subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(h) and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: January 12, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–1070 Filed 1–20–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Office of the Secretary

Federal Advisory Committee; Military Leadership Diversity Commission

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Charter modification.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Freeman, Deputy Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, 703–601–6128.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The six additional members include—(a) An active commissioned officer from the National Guard, and an active commissioned officer from the Reserves, each of whom serves or has served in a leadership position with either a Military Department command or combatant command; (b) a retired general or flag officer from the National Guard, and a retired general or flag officer from the Reserves; and (c) a retired noncommissioned officer from the National Guard, and a retired noncommissioned officer from the Reserves.

The additional members, as with the original members, shall be appointed for the life of the Commission. Any vacancy in the commission shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

With the exception of the representatives of the U.S. Coast Guard, the Secretary of Defense shall appoint the commission members. Commission members appointed by the Secretary of Defense, who are not full-time or permanent part-time employees of the federal government, shall be appointed as experts and consultants under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 3109, and these individuals shall serve as special government employees.

Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.105(j) and 102–3.140, the public or interested organizations are reminded that they may submit written statements to the Military Leadership Diversity Commission membership about the commission’s mission and functions. Written statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned meeting of the Military Leadership Diversity Commission.

All written statements shall be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer for the Military Leadership Diversity Commission, and this individual will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration. Contact information for the Military Leadership Diversity Commission’s Designated Federal Officer, once appointed, may be obtained from the GSA’s FACA Database—https://www.fido.gov/facadatabase/public.asp.

The Designated Federal Officer, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150, will announce planned meetings of the Military Leadership Diversity Commission. The Designated Federal Officer, at that time, may provide additional guidance on the submission of written statements that are in response to the stated agenda for the planned meeting in question.


Mitchell S. Bryman,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2010–1046 Filed 1–20–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Innovation and Improvement; Notice Extending the Deadline Date for Transmittal of Applications for the Excellence in Economic Education Program Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Competition

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.215B.

SUMMARY: On December 21, 2009, we published in the Federal Register (74 FR 67862) a notice inviting applications for the Excellence in Economic Education program’s FY 2010 competition. The original notice established a February 16, 2010, deadline date for eligible applicants to apply for funding under this program. For this competition, applicants are required to submit their applications electronically through the Department’s Electronic Grant Application System (e-Application). However, e-Application will not be available to users beginning Wednesday, February 10, 2010 at 3:00 p.m. until 6:00 a.m. Tuesday, February 16, 2010. During this time the Department will transition the e-application process from the current system (GAPS) to a new system. Because e-Application will be unavailable for several days prior to the original deadline date, we are extending the deadline date for transmittal of applications for the Excellence in Economic Education program FY 2010 competition.


(Aplications must be received by e-Application no later than 4:30:00 p.m., Washington, DC time.)

Note: Applications for grants under this competition must be submitted electronically using the Electronic Grant Application System (e-Application) accessible through the Department’s e-Grants site. You may not e-mail an electronic copy of a grant application to us. For information about how to submit your application electronically, please refer to section IV. 6. Other Submission Requirements in the December 21, 2009 notice (74 FR 67863). We have not extended the deadline for submitting a statement that an applicant qualifies for an exception to the electronic submission requirement.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: The deadline date for Intergovernmental Review under Executive Order 12732 is extended to April 21, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carolyn Warren, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 4W209, Washington, DC 20202–5900. Telephone: (202) 401–5443 or by e-mail: carolyn.warren@ed.gov. If you use a telecommunications device, you may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the program contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT in section VII of the notice.

Electronic Access to This Document: You can view this document, as well as
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Innovation and Improvement; Overview Information; Teaching American History Grant Program; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2010
Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Number: 84.215X.


James H. Shelton, III,
Assistant Deputy Secretary for Innovation and Improvement.

[FR Doc. 2010–1081 Filed 1–20–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

I. Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose of Program: The Teaching American History Grant (TAH) Program supports projects that aim to raise student achievement by improving teachers’ knowledge, understanding, and appreciation of traditional American history. Grant awards assist local educational agencies (LEAs), in partnership with entities that have extensive content expertise, in developing, implementing, documenting, evaluating, and disseminating innovative, cohesive models of professional development. By helping teachers to develop a deeper understanding and appreciation of traditional American history as a separate subject within the core curriculum, these programs are intended to improve instruction and raise student achievement.

Priorities: This competition includes one absolute priority and two invitational priorities that are explained in the following paragraphs. Absolute Priority: In accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(iv), this priority is from section 2351 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended (ESEA) (20 U.S.C. 6721(b)). For FY 2010 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applicants from this competition, this priority is an absolute priority. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(3) we consider only applications that meet this priority.

This priority is: Partnerships With Other Agencies or Institutions.

Each applicant LEA must propose to work in partnership with one or more of the following:

- An institution of higher education.
- A non-profit history or humanities organization.
- A library or museum.

Invitational Priorities: For FY 2010 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applicants from this competition, these priorities are invitational priorities. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(1) we do not give an application that meets these invitational priorities a competitive or absolute priority over other applications.

These priorities are:

1. Applications that provide for the development and dissemination of grant products and results through Open Educational Resources (OER). OER are teaching, learning, and research resources that reside in the public domain or have been released under an intellectual property license that permits their free use or repurposing by others. This invitational priority encourages applications that describe how the applicants will make their TAH grant products and resources freely available online, in an effort to share traditional American history content, proven teaching strategies, and lessons learned in implementing TAH projects with the wider community of history educators.

2. Applications that provide for the collection and use of student work and achievement data. This invitational priority encourages projects that collect and use student work and achievement data to assess the impact of teacher participation on student learning and for continuous program improvement.

Note: A goal of this program is to improve the quality of instruction of traditional American history in K–12 schools. Our purpose for establishing this priority is to support the collection and use of student work and achievement data that demonstrate increased or improved knowledge and understanding of traditional American history content by participating teachers and their students. The applicant is encouraged to address how its proposed professional development strategy will significantly improve both history teachers’ abilities to teach traditional American history content and student performance with regard to traditional American history.


II. Award Information

Type of Award: Discretionary grants.

Estimated Available Funds: $118,952,000.

We anticipate that initial awards under this competition will be made for a three-year (36 month) period.

Contingent upon the availability of funds and each grantee’s substantial progress towards accomplishing the goals and objectives of the project as described in its approved application, we may make continuation awards to grantees for the remaining 24 months of the program. Review of each grantee’s progress may include consideration of evidence of promising practice and strong evaluation design. Further, contingent upon the availability of funds and the quality of applications, we may make additional awards in FY 2011 from the list of unfunded applicants from this competition.

Maximum Award: The maximum award amount is from the notice of final selection criteria and