

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Anne Foster, 1445 Ross Avenue, Ste.  
1200 (RC-S) Dallas, Texas 75202-2733  
or call (214) 665-2169.

Dated: March 13, 2009.

**Lawrence E. Starfield,**  
*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.*  
[FR Doc. E9-6881 Filed 3-26-09; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8787-3]

### Maryland; Adequacy Status of the 2008 Reasonable Further Progress Plan for the Baltimore 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets (MVEBs) in the Reasonable Further Progress Plan (RFP) submitted as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision on June 4, 2007 by the Maryland Department of the Environment, (MDE) are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of EPA's finding, the State of Maryland must use the MVEBs from the June 4, 2007 RFP Plan for future conformity determinations for the 8-hour ozone standard.

**DATES:** These MVEBs are effective April 13, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Martin Kotsch, U.S. EPA, Region III,  
1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA  
19103 at (215) 814-3335 or by e-mail at:  
[kotsch.martin@EPA.gov](mailto:kotsch.martin@EPA.gov). The finding is  
available at EPA's conformity Web site:  
[http://www.epa.gov/otaq/  
stateresources/transconf/currpsips.htm](http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/currpsips.htm).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document "we," "us," or "our" refer to EPA. The word "budgets" refers to the motor vehicle emission budgets for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx). The word "SIP" in this document refers to the RFP Plans for the Baltimore 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area submitted to EPA as SIP revisions on June 4, 2007.

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that EPA has already made. EPA Region III sent a letter to MDE on January 15, 2009 stating that the MVEBs in the RFP Plan are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of

EPA's finding, the State of Maryland must use the MVEBs from the June 4, 2007 RFP Plan for future conformity determinations for the 8-hour ozone standard. This finding has also been announced on EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/pastsips.htm>. The adequate MVEBs are provided in the following table:

TABLE 1—MARYLAND MOTOR VEHICLE  
EMISSIONS BUDGETS

| Nonattainment area | 2008 Reasonable further progress |           |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
|                    | VOC (tpd)                        | NOX (tpd) |
| Baltimore ...      | 41.2                             | 106.8     |

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedure for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved. We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in 40 CFR 93.118(f), and have followed this rule in making our adequacy determination.

Dated: March 10, 2009.

**William T. Wisniewski,**  
*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*  
[FR Doc. E9-6883 Filed 3-26-09; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8787-2]

### Maryland; Adequacy Status of the 2008 Reasonable Further Progress Plan for the Maryland Portion of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets (MVEBs) in the Reasonable Further Progress Plan (RFP) submitted as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision on June 4, 2007 by the Maryland Department of the Environment, (MDE) are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of EPA's finding, the State of Maryland must use the MVEBs from the June 4, 2007 RFP Plan for future conformity determinations for the 8-hour ozone standard.

**DATES:** These MVEBs are effective April 13, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Martin Kotsch, U.S. EPA, Region III,  
1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA  
19103 at (215) 814-3335 or by e-mail at:  
[kotsch.martin@EPA.gov](mailto:kotsch.martin@EPA.gov). The finding is  
available at EPA's conformity Web site:  
[http://www.epa.gov/otaq/  
stateresources/transconf/currpsips.htm](http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/currpsips.htm).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document "we," "us," or "our" refer to EPA. The word "budgets" refers to the motor vehicle emission budgets for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx). The word "SIP" in this document refers to the RFP Plans for the Maryland portion of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Atlantic City Ozone Nonattainment Area submitted to EPA as SIP revisions on June 4, 2007.

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that EPA has already made. EPA Region III sent a letter to MDE on January 15, 2009 stating that the MVEBs in the RFP Plan are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of EPA's finding, the State of Maryland must use the MVEBs from the June 4, 2007 RFP Plan for future conformity determinations for the 8-hour ozone standard. This finding has also been announced on EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/pastsips.htm>.

The adequate MVEBs are provided in the following table:

TABLE 1—MARYLAND MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS

| Nonattainment area | 2008 Reasonable further progress |           |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
|                    | VOC (tpd)                        | NOX (tpd) |
| Cecil County ..... | 2.3                              | 7.9       |

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and

procedure for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved. We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in 40 CFR 93.118(f), and have followed this rule in making our adequacy determination.

Dated: March 10, 2009.

**William T. Wisniewski,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

[FR Doc. E9-6878 Filed 3-26-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

### Staff Implementation Guidance

**AGENCY:** Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board.

**ACTION:** Notice of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards Advisory Board Staff Implementation Guidance 31.1: Guidance for Implementation of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards 31, Accounting for Fiduciary Activities.

**SUMMARY:** Board Action: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, section 10(a)(2), and the FASAB Rules Of

Procedure, as amended in April, 2004, notice is hereby given that the Federal Financial Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) staff has issued Staff Implementation Guidance (SIG) 31.1: Guidance for Implementation of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards 31, Accounting for Fiduciary Activities.

The SIG will be available at <http://www.fasab.gov/codifica.html>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wendy Payne, Executive Director, 441 G St., NW., Mail Stop 6K17V, Washington, DC 20548, or call (202) 512-7350.

**Authority:** Federal Advisory Committee Act. Pub. L. No. 92463.

Dated: March 19, 2009.

**Charles Jackson,**

*Federal Register Liaison.*

[FR Doc. E9-6389 Filed 3-26-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1610-01-M**

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection Renewal (3064-0151); Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The FDIC, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on a continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35). Currently, the FDIC is soliciting comments concerning the following collection of information titled: "Notice Regarding Assessment Credits."

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before May 26, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties are invited to submit written comments by any of the following methods. All comments should refer to the name and number of the collection:

- <http://www.FDIC.gov/regulations/laws/federal/notices.html>.
- *E-mail: comments@fdic.gov.*

Include the name and number of the collection in the subject line of the message.

- *Mail:* Leneta G. Gregorie (202.898.3719), Counsel, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, F-1064, 550 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20429.

- *Hand Delivery:* Comments may be hand-delivered to the guard station at the rear of the 550 17th Street Building (located on F Street), on business days between 7 a.m. and 5 p.m.

A copy of the comments may also be submitted to the OMB Desk Officer for the FDIC: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Leneta G. Gregorie, at the address identified above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Proposal to renew the following currently approved collection of information:

*Title:* Notice Regarding Assessment Credits.

*OMB Number:* 3064-0151.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Affected Public:* FDIC-insured institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 15.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 2 hours.

*Total Annual Burden:* 30 hours.

*General Description of Collection:* FDIC-insured institutions must notify the FDIC if deposit insurance assessment credits are transferred, e.g., through a sale of the credits or through a merger, in order to obtain recognition of the transfer.

*Request for Comment:* Comments are invited on: (a) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the FDIC's functions, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the estimate of the burden of the information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

At the end of the comment period, the comments and recommendations received will be analyzed to determine the extent to which the collection should be modified prior to submission to OMB for review and approval. Comments submitted in response to this notice also will be summarized or included in the FDIC's requests to OMB for renewal of this collection. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated at Washington, DC, dated this 24th day of March, 2009.