

Transportation Intermediary pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 as amended (46 U.S.C. Chapter 409 and 46 CFR 515).

Persons knowing of any reason why the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Transportation Intermediaries, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573.

**Non-Vessel Operating Common Carrier Ocean Transportation Intermediary Applicants**

- Alpha Global Cargo Inc., 9990 NW 14 Street, Ste. 110, Miami, FL 33172, *Officers:* Hans K. Bottger, President (Qualifying Individual), Bernardo De La Espriella, Vice President
- Cala Investments, LLC, 2705 NW 109 Ave., Miami, FL 33172, *Officer:* Pedro Salcedo, Manager (Qualifying Individual)
- HD EXP USA Inc., 501 Broad Ave., Ridgefield, NJ 07657, *Officers:* Man S. Kwak, President (Qualifying Individual), Dong H. Kang, Vice President

- American Courier Express LLC, dba 1 Stop Pack N Ship, 785 Rockville Pike Ste. F, Rockville, MD 20852, *Officer:* Khosrow R. Ranjkesh, President (Qualifying Individual)
- Port-Air Express Corporation, 1154 54th Street, Brooklyn, NY 11219, *Officer:* Eugene Weiss, President (Qualifying Individual)

**Non-Vessel Operating Common Carrier and Ocean Freight Forwarder Transportation Intermediary Applicants**

- Agent's House International, Inc., 2120 Dennis Street, Jacksonville, FL 32204, *Officer:* Victoria Musgrave, Vice President (Qualifying Individual)
- World Logistics Services Corporation, 132 East 43rd St., The Chrysler Building, New York, NY 10017, *Officer:* Steve Licursi, President (Qualifying Individual)
- Estes Forwarding Worldwide LLC, 1100 Commerce Road, Richmond, VA 23224, *Officer:* Scott P. Fisher, Exec. VP (Qualifying Individual)
- R+L Freight Services, LLC, 600 Gillam Road, Wilmington, OH 45177-0271,

*Officer:* Lori J. Crawford, Vice President (Qualifying Individual)  
 South American Freight International, Inc., dba Global ASG Cargo, 9000 W. Flagler St., Miami, FL 33174, *Officer:* Roberto Illanes, Vice President (Qualifying Individual)

Dated: April 10, 2009.

**Karen V. Gregory,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E9-8591 Filed 4-14-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-01-P**

**FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**

**Ocean Transportation Intermediary License Reissuances**

Notice is hereby given that the following Ocean Transportation Intermediary licenses have been reissued by the Federal Maritime Commission pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. Chapter 409) and the regulations of the Commission pertaining to the licensing of Ocean Transportation Intermediaries, 46 CFR Part 515.

License No.	Name/address	Date reissued
019059F .....	Alliance Logistics, Inc., 2225 West Commonwealth Ave., Suite 103, Alhambra, CA 91803 .....	February 19, 2009.
019727N .....	Cargo Logistics LLC, 3294 Ashley Phosphate Road, Suite 2C, North Charleston, SC 29418 .....	February 9, 2009.
000751F .....	International Forwarders, Inc., 1350 Ashley River Road, Charleston, SC 29407-5347 .....	January 22, 2009.
020780N .....	Kevin Jung dba US Global Logistics, 540 S. Catalina Street, Suite 209, Los Angeles, CA 90020 .....	February 26, 2009.

**Sandra L. Kusumoto,**

*Director, Bureau of Certification and Licensing.*

[FR Doc. E9-8593 Filed 4-14-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-01-P**

**FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION**

**Ocean Transportation Intermediary License Revocations**

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice that the following Ocean Transportation Intermediary licenses have been revoked pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. Chapter 409) and the regulations of the Commission pertaining to the licensing of Ocean Transportation Intermediaries, 46 CFR Part 515, effective on the corresponding date shown below:

- License Number:* 018126N.  
*Name:* Alspac Miami Corporation.  
*Address:* 8602 NW. 70th Street, Miami, FL 33166.  
*Date Revoked:* March 20, 2009.  
*Reason:* Surrendered license voluntarily.  
*License Number:* 002375N.

