

order on barium chloride from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 5, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 5, 2009, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (74 FR 31757, July 2, 2009) was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting a full review.¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

¹ Commissioners Charlotte R. Lane, Irving A. Williamson, and Dean A. Pinkert found that no other circumstances warranted conducting a full review and voted for an expedited review.

Issued: October 14, 2009.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–25246 Filed 10–20–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–394–A & 399–A (Second Review) (Remand)]

Ball Bearings From Japan and the United Kingdom

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of remand proceedings.

SUMMARY: The U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) hereby gives notice of its second remand proceedings with respect to its affirmative determinations in the five-year reviews of the antidumping orders on ball bearings from Japan and the United Kingdom. For further information concerning the conduct of this proceeding and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 14, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James McClure, Office of Investigations, telephone 202–205–3191, or David Goldfine, Office of General Counsel, telephone 202–708–5452, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—In June 2006, the Commission unanimously determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonable foreseeable time. The Commission's determinations for Japan and the United Kingdom were appealed to the Court of International Trade (the “Court”). On September 9,

2008, the Court issued a decision remanding the matter to the Commission for further proceedings. *NSK v. United States*, Slip Op. 08–95 (Ct. Int'l Trade, Sept. 9, 2008) (*NSK I*). In its opinion, the Court issued an order instructing the Commission to (1) “[C]onduct a *Bratsk* analysis of non-subject imports as outlined in this opinion;” (2) “reassess supply conditions within the domestic industry;” *i.e.*, the industry's restructuring efforts during the period of review, and (3) “reexamine its findings with regard to likely impact and its decision to cumulate imports from the United Kingdom in light of changes in its determinations that may result as a consequence of the foregoing remand instructions.”

On October 8, 2008, in accordance with the Court's order, the Commission initiated remand proceedings in the above-captioned reviews. The notice of initiation for the remand proceeding was published in the **Federal Register** at 73 FR 63217 (Oct. 20, 2008). The Commission re-opened its record to obtain information to conduct a *Bratsk* analysis of non-subject imports as outlined in the Court's opinion. The Commission also permitted parties to file comments pertaining to the specific issues that are the subject of the Court's remand instructions and to comment on the new information obtained on remand. *Id.*

On October 9, 2008, the Commission filed a motion for reconsideration with the Court. In the motion, the Commission requested that the Court reconsider its decision in light of the Federal Circuit's decision, *Mittal Steel Point Lisas Limited v. United States*, Court No. 2007–1552 (September 18, 2008) (*Mittal*). In its motion, the Commission also requested that the CIT issue a stay of the remand proceeding pending the Court's disposition of the Commission's motion for reconsideration. Defendant-Intervenor The Timken Company (“Timken”) filed a similar motion for reconsideration and a motion to stay the remand proceeding.

On October 29, 2008, the CIT granted the requests of the Commission and Timken to stay the Commission's remand proceeding pending its reconsideration of the Commission's and Timken's motions for reconsideration. Accordingly, the Commission stayed its remand proceeding on November 17, 2008 pending the Court's ruling on the motions for reconsideration. On December 29, 2008, the Court denied the motions for reconsideration by the Commission and Timken. *NSK Corp. et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 08–145

(Dec. 29, 2008) (*NSK II*). Accordingly, on February 6, 2009, the Commission published a notice that it was resuming its remand proceeding. The Commission provided parties with an opportunity to file comments on the Court's remand instructions and the evidence obtained on remand, and directed that they be filed by March 23, 2009. 74 FR 6174.

The Commission also prepared a supplemental staff report regarding non-subject producer questionnaire information gathered in the remand proceeding. On March 23, 2009, comments on the remand were filed by petitioner The Timken Company, and the Japanese and United Kingdom respondents JTEKT Corp., Koyo Corp. of U.S.A., NSK Corporation, NSK Ltd., and NSK Europe Ltd. On May 4, 2009, the Commission issued its remand determinations in *Ball Bearings from Japan and the United Kingdom*, 731-TA-394A & 399A, (Second Review) (Remand), USITC Pub. 4082 (May 2009). By unanimous vote, the Commission again determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom would likely result in continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

On August 31, 2009, the CIT issued an opinion in *NSK Corp. et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 09-91 (*NSK III*), again remanding the Commission's affirmative determinations in *Certain Bearings and Parts Thereof from Japan and the United Kingdom*, Inv. Nos. 731-TA-394-A & 399-A (Second Review) (Remand), USITC Pub. 4082 (May 2009). In *NSK III*, the Court has remanded the same three issues which it previously remanded for further explanation in *NSK I* and *NSK II*. First, the Court remanded the Commission's analysis of non-subject imports, with instructions to "to determine whether, in light of the significant presence of non-subject imports, the subject imports are more than a mere minimal or tangential factor in the material injury to the domestic industry that is likely to continue or recur in the absence of the antidumping duty order." *NSK III* at 29. Second, the Court directed the Commission to "provide a more careful and reasoned explanation of (1) the large scale restructuring within the ball bearing industry and (2) the significant rise in non-subject imports in the U.S. market" as part of its cumulation analysis of the subject imports from the United Kingdom. *Id.* Third, the Court directed the Commission to "revisit its determination on the vulnerability of the domestic market and the likely

impact of subject imports on the domestic market." *Id.* at 30.

The Court has ordered the Commission to file its remand determination with the Court by January 5, 2010.

Participation in the proceeding.—Only those persons who were interested parties to the reviews (*i.e.*, persons listed on the Commission Secretary's service list) and parties to the appeal may participate in the remand proceeding. Such persons need not make any additional filings with the Commission to participate in the remand proceeding, unless they are adding new individuals to the list of persons entitled to receive business proprietary information under administrative protective order. Business proprietary information ("BPI") referred to during the remand proceeding will be governed, as appropriate, by the administrative protective order issued in the reviews.

Written submissions.—The Commission is not re-opening the record in this remand proceeding. The Commission will permit the parties to file comments pertaining to the specific issues that are the subject of the Court's remand instructions and, in this regard, may comment on the new information obtained on remand. Comments should be limited to no more than fifteen (15) double-spaced and single-sided pages of textual material. No appendices or other attachments are allowed. The parties may not themselves submit any new factual information in their comments and may not address any issue other than those that are the subject of the Court's remand instructions. Any such comments must be filed with the Commission no later than October 23, 2009.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (Nov. 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Parties are also advised to consult with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207) for provisions of general applicability concerning written submissions to the Commission.

Issued: October 14, 2009.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9-25244 Filed 10-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Summary of Commission Practice Relating to Administrative Protective Orders

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Summary of Commission practice relating to administrative protective orders.

SUMMARY: Since February 1991, the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has issued an annual report on the status of its practice with respect to violations of its administrative protective orders ("APOs") in investigations under Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 in response to a direction contained in the Conference Report to the Customs and Trade Act of 1990. Over time, the Commission has added to its report discussions of APO breaches in Commission proceedings other than under Title VII and violations of the Commission's rules including the rule on bracketing business proprietary information ("BPI") (the "24-hour rule"), 19 CFR 207.3(c). This notice provides a summary of investigations completed during calendar year 2008 of breaches in proceedings under Title VII, section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 and section 421 of the Trade Act of 1974. In addition, there is a summary of rules violation investigations completed in 2008. The Commission intends that this report inform representatives of parties to Commission proceedings as to some specific types of APO breaches and rules violations encountered by the Commission and the corresponding types of actions the Commission has taken.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol McCue Verratti, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-3088. Hearing impaired individuals