

reasonable (1, 3, or 5 years)? If more than a one-year term, could retrospective pricing be a reasonable approach based on the profit/loss ratio?

h. *Subcontractors*. Do you recommend that subcontractors obtain their own individual policies, or do you recommend that the prime contractor purchase the insurance for all its subcontractors (at all tiers)?

i. *DBA Data*. Please provide recommendations on how DoD can best collect, analyze, and act on relevant DBA data from various sources to optimize its understanding and tracking of DBA costs and trends and put DoD in the most favorable negotiating position.

j. *Medical Care*. Please provide data and analysis on the costs of finding sources of adequate medical care for countries where the standard of care is insufficient.

k. *Contracting Entity*. If DoD procures DBA coverage (vs. contractors procuring), should DoD be contracting with broker(s) or carrier(s) or some combination of the two?

l. *Discounts*. By including DBA insurance with other insurance coverage, what type of discount is typically obtained on DBA insurance?

m. *Impact of Safety Record*. How does a contractor's safety record affect insurance rates—does it have a significant impact? How much of a discount is normally offered for a good safety record?

n. *Maximum Mandated DBA Rates*. What is your position on DoD mandating maximum DBA rates based upon job description (classification), geography (e.g., Iraq vs. Germany) and loss experience? What would be your response to having to file your proposed rates with DoD for approval each year, based upon your own individual loss experience and trending?

o. *WHA Claims*. Please provide the percent of DBA claims that are initially believed to be War Hazard Act (WHA) claims. Please provide the percent of initial WHA claims that are later determined by DoL not to be WHA claims. How long on average does it take DoL to settle and reimburse the insurance carrier for WHA claims? Typically, does DoL pay the entire WHA claim amount the carrier submits—if not, what is the average percent?

4. Specific Questions for DoD Contractors

In addition to the questions in 1 and 2 above soliciting information from all interested parties, DoD would appreciate additional responses from DoD contractors to the following questions:

a. *Current Practice*. How do you acquire your DBA coverage today? Do you purchase insurance or are you an approved self-insurer for this coverage?

b. *Purchased Insurance*. If you purchase your DBA insurance, is it: (a) Acquired through a stand-alone insurance policy; (b) acquired through a multi-line insurance program with DBA coverage separately priced; or (c) acquired through a multi-line insurance program with DBA coverage not separately priced?

c. *Supplemental Coverage*. Do you supplement the standard DBA coverage for employees with medical assistance or additional life or disability coverage? Do you do so: (a) For all DBA covered employees; or (b) only for specific categories of employees? Are the premiums for any such coverage: (a) Paid for in full by the company; (b) paid for in part by the company and in part by the employee; or (c) paid in full by the employee?

d. *Subcontractors*. Do you recommend that subcontractors obtain their own individual policies, or do you recommend that the prime contractor purchase the insurance for all its subcontractors (at all tiers)?

e. *Discounts*. By including DBA insurance with other insurance coverage, what type of discount is typically obtained on DBA insurance?

f. *Impact of Safety Record*. How does a contractor's safety record affect insurance rates—does it have a significant impact? How much of a discount is normally offered for a good safety record?

Michele P. Peterson,

Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.

[FR Doc. E9-6808 Filed 3-25-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before May 26, 2009.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and

Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Director, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: March 20, 2009.

Angela C. Arrington,

Director, Information Collections Clearance Division, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.

Office of Postsecondary Education

Type of Review: New.

Title: IEPS Fulbright-Hays Group Projects Abroad Customer Surveys.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Affected Public: Individuals or household.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 1,629.

Burden Hours: 723.

Abstract: The purpose of this evaluation is to assess the impact of the Group Projects Abroad (GPA) program in enhancing the foreign language capacity of the United States. Three surveys will be conducted: A survey of the GPA Project Directors; a survey of

2002–2007 GPA alumni; and a survey of 2008 alumni. Results from the three surveys will inform the writing of a final report determining the impact of the GPA program.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the “Browse Pending Collections” link and by clicking on link number 3993. When you access the information collection, click on “Download Attachments” to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202–4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov or faxed to 202–401–0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request.

Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be electronically mailed to ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. E9–6625 Filed 3–25–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Correction notice.

SUMMARY: On March 20, 2009 the U.S. Department of Education published a comment period notice in the **Federal Register** (Page 11291, Column 3) for the information collection, “Feasibility and Conduct of an Impact Evaluation of Title I Supplemental Education Services”. This notice amends the responses to 22,260 and the burden hours to 2,139. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, hereby issues a correction notice as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

Dated: March 23, 2009.

Angela C. Arrington,
IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management.
[FR Doc. E9–6790 Filed 3–25–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2611–068]

Madison Paper Industries; Hydro Kennebec Limited Partnership; Notice of Application for Transfer of License, and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Protests

March 19, 2009.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

- a. *Application Type:* Transfer of License.
- b. *Project No.:* 2611–068.
- c. *Date Filed:* February 26, 2009.
- d. *Applicants:* Madison Paper Industries and Hydro Kennebec Limited Partnership (transferors), and Madison Paper Industries (transferee).
- e. *Name and Location of Project:* The Hydro Kennebec Project is located in Kennebec and Somerset Counties, Maine, on the Kennebec River, a navigable waterway of the United States.
- f. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791a–825r.
- g. *Applicant Contacts:* For the transferor and transferee: Madison Paper Industries—Ms. Sarah A. Verville, Pierce Atwood LLP, One Monument Square, Portland, ME 04101, (207) 791–1100.

For the transferor: Hydro-Kennebec Limited Partnership—Mr. Mel Jiganti, Esq., Hydro-Kennebec Limited Partnership, c/o Brookfield Renewable Power, 290 Donald Lynch Boulevard, Marlborough, MA 01752, (508) 251–7705.

h. *FERC Contact:* Patricia W. Gillis at (202) 502–8735.

i. *Deadline for Filing Comments, Protests, and Motions to Intervene:* April 20, 2009.

All documents (original and eight copies) should be filed with: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission’s Web site under the “e-Filing” link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. Please include the Project Number (P–2611–068) on any comments or motions filed.

The Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure require all intervenors filing a document with the Commission

to serve a copy of that document on each person in the official service list for the project. Further, if an intervenor files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the documents on that resource agency.

j. *Description of Application:* The Applicants seek Commission approval to transfer the license for the Hydro Kennebec Project from Madison Paper Industries and Hydro Kennebec Limited Partnership to Madison Paper Industries only.

k. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission’s Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the “eLibrary” link. Enter the docket number (P–2611) in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call toll-free 1–866–208–3676 or e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov. For TTY, call (202) 502–8659. A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the addresses in item g above.

l. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission’s mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

m. *Comments, Protests, or Motions To Intervene*—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission’s Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

n. *Filing and Service of Responsive Documents*—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title “COMMENTS”, “PROTEST”, OR “MOTION TO INTERVENE”, as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and eight copies to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicants specified in the particular application.