

- User conflicts associated with motorized/non-motorized recreation.
- Economic sustainability of road and trail system.
- Monitoring, maintenance and enforcement of appropriate use.
- Potential economic benefits to communities that rely on recreation-tourism.
- Potential impacts to adjacent land owners.
- Potential impacts to livestock and range improvements on permitted allotments.

Comment. Public comments about this proposal are requested in order to assist in identifying issues, determine how to best manage the resources, and to focus the analysis. Comments received to this notice, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered; however, those who submit anonymous comments will not have standing to appeal the subsequent decision under 36 CFR parts 215 and 217. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied, the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address within a specified number of days.

A draft EIS will be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and available for public review by May, 2010. The EPA will publish a Notice of Availability (NOA) of the draft EIS in the **Federal Register**. The final EIS is scheduled to be available August, 2010.

The comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of a draft EIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the

reviewer's position and contentions [*Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978)]. Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts [*City of Angoon v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980)]. Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft EIS of the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

In the final ETS, the Forest Service is required to respond to substantive comments received during the comment period for the draft EIS. The Forest Service is the lead agency and the responsible official is the Forest Supervisor, Ochoco National Forest. The responsible official will decide whether and how to change the existing motorized trail system on the Ochoco National Forest. The responsible official will also decide how to mitigate impacts of this action and will determine when and how monitoring of effects will take place.

The Ochoco Summit OHV Trail decision and the reasons for the decision will be documented in the record of decision. That decision will be subject to Forest Service Appeal Regulations (35 CFR Part 215).

Dated: November 12, 2009.

William R. Queen,

District Ranger.

[FR Doc. E9-27801 Filed 11-19-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-951]

Certain Woven Electric Blankets From the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 20, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Drew Jackson or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4406 or (202) 482-5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On July 20, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") initiated the antidumping duty investigation of Certain Woven Electric Blankets from the People's Republic of China. See *Certain Woven Electric Blankets From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation*, 74 FR 37001 (July 27, 2009) ("Initiation Notice"). The

Initiation Notice stated that, "[i]n accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Act, unless postponed, we will make our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of this initiation." Id. at 37004.

On November 5, 2009, the petitioner made a timely request pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(e) for a 50-day postponement of the preliminary determination in this investigation. The petitioner requested postponement of the preliminary determination because "the number of factors of production is usually high in this case and will require additional time to research and analyze". There are no compelling reasons to deny the petitioner's request. Therefore, the Department is postponing this preliminary determination under section 733 (c) (1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act") by 50 days from December 7, 2009 to January 26, 2010. The deadline for the final determination will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless extended.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(c) (2) and

777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: November 16, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-27932 Filed 11-19-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-849]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 20, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Demitri Kalogeropoulos, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2623.

Background

On August 10, 2009, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from the People’s Republic of China, covering the period November 1, 2007, through October 31, 2008. See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 39921 (August 10, 2009) (“Preliminary Results”). The final results are currently due no later than December 8, 2009.

Extension of Time Limits for Final Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department shall issue the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time period to a maximum of 180 days. Completion of the final results of this

review within the 120-day period is not practicable because the Department needs additional time to analyze and address complicated separate rate and affiliation issues for the final results. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, given the complexity of issues in this case, we are extending the time limit for completion of the final results by 60 days.

An extension of 60 days from the current deadline of December 8, 2009, would result in a new deadline of February 6, 2010. However, since February 6, 2010, falls on a Saturday, a non-business day, the final results will now be due no later than February 8, 2010, the next business day.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 16, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-27935 Filed 11-19-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-838]

Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerryd Freeman or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0180 or (202) 482-4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

At the request of interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 from India for the period December 1, 2007, through November 30, 2008. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 74 FR 5821 (February 2, 2009). On September 3, 2009, we extended the due date for the completion of the

preliminary results of review by 75 days. See *Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 45610 (September 3, 2009). The preliminary results of the review are currently due no later than November 16, 2009.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month. See also 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this administrative review by the current deadline of November 16, 2009, because we are in the process of analyzing the respondent’s recent response to our supplemental questionnaire. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 29 additional days until December 15, 2009. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: November 13, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-27934 Filed 11-19-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S