

please contact Steven Albro, Chief, National Firearms Act Branch, 244 Needy Road, Martinsburg, West Virginia 25401.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application and Permit for Permanent Exportation of Firearms.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: ATF F 9 (5320.9). Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Business or other for-profit. Other: Individual or households. The form is used to obtain permission to export firearms and serves as a vehicle to allow either the removal of the firearm from registration in the National Firearms Registration and Transfer Record or collection of an excise tax. It is used by Federal firearms licensees and others to obtain a benefit.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 70 respondents will complete a 18 minute form.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 11

annual total burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 5, 2009.

Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E9-19046 Filed 8-7-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

August 5, 2009.

The Department of Labor (DOL) hereby announces the submission of the following public information collection requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation; including among other things a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained from the RegInfo.gov Web site at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or by contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number)/e-mail: DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor—ETA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Telephone: 202-395-7316/Fax: 202-395-5806 (these are not toll-free numbers), E-mail: OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**. In order to ensure the appropriate consideration, comments should reference the OMB Control Number (*see below*).

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Title of Collection: Weekly Claims and Extended Benefits Data and Weekly Initial and Continued Weeks Claimed.

OMB Control Number: 1205-0028.

Agency Form Number: ETA-538 and ETA-539.

Affected Public: State Governments.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 53.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 3,675.

Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden (does not include hour costs): \$0.

Description: These data are necessary for the determination of the beginning, continuance, or termination of an Extended Benefit (EB) period in any State, which determine the EB trigger rate. Also, data on initial and continued claims are used to help determine economic indicators. For additional information, see related notice published at Volume 74 FR 24039 on May 22, 2009.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Type of Review: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

Title of Collection: Statement of Expenditures and Financial Adjustment of Federal Funds for Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees and Ex-Servicemembers.

OMB Control Number: 1205-0162.

Agency Form Number: ETA-191.

Affected Public: State Governments.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 53.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 1,272.

Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden (does not include hour costs): \$0.

Description: Federal and military agencies must reimburse the Federal Employees Compensation Account for the amount expended for benefits to former Federal (civilian) employees and

ex-servicemembers. The report informs ETA of the amount to bill such agencies. For additional information, see related notice published at Volume 74 FR 14579 on March 31, 2009.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Title of Collection: Unemployment Insurance Title XII Advances and Voluntary Repayment Process.

OMB Control Number: 1205-0199.

Agency Form Number: N/A.

Affected Public: State Governments.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 27.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 243.

Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden (does not include hour costs): \$0.

Description: This information collection's purpose is to maintain a process for State governors for requesting advances and repaying advances through their correspondence with the Secretary of Labor. The report informs ETA of the amount to bill such agencies. For additional information, see related notice published at Volume 74 FR 24041 on May 22, 2009.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Title of Collection: Plan for Evaluation of the Trade Adjustment Assistance Program.

OMB Control Number: 1205-0460.

Agency Form Number: N/A.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,357.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 940.

Total Estimated Annual Costs Burden (does not include hour costs): \$0.

Description: This data collection is for an evaluation of the Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) Program. The evaluation is comprised of an impact analysis using a comparison group methodology. A process is also included to determine what programmatic and administrative features may affect performance. Data collection includes: Baseline and follow-up surveys of TAA participants and comparison group members, site visits to States and local areas, and an Internet/phone survey of local TAA coordinators. *bor.* The report informs ETA of the amount to bill such agencies. For additional information,

see related notice published at Volume 74 FR 14159 on March 30, 2009.

Darrin A. King,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. E9-19082 Filed 8-7-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FW-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2009-0019]

Addenda to the Memorandum of Understanding: To Formalize the Working Relationship Between the Department of Energy and the Department of Labor (August 28, 1992)

AGENCY: The Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

ACTION: Addenda to Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of Labor and the Department of Energy: the transfer of two existing buildings and two other parcels of land located at the East Tennessee Technology Park in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; transfer of employee safety and health authority from the Department of Energy (DOE) to the Tennessee Occupational Safety and Health Administration (TOSHA).

SUMMARY: This document is a notice of addenda to the 1992 interagency Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the U.S. Department of Labor and the U.S. Department of Energy. That MOU states that DOE has exclusive authority over the occupational safety and health of contractor employees at DOE Government-Owned and Contractor-Operated facilities (GOCOs). In addition, the MOU between the departments dated July 25, 2000, on safety and health enforcement at privatized facilities and operations, provides that OSHA has regulatory authority over occupational safety and health at certain privatized facilities and operations on land formerly under the control of DOE. This action is taken in accordance with the July 25, 2000 MOU, which establishes specific interagency procedures for the transfer of occupational safety and health coverage for such privatized facilities and operations from DOE to OSHA and state agencies acting under state plans approved by OSHA pursuant to section 18 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSH Act), 29 U.S.C. 667. The MOUs may be found on the internet via the OSHA Web page <http://www.osha.gov> under the "D" for

Department of Energy Transition Activities.

DATES: *Effective Date:* The effective date of the Addenda to the Memorandum of Understanding is August 10, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: MaryAnn Garrahan, Director, Office of Technical Programs and Coordination Activities, Directorate of Technical Support and Emergency Management, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-3655, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2110. Access electronic copies of this notice at OSHA's Web site: <http://www.osha.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) of the U.S. Department of Labor entered into a MOU on August 10, 1992, delineating regulatory authority over the occupational safety and health of contractor employees at DOE government-owned or leased, contractor-operated (GOCO) facilities. In general, the MOU recognizes that DOE exercises statutory authority under section 161(f) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, [42 U.S.C. 2201(f)], relating to the occupational safety and health of private-sector employees at these facilities.

Section 4(b)(1) of the OSH Act of 1970, 29 U.S.C. 653(b)(1), exempts from OSHA authority working conditions with respect to which other federal agencies have exercised statutory authority to prescribe or enforce standards or regulations affecting occupational safety or health. The 1992 MOU acknowledges DOE's extensive program for the regulation of contractor health and safety, which requires contractor compliance with all OSHA standards as well as additional requirements prescribed by DOE, and concludes with an agreement by the agencies that the provisions of the OSH Act will not apply to GOCO sites for which DOE has exercised its authority to regulate occupational safety and health under the Atomic Energy Act.

In light of DOE's policy emphasis on privatization activities, OSHA and DOE entered into a second MOU on July 25, 2000 that establishes interagency procedures to address regulatory authority for occupational safety and health at specified privatized facilities and operations on sites formerly controlled by DOE. The 2000 MOU covers facilities and operations on lands no longer controlled by DOE, which are not conducting activities for or on behalf of DOE and where there is no