

For a period of 90 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed extension may present their views in writing to the BLM Wyoming State Director at the address noted above. Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the BLM Lander Field Office, 1335 Main Street, Lander, Wyoming, during regular business hours 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Notice is hereby given that one or more public meetings will be held in connection with the proposed withdrawal extension. All interested persons who desire a public meeting for the purpose of being heard on the proposed extension must submit a written request to the BLM Wyoming State Director within 90 days from the date of publication of this notice. A notice of the time and place of any public meetings will be published in the **Federal Register** and at least one local newspaper at least 30 days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

This withdrawal extension petition/application will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR 2310.4.

(Authority: 43 CFR 2310.3-1)

**Michael Madrid,**

*Chief, Branch of Fluid Mineral Operations, Lands and Appraisal.*

[FR Doc. 2010-93 Filed 1-7-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[AK-963-1410-ET; AA-5964, AA-3060, AA-5934]

#### Notice of Proposed Withdrawal Extension and Opportunity for Public Meeting; AK

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Forest Service has filed an application with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) that proposes to extend the duration of Public Land Order (PLO) No. 6884 for an additional 20-year period. This order withdrew approximately 1,855 acres of National Forest System land from surface entry and mining—but not from mineral leasing laws—to protect the recreational values of the Kenai River Recreation Area, the Russian River Campground Area, and the Lower Russian Lake Recreation Area. This notice gives an opportunity to comment on the proposed action and to request a public meeting.

**DATES:** Comments and requests for a public meeting must be received by April 8, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and meeting requests should be sent to the Alaska State Director, BLM Alaska State Office, 222 West 7th Avenue, No. 13, Anchorage, Alaska 99513-7504.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ramona Chinn, BLM Alaska State Office, 907-271-3806 or at the address above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The withdrawal, created by PLO No. 6884 (56 FR 49847, (1991)), will expire on October 1, 2011, unless extended. The USDA Forest Service has filed an application to extend the withdrawal for an additional 20-year period to protect the recreational values of the Kenai River Recreation Area, the Russian River Campground Area, and the Lower Russian Lake Recreation Area. This withdrawal comprises approximately 1,855 acres of National Forest System land located in the Chugach National Forest, within Tps. 4 and 5 N., R. 4 W., Seward Meridian, as described in PLO No. 6884, as corrected (56 FR 56275 (1991)).

A complete description, along with all other records pertaining to the extension application, can be examined in the BLM Alaska State Office at the address shown above.

As extended, the withdrawal would not alter the applicability of those public land laws governing the use of land under lease, license, or permit or governing the disposal of the mineral or vegetative resources other than under the mining laws.

The use of a right-of-way or interagency or cooperative agreement would not adequately protect the recreational values of the Kenai River Recreation Area, the Russian River Campground Area, and the Lower Russian Lake Recreation Area.

There are no suitable alternative sites available that could be substituted for the above described public land, since the Kenai River Recreation Area, the Russian River Campground Area, and the Lower Russian Lake Recreation Area are unique.

No water rights would be needed to fulfill the purpose of the requested withdrawal extension.

Records relating to the application may be found by contacting Ramona Chinn, BLM Alaska State Office at the address above.

For a period of 90 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal extension may present their views in writing to the BLM Alaska State Director at the address indicated above. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. Individual respondents may request confidentiality. If you wish to withhold your name or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Notice is hereby given that an opportunity for a public meeting is afforded in connection with the proposed withdrawal extension. All interested parties who desire a public meeting for the purpose of being heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request to the BLM Alaska State Director within 90 days from the date of publication of this notice. Upon determination by the authorized officer that a public meeting will be held, a notice of the time and place will be published in the **Federal Register** and in at least one local newspaper no less than 30 days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The withdrawal extension proposal will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR 2310.4 and subject to Section 810 of the

Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 3120.

**Authority:** 43 CFR 2310.3–1(b).

**Ramona Chinn,**

*Deputy State Director, Division of Alaska Lands.*

[FR Doc. 2010–94 Filed 1–7–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–JA–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1063, 1064, 1066–1068 (Review)]

### Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on frozen warmwater shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on frozen warmwater shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission;<sup>1</sup> to be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is February 3, 2010. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by March 19, 2010. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207), as most recently amended at 74 FR 2847 (January 16, 2009).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 4, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of

<sup>1</sup> No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117–0016/USITC No. 10–5–209, expiration date June 30, 2011. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436.

Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.** On February 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) issued antidumping duty orders on imports of frozen warmwater shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam (70 FR 5143–5156).<sup>2</sup> The Commission is conducting reviews to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full reviews or expedited reviews. The Commission's determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

**Definitions.** The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

(2) The *Subject Countries* in these reviews are Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam.

<sup>2</sup> Commerce has subsequently revoked the antidumping duty order on imports of frozen warmwater shrimp from Thailand with respect to certain manufacturer/exporters. 74 FR 5638 (January 30, 2009). On February 1, 2005, Commerce also issued an antidumping duty order on imports of frozen warmwater shrimp from Ecuador. Commerce subsequently revoked that order. 72 FR 48257 (August 23, 2007).

On May 5, 2005, the Commission instituted changed circumstances reviews pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act concerning its affirmative determinations on frozen warmwater shrimp from India and Thailand. 70 FR 23384 (May 5, 2005). In the changed circumstances reviews, it determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on subject imports from India and Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. 70 FR 71557 (November 29, 2005).

(3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original affirmative determinations, the Commission defined the *Domestic Like Product* to consist of fresh warmwater shrimp and prawns and those frozen warmwater shrimp and prawn products defined in Commerce's scope definition. Certain Commissioners defined the *Domestic Like Product* differently.

(4) The *Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic Like Product*, or those producers whose collective output of the *Domestic Like Product* constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original affirmative determinations, the Commission defined the *Domestic Industry* to consist of: (1) All entities that harvest fresh warmwater shrimp (*i.e.*, fishermen and shrimp farmers) and (2) all processors of frozen shrimp products within the scope definition except for firms that do not engage in sufficient production-related activities to be considered domestic producers.<sup>3</sup> In addition several producers were excluded by the Commission from the *Domestic Industry* pursuant to the related parties provision.

(5) The *Order Date* is the date that the antidumping duty orders under review became effective. In these reviews, the *Order Date* is February 1, 2005.

(6) An *Importer* is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the *Subject Merchandise* into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

**Participation in the reviews and public service list.** Persons, including industrial users of the *Subject Merchandise* and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the reviews as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11(b)(4) of the Commission's rules, no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing

<sup>3</sup> The Commission found that processing activities such as deheading, grading, machine peeling, deveining, and cooking all constitute domestic production but that marinating and skewering do not constitute domestic production. The Commission also concluded that breeding did not constitute domestic production activity because breaded shrimp was not part of the *Domestic Like Product*.