

peninsula, as well as adjacent nearshore waters of the Pacific Ocean. SSTC-S consists of four oceanside beach lanes, four boat training lanes, and inland training areas and facilities inside a fenced area. SSTC-N and SSTC-S are separated by the Silver Strand State Beach. A training area located in the southern near shore area of NASNI, separate from SSTC, is used for similar types of training, and is also part of the DEIS. This NASNI training area is composed of the beaches and near shore waters from Breakers Beach to Zuniga Jetty, west of the city of Coronado. The Navy is not proposing to expand the geographic area of SSTC or the NASNI training area.

The purpose of the proposed action is to improve the availability and quality of training opportunities at SSTC to achieve required levels of operational readiness. The proposed action is needed to provide a training environment consisting of training areas and range facilities with the capacity and capabilities to fully support required training tasks for operational units and military schools and meet the operational readiness requirements of Title 10, Section 5062 of the United States Code (10 U.S.C. 5062).

Accordingly, the proposed action will meet training requirements by: (1) Continuing current training and increasing the number of existing training activities and introduce new training activities and platforms in support of Fleet Response Training Plan (FRTP) and surge requirements; (2) providing assured year-round access and unencumbered use of training areas to meet current and future training needs per the Navy Tactical Task List; and (3) providing a training range and training facilities that afford operational commands the flexibility to achieve diverse and realistic training at SSTC.

Under the No Action Alternative, training activities would continue at baseline levels. SSTC would not accommodate an increase in training tempo or type required to execute the FRTP or introduce new platforms or equipment into training on SSTC. Under the No Action Alternative, the Navy would not accommodate training requirements for repositioned forces in the Southern California area. In addition, under the No Action Alternative, training access restrictions, including seasonal restrictions on SSTC beach lanes and in inland areas of SSTC-S would remain unchanged.

Alternative 1, the Navy's preferred alternative, is designed to meet Navy and DoD current and near-term operational training requirements. Under Alternative 1, the Navy would

increase the tempo and types of training, conduct existing routine training at additional locations within established SSTC training areas, introduce new platforms and equipment into training, and increase access and availability to SSTC training areas. New platforms and equipment would include replacement of Amphibious Assault Vehicles with Expeditionary Fighting Vehicles, an updated Offshore Petroleum Discharge System, and the MH-60R/S Seahawk Multi-Mission helicopter. Access and availability to SSTC training areas would be increased in three ways: (1) SSTC-N oceanside beach training lanes Blue 2, Orange 1 and Orange 2 (that are currently restricted during the nesting season) would be opened for training during the nesting season if other lanes are not available or if the lanes provide attributes more appropriate for training than other available lanes; (2) a maximum of 22 concurrent western snowy plover nests would be buffered for avoidance on SSTC oceanside beaches; and (3) training involving foot traffic, but not vehicle traffic, would be allowed in the vernal pools when vernal pool conditions are determined to be dry.

Alternative 2 consists of all elements of Alternative 1. Alternative 2 includes the same increase in the number and types of training activities over No Action Alternative levels, training in additional locations within established SSTC training areas, and introduction of new platforms and equipment into training. In addition, under Alternative 2, the Navy would fully utilize all oceanside beach lanes along SSTC-N and SSTC-S for continuous, year-round training. The Navy would continue to conduct existing management practice on the SSTC training beaches including nest relocation, predator management and control, habitat modification, site preparation for maintenance, nest substrate enhancement, signage and education, recreational use restrictions, and rearing of collected eggs, injured and sick individuals.

The SSTC DEIS is posted online at <http://www.silverstrandtraining.complexeis.com> and available to Federal, State, and local agencies, elected officials, and other interested individuals and organizations. The public comment period will end on March 9, 2010. Copies of the DEIS are available for public review at the following libraries:

1. Coronado Public Library, 640 Orange Avenue, Coronado, CA; and
2. Imperial Beach Branch Library, 810 Imperial Beach Boulevard, Imperial Beach, CA.

