

from occupational exposure to benzene. The major information collection requirements in the Standard include conducting worker exposure monitoring, notifying workers of the benzene exposure, implementing a written compliance program, implementing medical surveillance for workers, providing examining physicians with specific information, ensuring that workers receive a copy of their medical surveillance records, and providing access to these records by OSHA, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, the worker who is the subject of the records, the worker's representative, and other designated parties.

II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

III. Proposed Actions

OSHA is requesting that OMB extend its approval of the collections of information requirements contained in the Standard on Benzene (29 CFR 1910.1028). As a result of re-estimating the number of medical examinations from 10,800 examinations to 11,233 examinations there was an increase in burden hours from 125,209 hours to 126,180 hours and an increase in costs from \$8,132,978 to \$8,133,499.

OSHA will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice, and will include this summary in request to OMB to extend the approval of the information collection requirements contained in the Benzene standard (29 CFR 1910.1028).

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Title: Benzene Standard (29 CFR 1910.1028).

OMB Number: 1218-0129.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profits.

Total Responses: 267,376.

Frequency: On occasion.

Estimated Time per Response: Varies from 5 minutes (.08 hour) for employers

to maintain records to 4 hours for workers to receive referral medical exams.

Total Burden Hours: 126,180.

Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance): \$8,133,499.

IV. Public Participation—Submission of Comments on This Notice and Internet Access to Comments and Submissions

You may submit comments in response to this document as follows: (1) Electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal; (2) by facsimile (FAX); or (3) by hard copy. All comments, attachments, and other material must identify the Agency name and OSHA docket number for the ICR (Docket No. OSHA-2009-0021). You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic or facsimile submission, you must submit them to the OSHA docket Office (see the section of this notice titled **ADDRESSES**). The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by your name, date, and the docket number so the Agency can attach them to your comments.

Because of security procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of comments. For information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by hand, express delivery, messenger, or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350 (TTY (877) 889-5627).

Comments and submissions are posted without change at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting personal information such as social security numbers and date of birth. Although all submissions are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publically available to read or download through this Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. Information on using the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site to submit comments and access the docket is available through the Web site's "User Tips" link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available through the Web Site, and for assistance in using the Internet to locate docket submissions.

V. Authority and Signature

Jordan Barab, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 *et seq.*) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 5-2007 (72 FR 31160).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of August 2009.

Jordan Barab,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. E9-19072 Filed 8-7-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2009-0348; Docket No. 50-443]

FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC, et al.*; Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment for Facility Operating License No. NPF-86, issued to FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC (the licensee), for operation of the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1, located in Rockingham County, New Hampshire. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would change the legal name of the Licensee and Co-owner from "FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC" to "NextEra Energy Seabrook, LLC."

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated April 16, 2009.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is necessary to reflect the legal change of name of the Licensee and Co-Owner on April 16, 2009.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has concluded in its safety evaluation of the proposed action that

* FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC (FPLE Seabrook) is authorized to act as agent for the Hudson Light & Power Department, Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company, and Taunton Municipal Light and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

since this action is for a name change only that (1) there is a reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

The details of the staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the license amendment that will be issued as part of the letter to the licensee approving the license amendment.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents. No changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the amount of any effluent released offsite. There is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resources than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1, NUREG-0895, dated December 1982.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 16, 2009, the staff consulted with the New Hampshire and Massachusetts State officials, Messrs. M. Nawoj and J. Giarrusso, respectively,

regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. Neither State official had any comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated April 16, 2009. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or send an e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of July 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dennis Egan,

Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch 1-2, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. E9-19056 Filed 8-7-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2009-57; Order No. 271]

International Mail Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service recently filed with the Commission notice of changes in rates for Inbound International Expedited Services 2. This document establishes a docket for consideration of the filing, provides public notice of the filing, and invites public comment.

DATES: Comments are due August 12, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's

Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820 or stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Introduction
- II. Background
- III. Notice of Filing
- IV. Supplemental Information
- V. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On July 28, 2009, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing changes in rates not of general applicability for Inbound International Expedited Services 2 effective January 1, 2010.¹ The Postal Service attached a redacted copy of the 2010 rates and a certified statement establishing compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633 and 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) to the Notice as Attachments 1 and 2, respectively. *Id.* at 2. The Postal Service also submitted a listing of countries in each pricing tier and incorporated by reference the description of Inbound International Expedited Services 2 contained in its supporting documentation filed in Docket Nos. MC2009-10 and CP2009-12. The Postal Service submitted the rates, related financial information, and certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) under seal.

II. Background

The Notice states that in Docket No. MC2009-10, the Governors established prices and classifications not of general applicability for Inbound Express Mail International (EMS). In Order No. 162, the Commission added Inbound International Expedited Services 2 to the Competitive Product List as a new product under Express Mail, Inbound International Expedited Services.² The rates took effect on January 1, 2009.

In accordance with the provisions of the EMS Cooperative of the Universal Postal Union (UPU), rates for the delivery of inbound Express Mail International must be communicated to the UPU by August 31 of the year before which they are to take effect. As a member of the EMS Cooperative, the Postal Service may not change its rates for the coming year after August 31. In Order No. 162, the Commission raised concerns with filing these rates with the

¹ Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing of Changes in Rates Not of General Applicability, July 28, 2009 (Notice).

² See PRC Order No. 162, Docket Nos. MC2009-10, CP2009-12, Order Adding Inbound International Expedited Services 2 To Competitive Product List, December 31, 2008.