- Name:* Action Customs Expeditors, Inc. dba Action Shipping Agency.  
*Address:* 115 Christopher Columbus Dr., Jersey City, NJ 07302.  
*Date Revoked:* March 30, 2009.  
*Reason:* Surrendered license voluntarily.  
*License Number:* 020046N.  
*Name:* Fremart International Inc.  
*Address:* 17800 Castleton Street, Ste. 263, City of Industry, CA 91748.  
*Date Revoked:* March 2, 2009.  
*Reason:* Surrendered license voluntarily.  
*License Number:* 002978F.  
*Name:* Galaxy Forwarding, Inc.  
*Address:* 407 River Drive So., Ste. 45, Jersey City, NJ 07310.  
*Date Revoked:* March 12, 2009.  
*Reason:* Surrendered license voluntarily.  
*License Number:* 002355N.  
*Name:* Pro-Service Forwarding Co., Inc. dba ISG Ocean Services.  
*Address:* 901 W. Hillcrest Blvd., Inglewood, CA 90301.  
*Date Revoked:* March 6, 2009.  
*Reason:* Surrendered license voluntarily.  
*License Number:* 000156F.

- Name:* W.M. Stone & Company, Incorporated.  
*Address:* 838 Granby Street, Norfolk, VA 23510.  
*Date Revoked:* March 16, 2009.  
*Reason:* Surrendered license voluntarily.  
*License Number:* 015946N.  
*Name:* Wells International Corp.  
*Address:* 180 15th Street, Jersey City, NJ 07310.  
*Date Revoked:* March 10, 2009.  
*Reason:* Surrendered license voluntarily.

**Sandra L. Kusumoto,**

*Director, Bureau of Certification and Licensing.*

[FR Doc. E9-8592 Filed 4-14-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6730-01-P**

**FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission").  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The information collection requirements described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (“PRA”). The FTC is seeking public comments on its proposal to extend through April 30, 2012, the current PRA clearance for information collection requirements contained in the Pay-Per-Call Rule (“Rule”). That clearance expires on April 30, 2009 (OMB Control No. 3084-0102).

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before May 15, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties are invited to submit written comments electronically or in paper form. Comments should refer to [“Pay-Per-Call Rule: FTC File No. R611016”] to facilitate the organization of comments. Please note that your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including on the publicly accessible FTC Website, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtml>).

Because comments will be made public, they should not include any sensitive personal information, such as an individual’s Social Security Number; date of birth; driver’s license number or other state identification number, or foreign country equivalent; passport number; financial account number; or credit or debit card number. Comments also should not include any sensitive health information, such as medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, comments should not include any “[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which is obtained from any person and which is privileged or confidential \* \* \*,” as provided in Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act (“FTC Act”), 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and Commission Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). Comments containing material for which confidential treatment is requested must be filed in paper form, must be clearly labeled “Confidential,” and must comply with FTC Rule 4.9(c).<sup>1</sup>

Because paper mail addressed to the FTC is subject to delay due to heightened security screening, please consider submitting your comments in electronic form. Comments filed in

electronic form should be submitted by using the following weblink: [<https://secure.commentworks.com/ftc-PPCRulePRA>] (and following the instructions on the web-based form). To ensure that the Commission considers an electronic comment, you must file it on the web-based form at the weblink [<https://secure.commentworks.com/ftc-PPCRulePRA>]. If this Notice appears at (<http://www.regulations.gov/search/index.jsp>), you may also file an electronic comment through that website. The Commission will consider all comments that [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) forwards to it. You may also visit the FTC Website at <http://www.ftc.gov> to read the Notice and the news release describing it.

A comment filed in paper form should include the “Pay-Per-Call Rule: FTC File No. R611016” reference both in the text and on the envelope, and should be mailed or delivered to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Room H-135 (Annex J), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580. The FTC is requesting that any comment filed in paper form be sent by courier or overnight service, if possible, because U.S. postal mail in the Washington area and at the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions.

