

SBGS00682 National Director for Native American Affairs. Effective May 6, 2009.

SBGS00594 Press Secretary for Communications and Public Liaison. Effective May 14, 2009.

SBGS00557 Deputy Associate Administrator for Communications and Public Liaison. Effective May 15, 2009.

SBGS00683 Special Assistant for Congressional and Legislative Affairs. Effective May 15, 2009.

SBGS00694 Congressional Legislative Affairs Assistant. Effective May 22, 2009.

Export-Import Bank

EBGS04544 Executive Assistant to the President and Chairman. Effective May 1, 2009.

Farm Credit Administration

FLOT00030 Associate Director of Congressional Affairs, Farm Credit Administration Board. Effective May 15, 2009.

Export-Import Bank

EBGS04544 Executive Assistant to the President and Chairman. Effective May 1, 2009.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NNGS01121 Special Assistant to the Chief of Staff. Effective May 4, 2009.

NNGS01122 Special Assistant to the Chief of Staff. Effective May 4, 2009.

Commission on Civil Rights

CCGS60012 Special Assistant to the Commissioner. Effective May 28, 2009.

National Endowment for the Arts

NAGS60077 Director of Communications for the Arts. Effective May 4, 2009.

Department of Housing and Urban Development

DUGS60470 Staff Assistant to the General Counsel. Effective May 1, 2009.

DUGS60171 Congressional Relations Specialist for the Chief of Staff. Effective May 20, 2009.

DUGS60173 Staff Assistant, Housing and Urban Development. Effective May 21, 2009.

DUGS60458 Legislative Specialist for Intergovernmental Relations. Effective May 21, 2009.

Department of Transportation

DTGS60237 Press Secretary of Public Affairs. Effective May 12, 2009.

DTGS60360 Scheduler of Scheduling and Advance. Effective May 15, 2009.

DTGS60199 Special Assistant to the Administrator. Effective May 28, 2009.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 3301 and 3302; E.O. 10577, 3 CFR 1954-1958 Comp., p. 218.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

John Berry,

Director.

[FR Doc. E9-17670 Filed 7-23-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-39-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2009-51; Order No. 252]

Global Expedited Package Services Contract

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to include an additional Global Expedited Package Services 1 contract on the Competitive Product List. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

DATES: Comments are due July 29, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 and stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On July 17, 2009, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Expedited Package Services 1 (GEPS 1) contract.¹ GEPS 1 provides volume-based incentives for mailers that send large volumes of Express Mail International (EMI) and/or Priority Mail International (PMI). The Postal Service believes the instant contract is functionally equivalent to previously submitted GEPS 1 contracts, and is supported by the Governors' Decision filed in Docket No. CP2008-4.² Notice at

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, July 16, 2009 (Notice).

² See Docket No. CP2008-4, Notice of United States Postal Service of Governors' Decision Establishing Prices and Classifications for Global Expedited Package Services Contents, May 20, 2008. The docket referenced in the caption should be the docket in which the Governors' Decision is

1. It further notes that in Order No. 86, which established GEPS 1 as a product, the Commission held that additional contracts may be included as part of the GEPS 1 product if they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633, and if they are functionally equivalent to the initial GEPS 1 contract filed in Docket No. CP2008-5.³ Notice at 1.

The instant contract. The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the contract is in accordance with Order No. 86. The Postal Service states that the instant contract replaces the contract for the customer in Docket No. CP2008-19, which will end on September 30, 2009. *Id.* at 2. It submitted the contract and supporting material under seal, and attached a redacted copy of the contract and certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) to the Notice as Attachments 1 and 2, respectively. *Id.* at 1-2. The term of the instant contract is one year from the date the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary regulatory approvals have been received.

The Notice advances reasons why the instant GEPS 1 contract fits within the Mail Classification Schedule language for GEPS 1. The Postal Service contends that the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the GEPS 1 contracts filed previously. It states that in Governors' Decision No. 08-7, a pricing formula and classification system were established to ensure that each contract meets the statutory and regulatory requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. The Postal Service contends that the instant contract demonstrates its functional equivalence with the previous GEPS 1 contracts because of several factors: The customers are small or medium-sized businesses that mail directly to foreign destinations using EMI and/or PMI, the contract term of one year applies to all GEPS 1 contracts, the contracts have similar cost and market characteristics, and each requires payment through permit imprint. *Id.* at 4. It asserts that even though prices may be different based on volume or postage commitments made by the customers, or updated costing information, these differences do not affect the contracts' functional equivalency because the GEPS 1 contracts share similar cost attributes and methodology. *Id.* at 4-5.

