**DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

**[Public Notice 6892]**

**Lifting of Nonproliferation Measures Against One Russian Entity**

**AGENCY:** Department of State.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** A determination has been made, pursuant to Section 6 of Executive Order 12938 of November 14, 1994, as amended, to remove nonproliferation measures on one Russian entity.

**DATES:** Effective Date: February 4, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Pamela K. Durham, Office of Missile Threat Reduction, Bureau of International Security and Nonproliferation, Department of State (202–647–4930).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the authorities vested in the President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) ("IEEPA"), the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.), the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.), and section 301 of title 3, United States Code, and Section 6 of Executive Order 12938 of November 14, 1994, as amended, a determination was made on January 26, 2010, that it is in the foreign policy and national security interests of the United States to remove the restrictions imposed pursuant to Sections 4(b), 4(c), and 4(d) of the Executive Order on the following Russian entity, its sub-units and successors:

1. Baltic State Technical University.

These restrictions were imposed on July 30, 1998 (see 63 FR 42089).


C.S. Eliot Kang,
Acting Assistant Secretary of State for International Security and Nonproliferation.

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

**[Public Notice 6889]**

**Meeting of the United States-Peru Environmental Affairs Council**

**ACTION:** Notice of the meeting of the U.S.-Peru Environmental Affairs Council and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State and the Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) are providing notice that the United States and Peru intend to hold the first meeting of the Environmental Affairs Council (the "Council") in Washington, DC, on February 17, 2010. This body was created pursuant to Chapter 18 (Environment) of the United States-Peru Trade Promotion Agreement (PTPA). A public information session will be held on February 17th, at 2:45 p.m., in room 1107 at the U.S. Department of State, 2201 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20520. If you would like to attend the session, please send the following information to Jacqueline Tront at the fax number or email address listed below under the heading ADRESSES: (1) your name, (2) your date of birth, and (3) the number of a valid identification card that a government has issued to you.

The purpose of the Council meeting is detailed below under Supplementary Information. The meeting agenda will include an overview of Chapter 18 and review of its implementation, a progress report on implementation of the Annex on Forest Sector Governance (Annex 18.3.4), and consideration and discussion of implementation of the U.S.-Peru Environmental Cooperation Agreement (ECA).

The Department of State and USTR invite interested organizations and members of the public to submit written comments or suggestions regarding agenda items and to attend the public session.

In preparing comments, we encourage submitters to refer to:
- Chapter 18 of the PTPA, including Annex 18.3.4.
- The Final Environmental Review of the PTPA.
- The ECA.


**DATES:** The public session of the Council meeting is to be held on February 17, 2010, 2:45 p.m. in Washington, DC. To be assured of timely consideration, comments and suggestions are requested in writing no later than February 14, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jacqueline Tront, Office of Environmental Policy, Bureau of Oceans, International Environmental, and Scientific Affairs, U.S. Department of State, by electronic mail at trontjm@state.gov with the subject line "U.S.-Peru EAC Meeting" or by fax to (202) 647–5947; and Mara M. Burr, Deputy Assistant United States Trade Representative for Environment and Natural Resources, Office of the United States Trade Representative by electronic mail at mburr@ustr.eop.gov with the subject line "U.S.-Peru EAC Meeting" or by fax to (202) 395–9517.

Persons with access to the Internet may also view and comment on this notice by going to the U.S. Government Regulations.gov Web site at http://regulations.gov/index.cfm.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States-Peru TPA entered into force on February 1, 2009. Article 18.6 of the TPA establishes an Environmental Affairs Council, which is required to meet at least once a year to discuss the implementation of, and progress under, Chapter 18. Chapter 18 requires that meetings of the Council include a public session, unless the Parties otherwise agree.

At the upcoming meeting of the Council, the Council will review the status of implementation of Chapter 18 and assess the progress on implementing the Annex on Forest Sector Governance (Annex 18.3.4). The public is advised to refer to the State Department Web site at http://www.state.gov/g/oess/ev/trade/peru/index.htm and the USTR Web site at http://www.ustr.gov for further information.

Dated: February 1, 2010.

