Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code (49 U.S.C.). Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority.

The FAA is authorized to issue this final rule pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Section 44701. Under that section, the FAA is authorized to establish regulations and minimum standards for other practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for air commerce and national security.

Background

On July 12, 2005, the FAA published Special Federal Aviation Regulation 106 (SFAR 106) entitled, “Use of Certain Portable Oxygen Concentrator Devices on Board Aircraft” (70 FR 40156). SFAR 106 is the result of a notice the FAA published in July 2004 (69 FR 42324) to address the needs of passengers who must travel with medical oxygen. Prior to publication of SFAR 106, passengers in need of medical oxygen during air transportation faced many obstacles when requesting service. Many aircraft operators did not provide medical oxygen service aboard flights, and those that did often provided service at a price that travelers could not afford.

Coordinating service between operators and suppliers at airports was also difficult, and passengers frequently chose not to fly because of these difficulties.

New medical oxygen technologies approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) reduce the risks typically associated with compressed oxygen and provide a safe alternative for passengers who need oxygen therapy. Several manufacturers have developed small portable oxygen concentrators (POC) that work by separating oxygen from nitrogen and other gases contained in ambient air and dispensing it in concentrated form to the user with an oxygen concentration of about 90%. The POCs operate using either rechargeable batteries or, if the aircraft operator obtains approval from the FAA, aircraft electrical power.

In addition, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) has determined that the POCs covered by this amendment are not hazardous materials. Thus, they do not require the same level of special handling as compressed oxygen, and are safe for use on board aircraft, provided certain conditions for their use are met.

SFAR 106 permits passengers to carry on and use certain POCs on board aircraft if the aircraft operator ensures that the conditions specified in the SFAR for their use are met. The devices initially determined acceptable for use in SFAR 106, published July 12, 2005, were the AirSep Corporation’s LifeStyle and the Inogen, Inc.’s Inogen One POCs. SFAR 106 was amended on September 12, 2006, (71 FR 53954) to add three additional POC devices, AirSep Corporation’s FreeStyle, SeQual Technologies’ Eclipse, and Repirronics Inc.’s EverGo, to the original SFAR. SFAR 106 was amended again on January 15, 2009, (74 FR 2351) in a similar manner to add two more POC devices, Delphi Medical Systems’ RS-00400 and Invacare Corporation’s XPO2, to the original SFAR. This final rule adds four additional POC devices, DeVilbiss Healthcare Inc.’s IGo, International Biophysics Corporation’s LifeChoice, Inogen Inc.’s Inogen One G2, and Oxlife LLC.’s Oxlife Independence Oxygen Concentrator, that may be carried on and used by a passenger on board an aircraft.

Air aircraft operators can now offer medical oxygen service as they did before SFAR 106 was enacted, or they can meet certain conditions and allow passengers to carry on and use one of the POC devices covered in SFAR 106. SFAR 106 is an enabling rule, which means that no aircraft operator is required to allow passengers to operate these POC devices on board its aircraft, but it may allow them to be operated on board. If one of these devices is allowed by the aircraft operator to be carried on board, the conditions in the SFAR must be met.

When SFAR 106 was published, the FAA committed to establishing a single standard for all POCs so that regulations wouldn’t apply to specific manufacturers and models of device. Whenever possible, the FAA tries to regulate by creating performance-based standards rather than approving by manufacturer. In the case of SFAR 106, the quickest and easiest way to serve both the passenger and the aircraft operator was to allow the use of the
devices determined to be acceptable by the FAA in SFAR 106 in a special, temporary regulation. As we stated in the preamble discussion of the final rule that established SFAR 106, “while we are committed to developing a performance-based standard for all future POC devices, we do not want to prematurely develop standards that have the effect of stifling new technology of which we are unaware.” We developed and published SFAR 106 so that passengers who otherwise could not fly could do so with an affordable alternative to what existed before SFAR 106 was published.