The Postal Service also identifies several other contractual differences

filed. In this instance, that was Docket No. CP2008-4. The contract being suspended was filed in Docket No. CP2008-5.

³ See Docket No. CP2008-5, Order Concerning Global Expedited Package Services Contracts, June 27, 2008, at 7 (Order No. 86).

including provisions that clarify the availability of other Postal Service products and services, exclude certain flat rate products from the mail qualifying for discounts, simplify mailing notice requirements, modify mail tender locations, and clarify the mailer's volume and revenue commitment in the event of early termination.⁴ *Id.* at 5–6.

The Postal Service states that these differences related to a particular mailer are “incidental differences” and do not change the conclusion that these agreements are functionally equivalent in all substantive aspects. *Id.* at 7.

The Postal Service requests that this contract be included within the GEPS 1 product. *Id.*

The Postal Service maintains that certain portions of the contract and certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2), names of GEPS 1 customers, related financial information, portions of the certified statement which contain costs and pricing as well as the accompanying analyses that provide prices, terms, conditions, and financial projections should remain under seal. *Id.* at 3.

II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2009–51 for consideration of matters related to the contract identified in the Postal Service's Notice.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3622 or 3642. Comments are due no later than July 29, 2009. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in the captioned filings.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2009–51 for consideration of the issues raised in this docket.

2. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than July 29, 2009.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

⁴ The Postal Service indicates that the mailer has satisfied its commitment under the existing contract and seeks to mail under the new contract upon its approval. *Id.* at 6, n.10.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Judith M. Grady,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9–17701 Filed 7–23–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Data Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Small Business Administration's intentions to request approval on a new and/or currently approved information collection.

DATES: Submit comments on or before September 22, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Send all comments regarding whether this information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, whether the burden estimates are accurate, and if there are ways to minimize the estimated burden and enhance the quality of the collection, to George Solomon, Supervisor Business Development Officer, Office of Business Initiatives, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20416.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Solomon, Supervisor Business Development Officer, Office of Business Initiatives, 202–205–7436 george.solomon@sba.gov, Curtis B. Rich, Management Analyst, 202–205–7030 curtis.rich@sba.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: SBA'S strategic plan is to examine the impact of counseling and information services on nascent, start-up and in-business clients. This survey measure effects on counseling and information transfer on the respondent's evaluation of the effectiveness, usefulness, and relevancy of the services provided and whether these services/actions led to the creation of jobs and an increase in business start-ups and gross revenue.

Title: “Entrepreneurial Development Impact Study”.

Description of Respondents: SBA Clients.

Form Number: 2214.

Annual Responses: 8,100.

Annual Burden: 1,127.

Jacqueline White,

Chief, Administrative Information Branch.

[FR Doc. E9–17618 Filed 7–23–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon written request, copies available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

Extension: Rule 30e–2; SEC File No. 270–437; OMB Control No. 3235–0494.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), (the “Paperwork Reduction Act”) the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Section 30(e) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a–29(e)) (the “Investment Company Act”) and Rule 30e–2¹ (17 CFR 270.30e–2) thereunder require registered unit investment trusts (“UITs”) that invest substantially all of their assets in securities of a management investment company² (“fund”) to send to shareholders at least semi-annually a report containing certain financial statements and other information. Specifically, Rule 30e–2 requires that the report contain the financial statements and other information that Rule 30e–1 under the Investment Company Act (17 CFR 270.30e–1) requires to be included in the report of the underlying fund for the same fiscal period. Rule 30e–1 requires that the underlying fund's report contain, among other things, the financial statements and other information that is required to

¹ Rule 30e–2 was originally adopted as Rule 30d–2, but was redesignated as Rule 30e–2 effective February 15, 2001. See Role of Independent Directors of Investment Companies, Investment Company Act Release No. 24816 (Jan. 2, 2001) (66 FR 3734 (Jan. 16, 2001)).

² Management investment companies are defined in Section 4 of the Investment Company Act as any investment company other than a face-amount certificate company or a unit investment trust, as those terms are defined in Section 4 of the Investment Company Act. See 15 U.S.C. 80a–4.