

Sharing alliance and the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI), respectively. On July 18, 2008, the NRC staff discussed these comments during a public meeting with NEI and industry representatives, as documented in "Summary of the License Renewal Meeting Held between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff and the Nuclear Energy Institute," dated October 3, 2008 (ML082480547). Overall, the comments indicated that the NRC staff's proposed guidance is too prescriptive and does not acknowledge the unique design aspects of each plant, as reflected in the plant's current licensing basis.

The NRC staff evaluated both the comments submitted in writing, and those provided during the July 18, 2008, meeting and subsequent public license renewal meetings, and determined that the proposed clarification in LR-ISG-2008-01 is unnecessary because the NRC staff's review of license renewal applications is based on the plant-specific current licensing bases, regulatory requirements, and offsite power design configurations. As such, the NRC staff will continue to review license renewal applications against the acceptance criteria in SRP-LR section 2.5.2.1.1 to ensure applicants include within the scope of license renewal the systems, structures, and components that perform functions to demonstrate compliance with the Station Blackout Rule, as required by 10 CFR 54.4(a)(3).

Therefore, by this action, the NRC is withdrawing LR-ISG-2008-01.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents created or received after November 1, 1999, are available electronically at the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, the public can gain entry into ADAMS. If you do not have access to the Internet or if there are any problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail at [PDR.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:PDR.Resource@nrc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Matthew Homiack, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone 301-415-1683; or e-mail [Matthew.Homiack@nrc.gov](mailto:Matthew.Homiack@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The NRC issues LR-ISGs to communicate insights and lessons learned, and to address emergent issues not addressed in certain license renewal guidance documents. The NRC staff and stakeholders can use approved LR-ISGs until their guidance is incorporated into a formal license

renewal guidance document revision. The NRC posts its issued LR-ISGs on the NRC Public Web page at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/iscg>.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of July 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Brian E. Holian,**

*Director, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E9-16486 Filed 7-10-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2009-0117]

### Notice of Revised Regulatory Guide

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Revised Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.200, Revision 2, "An Approach for Determining the Technical Adequacy of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Results for Risk-Informed Activities."

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Drouin, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone (301) 251-7574 or e-mail to [Mary.Drouin@nrc.gov](mailto:Mary.Drouin@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Revision 2 of RG 1.200 on March 17, 2009, which was published in the **Federal Register**, 74 FR 11381. RG 1.200, Revision 2 is a guide in the agency's "Regulatory Guide" series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public information such as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the agency's regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

A sentence was inadvertently omitted from the draft version that was issued for public comment and the final version that was published in March 2009. The current version of Regulatory Guide 1.200 on the NRC Web site includes the omitted sentence at the end of the first paragraph in Regulatory Position C.1.2.5.

##### II. Further Information

Electronic copies of Regulatory Guide 1.200, Revision 2 are available through

the NRC's public Web site under "Regulatory Guides" at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/>. In addition, regulatory guides are available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) located at Room O-1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738. The PDR's mailing address is USNRC PDR, Washington, DC 20555-0001. The PDR can also be reached by telephone at (301) 415-4737 or (800) 397-4209, by fax at (301) 415-3548, and by e-mail to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov).

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of July 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Mark P. Orr,**

*Acting Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. E9-16499 Filed 7-10-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265; NRC-2009-0309]

### Exelon Generation Company, LLC; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Exelon Generation Company, LLC (the licensee) to withdraw its December 21, 2007, application for proposed amendment to Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30 for the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Rock Island County, Illinois.

The proposed amendment would have revised the Technical Specifications Surveillance Requirements to establish an acceptance criterion to verify that total battery connector resistances for the 125 and 250 volt direct current batteries are within pre-established limits that ensure the batteries can perform their design function.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the **Federal Register** on February 26, 2008 (73 FR 10298) and December 30, 2008 (73 FR 79932). However, by letter dated June 25, 2009, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 21, 2007, and the licensee's letter dated June 25, 2009, which withdrew the application for license amendment. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of July 2009.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Christopher Gratton,**  
*Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing  
 Branch III-2, Division of Operating Reactor  
 Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor  
 Regulation.*

[FR Doc. E9-16491 Filed 7-10-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2009-33 and CP2009-44;  
 Order No. 241]

### New Competitive Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 to the Competitive Product List. The Postal Service has also filed a related contract. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with these filings.

**DATES:** Comments are due July 15, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 and [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Introduction

On July 2, 2009, the Postal Service filed a formal request pursuant to 39

U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* to add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 to the Competitive Product List.<sup>1</sup> On July 6, 2009, the Postal Service filed a revised version of its filing which includes attachments inadvertently omitted from the July 2, 2009 request.<sup>2</sup> The Postal Service asserts that the Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 product is a competitive product "not of general applicability" within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). *Id.* at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2009-33.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* at 2. The contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2009-44.

**Request.** The Request incorporates (1) A redacted version of the Governors' Decision authorizing the new product; (2) a redacted version of the contract; (3) requested changes to the Mail Classification Schedule product list; (4) a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32; and (5) certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a).<sup>3</sup> Substantively, the Request seeks to add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 to the Competitive Product List. Request at 1-2.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Mary Prince Anderson, Manager, Sales and Communications, Expedited Shipping, asserts that the service to be provided under the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's total institutional costs. *Id.*, Attachment D. Thus, Ms. Anderson contends there will be no issue of subsidization of

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Establishment of Rates and Class Not of General Applicability, July 2, 2009.

<sup>2</sup> Errata to Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Establishment of Rates and Class Not of General Applicability, July 6, 2009 (Request).

<sup>3</sup> Attachment A to the Request consists of the redacted Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Establishment of Rate and Class Not of General Applicability for Express Mail and Priority Mail Services (Governors' Decision No. 09-11). The Governors' Decision includes an attachment which provides an analysis of the proposed Express Mail and Priority Mail Contract 8 and certification of the Governors' vote. Attachment B is the redacted version of the contract. Attachment C shows the requested changes to the Mail Classification Schedule product list. Attachment D provides a Statement of Supporting Justification for the Request. Attachment E provides the certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a).

competitive products by market dominant products as a result of this contract. *Id.*

**Related contract.** A redacted version of the specific Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 is included with the Request. The contract has an initial term of 3 years and is to be effective 1 day after the Commission provides all necessary regulatory approvals. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a) and 39 CFR 3015.7(c). *See id.*, Attachment A and Attachment E. It notes that actual performance under this contract could vary from estimates, but concludes that the risks are manageable. *Id.*, Attachment A.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the Governors' Decision and the specific Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8, under seal. In its Request, the Postal Service maintains that the contract and related financial information, including the customer's name and the accompanying analyses that provide prices, terms, conditions, and financial projections should remain under seal. *Id.* at 2-3.

#### II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2009-33 and CP2009-44 for consideration of the Request pertaining to the proposed Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 product and the related contract, respectively. In keeping with practice, these dockets are addressed on a consolidated basis for purposes of this Order; however, future filings should be made in the specific docket in which issues being addressed pertain.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642 and 39 CFR part 3015 and 39 CFR part 3020 subpart B. Comments are due no later than July 15, 2009. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

#### III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2009-33 and CP2009-44 for consideration of the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the