Willem H. Brakel,
Acting Director, Office of Environmental Policy, Department of State.

**ADRESSES:** Written comments or suggestions should be submitted to both:

1. Jacqueline Tront, Office of Environmental Policy, Bureau of Oceans, International Environmental, and Scientific Affairs, U.S. Department of State, by electronic mail at trontjm@state.gov with the subject line "U.S.-Peru EAC Meeting" or by fax to (202) 647–5947; and
2. Mara M. Burr, Deputy Assistant United States Trade Representative for Environment and Natural Resources, Office of the United States Trade Representative by electronic mail at mburr@ustr.eop.gov with the subject line "U.S.-Peru EAC Meeting" or by fax to (202) 395–9517.

Persons with access to the Internet may also view and comment on this notice by going to the U.S. Government Regulations.gov Web site at http://regulations.gov/index.cfm.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jacqueline Tront, Telephone (202) 647–4750 or Mara M. Burr, Telephone (202) 395–7320.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States-Peru TPA entered into force on February 1, 2009. Article 18.6 of the TPA establishes an Environmental Affairs Council, which is required to meet at least once a year to discuss the implementation of, and progress under, Chapter 18. Chapter 18 requires that meetings of the Council include a public session, unless the Parties otherwise agree.

At the upcoming meeting of the Council, the Council will review the status of implementation of Chapter 18 and assess the progress on implementing the Annex on Forest Sector Governance (Annex 18.3.4). The public is advised to refer to the State Department Web site at http://www.state.gov/g/oess/ev/trade/peru/index.htm and the USTR Web site at http://www.ustr.gov for further information.

Dated: February 1, 2010.

Willem H. Brakel,
Acting Director, Office of Environmental Policy, Department of State.

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

**[Public Notice 6893]**

**Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs (ECA) Request for Grant Proposals: Indonesia-U.S. Youth Leadership Program**

Announcement Type: New Grant.
The Indonesia-U.S. Youth Leadership Program enables teenagers from Indonesia and the United States to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and to provide a content-rich exchange program between these two countries. The purpose of the Fulbright-Hays Act is provided through legislation. The purpose of the Act is “to enable the Government of the United States to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and the people of other countries...” United States to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and the people of other countries... the funding authority for the program above is provided through legislation.

**Purpose:** The Indonesia-U.S. Youth Leadership Program enables teenagers (ages 15–17) and adult educators to participate in intensive, thematic, three-week-long exchanges in the United States and in Indonesia. Exchange activities must focus broadly on civic rights and responsibilities, leadership, respect for diversity, and community activism. The specific theme of the environment will be used as a tool to illustrate those concepts. Participants will be engaged in a variety of activities such as workshops, community and/or school-based programs, cultural activities, seminars and other activities designed to achieve the project’s stated goals and objectives. Ample opportunities for American and Indonesian participants to interact with each other will be included.

**The goals of the program are:**

1. To promote mutual understanding between the United States and the people of Indonesia.
2. To develop a sense of civic responsibility and commitment to community development among youth.
3. To develop a cadre of community activists who will share their knowledge and skills with their peers through positive action; and
4. To foster relationships among youth from different ethnic, religious, and national groups.

**Program Objectives:** To introduce students and educators to the host country’s principles of democracy, civil society, diversity, and youth leadership, with an additional focus on volunteerism/community activism and peer education on environmental issues.

**Applicants:** Applicants should plan to recruit and select between 24 and 34 youth and adult participants in Indonesia and in the United States to and to provide the participants with a three-week exchange program in the partner country. The exchange activities will focus broadly on the themes of civic rights and responsibilities, leadership, respect for diversity, and community activism, and specifically on the theme of the environment. Activities will be geared toward preparing participants to conduct projects at home that serve a community need.

**I. Funding Opportunity Description**

**Authority:** Overall grant making authority for this program is contained in the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961, Public Law 87–256, as amended, also known as the Fulbright-Hays Act. The purpose of the Act is “to enable the Government of the United States to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and the people of other countries...” United States and other countries... to strengthen the ties which unite us with other nations by demonstrating the educational and cultural interests, developments, and achievements of the people of the United States and other nations... and thus to assist in the development of friendly, sympathetic and peaceful relations between the United States and the other countries of the world.” The funding authority for the program above is provided through legislation.

