are to be the security for FHA Title I-insured loans must be installed in accordance with the manufacturer’s requirements or erected on a permanent foundation, and manufactured homes that are to be the security for Title II-insured mortgages must be erected on a permanent foundation. Acceptance of mortgages on manufactured homes installed in accordance with the Model Installation Standards would provide for greater flexibility of design, thereby permitting additional options for affordable housing. Under the proposal presented by the September 15, 2008 proposed rule, FHA mortgage insurance would become available for eligible manufactured homes in all states and territories, upon promulgation of a final rule.

On June 20, 2008, HUD published a final rule entitled “Manufactured Home Installation Program,” which established a federal manufactured home installation program in accordance with the National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974, as amended by the Manufactured Housing Act of 2000. The June 20, 2008, final rule governs the HUD-administered installation program that will operate in a state, unless that state certifies that it has its own qualifying program and HUD reviews the program and accepts it as meeting or exceeding the requirements HUD would apply in a HUD-administered state. The June 20, 2008 final rule also provides for HUD’s conditional acceptance, rather than full acceptance, of a state program that meets some but not all of the minimum standards. However, there have been some delays in submissions of state certifications, in HUD’s review and acceptance of state certifications, and in implementation of HUD’s...