We continue to pursue the performance-based standard for all POCs. This process is time-consuming and we intend to publish a notice in the Federal Register and offer the public a chance to comment on the proposal when it is complete. In the meantime, manufacturers continue to create new and better POCs, and several have requested that their product also be included as an acceptable device in SFAR 106. These manufacturers include DeVilbiss Healthcare Inc., International Biophysics Corporation, Inogen Inc., and Oxlife LLC. Each of these companies has formally petitioned the FAA for inclusion in SFAR 106 by submitting documentation of the devices to the Department of Transportation’s Docket Management System. That documentation is available at http://www.regulations.gov under the following docket numbers:

3. Inogen Inc.—FAA–2009–0620; and

As stated in Section 2 of SFAR 106, no covered device may contain hazardous materials as determined by PHMSA (written documentation necessary), and each device must also be regulated by the FDA. Each petitioner included technical specifications for the devices in their request for approval, along with the required documentation from PHMSA and the FDA. The petitioners provided the FAA with the required documentation for the following POC devices:

1. DeVilbiss Healthcare Inc.’s iGo;
2. International Biophysics Corporation’s LifeChoice;
3. Inogen Inc.’s Inogen One G2; and

The Rule

This amendment to SFAR 106 will include the DeVilbiss Healthcare Inc.’s iGo, International Biophysics Corporation’s LifeChoice, Inogen Inc.’s Inogen One G2, and Oxlife LLC’s Oxlife Independence Oxygen Concentrator devices in the list of POC devices authorized for use in air commerce. The FAA has reviewed each individual device and accepted the documentation provided by the manufacturers. That documentation includes letters provided to the manufacturer by PHMSA and the FDA affirming the status of each device as it pertains to the requisites stated in SFAR 106.

After reviewing the applicable FDA safety standards and the PHMSA findings, these devices were determined by the FAA to be acceptable for use in air commerce.

Good Cause for Adoption of This Final Rule Without Notice and Comment

As stated above, SFAR 106 was published on July 12, 2005. We stated in the preamble of that final rule that the AirSep LifeStyle and Inogen One POC devices were the only known acceptable devices when the rule was published. We also stated in that final rule that “we cannot predict how future products may be developed and work.” We initiated a notice and comment period for the use of POC devices on board aircraft on July 14, 2004, (69 FR 42324) and responded to the comments received in response to that NPRM in the final rule published in 2005. Therefore, it is unnecessary to publish a notice to request comments on this amendment because all issues related to the use of POC devices on board an aircraft have already been discussed. Further notice and comment would also delay the acceptance of the DeVilbiss Healthcare Inc.’s iGo, International Biophysics Corporation’s LifeChoice, Inogen Inc.’s Inogen One G2, and Oxlife LLC’s Oxlife Independence Oxygen Concentrator POC devices as authorized for use on board aircraft, which would delay their availability for passengers in need of oxygen therapy.

Therefore, I find that notice and public comment under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest. I find that good cause exists for making this rule effective immediately upon publication.

International Compatibility

In keeping with U.S. obligations under the Convention on International Civil Aviation, it is FAA policy to comply with International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Standards and Recommended Practices to the maximum extent practicable. The FAA determines whether a device meets the applicable ICAO Standards and Recommended Practices that correspond to these regulations. I find that this action is fully consistent with my obligations under 49 U.S.C. 40105(b)(1)(A) to ensure that I exercise my duties consistently with the obligations of the United States under international agreements.

Paperwork Reduction Act

As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)), the FAA submitted a copy of the new information collection requirements in SFAR 106 to the Office of Management and Budget for its review. OMB approved the collection of this information and assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0702.

This final rule requires that if a passenger carries a POC device on board the aircraft with the intent to use it during the flight, he or she must inform the pilot in command of that flight. Additionally, the passenger who plans to use the device must provide a written statement signed by a licensed physician that verifies the passenger’s ability to operate the device, respond to any alarms, the extent to which the passenger must use the POC (all or a portion of the flight), and prescribes the maximum oxygen flow rate. Please note that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The Paperwork Reduction Act paragraph in the final rule that established SFAR 106 still applies to this amendment. The availability of four new POC devices will likely increase the availability and options for a passenger in need of oxygen therapy, but the paperwork burden discussed in the original final rule is unchanged. Therefore, the OMB Control Number associated with this collection remains 2120–0702.

Regulatory Evaluation, Regulatory Flexibility Determination, International Trade Impact Assessment, and Unfunded Mandates Assessment

Changes to Federal regulations must undergo several economic analyses. First, Executive Order 12866 directs that each Federal agency shall propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that the benefits of the intended regulation justify its costs. Second, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 96–39) requires agencies to analyze the economic impact of regulatory changes on small entities. Third, the Trade Agreements Act (Pub. L. 96–39) prohibits agencies from promulgating standards that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States. In
developing U.S. standards, this Trade Act requires agencies to consider international standards and, where appropriate, that they be the basis of U.S. standards. Fourth, the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4) requires agencies to prepare a written assessment of the costs, benefits, and other effects of proposed or final rules that include a Federal mandate likely to result in the expenditure by State, local, or Tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or more annually (adjusted for inflation with base year of 1995). This portion of the preamble summarizes the FAA’s analysis of the economic impacts of this final rule.