**Purpose:** The Indonesia-U.S. Youth Leadership Program enables teenagers (ages 15–17) and adult educators to participate in intensive, thematic, three-week-long exchanges in the United States and in Indonesia. Exchange activities must focus broadly on civic rights and responsibilities, leadership, respect for diversity, and community activism. The specific theme of the environment will be used as a tool to illustrate those concepts. Participants will be engaged in a variety of activities such as workshops, community and/or school-based programs, cultural activities, seminars and other activities designed to achieve the project’s stated goals and objectives. Ample opportunities for American and Indonesian participants to interact with each other will be included.

**The goals of the program are:**

1. To promote mutual understanding between the United States and the people of Indonesia.
2. To develop a sense of civic responsibility and commitment to community development among youth.
3. To develop a cadre of community activists who will share their knowledge and skills with their peers through positive action; and
4. To foster relationships among youth from different ethnic, religious, and national groups.

**Program Objectives:** To introduce students and educators to the host country’s principles of democracy, civil society, diversity, and youth leadership, with an additional focus on volunteerism/community activism and peer education on environmental issues.

**Applicants:** Applicants should identify their own specific objectives and measurable outcomes based on these program goals and the project specifications provided in this solicitation.

**Applicants must demonstrate their capacity for doing projects of this nature, focusing on three areas of competency:**

1. Provision of programs that address the goals and themes outlined in this document; (2) age-appropriate programming for youth; and (3) previous experience working with Indonesia. In addition to their U.S. presence, applicants, or their partner organizations, need to have the necessary capacity in Indonesia to recruit and select Indonesian participants for the program and to provide follow-on activities for them, and to provide a content-rich exchange program for the American participants. The partner organization must have a functioning office in Indonesia and an established track record of working with youth or on issues in Indonesian education. The representative(s) in Indonesia should have an active role in the preparation of the proposal submitted in response to this RFGP.

**Guidelines:** The grant will begin or about August 1, 2010. The grant period will be approximately 16 to 20 months in duration, according to the applicant’s program plan. Applicants should propose the timing of the two three-week exchange programs in the partner country, which will take place between March and August 2011. Dates may be shifted by the mutual agreement of the Department and the grant recipient. In pursuit of the goals outlined above, the program will include the following:

- Recruitment and selection of a diverse group of youth and adult educators in Indonesia and in the United States.
- Pre-departure and arrival orientations.
- Design and planning of exchange activities in the United States and in Indonesia that provide a comprehensive and substantive program on the specified themes and offer a thorough introduction to the host country’s culture.
- Logistical arrangements, including homestay arrangements and other accommodations, provisions for religious observance, disbursement of stipends, local travel, and travel between sites.
- Monitoring of the participants’ safety and well-being while on the exchange.
- Follow-on activities in the participants’ home countries designed to reinforce the ideas and skills imparted during the exchange program.

**Recruitment and Selection:** Once a grant is awarded, the grant recipient must consult with the Public Affairs Section at the U.S. Embassy in Jakarta and with the ECA program officer to review a recruitment and participant selection plan. Organizers must strive for regional and ethnic diversity within both Indonesia and the United States. Small groups of participants should be from the same town or region so that they can support one another in their projects upon their return home. The Department of State and/or its overseas representatives reserve final approval of all selected delegations.

**Participants:** Within the range of 24 to 34, an applicant should present the number of participants it expects to be able to accommodate based on its program design and budget. The Bureau wants a greater number of Indonesians than Americans to travel, as presented in the ranges below. Additional American participants may be included if supported by other sources of funding, but they must complete the same screening process for suitability as an exchange participant as the grant-funded participants do. The ratio of students to educators should be approximately 6:1.

The Indonesian participants will be 18 to 24 students and educators selected from a variety of schools—public (secular) schools, pesantrens (Islamic boarding schools), and parochial schools. Fluency in English should not be a requirement for
selection, but some beginning English skills are desirable to enhance interaction with American peers. For educational activities, the grant recipient should be prepared to provide interpretation services appropriate to the project.