All comments should additionally be submitted to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for the Federal Trade Commission. Comments should be submitted via facsimile to (202) 395-5167, because U.S. Postal Mail is subject to lengthy delays due to heightened security precautions.

The Federal Trade Commission Act (“FTC Act”) and other laws the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives, whether filed in paper or electronic form. Comments received will be available to the public on the FTC Website, to the extent practicable, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtml>). As a matter of discretion, the Commission makes every effort to remove home contact information for individuals from the public comments it receives before placing those comments on the FTC Website. More information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, may be found in the FTC’s privacy policy, at (<http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.shtml>).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests for additional information or copies of the proposed information requirements should be sent to Ruth Yodaiken, Attorney, Division of Marketing Practices, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326-2127.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 30, 1998, the Commission published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NPRM”), 63 FR 58524, to amend its Pay-Per-Call Rule, 16 CFR Part 308.<sup>2</sup> The Rule, which implements Titles II and III of the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act (“TDDRA”), 15 U.S.C. 5711-14, 5721-24, requires the disclosure of cost and other information regarding pay-per-call services and establishes dispute resolution procedures for telephone-billed purchases (*i.e.*, charges for pay-per-call services or other charges appearing on a telephone bill other than telecommunications charges). As was explained in the NPRM, the Rule contains certain reporting and disclosure requirements that are subject to OMB review under the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501-3521.<sup>3</sup> Accordingly, the FTC submitted the Rule, with proposed amendments, to OMB (*see* 64 FR 70031, Dec. 15, 1999) for its approval, which was granted until December 31, 2002 (OMB control number 3084-0102). Thereafter, the FTC obtained renewed clearance from OMB covering both the existing Rule and the proposed changes, with the most recent clearance set to expire April 30, 2009. The FTC is again seeking renewed 3-year clearance for the Rule, but now only regarding the existing Rule. The proposed changes have not been enacted and any final decision thereto is too uncertain to merit inclusion in this request for clearance renewal. The Commission will seek PRA clearance separately for any proposed rule amendments if that becomes necessary at a future date.

As required by the PRA, on December 30, 2008, the FTC provided the public with a 60-day period for comment before requesting that OMB extend the existing paperwork clearance for the regulations noted herein. 44 U.S.C.

<sup>2</sup> The Rule was originally promulgated as the “Trade Regulation Rule Pursuant to the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act of 1992,” and was known as the “900-Number Rule.” In its NPRM, the Commission refers to the Rule as the “Trade Regulation Rule Concerning Pay-Per-Call Services and Other Telephone-Billed Purchases.” In this document it will be referred to as the “Pay-Per-Call Rule.”

<sup>3</sup> The Rule contains no recordkeeping requirements that would be subject to the PRA.

<sup>1</sup> *See also* FTC Rule 4.2(d), 16 CFR 4.2(d). The comment must be accompanied by an explicit request for confidential treatment, including the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. The request will be granted or denied by the Commission’s General Counsel, consistent with applicable law and the public interest. *See* FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

3506(c)(2)(A); see 73 FR 79881, Dec. 30, 2008. No comments were received by the FTC.

Pursuant to the OMB regulations that implement the PRA (5 CFR Part 1320), the Commission is providing this second opportunity for public comment while seeking OMB clearance for the Pay-Per-Call Rule regulations. All comments should be filed as prescribed in the **ADDRESSES** section above, and must be received on or before May 15, 2009.

*Brief description of the need for and proposed use of the information:* The existing reporting and disclosure requirements are mandated by the TDDRA to help prevent unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the advertising and operation of pay-per-call services and in the collection of charges for telephone-billed purchases. The information obtained by the Commission pursuant to the reporting requirement is used for law enforcement purposes. The disclosure requirements ensure that consumers are adequately informed of the costs they can expect to incur in using a pay-per-call service, that they will not be liable for unauthorized non-toll charges on their telephone bills, and that they have certain dispute resolution rights and obligations with regarding such telephone-billed purchases.