Department of Transportation Order DOT 2100.5 prescribes policies and procedures for simplification, analysis, and review of regulations. If the expected cost impact is so minimal that a proposed or final rule does not warrant a full evaluation, this order permits that a statement to that effect and the basis for it be included in the preamble of a full regulatory evaluation of the cost and benefits is not prepared. Such a determination has been made for this final rule. The reasoning for this determination follows:

This action amends Special Federal Aviation Regulation 106 (SFAR 106), Use of Certain Portable Oxygen Concentrator Devices On Board Aircraft, to allow for the use of the DeVilbiss Healthcare Inc.’s IGo, International Biophysics Corporation’s LifeChoice, Inogen Inc.’s Inogen One G2, and Oxlife Independent Oxygen Concentrator portable oxygen concentrator (POC) devices on board aircraft, provided certain conditions in the SFAR are met. This action is necessary to allow additional POC devices deemed acceptable by the FAA to be available to the traveling public in need of oxygen therapy, for use in air commerce. When this rule becomes effective, there will be a total of eleven different POC devices the FAA finds acceptable for use on board aircraft, and passengers will be able to carry these devices on board the aircraft and use them with the approval of the aircraft operator.

FAA has, therefore, determined that this final rule is not a “significant regulatory action” as defined in section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, and is not “significant” as defined in DOT’s Regulatory Policies and Procedures.

Regulatory Flexibility Determination

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 96–354) (RFA) establishes “as a principle of regulatory issuance that agencies shall endeavor, consistent with the objectives of the rule and of applicable statutes, to fit regulatory and informational requirements to the scale of the businesses, organizations, and governmental jurisdictions subject to regulation. To achieve this principle, agencies are required to solicit and consider flexible regulatory proposals and to explain the rationale for their actions to assure that such proposals are given serious consideration.” The RFA covers a wide-range of small entities, including small businesses, not-for-profit organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions.

Agencies must perform a review to determine whether a rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If the agency determines that it will, the agency must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis as described in the RFA.

However, if an agency determines that a rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, section 605(b) of the RFA provides that the head of the agency may so certify and a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required. The certification must include a statement providing the factual basis for this determination, and the reasoning should be clear.

This final rule amends the provisions of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4) to require that each Federal agency prepare a written assessment assessing the effects of any Federal mandate in a proposed or final agency rule that may result in an expenditure of $100 million or more in any one year by State, local, and Tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector; such a mandate is deemed to be a “significant regulatory action.” The FAA currently uses an inflation-adjusted value of $136.1 million in lieu of $100 million.

This final rule does not contain such a mandate; therefore, the requirements of Title II of the Act do not apply.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism

The FAA has analyzed this final rule under the principles and criteria of Executive Order 13132, Federalism. We determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, or the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, we have determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications.

Plain Language

In response to the June 1, 1998, Presidential Memorandum regarding the use of plain language, the FAA re-examined the writing style currently used in the development of regulations. The memorandum requires Federal agencies to communicate clearly with the public. We are interested in your comments on whether the style of this document is clear, and in any other suggestions you might have to improve the clarity of FAA communications that affect you. You can get more information about the Presidential memorandum and the plain language initiative at http://www.plainlanguage.gov.

Environmental Analysis

FAA Order 1050.1E identifies FAA actions that are categorically excluded from preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act in the absence of extraordinary circumstances. The FAA has determined this
rulemaking action qualifies for the
categorical exclusion identified in
paragraph 312f and involves no
extraordinary circumstances.

Regulations That Significantly Affect
Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

The FAA analyzed this final rule
under Executive Order 13211, Actions
Concerning Regulations that
Significantly Affect Energy Supply,
Distribution, or Use (May 18, 2001). We
have determined that it is not a
"significant energy action" under the
executive order because it is not a
"significant regulatory action" and it is
not likely to have a significant adverse
effect on the supply, distribution, or use
of energy.