The American participants will be 6 to 10 high school students and educators that represent the diversity of the United States and who demonstrate an interest in Indonesia and the project themes.

Criteria for selection of all participants will be leadership skills, an interest in service to the community, strong academic and social skills, overall composure, and openness and flexibility. It is desirable that 2–4 participants attend or teach at the same school or live in the same community so that they can support each other upon return.

Exchange Activities: The exchanges may take place in one or two communities and should offer the participants exposure to the variety of lifestyles in the United States and Indonesia. The program should focus primarily on interactive activities, practical experiences, and other hands-on opportunities to learn about the fundamentals of a civil society, community service, tolerance and respect for diversity, and on building leadership skills. Suggestions include simulations and leadership training exercises plus other activities that reveal various aspects of the host country, such as group dialogues with peers, volunteer service projects, visits with community and government leaders, or a review of the role of the media. Visits to different types of educational institutions, such as pesantrans in Indonesia, should be a component of each exchange. In both the United States and Indonesia, homestays with local families must be arranged for a significant portion of the exchange period. All programming should include peers from the host country wherever possible. Cultural and recreational activities will balance the schedule. Please see the POGI for more details.

The American and Indonesian delegations should have an opportunity to meet and interact with each other for approximately one week. Applicants are urged to present creative plans for activities that will foster interaction between these participants.

Given the youth of the participants, the grant recipient will need to assist youth with cultural adjustments, to provide societal context to enhance learning, and to counsel students as needed. Applicants should describe their plans to meet these requirements in their proposals.

Follow-on Activities: The grant recipient is required to offer follow-on activities for the exchange alumni, particularly in facilitating continued engagement among the participants, advising and supporting them in the implementation of their community service projects, and offering opportunities to reinforce the lessons and themes of the exchange. Applicants should present creative and effective ways to address the project themes, for both program participants and their peers, as a means to amplify the program impact. Follow-up visits with alumni by project staff or trainers are recommended.

Proposals must demonstrate how the stated objectives will be met. The proposal narrative should provide detailed information on the major program activities, and applicants should explain and justify their programmatic choices. Programs must comply with J–1 visa regulations. Please be sure to refer to the complete Solicitation Package—this RFGP, the Project Objectives, Goals, and Implementation (POGI), and the Proposal Submission Instructions (PSI)—for further information.

II. Award Information

Type of Award: Grant Agreement. Fiscal Year Funds: 2010.
Approximate Total Funding: $275,000.
Approximate Number of Awards: One.
Anticipated Award Date: August 1, 2010.
Anticipated Project Completion Date: 16–20 months after start date, to be specified by applicant based on project plan.

Additional Information: Pending successful implementation of the project and the availability of funds in subsequent fiscal years, ECA reserves the right to renew grants for up to two additional fiscal years before openly competing grants under this program again.

III. Eligibility Information

III.1. Eligible applicants: Applications may be submitted by public and private non-profit organizations meeting the provisions described in Internal Revenue Code section 26 USC 501(c)(3). There is no minimum or maximum percentage required for this competition. However, the Bureau encourages applicants to provide maximum levels of cost sharing and funding in support of its programs.

When cost sharing is offered, it is understood and agreed that the applicant must provide the amount of cost sharing as stipulated in its proposal and later included in an approved agreement. Cost sharing may be in the form of allowable direct or indirect costs. For accountability, you must maintain written records to support all costs which are claimed as your contribution, as well as costs to be paid by the Federal government. Such records are subject to audit. The basis for determining the value of cash and in-kind contributions must be in accordance with OMB Circular A–110, (Revised), Subpart C.23—Cost Sharing and Matching. In the event you do not provide the minimum amount of cost sharing as stipulated in the approved budget, ECA’s contribution will be reduced in like proportion.

III.3. Other Eligibility Requirements: Bureau grant guidelines require that organizations with less than four years experience in conducting international exchanges be limited to $60,000 in Bureau funding. ECA anticipates making one award, in an amount up to $275,000 to support the program and administrative costs required to implement this exchange program. Therefore, organizations with less than four years experience in conducting international exchanges are ineligible to apply under this competition. The Bureau encourages applicants to provide maximum levels of cost sharing and funding in support of its programs.

