

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 155

[Docket No. USCG-1998-3417]

RIN 1625-AA19 (Formerly RIN 2115-AF60)

#### Salvage and Marine Firefighting Requirements; Vessel Response Plans for Oil

##### Correction

In rule document E8-30604 beginning on page 80618 in the issue of Wednesday, December 31, 2008, make the following correction:

##### **§155.4030 [Corrected]**

On page 80651, in § 155.4030(b), in TABLE 155.4030(b)—SALVAGE AND MARINE FIREFIGHTING SERVICES AND RESPONSE TIMEFRAMES, in entry (2), in the fourth column, “\*COM041\* CONUS:” should read “CONUS:”

[FR Doc. Z8-30604 Filed 2-18-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

## DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

### 38 CFR Part 4

RIN 2900-AH43

#### Schedule for Rating Disabilities; Eye; Correction

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains a minor correction to the final regulations that the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) published at 73 FR 66543 on November 10, 2008. The rulemaking revised the portion of VA's Schedule for Rating Disabilities that addresses disabilities of the eye. One of the revised regulations contains an incorrect reference, and this document corrects that error.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 19, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maya Ferrandino, Regulations Staff (211D), Compensation and Pension Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, (727) 319-5847. (This is not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** VA published a document in the *Federal Register* on November 10, 2008, at 73

FR 66543, revising the portion of the Rating Schedule regarding disabilities of the eye. One of the revised regulations contains an incorrect reference. In 38 CFR 4.77(a), as revised, we state “In all cases, the results must be recorded on a standard Goldmann chart (see Figure 1), and the Goldmann chart must be included with the examination report.” However, Figure 1 is not the Goldmann chart; rather, Figure 2 contains the chart. Stating “Figure 1” instead of “Figure 2” was an inadvertent mistake. This document corrects that error. We intend no substantive change by this correction, only to revise an incorrect reference.

#### List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 4

Disability benefits, Pensions, Veterans.

Approved: February 12, 2009.

**William F. Russo,**

*Director of Regulations Management.*

■ For the reason set out in the preamble, 38 CFR part 4 is corrected as follows:

#### PART 4—SCHEDULE FOR RATING DISABILITIES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 4 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 38 U.S.C. 1155, unless otherwise noted.

##### **§ 4.77 [Corrected]**

■ 2. In § 4.77(a) remove “(see Figure 1)” and add, in its place, “(see Figure 2)”.

[FR Doc. E9-3478 Filed 2-18-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

### 39 CFR Part 3020

[Docket Nos. MC2009-14 and CP2009-20; Order No. 178]

#### New International Mail Contract

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is adding a new product identified as International Business Reply Service Contract 1 to the Competitive Product List. This action is consistent with changes in a recent law governing postal operations and a recent Postal Service request. Republication of the lists of market dominant and competitive products is also consistent with new requirements in the law.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 19, 2009.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel,

202-789-6820 and *stephen.sharfman@prc.gov*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Regulatory History*, 74 FR 1263 (January 12, 2009).

The Postal Service seeks to add a new product identified as International Business Reply Service Contract 1 to the Competitive Product List. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Request.

#### I. Background

On December 24, 2008, the Postal Service filed a formal request pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* to add International Business Reply Service Contract 1 to the Competitive Product List.<sup>1</sup> The Postal Service asserts that the International Business Reply Service Contract 1 product is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). This Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2009-14.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. The contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2009-20.

In support of its Request, the Postal Service initially filed the following materials: (1) A statement of supporting justification for this Request as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;<sup>2</sup> (2) a redacted Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for International Business Reply Service (IBRS) Contracts (Governors' Decision No. 08-24);<sup>3</sup> (3) proposed Mail Classification Schedule language;<sup>4</sup> (4) a redacted copy of the price floor and price ceiling formulas approved by the Governors;<sup>5</sup> (5) a redacted copy of the analysis of the proposed price floor and price ceilings discussed in Attachment B;<sup>6</sup> (6) a

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add International Business Reply Service Contracts to the Competitive Products List, and Notice Filing (Under Seal) Contract and Enabling Governors' Decision, December 24, 2008 (Request). For the reasons discussed in footnote 1 of Commission Order No. 164, the Commission preliminarily renamed the proposed product “International Business Reply Service Contract 1.” See PRC Order No. 164, Notice and Order Concerning International Business Reply Service Contract 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, January 5, 2009, at 1, n.1 (Order No. 164). In this Order, the Commission finalizes the product name as “International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 1.” See *infra* section IV for a more complete discussion on naming the proposed new product.

<sup>2</sup> Attachment 1.

<sup>3</sup> Attachment 2.

<sup>4</sup> Attachment 2, Attachment A.

<sup>5</sup> Attachment 2, Attachment B.

<sup>6</sup> Attachment 2, Attachment C.