

By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.

[FR Doc. E9-4525 Filed 3-3-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on February 26, 2009, an electronic version of a proposed consent decree was lodged in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina in *State of North Carolina et al. v. El Paso Natural Gas Company, et al.*, No. 5:04 CV 38 (Consolidated Cases). The consent decree settles claims by the United States against Beaunit Corporation under Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9606 & 9607, in connection with the FCX Site, a facility approximately 1.5 miles west of downtown Statesville, Iredell County, North Carolina (the "Site"). Under the terms of the proposed consent decree, Beaunit will pay the United States \$846.54.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to United States Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611. Comments should refer to *State of North Carolina et al. v. El Paso Natural Gas Company, et al.*, No. 5:04 CV 38 (Consolidated Cases) and DOJ # 90-11-3-08264.

During the public comment period, the proposed consent decree may also be examined on the following U.S. Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. The consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of North Carolina, The Carillon Bldg., 227 West Trade St., Suite 1700, Charlotte, North Carolina.

A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia

Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation no. (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please refer to the referenced case and DOJ Reference Number, and please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury, or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Henry Friedman,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. E9-4509 Filed 3-3-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,389]

A. Schulmanm, Inc., Polybatch Color Center, Sharon Center, OH; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application received on February 4, 2009, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on December 22, 2008. The Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on January 14, 2009 (74 FR 2139).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the finding that imports of color concentrates did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift in production to a foreign source occurred.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding a shift in production of color concentrates to Mexico.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 24th day of February 2009.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E9-4547 Filed 3-3-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-64,190]

Hafner USA, Inc., New York, NY; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On January 13, 2009, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Hafner USA, Inc., New York, New York (subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 26, 2009 (74 FR 4460).

The initial determination was based on the Department's findings that the subject worker group does not support a firm or appropriate subdivision that produces an article domestically.

In order to apply for TAA based on increased imports, the subject worker group must meet the group eligibility requirements under Section 222(a) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the following criteria must be met:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated; and

B. the sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision.