

production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005. 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issues under review. The submissions should be concise and thoroughly referenced to the record in this investigation. Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainant is further requested to provide the expiration date of the '751 patent and state the HTSUS number under which the accused articles are imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on September 25, 2009. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on October 2, 2009. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such

treatment. See section 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42–.46 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42–.46).

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

Issued: September 14, 2009.

William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–22381 Filed 9–16–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 10, 2009, a proposed Consent Judgment in *United States v. Genesco Inc.* No. CV–09–3917, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

The proposed Consent Judgment resolves certain claims of the United States, on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.*, in connection with the Fulton Avenue Superfund Site located in and around the Village of Garden City Park in Nassau County, New York ("Site"), against defendant Genesco Inc. ("Genesco"). The proposed Consent Judgment requires Genesco to implement the interim groundwater extraction and treatment remedy contained in EPA's September 28, 2007 First Operable Unit ("OU1") Record of Decision ("ROD") for the Site.

The proposed Consent Judgment provides that Genesco is entitled to contribution protection as provided by section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9613(f)(2) for matters addressed by the settlement.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Judgment. Comments should be addressed to the

Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to: *United States v. Genesco Inc.*, No. CV–09–3917, DOJ Ref. No. 90–11–2–09329.

The proposed Consent Judgment may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of New York, 610 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722–4454. During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Judgment may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the proposed Consent Judgment may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$46.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost), payable to the U.S. Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. E9–22350 Filed 9–16–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Pistoia Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on August 19, 2009, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Pistoia Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, H. Lundbeck A/S, Valby, Denmark; UPCO, Woking, United Kingdom; Rescentris, Columbus, OH; F. Hoffmann-La Roche LTD, Basel, Switzerland; Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ; KNIME.com GmbH,

Zurich, Switzerland; and DeltaSoft, Hillsborough, NJ have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Pistoia Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 28, 2009, Pistoia Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 15, 2009 (74 FR 34364–01).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. E9–22312 Filed 9–16–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

**Antitrust Division; Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—
Telemanagement Forum**

Notice is hereby given that, on July 22, 2009, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), TeleManagement Forum (“the Forum”) filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, 2operate ApS, Aalborg Ce, DENMARK; Access Commerce, Labège Cedex, FRANCE; Active Broadband Networks, Waltham, MA; Aijeel Aijadeed for Technology, Tripoli, LIBYA; Almadar Aljadid, Tripoli, LIBYA; ATG, REPUBLIC OF IRELAND; Atheeb Intergraph Saudi Co., Riyadh, SAUDI ARABIA; Boeing Company, Auburn, WA; Bridgewater Systems Corporation, Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA; BSM impact Limited, Reading, Berkshire, UNITED KINGDOM; Buddha Software, Palo Alto, CA; CircuitVision, Tampa, FL; ClassTel, Moscow, RUSSIA; Cotton Management Consulting, Friday Harbor, WA; CYTA (Cyprus Telecommunications Authority), Nicosia, CYPRUS; Directorate for Emergency Communication, Oslo, NORWAY; DUXDILIGENS, S.A. DE C.V., Ciudad de Mexico, Distrito Federal, MEXICO; EHF

