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Worker Visibility

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The FHWA adopts as final an Interim Final Rule that amends its regulations to address safety concerns raised by the firefighting community regarding high-visibility safety apparel. The purpose of adopting the Interim Final Rule as final is to reflect the exemption of firefighters from the requirement to use high-visibility safety apparel, as defined in this rule, when they are exposed to hazardous conditions where the use of such apparel may increase the risk of injury to firefighter personnel.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical information: Mr. Hari Kalla, Office of Transportation Operations, (202) 366–5915. For legal information: Mr. Raymond Cuprill, Office of Chief Counsel, (202) 366–0791, Federal Highway Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001. Office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic Access and Filing

This document, the Interim Final Rule, and all comments received may be viewed online through http://www.regulations.gov. Electronic submission and retrieval help and guidelines are available on the Web site. It is available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year. An electronic copy of this document may also be downloaded from the Office of the Federal Register’s home page at: http://www.archives.gov and the Government Printing Office’s Web page at: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara.

Background

The FHWA published an Interim Final Rule on 23 CFR Part 634 on November 21, 2008, at 73 FR 70593. Interested persons were invited to submit comments to FHWA Docket No. FHWA–2008–0157. The Interim Final Rule revised existing regulations to address safety concerns raised by the firefighting community in order to provide an exemption for firefighters actively engaged in emergency operations where they are directly exposed to flame, fire, heat and/or hazardous materials. This rule has been in effect since November 24, 2008.

Summary of Comments

The FHWA received three comments to the docket from private individuals. The first commenter expressed his opinion that a statement contained in the background information of the Interim Rule created confusion and the false impression that a firefighter does not need to comply with the regulation if he is wearing an NFPA 1971 standard compliant garment. He recommended that this language be clarified to ensure the rule is interpreted correctly by all firefighters. The FHWA disagrees with this comment. The background information was included to justify the issuance of the Interim Final Rule due to safety concerns expressed by the firefighting community. However, the language in the Interim Final Rule is accurate and does not require modification.

The second commenter supported the concept of the Interim Final Rule, but also expressed concern about the confusion that has resulted from the existence of several standards for high visibility garments and how they are being referenced in this rulemaking as well as a separate rulemaking in Docket No. FHWA–2007–28977. This rulemaking proposes to revise the 2003 edition of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices. This comment does not require any response to this docket, but rather it will be considered in the analysis of comments to Docket No. FHWA–2007–28977.

The third commenter expressed the opinion that the Interim Final Rule should not have provided any exemptions for firefighters under any circumstances. In his opinion, the requirements contained in 23 CFR 634 should, in the interest of safety, apply to all firefighters all the time. Prior to the issuance of this Interim Final Rule, the FHWA was made aware of several competing safety issues that could develop under certain conditions with the high visibility garments that are currently available, such as having the background material either catch fire or melt when exposed directly to fire. The FHWA, therefore, did issue the exemption for firefighters and other emergency workers when they are working under the conditions specifically listed in the Interim Final Rule. This exemption should provide a balance of increasing the visibility of the workers under most conditions, but not create a condition that could endanger them with other hazards.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the FHWA adopts as final the Interim Final Rule published on November 21, 2008, at 73 FR 70593.

Rulemaking Analyses and Notices

Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review) and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures

The FHWA has determined that this final rule is not a significant regulatory action within the meaning of Executive Order 12866 and is not significant within the meaning of U.S. Department of Transportation regulatory policies and procedures. The economic impact of this rulemaking will be minimal. The final rule would not adversely affect, in a material way, any sector of the economy. In addition, the final rule would not interfere with any action taken or planned by another agency and would not materially alter the budgetary impact of any entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs. Consequently, a full regulatory evaluation is not required.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

In compliance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–354, 5 U.S.C. 601–612) the FHWA has evaluated the effects of this action on small entities and has determined that the action would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small
entities. This action does not affect any funding distributed under any of the programs administered by the FHWA. For these reasons, the FHWA certifies that this action would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

This final rule would not impose unfunded mandates as defined by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4, 109 Stat. 48). This rule would not result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $128.1 million or more in any one year (2 U.S.C. 1532).

Executive Order 13132 (Federalism Assessment)

This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 13132, and the FHWA has determined that this action would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a federalism assessment. The FHWA has also determined that this action would not preempt any State law or State regulation or affect the States’ ability to discharge traditional State governmental functions.

Executive Order 13211 (Energy Effects)

We have analyzed this action under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution or Use, dated May 18, 2001. We have determined that it is not a significant energy action under that order since it is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. Therefore, a Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review)

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.

Paperwork Reduction Act

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget for each collection of information they conduct, sponsor, or require through regulations. The FHWA has determined that this rule does not contain collection of information requirements for the purposes of the PRA.

Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform)

This action meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Executive Order 13045 (Protection of Children)

The FHWA has analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. The FHWA certifies that this action would not cause any environmental risk to health or safety that might disproportionately affect children.

Executive Order 12630 (Taking of Private Property)

The FHWA has analyzed this rule under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interface with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights. The FHWA does not anticipate that this action would affect taking of private property or otherwise take implications under Executive Order 12630.

National Environmental Policy Act

The agency has analyzed this action for the purpose of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4347) and has determined that this action would not have any effect on the quality of the environment.

Regulation Identification Number

A regulation identification number (RIN) is assigned to each regulatory action listed in the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulations. The Regulatory Information Service Center publishes the Unified Agenda in April and October of each year. The RIN contained in the heading of this document can be used to cross reference this action with the Unified Agenda.

List of Subjects in 23 CFR Part 634

Design standards, Highways and roads, incorporation by reference, Traffic regulations, Workers.

In consideration of the foregoing, and under the authority of 23 U.S.C. 101(a), 109(d), 114(a), 315, and 402(a); Sec. 1402 of Pub. L. 109–59; 23 CFR 1.32; and 49 CFR 1.48(b), the Interim Final Rule amending 23 CFR Part 634 that was published on November 21, 2008 at 73 FR 70593, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Issued on: May 14, 2009.

Jeffrey F. Paniati,
Acting Deputy Federal Highway Administrator.

[FR Doc E9–13988 Filed 6–12–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

29 CFR Parts 4022 and 4044


AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation’s regulations on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans and Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans prescribe interest assumptions for valuing and paying certain benefits under terminating single-employer plans. This final rule amends the asset allocation regulation to adopt interest assumptions for plans with valuation dates in the third quarter of 2009 and amends the benefit payments regulation to adopt interest assumptions for plans with valuation dates in July 2009. Interest assumptions are also published on PBGC’s Web site (http://www.pbgc.gov).

DATES: Effective July 1, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Catherine B. Klion, Manager, Regulatory and Policy Division, Legislative and Regulatory Department, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326–4024. (TTY/TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: PBGC’s regulations prescribe actuarial assumptions—including interest assumptions—for valuing and paying plan benefits of terminating single-employer plans covered by title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The interest assumptions are intended to reflect current conditions in the financial and annuity markets.

These interest assumptions are found in two PBGC regulations: the regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans (29 CFR part 4044) and the regulation on Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans (29 CFR part 4022). Assumptions under the