The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone on the navigable waters of the lower Colorado River at Bullhead City, AZ in support of Festivus. This safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the participants, crew, spectators, participating vessels, and other vessels and users of the waterway. Persons and vessels are prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from September 11, 2009 through September 13, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket are part of docket USCG–2009–0454 and are available online by going to http://www.regulations.gov, selecting the Advanced Docket Search option on the right side of the screen, inserting USCG–2009–0454 in the Docket ID box, pressing Enter, and then clicking on the item in the Docket ID column. They are also available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary rule, call or e-mail Petty Officer Kristen Beer, Waterways Management, U.S. Coast Guard Sector San Diego, Coast Guard; telephone 619–278–7282, e-mail Kristen.A.Beer@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Regulatory Information**

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because immediate action is necessary to ensure the safety of vessels, spectators, participants, and other vessels and users of the waterway. Delaying the rule would be contrary to the public interest.

**Background and Purpose**

The Boys and Girls Club and BMX is sponsoring Festivus, which is a river festival to benefit the community. Festivus includes wakeboarder demonstrations on the lower Colorado River. This temporary safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the participants, crew, spectators, sponsor vessels, and other users and vessels on the waterway. Persons and vessels are prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

**Discussion of Rule**

The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone that will be enforced from 10 a.m. to 10 p.m. on September 11, 2009 through September 13, 2009. This safety zone is necessary to provide for the safety of the crew, spectators, participants, and other users and vessels of the waterway. Persons and vessels will be prohibited from entering into, transiting through, or anchoring within the safety zone unless authorized to do so by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative. The safety zone will include all navigable waters within the following coordinates:

**Northwest Boundary Line** 35°05.45′ N, 114°37.84′ W; 35°05.53′ N, 114°37.78′ W.

**Southeast Boundary Line** 35°05.31′ N, 114°37.48′ W; 35°05.38′ N, 114°37.44′ W.

**Regulatory Analyses**

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

**Regulatory Planning and Review**

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order.

We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation is unnecessary. This determination is based on the location and small size of the safety zone. Recreational vessels will not be allowed to transit through the established safety zone during the specified times unless authorized to do so by the Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

**Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: The owners or operators of vessels intending to transit or anchor in the affected portion of the lower Colorado River from 10 a.m. to 10 p.m. on September 11, 2009 through September 13, 2009.

This safety zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons: Although the safety zone will apply to the entire width of the river, traffic will be...
allowed to pass through the zone with the permission of the Coast Guard patrol commander. Before the effective period, the Coast Guard will publish a local notice to mariners (LNMs).

**Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we offer to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

**Collection of Information**

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

**Federalism**

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132. Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

**Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

**Taking of Private Property**

This rule will not affect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

**Civil Justice Reform**

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

**Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

**Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

**Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

**Technical Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

**Environment**

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction because it establishes a safety zone.

An environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

**List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165**

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

**PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS**

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:


2. Add temporary §165.T11–204 to read as follows:

**§165.T11–204 Safety Zone; Festivus, Lower Colorado River, Bullhead City, AZ.**

(a) Location. The following area is a safety zone: All waters of the Lower Colorado River, from surface to bottom and shore to shore, bounded by the following lines:

**Northwest Boundary Line**
From 35°05.45′ N, 114°37.84′ W to 35°05.53′ N, 114°37.78′ W.

**Southeast Boundary Line**
From 35°05.31′ N, 114°37.48′ W to 35°05.38′ N, 114°37.44′ W (NAD 83).
(b) Enforcement Period. This section will be enforced from 10 a.m. to 10 p.m., each day, on September 11, 2009 through September 13, 2009. If the event concludes prior to the scheduled termination time, the Captain of the Port will cease enforcement of this safety zone.

(c) Definitions. The following definition applies to this section:

Designated representative, means any commissioned, warrant, or petty officers of the Coast Guard on board Coast Guard, Coast Guard Auxiliary, or local, state, or federal law enforcement vessels who have been authorized to act on behalf of the Captain of the Port.

(d) Regulations. (1) Entry into, transit through or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port of San Diego or his designated on-scene representative.

(2) Mariners requesting permission to transit through the safety zone may request authorization to do so from the Patrol Commander (PATCOM). The PATCOM may be contacted on VHF-FM Channel 16.

(3) All persons and vessels must comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard patrol personnel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel must proceed as directed.

(4) Upon being hailed by U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel must proceed as directed.

(5) The Coast Guard may be assisted by other federal, state, or local agencies.

T.H. Farris,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port San Diego.

[FR Doc. E0–18739 Filed 8–5–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

46 CFR Parts 10 and 11

[RIN 1625–AB02]

Consolidation of Merchant Mariner Qualification Credentials; Corrections

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule, correction.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard published a document in the Federal Register on March 16, 2009 (74 FR 11196), consolidating regulations concerning the issuance of merchant mariner credentials. That document contained several non-substantive errors, including an improperly worded amendatory instruction. This document corrects those errors.

DATES: This final rule is effective August 6, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The document published in the Federal Register on March 16, 2009 (74 FR 11196), contained errors that this document corrects.

We are making the following corrections in part 10. In §10.109, we are reinserting three STCW endorsements that were erroneously left out of the final rule. In §10.211, the Coast Guard discussed the date change in the final rule (74 FR 11197). However, when making the date change, we inadvertently missed this instance. In §10.213, the change in the footnote to Table 12.213(c) is a correction to a cross-reference, which the Coast Guard inadvertently missed when we revised part 10 in the final rule. In §10.227, we are correcting a similar cross-reference correction. In §10.235, we are making corrections to clarify the language in that section.

We are making the following corrections in part 11. In §11.102, we are correcting those sections where material that has been incorporated by reference may be found in part 11. In §11.104, we are removing redundancy by eliminating definitions that already appear at the beginning of the subchapter in §10.107. Finally, in the final rule, the amendatory instruction for §11.601 incorrectly referred to paragraphs (a) through (c) (79 FR 11252). We have included the correct amendatory language here.

None of the above listed corrections are substantive in nature.

PART 10—MERCHANT MARINER CREDENTIAL

1. The authority citation for part 10 continues to read as follows:


2. In §10.109, add paragraphs (d)(15), (16), and (17) to read as follows:

§10.109 Classification of endorsements.

* * * * *
(d) * * * *  
(15) Tankerman PIC.
(16) Tankerman assistant.
(17) Tankerman engineer.

3. In §10.211(c), remove the words “September 23, 2008” and add, in their place, the words “April 15, 2009”.

§10.213 [Amended]

4. In §10.213, in the first footnote of Table 10.213(c), remove the cross-reference “(a)” after the word “paragraph” and add, in its place, the cross-reference “(f)”. 

§10.227 [Amended]

5. In §10.227, in paragraph (g)(1), remove the cross-reference “(g)” after the word “paragraph” and add, in its place, the cross-reference “(d)(8)”.

§10.235 [Amended]

6. In §10.235—

a. In paragraph (b), in the first and second sentences, after the words “applied for as an original”, add the words “following the procedures of §§5.901–5.905 of this subchapter”;

b. In paragraph (d), after the words “revoked, the mariner”, remove the words “may apply for” and add, in their place, the words “will be issued”; and

c. In paragraph (h), remove the word “non-administrative” and add, in its place, the words “a reason, other than administrative”.

PART 11—REQUIREMENTS FOR OFFICER ENDORSEMENTS

7. The authority citation for part 11 continues to read as follows:


§11.102 [Amended]

8. In §11.102—

a. In paragraph (b)(1), remove “11.603;”.