Through the Listserv and Web page, FSIS is able to provide information to a much broader and more diverse audience. In addition, FSIS offers an electronic mail subscription service which provides automatic and customized access to selected food safety news and information. This service is available at http:// www.fsis.usda.gov/news_and_events/ email_subscription/. Options range from recalls to export information to regulations, directives and notices. Customers can add or delete subscriptions themselves, and have the option to password protect their accounts.

Done at Washington, DC, on August 5, 2009.

Karen Stuck,
U.S. Manager for Codex Alimentarius.
[FR Doc. E9–19117 Filed 8–10–09; 8:45 am]
Estimated Total Annual Non-hour Responder Cost Burden: $920,959 per year.

There are no maintenance costs associated with this collection. The USPTO provides free software for creating and validating the format of sequence listings prior to submission. However, this collection does have annual (non-hour) costs in the form of fees, capital start-up costs, recordkeeping costs, and postage costs.

There is no separate filing fee for submitting a sequence listing as part of a U.S. patent application. While there is also no filing fee for a sequence listing filed in an international application, the basic international filing fee only covers the first 30 pages of the application. As a result, there is a $13 fee per page that is added to the international filing fee for each page over 30 pages. The average length of a paper sequence listing in an international application is 150 pages, which would carry an additional fee of $1,950 if the international application were already at least 30 pages long without the listing. The USPTO estimates that approximately 380 of the 3,450 paper sequence listings submitted per year will be for international applications, for a total of $741,000 per year in page fees. There are no page fees for sequence listings that are submitted via EFS-Web in the proper text format.

Under 37 CFR 1.16(s) and 1.492(j), both U.S. and international patent applications that include lengthy paper sequence listings may be subject to an application size fee. For applications with paper sequences listings that exceed 100 pages, the application size fee is $270 (or $135 for small entities) for each additional 50 pages or fraction thereof. The USPTO estimates that approximately 120 applications with long paper sequence listings from large entities will incur an average application size fee of $810, and approximately 95 applications with long paper sequence listings from small entities will incur an average application size fee of $405, for a total of $1,355,675 per year. Therefore, this collection has a total of $876,675 in fees per year.

There are capital start-up costs associated with submitting sequence listings and CRF copies to the USPTO on CD. Applicants who submit sequence listings on CD must submit two copies of the CD (or three copies for international applications) along with a transmittal letter stating that the copies are identical. This process requires additional supplies, including blank recordable CD media and padded envelopes for shipping. The USPTO estimates that the cost of these supplies will be approximately $3 per CD submission and that it will receive approximately 865 CD submissions per year, for a total of $2,595. In addition, customers who submit sequence listings on paper or CD must also submit a separate CRF copy of the listing, which may be submitted on CD. The USPTO estimates that it will receive approximately 4,315 CRF copies for paper and CD sequence listings at an estimated cost of $2 per copy, for a total of $8,630. Therefore, this collection has total capital start-up costs of $11,225 per year.

Applicants who submit sequence listings on CD may also incur recordkeeping costs. The USPTO advises applicants to retain a back-up copy of CD submissions and associated documentation for their records. The USPTO estimates that it will take applicants five minutes to produce a back-up CD copy and two minutes to print copies of documentation, for a total of seven minutes (0.12 hours) to make a back-up copy of the CD submission. The USPTO estimates that approximately 865 CD submissions will be received per year, for a total of 104 hours for making back-up CD copies. The USPTO expects that these back-up copies will be prepared by paraprofessionals at an estimated rate of $100 per hour, for a recordkeeping cost of $10,400 per year.

There are also recordkeeping costs associated with submitting sequence listings online using EFS-Web. The USPTO recommends that customers print and retain a copy of the acknowledgment receipt after a successful online submission. The USPTO estimates that it will take five seconds (0.001 hours) to print a copy of the acknowledgment receipt and that approximately 12,935 sequence listings per year will be submitted via EFS-Web, for a total of approximately 13 hours per year for printing this receipt. The USPTO expects that these receipts will be printed by paraprofessionals at an estimated rate of $100 per hour, for a recordkeeping cost of $1,300 per year. Therefore, this collection has total recordkeeping costs of $11,700 per year associated with retaining copies of CDs and acknowledgment receipts.

Customers may incur postage costs when submitting a sequence listing to the USPTO by mail. Mailed submissions may include the sequence listing on either paper or CD, the CRF copy of the listing on CD, and a transmittal letter containing the required identifying information. The USPTO estimates that the average postage cost for a paper or CD sequence listing submission will be $4.95 and that 4,315 sequence listings will be mailed to the USPTO per year, for a total postage cost of $21,359 per year.

The total non-hour respondent cost burden for this collection in the form of fees, capital start-up costs, recordkeeping costs, and postage costs is estimated to be $920,959 per year.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB.
approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.


Susan K. Fawcett,
Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Administrative Management Group.

[FR Doc. E9–19177 Filed 8–10–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).


Title: Customer Panel Quality Survey. Form Number(s): None.

Agency Approval Number: 0651–0057.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 406 hours.

Number of Respondents: 2,386 responses.

Avg. Hours per Response: The USPTO estimates that it takes the public approximately 10 minutes (0.17 hours) to complete either the paper or the online survey. This includes the time to gather the necessary information, respond to the survey, and submit it to the USPTO.

Needs and Uses: Individuals who work at firms that file more than six patent applications a year use the Customer Panel Quality Survey to provide the USPTO with their perceptions of examination quality. The USPTO uses the feedback gathered from the survey to assist them in targeting key areas for examination quality improvement and to identify important areas for examiner training.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; business or other for profit; and not-for-profit institutions.

Frequency: Semi-annually.

Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Nicholas A. Fraser, e-mail: Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov.

* E-mail: Susan.Fawcett@uspto.gov.

Include “0651–0057 Customer Panel Quality Survey copy request” in the subject line of the message.

* Fax: 571–273–0112, marked to the attention of Susan K. Fawcett.

* Mail: Susan K. Fawcett, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Administrative Management Group, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent on or before September 10, 2009 to Nicholas A. Fraser, OMB Desk Officer, via e-mail at Nicholas_A.Fraser@omb.eop.gov or by fax to 202–395–5167, marked to the attention of Nicholas A. Fraser.


Susan K. Fawcett,
Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Administrative Management Group.

[FR Doc. E9–19177 Filed 8–10–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–865]

Certain Hot–Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the People’s Republic of China: Final Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 11, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni Dach or Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1655 and (202) 482–0413, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

Background


On June 26, 2009, we rescinded this review with respect to Angang based on ArcelorMittal’s withdrawal of their request for review, and preliminarily rescinded this review with respect to Baosteel based on evidence on the record indicating that Baosteel made no entries of subject merchandise into the United States during the POR. See Rescission and Preliminary Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Hot Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from The People’s Republic of China, 74 FR 30525 (June 26, 2009) (“Preliminary Rescission”). We invited interested parties to submit comments on our Preliminary Rescission. We did not receive any comments on our Preliminary Rescission.

1 Baosteel consists of the following five entities: Baosteel Group Corporation, Shanghai Baosteel International Economic & Trading Co., Ltd., Shanghai Baosteel Group Corporation, Baosteel Group International Trade Corp., and Baoshan Iron and Steel Co., Ltd.

2 As noted above, Baosteel consists of the five entities listed in footnote 1.