

opportunity to fully review and provide comments regarding this project, the decision was made to withdraw the ROD of July 2007 and issue a second draft work plan and second draft EIS for the Lost River Subwatershed Project.

Dated: February 19, 2009.

Kevin Wickey,

State Conservationist.

[FR Doc. E9-6247 Filed 3-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economics and Statistics Administration

Bureau of Economic Analysis Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463 as amended by Pub. L. 94-409, Pub. L. 96-523, Pub. L. 97-375 and Pub. L. 105-153), we are announcing a meeting of the Bureau of Economic Analysis Advisory Committee. The meeting will address ways in which the national economic accounts can be presented more effectively for current economic analysis and recent statistical developments in national accounting.

DATES: Friday, May 1, 2009, the meeting will begin at 9 a.m. and adjourn at 3:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the Bureau of Economic Analysis at 1441 L St. NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey Newman, Media and Outreach Lead, Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone number: (202) 606-9265.

Public Participation: This meeting is open to the public. Because of security procedures, anyone planning to attend the meeting must contact Jeffrey Newman of BEA at (202) 606-9265 in advance. The meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for foreign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Jeffrey Newman at (202) 606-9265.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee was established September 2, 1999. The Committee advises the Director of BEA on matters related to the development and improvement of BEA's national, regional, industry, and international economic accounts, especially in areas of new and rapidly

growing economic activities arising from innovative and advancing technologies, and provides recommendations from the perspectives of the economics profession, business, and government. This will be the Committee's eighteenth meeting.

Dated: March 13, 2009.

Rosemary D. Marcuss,

Deputy Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

[FR Doc. E9-6248 Filed 3-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-533-848)

Commodity Matchbooks from India: Notice of Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 23, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3874.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On November 24, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of antidumping investigation of imports of commodity matchbooks from India. *See Commodity Matchbooks from India: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation*, 73 FR 70965 (Nov. 24, 2008). The notice of initiation stated that we would issue our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of initiation, in accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The preliminary determination is currently due no later than April 7, 2009.

On March 12, 2009, the petitioner, D. D. Bean & Sons Co., made a timely request pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(e) for a 50-day extension of the preliminary determination. The petitioner requested that the determination be extended due to the complexities of the case and the difficulty in obtaining useable information from the sole respondent, Triveni Safety Matches Pvt. Ltd.

Under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, if the petitioner makes a timely request for an extension of the period within which the preliminary determination must be made under subsection (b)(1), then the Department may postpone making the preliminary determination under subsection (b)(1) until not later than the 190th day after the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation. Therefore, for the reasons identified by the petitioner and because there are no compelling reasons to deny the request, the Department is postponing the preliminary determination in this investigation until May 27, 2009, which is 190 days from the date on which the Department initiated this investigation.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: March 16, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9-6177 Filed 3-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-580-825)

Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Amended Final Results of the Administrative Review Pursuant to Final Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 23, 2009.

SUMMARY: On December 22, 2008, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the Department of Commerce (the Department) results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT remand and entered final judgment in *Husteel Company, Ltd. and SeAH Corp., Ltd., v. United States, Consol. Ct. No. 06-00075, Slip Op. 08-139* (CIT December 22, 2008) (*Husteel v. United States III*). *See Results of Redetermination on Remand Pursuant to Husteel Company, Ltd., and SeAH Corp., Ltd., v. United States*, dated December 5, 2008 (*Final Remand Results*) (available at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/remands>).

As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this case, the Department is amending its final results to the administrative review covering oil country tubular goods, other than drill

pipe, from Korea covering the period of review (POR) of August 1, 2003 through July 31, 2004 to reflect the *Final Remand Results*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Scott Lindsay, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0780.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This matter arose from a challenge to *Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 13091 (March 14, 2006) (*Final Results*), and accompanying *Issues and Decision Memorandum* covering the POR of August 1, 2003 through July 31, 2004. In the *Final Results*, the Department found that the use of third country sales to a non-market economy, the People's Republic of China (PRC) in this case, were inappropriate for determining normal value, because these sales were not representative. *Id.* As such, in calculating normal value for SeAH Steel Corp. Ltd. (SeAH), the Department used SeAH's third country sales to Canada, and in calculating normal value for Husteel Co. Ltd. (Husteel), the Department used constructed value. Therefore, SeAH was assigned a rate of 6.84 percent, and Husteel was assigned a rate of 12.30 percent. *Id.*