IV. Application and Submission Information

Note: Please read the complete announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

IV.1. Contact Information to Request an Application Package: Please contact the Youth Programs Division, ECA/PE/C/PY, SA–5, 3rd Floor, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC 20522–0503, Tel (202) 632–6434, E-mail HollySprague@state.gov to request a Solicitation Package. Please refer to the Funding Opportunity Number ECA/PE/C/PY–10–28 when making your request. Alternatively, an electronic application package may be obtained from grants.gov. Please see section IV.3f for further information.
The Solicitation Package contains the Proposal Submission Instruction (PSI) document which consists of required application forms, and standard guidelines for proposal preparation. It also contains the Project Objectives, Goals and Implementation (POGI) document, which provides specific information, award criteria and budget instructions tailored to this competition.

Please specify Bureau Program Officer Carolyn Lantz and refer to the Funding Opportunity Number ECA/PE/C/PY–10–28 on all other inquiries and correspondence.


IV.3. Content and Form of Submission: Applicants must follow all instructions in the Solicitation Package. The application should be submitted per the instructions under IV.3f.

“Application Deadline and Methods of Submission” section below.

IV.3a. You are required to have a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number to apply for a grant or cooperative agreement from the U.S. Government. This number is a nine-digit identification number, which uniquely identifies business entities. Obtaining a DUNS number is easy and there is no charge. To obtain a DUNS number, access http://www.dunandbradstreet.com or call 1–866–705–5711. Please ensure that your DUNS number is included in the appropriate box of the SF–424 which is part of the formal application package.

IV.3b. All proposals must contain an executive summary, proposal narrative and budget.

Please Refer to the Solicitation Package. It contains the mandatory Proposal Submission Instructions (PSI) document and the Project Objectives, Goals and Implementation (POGI) document for additional formatting and technical requirements.

IV.3c. You must have nonprofit status with the IRS at the time of application. Please note: Effective January 7, 2009, all applicants for ECA federal assistance awards must include in their application the names of directors and/or senior executives (current officers, trustees, and key employees, regardless of amount of compensation). In fulfilling this requirement, applicants must submit information in one of the following ways:

1) Those who file Internal Revenue Service Form 990, “Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax,” must include a copy of relevant portions of this form. If your organization received nonprofit status from the IRS within the past four years, you must submit the necessary documentation to verify nonprofit status as directed in the PSI document. Failure to do so will cause your proposal to be declared technically ineligible.

IV.3d. Please take into consideration the following information when preparing your proposal narrative:

IV.3d.1 Adherence to All Regulations Governing The J Visa

The Office of Citizen Exchanges of the Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs is the official program sponsor of the exchange program covered by this RFGP, and an employee of the Bureau will be the “Responsible Officer” for the program under the terms of 22 CFR 62, which covers the administration of the Exchange Visitor Program (J visa program). Under the terms of 22 CFR 62, organizations receiving awards (either a grant or cooperative agreement) under this RFGP will be third parties “cooperating with or assisting the sponsor in the conduct of the sponsor’s program.” The actions of recipient organizations shall be “imputed to the sponsor in evaluating the sponsor’s compliance with” 22 CFR 62. Therefore, the Bureau expects that any organization receiving an award under this competition will render all assistance necessary to enable the Bureau to fully comply with 22 CFR 62 et seq.

IV.3d.2 Diversity, Freedom and Democracy Guidelines

Pursuant to the Bureau’s authorizing legislation, programs must maintain a non-political character and should be balanced and representative of the diversity of American political, social, and cultural life. “Diversity” should be interpreted in the broadest sense and encompass differences including, but not limited to ethnicity, race, gender, religion, geographic location, socio-economic status, and disabilities. Applicants are strongly encouraged to adhere to the advancement of this principle both in program administration and in program content. Please refer to the review criteria under the ‘Support for Diversity’ section for specific suggestions on incorporating diversity into your proposal. Public Law 104–319 provides that “in carrying out programs of educational and cultural exchange in countries whose people do not fully enjoy freedom and democracy,” the Bureau “shall take appropriate steps to provide opportunities for participation in such programs to human rights and democracy leaders of such countries.”
Public Law 106–113 requires that the governments of the countries described above do not have inappropriate influence in the selection process. Proposals should reflect advancement of these goals in their program contents, to the full extent deemed feasible.

