alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain 3G wideband code division multiple access handsets and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,674,791; 6,693,579; 7,117,004; 7,190,966; and 7,286,847. The notice of investigation named Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Seoul, Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey; and Samsung Telecommunications America LLC of Richardson, Texas (collectively, ''Samsung'') as respondents.

On February 3, 2009, InterDigital and Samsung filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement. On February 4, 2009, the Commission Investigative Attorney filed a response in support of the joint motion.

On February 6, 2009, the ALJ granted the joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety. The ALJ found that the motion complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21 (19 CFR 210.21). The ALJ also concluded that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)), there is no evidence that termination of this investigation will prejudice the public interest. No petitions for review of this ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: February 24, 2009.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–4297 Filed 2–27–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–640]

In the Matter of: Certain Short-Wavelength Light Emitting Diodes, Laser Diodes and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint and the Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (''ID'') (Order No. 53) of the presiding administrative law judge (''ALJ'') granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and the notice of investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–3152. Copies of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 25, 2008, the Commission instituted an investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on a complaint filed by Gertrude Neumark Rothschild of Hartsdale, New York, alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain short-wavelength light emitting diodes, laser diodes and products containing same that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,252,499. 73 FR 1575 (March 25, 2008). The complainant named numerous entities as respondents.

On January 12, 2009, complainant Rothschild moved to amend the Second Amended Complaint and Notice of Investigation in order to seek to correct the names of respondents Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. (to Panasonic Corporation) and Uni-light Touchtek Corporation (to UniLite Corporation), and to remove references to a number of respondents which have been terminated from the investigation.

On January 30, 2009, the ALJ issued Order No. 53 granting complainant's motion. No party petitioned for review of the subject ID. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

Issued: February 24, 2009.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–4296 Filed 2–27–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on February 11, 2009, a Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp., et al.*, Civil Action No. 09–0866, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

The Consent Decree resolves claims brought by the United States, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (''EPA''), and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (''DTSC'') under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607, and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6973, related to the releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Puente Valley Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Area 4 Superfund Site (''Site'') in Los Angeles County, California.

The Consent Decree resolves the liability of Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp. (''Northrop'' or ''Performing Settling Defendant'') and 43 cashout parties associated with 17 source properties and their related