Consultoria, Santa Rita do Sapucaí, MG, BRAZIL; EJADA, Riyadh, SAUDI ARABIA; EMGS Group, Riyadh, SAUDI ARABIA; Etiya Information Technologies, Kustepe Sisli, Istanbul, TURKEY; FTS, Herzliya, ISRAEL; Fundação Para Inovações Tecnológicas FITec, Recife, PE, BRAZIL; GenerationE Technologies, San Clemente, CA; GMS Consulting, Lisbon, PORTUGAL; Guavus, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Hollywood Mobile, Hollywood, CA; ICCE Systems, Cary, NC; IDS Scheer AG, Saarbrücken, GERMANY; iLink Systems, Redmond, WA; Incognito Software, Inc., Vancouver, British Columbia, CANADA; Inswitch Solutions, Miami, FL; IPLAN Networks, Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA; IXIA, Calabasa, ROMANIA; JSMN Inc, Cobh, Co. Cork, REPUBLIC OF IRELAND; Kara Consulting, ICT Solutions, Istanbul, TURKEY; Kron Telekomunikasyon A.S., Kavacak Istanbul, TURKEY; Libya for Telecom and Technology, Tripoli, LIBYA; Libyan International Telecommunication Company, Tripoli, LIBYA; Libyan Post, Telecommunication and Information Technology Co., Zawia St., Tripoli, LIBYA; Libyana for Mobile Phones, Tripoli, LIBYA; Macquarie Telecom, Pty. Ltd., Sydney, NSW, AUSTRALIA; Mint Systems Limited, Brighton, UNITED KINGDOM; Mobitel, d.d., Ljubljana, SLOVENIA; Multimedios Redes, Monterrey, MEXICO; Neoris, San Pedro Garza Garcia, MEXICO; Netezza Corporation, Marlborough, MA; Netformx, Inc., Santa Clara, CA; NetXForge, Amsterdam, THE NETHERLANDS; NORDUnet A/S, Kastrup, DENMARK; N–Pulse AG, Heppenheim, GERMANY; NuaTel, Cork, IRELAND; Objective Technologies SA, Athens, GREECE; Peoples Friendship University of Russia, Moscow, RUSSIA; Platinion GmbH, Koln, GERMANY; PT Bakrie Telecom, Jakarta, Selatan, INDONESIA; Revenue Assurance Consulting, Borehamwood, Hertfordshire, UNITED KINGDOM; Scartel Star Lab Ltd., St. Petersburg, RUSSIA; Seavus AS, Malmo, SWEDEN; Singer TC GmbH, Duesseldorf, GERMANY; SkyTerra Communications, Reston, VA; Smartlabs, Moscow, RUSSIA; Specinova Sistemi d.o.o., Ljubljana, SLOVENIA; Striata (Australia) Pty Ltd, Sydney, NSW, AUSTRALIA; Suntech Intelligent Solutions, Florianopolis, Santa Catarina, BRAZIL; Taseon, San Jose, CA; TDS Telecom, Chicago, IL; Tejas Networks Ltd, Bangalore, INDIA; The Business Realignment Company Ltd, Reading, Berkshire, UNITED KINGDOM; The Value Management Company, Caguas,

PUERTO RICO; TouK sp. z o.o., Warszawa, POLAND; TRA, Manama, BAHRAIN; Transverse, Austin, TX; TNet A.S. (Turkish TelLekom), Sisli/ISTANBUL, TURKEY; Twinsec GmbH, Koln, GERMANY; University of Deusto—Deusto Technology Foundation, Bilbao, SPAIN; University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, GERMANY; VOIPFUTURE, Hamburg, GERMANY; Wataniya Télécom Algérie S.P.A, Alger, ALGERIA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, 3G CLUB (Communication Leaders United Board), Taipei, TAIWAN; Acuma Solutions Limited, Manchester, UNITED KINGDOM; Adveotnet, Inc., Pleasanton, CA; Ahaluna, Rome, ITALY; Anglo African Outsourcing Ltd, Quatre Bornes, Plaine Wilhems, MAURITIUS; Asidua Limited, Belfast, UNITED KINGDOM; Auspice Corporation, Waltham, MA; Axiom Systems Limited, Reading, Berkshire, UNITED KINGDOM; Bakcell LID, Baku, AZERBAIJAN; BEA Systems, Inc, Carmel Valley, CA; Beshara Group, Salmiyah, KUWAIT; Business Consulting Network, Santiago, CHILE; Cable & Wireless, Bracknell, Berkshire, UNITED KINGDOM; Central Research Instit. of Electrical Power Industry, Komae-Shi, Tokyo, JAPAN; Ceon Corporation, Redwood, CA; Codecentric GmbH, Solingen, GERMANY; CommProve Ltd, Dublin, REPUBLIC OF IRELAND; CTI—Communications. Technology. Innovations, Moscow, RUSSIA; CyberAccess, Inc., Chagrin Falls, OH; DataSynapse Inc., New York, NY; Datentechnik Austria GmbH & Co KG, Wien, AUSTRIA; EMBARQ, Overland Park, KS; Emnico Technologies Ltd, Westlea, Swindon, UNITED KINGDOM; Enterprise Architecture Consulting, Stadhampton, Oxfordshire, UNITED KINGDOM; Evidian, Los Claves Sous Bois, FRANCE; FLAG Telecom, West Drayton, Middlesex, UNITED KINGDOM; Forthnet S.A., Kallithea, Attica, GREECE; Fusion Business Solutions, Hounslow, Middlesex, UNITED KINGDOM; Globus Consulting, Javea, Alicante, SPAIN; Grant Thornton Consulting Company Limited, Bangkok, THAILAND; Gridpoint Systems, Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA; GTA Consulting, Outremont, Quebec, CANADA; HTK Ltd, Ipswich, UNITED KINGDOM; IBS Consulting Group, Philadelphia, PA; Icesolutions, Ljubljana, SLOVENIA; IneoQuest Technologies, Inc, Mansfield, MA; Infotech Enterprises Europe, London, UNITED KINGDOM; Ingenium Technology, Monza, Milano, ITALY; Intec Telecom Systems PLC, Woking, Surrey, UNITED KINGDOM; Iowa