*Likely respondents and their estimated number:* Respondents are telecommunications common carriers (subject to the reporting requirement only, unless acting as a billing entity), information providers (vendors) offering one or more pay-per-call services or programs, and billing entities. Staff estimates that there are 13 common carriers,<sup>4</sup> approximately 13,350 vendors,<sup>5</sup> and approximately 1,250

<sup>4</sup> This estimate is based on the North American Numbering Plan Association Report, "900-NXX Codes," (<http://www.nanpa.com/nas/public/form900MasterReport.do?method=display900MasterReport>) (updated as of November 2008), and excluding Canadian entities and one carrier that recently withdrew from carrying 900 number service. See Federal Communications Commission, "Section 63.71 Application of Sprint Communications Company L.P. for Authority to Discontinue Domestic Telecommunications Services," Order, WC Docket No. 08-116, DA 08-2557 (Wireline Competition Bureau Nov. 24, 2008) ("FCC Sprint Order").

<sup>5</sup> This number or an estimate thereof is difficult to derive as there is no ready source of such statistics. For instant purposes, FTC staff has reduced its most recent prior (2006) PRA-related estimate of the number of vendors (approximately 15,000) by 11 percent, reflecting a corresponding decrease in the allocation of 900 numbers. It is noteworthy that one carrier which recently withdrew from carrying 900-number services stated that between 2004 and 2007 claimed that it saw a 41.5 percent decrease in vendor use of such numbers. See FCC Sprint Order. However, erring conservatively, FTC staff instead is applying an 11

possible billing entities.<sup>6</sup> The FTC seeks public comment or data on these estimates as well as those additionally stated below.

*Estimated annual reporting and disclosure burden:* 2,468,412 hours; \$133,705,222 in associated labor costs<sup>7</sup>

The burden hour estimate for each reporting and disclosure requirement has been multiplied by a "blended" wage rate (expressed in dollars per hour), based on the particular skill mix needed to carry out that requirement, to determine its total annual cost. The blended rate calculations are based on the following skill categories and average wage rates and/or labor costs: \$250/hour for professional (attorney) services; \$15/hour for skilled clerical workers; \$35/hour for computer programmers; and \$50/hour for management time. These figures are averages, based on the most currently available Bureau of Labor Statistics ("BLS") cost figures posted online.<sup>8</sup> FTC staff calculated labor costs by applying appropriate hourly cost figures to the burden hours discussed further below.

(1) *Reporting burden:*

The Rule provides that common carriers must make available to the Commission, upon written request, any records and financial information maintained by such carrier relating to the arrangements between the carrier

percent reduction in the number of vendors, tied to a comparison of the number of 900-NXX codes allocated per vendor, as reported annually by the North American Numbering Plan Administration (NANPA). In 2004, it was 133; in 2007, it fell to 118.

<sup>6</sup> The Federal Communications Commission report on telephone statistics indicated that at the end of 2007 there were approximately 1,250 local telephone companies (local exchange carriers). See Local Telephone Competition: Status as of December 31, 2007 (released 9/08) (tables 3 and 4), available at (<http://www.fcc.gov/wcb/iatd/comp.html>).

<sup>7</sup> Non-labor (e.g., capital/other start-up) costs are generally subsumed in activities otherwise undertaken in the ordinary course of business (e.g., business records from which only existing information must be reported to the Commission, pay-per-call advertisements or audiotext to which cost or other disclosures are added, etc.). To the extent that entities incur operating or maintenance expenses, or purchase outside services to satisfy the Rule's requirements, staff believe those expenses are also included in (or, if contracted out, would be comparable to) the annual burden hour and cost estimates provided below (where such costs are labor-related), or are otherwise included in the ordinary cost of doing business (regarding non-labor costs).

<sup>8</sup> (<http://www.bls.gov/ncs/ncswage2007.htm>) (National Compensation Survey: Occupational Earnings in the United States 2007, US Department of Labor, BLS, released August 2008, Bulletin 2704, Table 3 ("Full-time civilian workers," mean and median hourly wages). Notwithstanding the referenced BLS data, estimated attorney costs are based on what staff believes may more closely reflect hourly attorney costs associated with Commission information collection activities under the Rule.

and any vendor or service bureau. See 16 CFR 308.6. Staff believes that the resulting burden on this segment of the industry will be minimal, since OMB's definition of "burden" for PRA purposes excludes any business effort that would be expended regardless of a regulatory requirement. 5 CFR 1320.3(b)(2). Because this reporting requirement permits staff to seek information limited to that which is already maintained by the carriers, the only burden would be the time an entity expends to compile and provide the information to the Commission. Because of continued industry changes and the infrequency with which the Commission has relied on this requirement, staff is reducing by 40 percent (from 5 hours to 3 hours per entity) the estimated annual time burden per entity for this reporting requirement.

In obtaining OMB clearance for this reporting requirement in 2006, staff estimated a total reporting burden of 70 hours, with an annual cost of \$5,145. For the pending submission to OMB, staff has decreased its burden hour estimate to 39 hours, based on an average estimate of 3 hours (rather than 5) expended by 13 common carriers. Using a \$75 blended wage rate (assuming for all labor calculations herein, \$35/hour for computer programmers, \$250/hour for attorneys, \$15/hour for skilled clerical workers, and \$50/hour for managers),<sup>9</sup> the FTC now estimates an annual cost of \$2,925.

(2) *Disclosure burden:*

(a) *Advertising.* FTC staff estimates that the annual burden on the industry for the Rule's advertising disclosure requirements is 48,060 hours. The estimate reflects the burden on approximately 13,350 vendors who must make cost disclosures for all pay-per-call services and additional disclosures if the advertisement is (a) directed to individuals under 18 or (b) for certain pay-per-call services.<sup>10</sup> Because of continued industry changes and the infrequency with which the Commission has relied on this requirement, staff is reducing the

<sup>9</sup> This blended wage rate is based upon an estimate of 30 percent for computer programming, 20 percent for attorney services, 30 percent for skilled clerical workers, and 20 percent for managerial time.

<sup>10</sup> Based on an assumed three advertisements per vendor, or a total of 40,050 ads (for 13,350 vendors, as explained in note 5), plus an estimated total 20 percent of which would require such additional disclosures, or 8,010 advertisements. Staff estimates that it would require no more than one hour to draft each type of disclosure. Accordingly, at an estimated one hour each, vendors would require cumulatively 48,060 burden hours to comply with these requirements.

estimated percentage of advertising both directed to individuals under 18 and relating to certain other pay-per-call services to 20 percent of overall pay-per-call services. FTC staff estimated that each disclosure mandated by the Rule requires approximately one hour of compliance time.

The total estimated annual cost of these burden hours is \$3,316,140 applying a blended wage rate of \$69/hour.<sup>11</sup>

(b) *The Rule's preamble disclosure.* To comply with the Act, the Pay-Per-Call Rule also requires that every pay-per-call service be preceded by a free preamble and that four different disclosures be made in each preamble. Additionally, preambles to sweepstakes pay-per-call services and services that offer information on federal programs must provide additional disclosures. Each preamble need only be prepared one time, unless the cost or other information is changed. There is no additional burden on the vendor to make the disclosures for each telephone call, because the preambles are taped and play automatically when a caller dials the pay-per-call number.

In its 2006 submission for renewed OMB clearance under the PRA, FTC staff estimated that there were approximately 45,864 pay-per-call services required to make disclosures in the preamble to the pay-per-call service, at an average burden of 10 hours for each preamble, resulting in a total burden estimate of 458,640 hours. As noted above, staff now believes that the industry has had at least an 11 percent reduction in size since the FTC's immediately prior pursuit of renewed clearance. Accordingly, staff now estimates that there are no more than 40,819 advertised pay-per-call services.