IV.3d. Program Monitoring and Evaluation

Proposals must include a plan to monitor and evaluate the project’s success, both as the activities unfold and at the end of the program. The Bureau recommends that your proposal include a draft survey questionnaire or other technique plus a description of a methodology to use to link outcomes to original project objectives. The Bureau expects that the recipient organization will track participants or partners and be able to respond to key evaluation questions, including satisfaction with the program, learning as a result of the program, changes in behavior as a result of the program, and effects of the program on institutions (institutions in which participants work or partner institutions). The evaluation plan should include indicators that measure gains in mutual understanding as well as substantive knowledge.

Successful monitoring and evaluation depend heavily on setting clear goals and outcomes at the outset of a program. Your evaluation plan should include a description of your project’s objectives, your anticipated project outcomes, and how and when you intend to measure these outcomes (performance indicators). The more that outcomes are “smart” (specific, measurable, attainable, results-oriented, and placed in a reasonable time frame), the easier it will be to conduct the evaluation. You should also show how your project objectives link to the goals of the program described in this RFGP.

Your monitoring and evaluation plan should clearly distinguish between program outputs and outcomes. Outputs are products and services delivered, often stated as an amount. Output information is important to show the scope or size of project activities, but it cannot substitute for information about progress towards outcomes or the results achieved. Examples of outputs include the number of people trained or the number of seminars conducted. Outcomes, in contrast, represent specific results a project is intended to achieve and is usually measured as an extent of change. Findings on outputs and outcomes should both be reported, but the focus should be on outcomes.

IV.3e. Please take the following four levels of outcomes, as they relate to the program goals set out in the RFGP (listed here in increasing order of importance):

1. Participant satisfaction with the program and exchange experience.
2. Participant learning, such as increased knowledge, aptitude, skills, and changed understanding and attitude. Learning includes both substantive (subject-specific) learning and mutual understanding.
3. Participant behavior, concrete actions to apply knowledge in work or community, greater participation and responsibility in civic organizations; interpretation and explanation of experiences and new knowledge gained; continued contacts between participants, community members, and others.
4. Institutional changes, such as increased collaboration and partnerships, policy reforms, new programming, and organizational improvements.

Please note: Consideration should be given to the appropriate timing of data collection for each level of outcome. For example, satisfaction is usually captured as a short-term outcome, whereas behavior and institutional changes are normally considered longer-term outcomes.

Overall, the quality of your monitoring and evaluation plan will be judged on how well it (1) specifies intended outcomes; (2) gives clear descriptions of how each outcome will be measured; (3) identifies when particular outcomes will be measured; and (4) provides a clear description of the data collection strategies for each outcome (i.e., surveys, interviews, or focus groups). (Please note that evaluation plans that deal only with the first level of outcomes [satisfaction] will be deemed less competitive under the present evaluation criteria.) Recipient organizations will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request.

IV.3f.1 Submitting Printed Applications

Applications must be shipped no later than the above deadline. Delivery services used by applicants must have in-place, centralized shipping identification and tracking systems that may be accessed via the Internet and delivery people who are identifiable by commonly recognized uniforms and delivery vehicles. Proposals shipped on or before the above deadline but received at ECA more than seven days after the deadline will be ineligible for further consideration under this competition. Proposals shipped after the established deadlines are ineligible for consideration under this competition. ECA will not notify you upon receipt of application. It is each applicant’s responsibility to ensure that each package is marked with a legible tracking number and to monitor/confirm delivery to ECA via the Internet. Delivery of proposal packages may not be made via local courier service or in person for this competition. Faxed documents will not be accepted at any time. Only proposals submitted as stated above will be considered.

Important Note: When preparing your submission please make sure to include one extra copy of the completed SF–424 form and place it in an envelope addressed to “ECA/EX/PM”.

