

review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation; including, among other things, a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number)/*e-mail*: [DOL\\_PRA\\_PUBLIC@dol.gov](mailto:DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov).

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, *Attn*: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor—Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, *Telephone*: 202–395–7316/*Fax*: 202–395–5806 (these are not toll-free numbers), *E-mail*:

[OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov) within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. In order to ensure the appropriate consideration, comments should reference the OMB Control Number (see below).

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency*: Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

*Type of Review*: Extension without change of a previously approved collection.

*Title of Collection*: Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for General Industry (29 CFR part 1910, subpart I).

*OMB Control Number*: 1218–0205.

*Affected Public*: Business or other for-profits.

*Estimated Number of Respondents*: 3,500,000

*Estimated Total Annual Burden*

*Hours*: 3,552,171.

*Estimated Total Annual Costs Burden (excludes hourly wage costs)*: \$0.

*Description*: 29 CFR part 1910, subpart I of the Departments regulations requires that employers perform hazard assessments of the workplace to determine if personal protective equipment (PPE) is necessary and to communicate PPE selection decisions to affected workers. Subpart I also requires that employers train affected workers in the use of PPE and provide training under certain circumstances. Employers must document that the hazard assessment and training/retraining have been conducted. For additional information, see the related 60-day preclearance notice published in the **Federal Register** at Vol. 74 FR 61175 on November 23, 2009. PRA documentation prepared in association with the preclearance notice is available on <http://www.regulations.gov> under docket number OSHA–2009–0028.

Darrin A. King,

*Departmental Clearance Officer*.

[FR Doc. 2010–1963 Filed 1–29–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–71,375]

#### AK Steel Corporation, Mansfield Works Division, Mansfield, OH; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated December 10, 2009, the United Steel Workers, Local 169, requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on November 2, 2009. The Notice of Determination will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that imports of steel coils did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding customers of the subject firm.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration

and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of January 2010.

Elliott S. Kushner,

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance*.

[FR Doc. 2010–1892 Filed 1–29–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–64,453]

#### ThyssenKrupp Crankshaft Company, LLC, Fostoria Machining, a Subsidiary of ThyssenKrupp AG Including On-Site Leased Workers From Kelly Services, Manpower Temporary Agency, Express Personnel and Trillium Fostoria, OH; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on January 23, 2009, applicable to workers of ThyssenKrupp Crankshaft Company, LLC, a subsidiary of ThyssenKrupp AG, Fostoria, Ohio. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 10, 2009 (74 FR 6653).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in activities related to the production of crankshafts.

New information shows that workers leased from Kelly Services, Manpower Temporary Agency, Express Personnel and Trillium were employed on-site by the Fostoria, Ohio location of ThyssenKrupp Crankshaft Company, LLC. The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently