

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brandon Petelin, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8173.

Background

On July 1, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Saccharin from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 74 FR 31406 (July 1, 2009). On July 31, 2009, Shanghai Fortune Chemical Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Fortune"), a PRC producer and exporter of saccharin, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Shanghai Fortune's own exports. The Department then published in the **Federal Register** the initiation notice for the antidumping duty administrative review of Saccharin from the PRC for the period July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Request for Revocation in Part*, 74 FR 42873 (August 25, 2009).

Partial Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. On August 28, 2009, Shanghai Fortune timely withdrew its request for an administrative review of its own exports (*i.e.*, within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of this review). Because no other party requested a review of Shanghai Fortune's exports, the Department hereby rescinds the administrative review of saccharin with respect to Shanghai Fortune, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). This administrative review will continue with respect to Kaifeng Xinhua Fine Chemical Factory.

Assessment Rates

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For Shanghai Fortune, which had previously established eligibility for a separate rate, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of

estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice. For Kaifeng Xinhua Fine Chemical Factory and for those companies which do not have a separate rate at this time (and thus remain part of the PRC-wide entity), the Department will issue assessment instructions upon the completion of this administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's assumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: October 26, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-26324 Filed 11-2-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-427-001]

Sorbitol from France: Final Results of Expedited Five-year (Sunset) Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of domestic interested party, and the lack of a response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day)

sunset review of this antidumping duty order. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels identified below in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 3, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: David Cordell, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, or Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0408, or (202) 482-1391, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On July 1, 2009, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 74 FR 31412 (July 1, 2009). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from one domestic interested party, Archer Daniels Midland Company (ADM) within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations.¹ A second domestic interested party, Corn Products International (Corn Products) filed its intent to participate on July 22, 2009, a week after the regulatory deadline. Further, Corn Products filed comments on July 31, 2009. The Department rejected Corn Products' filings as untimely on August 11, 2009. See Letter to Corn Products, dated August 11, 2009.

ADM claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as U.S. producers of the subject merchandise. On July 31, 2009, the Department received complete substantive responses from ADM within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(ii). However, the Department received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties.² As a result, pursuant to section

¹ Counsel for ADM notified the Department by telephone that ADM intended to participate in this proceeding and requested that ADM be permitted to file its notification one day after the regulatory deadline of July 16, 2009. The Department acceded to this request and accepted ADM's notice of intent, filed on July 17, 2009. See Memorandum to the file from Dana S. Mermelstein dated July 21, 2009.

² Roquette Freres, a respondent interested party, made a submission to the Department on August 17, 2009, containing comments on the notices of intent to participate by domestic interested parties. See Issues and Decision Memorandum for details. ADM

751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted an expedited sunset review of this order.

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by this order are shipments of crystalline sorbitol (sorbitol), a polyol produced by the hydrogenation of sugars (glucose), used in the production of sugarless gum, candy, groceries, and pharmaceuticals. The above-described sorbitol is classified under HTS subheading 2905.44.00. The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and for customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this case are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" from Richard Weible, Director Office 7 to John M. Andersen, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, dated October 28, 2009, (Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the order was revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room 1117 of the main Department building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Reviews

The Department has determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Roquette Freres	2.90 percent
All Others	2.90 percent

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the

filed comments in response to Roquette Freres on August 18, 2009 and on September 1, 2009, ADM filed comments on the Adequacy of Responses and Appropriateness of an Expedited Review.

return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 28, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-26427 Filed 11-2-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-888]

Floor-Standing Metal-Top Ironing Tables and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Five-year (Sunset) Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on floor-standing metal-top ironing tables and parts thereof (ironing tables) from the People's Republic of China (the PRC) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this antidumping duty order. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels identified below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 3, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: David Cordell, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, or Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0408, or (202) 482-1391, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2009, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on ironing tables from the PRC pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year (Sunset) Reviews*, 74 FR 31412 (July 1, 2009). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from one domestic interested party, Home Products International (HPI), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. HPI claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a domestic producer of the domestic like product. We received a complete substantive response from HPI within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).

The Department also received a substantive response from one respondent party, Since Hardware (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. (Since Hardware) within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). On August 5, 2009 HPI submitted rebuttal comments to Since Hardware's substantive response. On August 19, 2009 the Department determined Since Hardware's substantive response to be inadequate because it failed to meet certain requirements in 19 CFR 351.218(c)(1)(ii)(A) and (d)(3)(iii)(E). For a more detailed discussion of the Department's determination regarding Since Hardware's substantive response, please see the Memorandum to the File, "Adequacy Determination of Respondent's Substantive Comments: Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Floor-Standing Metal-Top Ironing Tables and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China (PRC)" dated August 19, 2009. As a result of the foregoing, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this order pursuant to section 751(C)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(c)(2).

Scope of the Order

For purposes of the order, the product covered consists of floor-standing, metal-top ironing tables, assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete, and certain parts thereof. The subject tables are designed and used principally for the hand ironing or pressing of garments or other articles of fabric. The subject tables have full-height leg assemblies that support the ironing surface at an appropriate (often adjustable) height above the floor. The subject tables are produced in a variety of leg finishes, such as painted, plated, or matte, and they are available with