Federal, State, and local agencies, elected officials, and interested individuals and organizations are invited to be present or represented at the public hearing. Written comments can also be submitted during the open house sessions preceding the public hearings.

Oral statements will be heard and transcribed by a court reporter. All statements, both oral and written, will become part of the public record on the DEIS and will be responded to in the Final EIS (FEIS). Equal weight will be given to both oral and written statements; however, to ensure the accuracy of the record, it is recommended that statements be submitted in writing. In the interest of available time, and to ensure all who wish to give an oral statement have the opportunity to do so, each speaker's comments will be limited to three (3) minutes. If you have prepared a written statement, you may read it out loud if you can do so within the three minute time limit, or you may turn it in at the public hearing or mail the statement to Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southwest, Attention: Mr. Kent Randall, Silver Strand Training Complex EIS Project Manager, 1220 Pacific Highway, Building 1, 5th Floor, San Diego, CA 92132. In addition, comments may be submitted online at <http://www.silverstrandtraining.complexeis.com> during the comment period. All written comments must be postmarked by March 9, 2010, to ensure they become part of the official record. All comments will be addressed in the FEIS.

Dated: January 22, 2010.

**A.M. Vallandingham,**

*Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2010-1768 Filed 1-27-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before March 29, 2010.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: January 25, 2010.

**James Hylar,**

*Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.*

### Federal Student Aid

*Type of Review:* Revision.

*Title:* Application To Participate in the Leveraging Educational Assistance and Partnership (LEAP), Special-LEAP, and Grants for Access and Persistence (GAP) Programs.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit; State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

### Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden

*Responses:* 56.

*Burden Hours:* 448.

*Abstract:* The officially designated educational agency in each of the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and four island jurisdictions use this form to apply annually to participate in the Leveraging Educational Assistance and Partnership (LEAP), Special Leveraging Educational Assistance and Partnership (SLEAP), and Grants for Access and Persistence (GAP) Programs. On this application the States provide information the Department requires to obligate funds and for program management.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 4210. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-401-0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 2010-1747 Filed 1-27-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Case No. RF-012]

### Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Publication of the Petition for Waiver and Notice of Granting the Application for Interim Waiver of Electrolux From the Department of Energy Residential Refrigerator and Refrigerator-Freezer Test Procedures

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of Petition for Waiver, Notice of Granting Application for Interim Waiver, and request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces receipt of and publishes the Electrolux Home Products, Inc. (Electrolux) petition for waiver (hereafter, "Petition") from specified portions of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) test procedure for determining the energy consumption of electric refrigerators and refrigerator-freezers. The waiver request pertains to Electrolux's product lines that utilize a control logic that changes the wattage of the anti-sweat heaters based upon the ambient relative humidity conditions to prevent condensation. The existing test procedure does not take humidity or adaptive control technology into account. Therefore, Electrolux has suggested an alternate test procedure that takes adaptive control technology into account when measuring energy consumption. DOE solicits comments, data, and information concerning Electrolux's Petition and the suggested alternate test procedure. DOE also publishes notice of the grant of an interim waiver to Electrolux.

**DATES:** DOE will accept comments, data, and information with respect to the Electrolux Petition until, but no later than March 1, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by case number "RF-012," by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *E-mail:*

[AS\\_Waiver\\_Requests@ee.doe.gov](mailto:AS_Waiver_Requests@ee.doe.gov).

Include either the case number [Case No. RF-012], and/or "Electrolux Petition" in the subject line of the message.

- *Mail:* Ms. Brenda Edwards, U.S. Department of Energy, Building Technologies Program, Mailstop EE-2/1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0121. Telephone: (202) 586-2945. Please submit one signed original paper copy.

- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* Ms. Brenda Edwards, U.S. Department of Energy, Building Technologies Program, 950 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Suite 600, Washington, DC 20024. Please submit one signed original paper copy.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to review the background documents relevant to this matter, you may visit the U.S. Department of Energy, 950 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., (Resource Room of the Building Technologies Program), Washington, DC, 20024; (202) 586-2945, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Available documents include the following items: (1) This notice; (2) public comments received; (3) the