The original and six copies of the application should be sent to: Program Management Division, ECA–IIP/EX/PM, Ref.: ECA/PE/C/SPY–10–28, SA–5, Floor 4, Department of State, 2200 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20522–0504.
With the submission of the proposal package, please also e-mail the Executive Summary, Proposal Narrative, and Budget sections of the proposal, as well as any attachments essential to understanding the program, in Microsoft Word, Excel, and/or PDF, to the program officer at LantzCS@state.gov. The Bureau will provide these files electronically to the Public Affairs Section at the U.S. Embassy in Jakarta for its review.

IV.3f.2 Submitting Electronic Applications

Applicants have the option of submitting proposals electronically through Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov). Complete solicitation packages are available at Grants.gov in the “Find” portion of the system.

Please Note: ECA bears no responsibility for applicant timeliness of submission or data errors resulting from transmission or conversion processes for proposals submitted via Grants.gov.

Please follow the instructions available in the “Get Started” portion of the site http://www.grants.gov/GetStarted.

Several of the steps in the Grants.gov registration process could take several weeks. Therefore, applicants should check with appropriate staff within their organizations immediately after reviewing this RFGP to confirm or check with appropriate staff within their organization for its review.

Once registered, the amount of time it can take to upload an application will vary depending on a variety of factors including the size of the application and the speed of your Internet connection. In addition, validation of an electronic submission via Grants.gov can take up to two business days. Therefore, we strongly recommend that you not wait until the application deadline to begin the submission process through Grants.gov. ECA will not notify you upon receipt of electronic applications.

It is the responsibility of all applicants submitting proposals via the Grants.gov web portal to ensure that proposals have been received by Grants.gov in their entirety, and ECA bears no responsibility for data errors resulting from transmission or conversion processes.

IV.3g. Intergovernmental Review of Applications: Executive Order 12372 does not apply to this program.

V. Application Review Information

V.1. Review Process

The Bureau will review all proposals for technical eligibility. Proposals will be deemed ineligible if they do not fully adhere to the guidelines stated herein and in the solicitation package. All eligible proposals will be reviewed by the program office as well as the Public Diplomacy section overseas, where appropriate. Eligible proposals will be subject to compliance with Federal and Bureau regulations and guidelines and forwarded to Bureau grant panels for advisory review. Proposals may also be reviewed by the Office of the Legal Adviser or by other Department elements. Final funding decisions are at the discretion of the Department of State’s Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs. Final technical authority for assistance awards (grants) resides with the Bureau’s Grants Officer.

Review Criteria

Technically eligible applications will be competitively reviewed according to the criteria stated below.

1. Quality of the program idea: The proposed program should be well developed, respond to design outlined in the solicitation, and demonstrate originality. It should be clearly and accurately written, substantive, and with sufficient detail. Proposals should exhibit originality, substance, precision, and relevance to the Bureau’s mission.

2. Program planning: A detailed agenda and work plan should clearly demonstrate how project objectives would be achieved. The agenda and plan should adhere to the program overview and guidelines described above. The substance of workshops, seminars, presentations, school-based activities, and/or site visits should be described in detail. Objectives should be reasonable, feasible, and flexible. The proposal should clearly demonstrate how the organization will meet the program’s objectives and plan.

3. Support of diversity: The proposal should demonstrate the recipient’s commitment to promoting the awareness and understanding of diversity in program content. Applicants should demonstrate readiness to accommodate participants with physical disabilities.

4. Institutional capacity and track record: Proposed personnel and institutional resources should be adequate and appropriate to achieve the program goals. The proposal should demonstrate an institutional record, including responsible fiscal management and full compliance with all reporting requirements for past Bureau grants as determined by the Bureau’s Office of Contracts. The Bureau will consider the past performance.

5. Cross-cultural sensitivity and area expertise: Applicants must demonstrate their understanding of Indonesia/Southeast Asia and should demonstrate sensitivity to participants’ values, customs, and life experiences in the programming.

