

B. What actions could be taken to help ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of the information to be collected?

C. Are the instructions and definitions clear and sufficient? If not, which instructions need clarification?

D. Can the information be submitted by the respondent by the due date?

E. *Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated to average:*

Form EIA-23S: 4 hours (small operators)

Form EIA-23L: 32 hours (intermediate operators); 160 hours (large operators)

Form EIA-64A: 6 hours (natural gas plant operators).

The estimated burden includes the total time necessary to provide the requested information. In your opinion, how accurate is this estimate?

F. The agency estimates that the only cost to a respondent is for the time it will take to complete the collection. Will a respondent incur any start-up costs for reporting, or any recurring annual costs for operation, maintenance, and purchase of services associated with the information collection?

G. What additional actions could be taken to minimize the burden of this collection of information? Such actions may involve the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

H. Does any other Federal, State, or local agency collect similar information? If so, specify the agency, the data element(s), and the methods of collection.

As a Potential User of the Information To Be Collected:

A. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency and does the information have practical utility?

B. What actions could be taken to help ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of the information disseminated?

C. Is the information useful at the levels of detail to be collected?

D. For what purpose(s) would the information be used? Be specific.

E. Are there alternate sources for the information and are they useful? If so, what are their weaknesses and/or strengths?

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the form. They also will become a matter of public record.

Statutory Authority: Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 (15 U.S.C. 761 *et*

seq.), and the DOE Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101).

Issued in Washington, DC, July 9, 2009.

Stephanie Brown,

Director, Statistics and Methods Group, Energy Information Administration.

[FR Doc. E9-16822 Filed 7-14-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 13473-000]

FFP Project 60, LLC; Notice of Preliminary Permit Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Competing Applications

July 8, 2009.

On May 22, 2009, FFP Project 60, LLC filed an application for a preliminary permit, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Federal Power Act, proposing to study the feasibility of the Springfield Bend Hydrokinetic Project, to be located on the Mississippi River, in West Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana and East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana.

The sole purpose of a preliminary permit, if issued, is to grant the permit holder priority to file a license application during the permit term. A preliminary permit does not authorize the permit holder to perform any land disturbing activities or otherwise enter upon lands or waters owned by others without the owners' express permission.

The proposed Springfield Bend Hydrokinetic Project consists of: (1) 2,090 proposed 40 kilowatt Free Flow generating units having a total installed capacity of 83.6 megawatts; (2) a 2.3-mile-long, 69 kilovolt transmission line; and (3) appurtenant facilities. The proposed Springfield Bend Hydrokinetic Project would have an average annual generation of 366 gigawatt-hours.

Applicant Contact: Ramya Swaminathan, Vice President of Development, Free Flow Power Corporation, 33 Commercial Street, Gloucester, MA 01930; phone: (978) 226-1531.

FERC Contact: Kim Carter, 202-502-6486.

Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, competing applications (without notices of intent), or notices of intent to file competing applications: 60 days from the issuance of this notice. Comments, motions to intervene, notices of intent, and competing applications may be filed electronically via the Internet. See 18 CFR

385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. If unable to be filed electronically, documents may be paper-filed. To paper-file, an original and eight copies should be mailed to: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. For more information on how to submit these types of filings, please go to the Commission's Web site located at <http://www.ferc.gov/filing-comments.asp>. More information about this project, including a copy of the application, can be viewed or printed on the "eLibrary" link of Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp>. Enter the docket number (P-13473) in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call toll-free 1-866-208-3372.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9-16720 Filed 7-14-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 13475-000]

FFP Project 64, LLC; Notice of Preliminary Permit Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Competing Applications

July 8, 2009.

On May 22, 2009, FFP Project 64, LLC filed an application for a preliminary permit, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Federal Power Act, proposing to study the feasibility of the Palmetto Point Hydrokinetic Project, to be located on the Mississippi River, in Concordia Parish, Louisiana and Wilkinson County, Mississippi.

The sole purpose of a preliminary permit, if issued, is to grant the permit holder priority to file a license application during the permit term. A preliminary permit does not authorize the permit holder to perform any land-disturbing activities or otherwise enter upon lands or waters owned by others without the owners' express permission.

The proposed Palmetto Point Hydrokinetic Project consists of: (1) 5,069 proposed 40 kilowatt Free Flow generating units having a total installed capacity of 202.76 megawatts; (2) a 7-mile-long, 69 kilovolt transmission line; and (3) appurtenant facilities. The proposed Palmetto Point Hydrokinetic