In *Husteel Co., Ltd. and SeAH Steel Corporation Ltd. v. United States*, Consol. Ct. No. 06-00075, Slip Op. 06-2 (May 15, 2007 CIT), the CIT remanded the Department's *Final Results* holding that Department did not adequately explain its basis for finding that the prices of HuSteel's and SeAH's (collectively plaintiffs) sales to the PRC were not representative pursuant to section 773(a)(1)(B)(ii)(I) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Specifically, the CIT found that the Department failed to explain: (1) why plaintiffs' sales should be treated as sales into a non-market economy (NME); and (2) why the Department treated plaintiffs' price data differently than it treats price data for sales from market economy suppliers to NME respondents in its NME dumping cases. On October 30, 2007, the Department issued its *Results of Redetermination on Remand Pursuant to Husteel Co., Ltd. and SeAH Steel Corporation Ltd. v. United States*, Consol. Ct. No. 06-00075, Slip Op. 06-2 (May 15, 2007 CIT), (*Remand Results I*). In *Remand Results*

I, the Department continued to find Plaintiffs' sales into the PRC were not representative of section 773(a)(1)(B)(ii)(I) of the Act and provided additional support for this determination.

In *Husteel Company, Ltd., and SeAH Corp., Ltd., v. United States*, Consol. Ct. No. 06-00075, Slip Op. 08-62 (CIT June 2, 2008) (*HuSteel vs United States II*), the CIT remanded the Department's *Remand Results I*, holding that the Department's finding, that sales into an NME are not representative, was not supported by substantial record evidence. The CIT directed the Department to either present persuasive record evidence that plaintiffs' sales into the PRC were not representative within the meaning of 19 U.S.C. § 1677b(a)(1)(B)(ii)(I), or find the sales into the PRC to be representative, and then recalculate and assign the plaintiffs new antidumping duty assessment rates. On August 29, 2008, the Department issued its final results of redetermination pursuant to *Husteel vs United States II*. See *Results of Redetermination on Remand Pursuant to Husteel Company, Ltd., and SeAH Corp., Ltd., v. United States* (August 29, 2008) (*Remand Results II*). The remand redetermination explained that, in accordance with the CIT's instructions, after finding sales to the PRC to be representative, the Department recalculated the assessment rate for SeAH and Husteel. Specifically, the Department determined SeAH's new weighted-average margin to be 0.59 percent, and Husteel's new weighted-average margin to be 0.62 percent.

However, in the *Remand Results II* for Husteel, the Department inadvertently treated certain Korean inventory carrying costs as if they were denominated in U.S. dollars when they, in fact, had been denominated in Korean won. Therefore, in *Husteel Company Ltd. and SeAH Corp. Ltd., v. United States*, Consol. Ct. No. 06-00075, Slip Op. 08-127 (CIT November 21, 2008), the CIT upheld the Department's *Remand Results II*, with the exception of the calculation of certain inventory carrying costs. The CIT ordered the Department to correct its calculation of Husteel's Korean inventory carrying costs. In accordance with the CIT's order, the Department corrected its calculation with regard to Husteel's Korean inventory carrying costs. See *Final Remand Results*. As a result, Husteel's new dumping margin is now *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent) and SeAH's margin remains 0.59 percent.

On January 29, 2009, consistent with the decision in *Timken Co. v. United*

States, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990), the Department notified the public that the CIT's decision was not in harmony with Department's final results. See *Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, From Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony with Final Results of Administrative Review*, 74 FR 5147 (January 29, 2009). There was no appeal of the CIT's decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit filed within the appeal period. Therefore, the CIT's decision is now final and conclusive.

Amended Final Results of the Review

As the litigation in this case has concluded, the Department is amending the *Final Results* to reflect the results of our remand redetermination. The revised dumping margin in the amended final results is as follows:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Husteel Company, Ltd .. SeAH Corp., Ltd.	<i>de minimis</i> 0.59

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate entries of OCTG from Korea during the review period at the assessment rate the Department calculated for the final results of review, as amended. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is *de minimis*. We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these amended final results of review.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 13, 2009.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E9-6326 Filed 3-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XN80

Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone off Alaska; Application for an Exempted Fishing Permit

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.