

from subsidiaries of its parent company abroad. The investigation revealed that workers performed some light repair functions of products, repackaged and shipped imported products, provided customer service and performed warehousing services. The functions, as described above, are not considered production of an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act. While the provision of warehousing and distribution services may result in repair and repackaging of the products, it is incidental to the provision of these services. No production took place at the subject facility nor did the workers support production of an article at any domestic affiliated location during the relevant period.

The petitioner alleges that increased imports of toys negatively impacted workers at the subject facility.

The allegation of the increase in imports of toys would have been relevant, if it was determined that workers of the subject firm manufactured toys. The workers were engaged in warehousing, sales and distribution of imported products. Therefore, increase in imports of toys is irrelevant to this investigation.

The petitioner did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination.

After careful review of the request for reconsideration, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of March 2009.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E9-5178 Filed 3-10-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-63,575]

Philips Consumer Lifestyle, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Ryder Integrated Logistics, Ledgewood, NJ; Ateged Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on July 16, 2008, applicable to workers of Philips Consumer Lifestyle, Ledgewood, New Jersey. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 2008 (73 FR 44284). The certification was amended on September 12, 2008 to include employees of the subject firm working at various locations in multiple States (TA-W-63,575A—TA-W-63,575H). The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on September 23, 2008 (73 FR 54859-54860).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of antennas and packaged electronic accessories.

New information shows that workers leased from Ryder Integrated Logistics were employed on-site at the Ledgewood, New Jersey location of Philips Consumer Lifestyle. The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of the subject firm to be considered leased workers.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from Ryder Integrated Logistics working on-site at the Ledgewood, New Jersey location of the subject firm.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Philips Consumer Lifestyle who were adversely affected by a shift in production of antennas and packaged electronic accessories to China.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-63,575 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Philips Consumer Lifestyle, including on-site leased workers from Ryder Integrated Logistics, Ledgewood, New Jersey, who became totally or partially

separated from employment on or after June 18, 2007, through July 16, 2010, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of March 2009.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E9-5174 Filed 3-10-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,442]

Technology Associates, Inc., D/B/A Ranal Measurement Point Division, Auburn, MI; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated January 22, 2009, workers requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of Technologies Associates Inc., d/b/a Ranal, Measurement Point division, Auburn, Michigan (subject firm) to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA).

The negative determination was issued on December 24, 2008. The Department's Notice of negative determination was published in the **Federal Register** on January 14, 2009 (74 FR 2139). The workers perform engineering service related to measurement points on component parts for the automotive industry. The denial was based on the finding that the subject firm does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(a)(2) of the Act.

The workers' request for reconsideration stated that "the petitioners were support personnel to General Motors * * * General Motors has trained workers in India to perform functions that we use[d] to perform and shipped work there. * * * If work was not being disbursed to India that work would be available to domestic workers."

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), administrative reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;