Availability of Rulemaking Documents

You can get an electronic copy using
the Internet by:

(1) Searching the Federal
eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov;

(2) Visiting the FAA's Regulations and
Policies Web page at http://www.faa.gov/regulations/policies/;
or

(3) Accessing the Government

You can also get a copy by sending a
request to the Federal Aviation
Administration; (2) are also regulated by
the Food and Drug Administration; and
(3) assist a user of medical oxygen under
a doctor's care. These units perform by
separating oxygen from nitrogen and
dispensing it in concentrated form to
the user.

Section 3. Operating Requirements—
(a) No person may use and no aircraft
operator may allow the use of any
portable oxygen concentrator device,
except the AirSep FreeStyle, AirSep
LifeStyle, Delphi RS–00400, DeVilbiss
Healthcare iGo, Inogen One, Inogen One
G2, International Biophysics LifeChoice,
Invacare XPO100, Oxlife Independence
Oxygen Concentrator, Respironics
EverGo, and SeQual Eclipse Portable
Oxygen Concentrator medical device units
as long as those medical device units:
(1) Do not contain hazardous materials as
determined by the Pipeline and
Hazardous Materials Safety
Administration; (2) are also regulated by
the Food and Drug Administration; and
(3) assist a user of medical oxygen under
a doctor’s care. These units perform by
separating oxygen from nitrogen and
other gases contained in ambient air and
dispensing it in concentrated form to
the user.

Section 2. Definitions—For the
purposes of this SFAR the following
definitions apply: Portable Oxygen
Concentrator: means the AirSep
FreeStyle, AirSep LifeStyle, Delphi RS–
00400, DeVilbiss Healthcare iGo, Inogen
One, Inogen One G2, International
Biophysics LifeChoice, Invacare
XPO100, Oxlife Independence
Oxygen Concentrator, Respironics
EverGo, and SeQual Eclipse Portable
Oxygen Concentrator medical device units
as long as those medical device units:

Special Federal Aviation Regulation
106—Rules for Use of Portable Oxygen
Concentrator Systems on Board
Aircraft

PART 121—OPERATING
REQUIREMENTS: DOMESTIC, FLAG,
AND SUPPLEMENTAL OPERATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 121
continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 1153, 40101,
40102, 40103, 40113, 41721, 44105, 44106,
44111, 44701–44717, 44722, 44901, 44903,
44904, 44906, 44912, 44914, 44936, 44938,
46103, 46105.

2. Amend SFAR 106 by revising
sections 2 and 3(a) introductory text to
read as follows:

* * * * *

Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, as
follows:

* * * * *

3T is extended to December 31, 2010.
This document makes a
correction to that document.

DATES: Effective December 31, 2009. The
dates section for FR Doc. 2009–30877,
published on December 30, 2009 (74 FR
69099) is corrected to read "DATES: The
amendments in this document are
effective December 30, 2009 and the
expiration date for 17 CFR 275.206(3)–
3T is extended to December 31, 2010".

BILLS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 275

RIN 3235–AJ96

Temporary Rule Regarding Principal
Trades With Certain Advisory Clients

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange
Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: On December 30, 2009, the
Securities and Exchange Commission
published a Federal Register
document adopting as final Rule 206(3)–3T
under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940,
the interim final temporary rule that
establishes an alternative means for
investment advisers who are registered with
the Commission as broker-dealers to meet the
requirements of Section 206(3) of the Investment
Advisers Act when they act in a principal capacity
in transactions with certain of their
advisory clients. As adopted, the only
to the rule was the expiration
date in paragraph (d) of the section.

Rule 206(3)–3T will sunset on December
31, 2010. This document makes a
correction to that document.

DATES: Effective December 31, 2009. The
dates section for FR Doc. 2009–30877,
published on December 30, 2009 (74 FR
69099) is corrected to read "DATES: The
amendments in this document are
effective December 30, 2009 and the
expiration date for 17 CFR 275.206(3)–
3T is extended to December 31, 2010".

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Sarah A. Bessin, Assistant Director,
Daniel S. Kahl, Branch Chief, or
Matthew N. Goldin, Senior Counsel, at
(202) 551–6787 or IArules@sec.gov,
Office of Investment Adviser
Regulation, Division of Investment
Management, Securities and Exchange
Commission, 100 F Street, NE.,
Washington, DC 20549–5041.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
Securities and Exchange Commission is
correcting the dates section for FR Doc.
2009–30877, published on December 30,
2009 (74 FR 69099), to read "DATES: The
amendments in this document are
effective December 30, 2009 and the
expiration date for 17 CFR 275.206(3)–
3T is extended to December 31, 2010."