

Strip Mill Public Company Limited) is 20.30 percent for the period November 1, 2007, through October 31, 2008.

Disclosure and Public Comment

We will disclose pertinent memoranda concerning these preliminary results to parties in this review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). If a hearing is requested, the Department will notify interested parties of the hearing schedule.

Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this review. The Department will consider case briefs filed by interested parties within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. See 19 CFR 351.309(c). Interested parties may file rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, no later than 35 days after the publication of these preliminary results. See 19 CFR 351.309(d). Any hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the deadline for submission of rebuttal briefs. See 19 CFR 351.310(d). Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with each argument a statement of the issue, a brief summary of the argument, and a table of authorities cited. Further, we request that parties submitting written comments provide the Department with a diskette containing an electronic copy of the public version of such comments.

We intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of issues raised in any written comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**.

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because we are relying on total AFA to establish G Steel and G J Steel's dumping margin, we will instruct CBP to apply a dumping margin of 20.30 percent *ad valorem* to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR that was produced and/or exported by G Steel and G J Steel (formerly known as Nakornthai Strip Mill Public Company Limited). The Department intends to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of the final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of review,

the following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided in section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash-deposit rate for G Steel and G J Steel (formerly known as Nakornthai Strip Mill Public Company Limited) will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous segment of the proceeding, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation which is 4.44 percent. See *Antidumping Duty Order*. These cash-deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties. The preliminary results of administrative review and this notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 29, 2009.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E9-18733 Filed 8-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-834]

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Mexico: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Flessner or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6312 and (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 10, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the preliminary results of administrative review of purified carboxymethylcellulose from Mexico for the July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2008, period of review. See *Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Mexico: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 16359 (April 10, 2009). The final results for this administrative review are currently due no later than August 8, 2009.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to complete the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 120 day time period for the final results to 180 days.

The Department has determined it is not practicable to complete this review within the statutory time limit because of significant issues that require additional time to evaluate. These include questions involving entry dates and entered values, necessitating a post-preliminary supplemental questionnaire. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results of this administrative review until no later than October 7, 2009, which is 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results of review were published.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 30, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-18727 Filed 8-4-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-831]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan: Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils (SSSSC) from Taiwan with respect to three companies. Only one respondent, Chia Far Industrial Factory Co., Ltd. (Chia Far), is participating in this review; the remaining two companies reported that they had no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR). The POR is July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2008.

We preliminarily determine that Chia Far made sales below normal value (NV). Moreover, we are preliminarily rescinding the review with respect to the companies that submitted no-shipment responses.

If the preliminary results are adopted in our final results of this administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 5, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Henry Almond, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration—Room 1870, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0049.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 27, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on SSSSC from Taiwan. See *Notice of Antidumping*

Duty Order; Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From United Kingdom, Taiwan, and South Korea, 64 FR 40555 (July 27, 1999) (*SSSSC Order*). On July 11, 2008, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request administrative review of this order. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 73 FR 39948 (July 11, 2008).

On July 31, 2008, the petitioners¹ submitted a timely request for the Department to conduct an administrative review of the sales of SSSSC made during the POR by the following 20 companies: Chain Chon Industrial Co., Ltd.; Chia Far; Chien Shing Stainless Co.; China Steel Corporation; Dah Shi Metal Industrial Co., Ltd.; Emerdex Group; Emerdex Stainless Flat-Rolled Products, Inc.; Emerdex Stainless Steel, Inc.; KNS Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Lih Chan Steel Co., Ltd.; Maytun International Corp.; PFP Taiwan Co., Ltd.; Shih Yuan Stainless Steel Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd. (Ta Chen); Tang Eng Iron Works; Waterson Corp.; Well Harvest Metal Co., Ltd.; Yieh Loong Enterprise Co., Ltd. (aka Chung Hung Steel Co., Ltd.); Yieh Mau Corp.; and Yieh United Steel Corporation (YUSCO), pursuant to section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1).

In August 2008, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review covering each of these 20 companies. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 73 FR 50308, 50309 (Aug. 26, 2008) (*Initiation Notice*).

In our initiation notice we indicated that we would select mandatory respondents for review based upon CBP entry data. See *Initiation Notice*, 73 FR at 50308. In September 2008, we released relevant CBP data to interested parties, and we received comments on the issue of respondent selection from the petitioners. Also in that month we received a statement from Ta Chen indicating that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

Also in September 2008, the petitioners withdrew their request for administrative review with respect to the following 17 companies: Chain Chon Industrial Co., Ltd.; Chien Shing

Stainless Co.; China Steel Corporation; Dah Shi Metal Industrial Co., Ltd.; Emerdex Group; Emerdex Stainless Flat-Rolled Products, Inc.; Emerdex Stainless Steel, Inc.; KNS Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Lih Chan Steel Co., Ltd.; Maytun International Corp.; PFP Taiwan Co., Ltd.; Shih Yuan Stainless Steel Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Tang Eng Iron Works; Waterson Corp.; Well Harvest Metal Co., Ltd.; Yieh Loong Enterprise Co., Ltd. (aka Chung Hung Steel Co., Ltd.); and Yieh Mau Corp.

In October 2008, the Department issued the antidumping duty questionnaire to two remaining respondents, Chia Far and YUSCO, and we issued a letter to Ta Chen requesting additional information regarding its no-shipment statement. Ta Chen responded to our request in the same month by providing the requested information. Also in October 2008, YUSCO provided a statement indicating that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. For further discussion, see the “Partial Rescission of Review” section of this notice.

Subsequent to Ta Chen’s October response, the petitioners alleged that Ta Chen was engaged in middleman dumping of merchandise produced by Tung Mung Development Co. (Tung Mung), a Taiwanese producer of SSSSC which is excluded from the order. See *Notice of Correction to the Amended Final Determination in Accordance With Court Decision in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan*, 70 FR 17658 (April 7, 2005). In November 2008, Ta Chen denied the petitioners’ allegations, stating that Ta Chen International (TCI), a U.S. affiliate of Ta Chen, purchased and imported the SSSSC directly from Tung Mung and consequently that Ta Chen did not act as a middleman in these transactions. For further discussion, see the “Middleman Dumping” section of this notice.

During the period October through December 2008, we received Chia Far’s responses to sections A through D of the questionnaire.

In December 2008, we issued a supplemental questionnaire covering section D of the questionnaire (*i.e.*, the section covering cost of production (COP)). Chia Far responded to this supplemental questionnaire in January 2009.

In March 2009, we published a notice extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Japan and Taiwan: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of*

¹ The petitioners are Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, AK Steel Corporation, North American Stainless, United Auto Workers Local 3303, United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC, and Zanesville Armco Independent Organization.