6. Follow-on activities: Proposals should provide a plan for Bureau-supported follow-on activities to help the participants apply what they have learned. In addition, applicants should also provide on-going support, not necessarily with Bureau funding, that ensures that this program is not an isolated event.

7. Program evaluation: The proposal should include a plan to evaluate the activity’s success, both as the activities unfold and at the end of the program.
The proposal should include a draft survey questionnaire or other technique plus description of a methodology to use to link outcomes to original project objectives. The grant recipient will be expected to submit intermediate reports after each project component is concluded.

8. Cost-effectiveness and cost sharing:
The applicant should demonstrate efficient use of Bureau funds. The overhead and administrative components of the proposal, including salaries and honoraria, should be kept as low as possible. All other items should be necessary and appropriate. The proposal should maximize cost-sharing through other private sector support as well as institutional direct funding contributions, which demonstrates institutional and community commitment.

VI. Award Administration Information

VI.1a. Award Notices
Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal Bureau procedures. Successful applicants will receive a Federal Assistance Award (FAA) from the Bureau’s Grants Office. The FAA and the original proposal with subsequent modifications (if applicable) shall be the only binding authorizing document between the recipient and the U.S. Government. The FAA will be signed by an authorized Grants Officer, and mailed to the recipient’s responsible officer identified in the application.

Unsuccessful applicants will receive notification of the results of the application review from the ECA program office coordinating this competition.

VI.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements
Terms and Conditions for the Administration of ECA agreements include the following:


Office of Management and Budget Circular A–21, “Cost Principles for Educational Institutions.”

OMB Circular A–87, “Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Governments.”

OMB Circular No. A–110 (Revised), Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and other Nonprofit Organizations.

OMB Circular No. A–102, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants-in-Aid to State and Local Governments.


Please refer to the following Web sites for additional information: http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants.

VI.3. Reporting Requirements: You must provide ECA with a hard copy original plus one copy of the following reports:

(1) Interim program and financial reports, as required in the grant agreement;
(2) A final program and financial report no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award;
(3) A concise, one-page final program report summarizing program outcomes no more than 90 days after the expiration of the award. This one-page report will be transmitted to OMB, and be made available to the public via OMB’s USAspending.gov Web site— as part of ECA’s Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) reporting requirements.

(4) A SF–PPP, “Performance Progress Report” Cover Sheet with all program reports.

Award recipients will be required to provide reports analyzing their evaluation findings to the Bureau in their regular program reports. (Please refer to IV. Application and Submission Instructions [IV.3.d.3] above for Program Monitoring and Evaluation information.

All data collected, including survey responses and contact information, must be maintained for a minimum of three years and provided to the Bureau upon request.

All reports must be sent to the ECA Grants Officer and ECA Program Officer listed in the final assistance award document.

VI.4. Program Data Requirements
Award recipients will be required to maintain specific data on program participants and activities in an electronically accessible database format that can be shared with the Bureau as required. As a minimum, the data must include the following:

(1) Name, address, contact information and biographic sketch of all persons who travel internationally on funds provided by the agreement or who benefit from the award funding but do not travel.

(2) Itineraries of international and domestic travel, providing dates of travel and cities in which any exchange experiences take place. Draft schedules for in-country and U.S. activities must be received by the ECA Program Officer at least three weeks prior to the beginning of the activity.

VII. Agency Contacts
For questions about this announcement, contact: Carolyn Lantz, Youth Programs Division, ECA/PE/C/PY, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC 20522–0503, Tel (202) 632–6421, Fax (202) 632–9355, LantzCS@state.gov.

All correspondence with the Bureau concerning this RFGP should reference the title and number ECA/PE/C/PY–10–26.

Please read the complete announcement before sending inquiries or submitting proposals. Once the RFGP deadline has passed, Bureau staff may not discuss this competition with applicants until the proposal review process has been completed.

VIII. Other Information
Notice
The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFGP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements per section VI.3. above.

Maura M. Pally,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2010–2420 Filed 2–3–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Office of the Secretary

Aviation Proceedings, Agreements
Filed the Week Ending January 23, 2010

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the Sections 412 and 414 of the Federal Aviation Act, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1382 and 1384) and procedures governing proceedings to enforce these provisions. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.