

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of March 2009.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E9-6235 Filed 3-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,335]

#### **Indiana Handle Co., Inc., Currently Known as Crestwood Manufacturing, Inc., Paoli, IN; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on December 12, 2008, applicable to workers of Indiana Handle Co., Paoli, Indiana. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on December 30, 2008 (73 FR 79914).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of wooden furniture components and wood turnings.

New information shows that due to a change in ownership, Indiana Handle Co., Inc., is currently known as Crestwood Manufacturing, Inc.

Workers wages at the subject firm are being reported under the Unemployment Insurance (UI) tax account for Crestwood Manufacturing, Inc.

Accordingly, the Department is amending this certification to include workers of the subject firm whose UI wages are reported under the successor firm, Crestwood Manufacturing, Inc., Paoli, Indiana.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-64,335 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Indiana Handle Co., Inc., currently known as Crestwood Manufacturing, Inc., Paoli, Indiana, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 29, 2008, through December 12, 2010, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade

adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of March, 2009.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E9-6238 Filed 3-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

TA-W-64,733

#### **Modine Manufacturing, Truck Division, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Staffmark, Lawrenceburg, TN; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on January 23, 2009, applicable to workers of Modine Manufacturing, Truck Division, Lawrenceburg, Tennessee. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 10, 2009 (74 FR 6652).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers are engaged in the production of charged air coolers, air filters, and oil coolers used in trucks.

New information provided by the company shows that workers leased from Staffmark were employed on-site at Modine Manufacturing, Truck Division, Lawrenceburg, Tennessee.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers at the subject firm who were adversely affected by the shift in production of charged air coolers, air filters, and oil coolers to Mexico.

The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of Modine Manufacturing to be considered leased workers.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from working on-site at the Lawrenceburg, Tennessee location of the subject firm.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-64,733 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Modine Manufacturing, Truck Division, Lawrenceburg, Tennessee, including on-site leased workers from Staffmark, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 17, 2007 through January 29, 2011, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 6th day of March 2009.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E9-6241 Filed 3-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,135]

#### **Panasonic Electronic Devices Corporation of America, General and Administrative, Production Engineering, Switch Engineering, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Express Employment Professionals and Johnson Service Group, Knoxville, TN; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on October 14, 2008, applicable to workers of Panasonic Electronic Devices Corporation of America, General and Administrative, Production Engineering, Switch Engineering, including on-site leased workers from Express Employment Professionals, Knoxville, Tennessee. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 21, 2008 (73 FR 62324).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers provide general and administrative services, production engineering, and switch engineering for the Panasonic speaker manufacturing facility in Knoxville, Tennessee.

New information provided by the company shows that workers leased from Johnson Service Group were employed on-site at Panasonic

Electronic Devices Corporation of America, General and Administrative, Production Engineering, Switch Engineering, Knoxville, Tennessee.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers at the subject firm who were adversely affected by the shift in production of speakers to Mexico.

The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of Panasonic Electronic Devices Corporation of America to be considered leased workers.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from Johnson Service Group working on-site at Panasonic Electronic Devices Corporation of America, General and Administrative, Production Engineering, Switch Engineering, Knoxville, Tennessee.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-64,135 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Panasonic Electronic Devices Corporation of America, General and Administrative, Production Engineering, Switch Engineering, Knoxville, Tennessee, including on-site leased workers from Express Employment Professionals and Johnson Service Group, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 29, 2007 through October 14, 2010, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 11th day of March 2009.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E9-6236 Filed 3-20-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,452]

#### **Kensington Windows, Inc., a Subsidiary of Jancor Companies, Inc., Vandergrift, PA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application postmarked February 27, 2009, the International Union of Electronic, Electrical, Salaried, Machine and Furniture Workers (IUE), Local 188643 requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade

Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on January 9, 2009. The Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on February 2, 2009 (74 FR 5871).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that imports of vinyl replacement windows and doors did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding imports of vinyl replacement windows and doors and alleged that the customers might have increased imports of vinyl replacement windows and doors in the relevant period.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

#### **Conclusion**

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of March 2009.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E9-6239 Filed 3-20-09; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,681]

#### **United State Steel—Granite City Works, Granite City, IL; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated January 28, 2009, the United Steelworkers, District 7 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial

notice was signed on December 23, 2008 and published in the **Federal Register** on January 14, 2009 (74 FR 2139).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination, which was based on the finding that imports of flat rolled steel did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject facility and there was no shift of production to a foreign country. The subject firm did not import flat rolled steel in 2006, 2007 and January through November 2008. Furthermore, the investigation revealed that sales and production of flat rolled steel at the subject firm increased from January through November, 2008 when compared with the same period in 2007.

The petitioner alleged that aggregate imports of flat rolled steel, although diminished from one year earlier, still amounted to a significant amount contributing importantly to the worker separations and to the decline in sales and production at the Granite City plant.

In order to establish import impact, the Department considers sales, production and import numbers for the relevant period (one year prior to the date of the petition). Imports of flat rolled steel did not increase during the relevant period, while sales and production of flat rolled steel increased at the subject firm. There was no shift in production from subject firm abroad during the relevant period.

The petitioner did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination.

After careful review of the request for reconsideration, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

#### **Conclusion**

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that