As with advertising disclosures, preambles for certain pay-per-call services require additional preamble disclosures. Consistent with the estimates of advertised pay-per-call services discussed above, staff estimates that an additional 20 percent of all such pay-per-call services (8,164) relating to certain types of pay-per-call services would require such additional disclosures.<sup>12</sup> On further reflection, staff now estimates that it would require no more than one hour to draft each type of disclosure because the disclosures applicable to the preamble closely approximate in content and volume the advertising disclosures discussed above. Accordingly, staff estimates a total of

48,983 burden hours (40,819 + 8,164) to comply with these requirements. At one hour each, cumulative labor cost associated with these disclosures is \$3,379,827, using a blended wage rate of \$69/hour (*i.e.*, similar to the blended rate used for advertising disclosures).

(c) *Telephone-billed charges in billing statements.* Section 308.5(j) of the Rule, 16 CFR 308.5(j), requires that vendors ensure that certain disclosures appear on each billing statement that contains a charge for a call to a pay-per-call service. Because these disclosures appear on telephone bills already generated by the local telephone companies, and because the carriers are already subject to nearly identical requirements pursuant to the FCC's rules, FTC staff estimated that the burden to comply would be minimal. At most, the burden on the vendor would be limited to spot checking telephone bills to ensure that the charges are displayed in the manner required by the Rule.

As it had in the 2006 PRA submission, FTC staff estimates that only 10 percent of vendors (1,350) would monitor billing statements in this manner and that it would take 12 hours per year to conduct such checks. Using the total estimated number of vendors noted above, this results in a total of 16,020 burden hours. The total annual cost would be at most \$997,245, using a blended rate of \$62.25/hour.<sup>13</sup>

(d) *Dispute resolution procedures in billing statements.* This disclosure requirement is set forth in 16 CFR 308.7(c). The blended rate being used for these disclosures is \$53.5/hour.<sup>14</sup> FTC staff previously estimated that the billing entities would spend approximately 5 hours each to review, revise, and provide the disclosures on an annual basis. The estimated hour burden for the annual notice component of this requirement is 6,250 burden hours (based on 1,250 possible billing entities each requiring 5 hours each), or a total cost of \$334,375.

(e) *Further disclosures related to consumers reporting a billing error*

As in the 2006 PRA submission for this Rule, FTC staff estimates that the incremental disclosure obligations related to consumers reporting a billing error under section 308.7(d) requires, on average, about one hour per each billing error. Previously, staff projected that

approximately 5 percent of an estimated 49,980,000 calls made to pay-per-call services each year involves such a billing error. The staff is now reducing its prior estimate of the number of those calls by 6 percent<sup>15</sup> (46,981,200 calls) to reflect recent changes in the amount of pay-per-call services and their billing. Assuming the same apportionment (5 percent) of overall calls to pay-per-call services, this amounts to 2,349,060 hours, cumulatively. Applying the \$53.5/hour blended wage rate, the estimated annual cost is \$125,674,710 annually.

David C. Shonka,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. E9-8665 Filed 4-14-09; 8:45 am]

[BILLING CODE 6750-01-S]

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-09-0040]

#### Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404-639-5960 and send comments to Maryam I. Daneshvar, CDC Acting Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov).

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information

<sup>13</sup> The blended rate is 15 percent for attorney services, 40 percent for skilled clerical workers, 25 percent for computer programming, and 20 percent for management time.

<sup>14</sup> The blended rate is 40 percent for computer programming, 10 percent for attorney services, 30 percent for skilled clerical workers, and 20 percent for management time.

<sup>15</sup> Six percent is determined by an approximate halving of the above-noted 11% reduction staff has applied to its prior estimate of the number of vendors (*see note 5*). As in past clearance requests for this Rule, it is halved on the assumption that pay-per-call services do not account for any more than half of all telephone-billed purchases.

<sup>11</sup> The blended rate is based upon 20 percent for attorney services, 60 percent for skilled clerical workers, and 20 percent for management time.

<sup>12</sup> *See note 10.*