DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

FTA Fiscal Year 2009 Apportionments, Allocations, and Program Information

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Division A of the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, (Pub. L. 110-329) signed into law by President Bush on September 30, 2008, continues to fund the Federal transit programs of the Department of Transportation (DOT) at the same levels that were available under Division K of the "Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008" (Pub. L. 110– 161) until a DOT Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009 is enacted or March 6, 2009, whichever occurs first. This notice provides information on funding amounts that are currently available for the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) assistance programs; provides program guidance and requirements; and provides information on several program issues important in the current year. The notice also includes tables that show certain discretionary programs unobligated (carryover) funding from previous years that will be available for obligation during FY 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information about this notice contact Henrika Buchanan-Smith, Director, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366-2053. Please contact the appropriate FTA regional office for any specific requests for information or technical assistance. The Appendix at the end of this notice includes contact information for FTA regional offices. An FTA headquarters contact for each major program area is also included in the discussion of that program in the text of the notice.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Overview
- II. FY 2009 Available Funding for FTA Programs
 - A. Available Funding Based on Division A of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, and Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU)
- B. Program Funds Set-Aside for Oversight III. FY 2009 FTA Key Program Initiatives and
 - A. SAFETEA-LU Implementation
 - B. Planning Emphasis Areas
 - C. Earmarks and Competitive Grant Opportunities
 - D. Flexible Funding Procedures

- E. Changes in Match for Biodiesel Vehicles and Hybrid Retrofits
- F. National Transit Database (NTD) Disaster Adjustments Policy
- IV. FTA PROGRAMS
 - A. Metropolitan Planning Program (49 U.S.C. 5305)
 - B. Statewide Planning and Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5305)
 - C. Urbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5307)
 - D. Clean Fuels Formula Program (49 U.S.C.
 - E. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Fixed Guideway Modernization
 - F. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Bus and Bus-Related Facilities G. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C.
 - 5309)—New Starts
 - H. Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals With Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. 5310)
 - I. Nonurbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5311)
 - J. Rural Transportation Assistance Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(3))
 - K. Public Transportation on Indian Reservation Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(c))
 - L. National Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5314)
 - M. Job Access and Reverse Commute Program (49 U.S.C. 5316)
 - N. New Freedom Program (49 U.S.C. 5317)
 - O. Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program (49 U.S.C. 5320)
 - P. Alternatives Analysis Program (49 U.S.C. 5339)
 - Q. Growing States and High Density States Formula (49 U.S.C. 5340)
 - R. Over-the-Road Bus Accessibility Program (49 U.S.C. 5310 note)
- V. FTA Policy And Procedures for FY 2009 Grants Requirements
 - A. Automatic Pre-Award Authority To **Incur Project Costs**
 - B. Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) Policy
 - C. FTA FY 2009 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances
- D. FHWA Funds Used for Transit Purposes
- E. Grant Application Procedures
- F. Payments
- G. Oversight
- H. Technical Assistance
- - 1. FTA FY 2009 APPROPRIATIONS AND APPORTIONMENTS FOR GRANT PROGRAMS
 - 2. FTA FY 2009 METROPOLITAN PLANNING PROGRAM AND STATEWIDE PLANNING AND RESEARCH PROGRAM APPORTIONMENTS
 - 3. FTA FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS
 - 4. FTA FY 2009 SECTION 5307 APPORTIONMENT FORMULA
 - 5. FTA FY 2009 FORMULA PROGRAMS APPORTIONMENTS DATA UNIT VALUES
 - 6. FTA FY 2009 SMALL TRANSIT INTENSIVE CITIES PERFORMANCE DATA AND APPORTIONMENTS
 - 7. FTA PRIOR YEAR UNOBLIGATED SECTION 5308 CLEAN FUELS ALLOCATIONS

- 8. FTA FY 2009 SECTION 5309 FIXED **GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION** APPORTIONMENTS
- 9. FTA FY 2009 FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION PROGRAM APPORTIONMENT FORMULA
- 10. FTA PRIOR YEAR UNOBLIGATED SECTION 5309 BUS AND BUS-RELATED FACILITIES ALLOCATIONS
- 11. FTA FY 2009 SECTION 5309 NEW STARTS ALLOCATIONS
- 12. FTA PRIOR YEAR UNOBLIGATED SECTION 5309 NEW STARTS ALLOCATIONS
- 13. FTA FY 2009 SPECIAL NEEDS FOR ELDERLY INDIVIDUALS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES APPORTIONMENTS
- 14. FTA FY 2009 SECTION 5311 AND SECTION 5340 NONURBANIZED AREA FORMULA APPORTIONMENTS, AND RURAL TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (RTAP) ALLOCATIONS
- 15. FTA PRIOR UNOBLIGATED TRIBAL TRANSIT DISCRETIONARY ALLOCATIONS
- 16. FTA FY 2009 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE (JARC) APPORTIONMENTS
- 17. FTA PRIOR UNOBLIGATED DISCRETIONARY JARC ALLOCATIONS
- 18. FTA FY 2009 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS
- 19. FTA PRIOR YEAR UNOBLIGATED SECTION 5339 ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS ALLOCATIONS APPENDIX

I. Overview

This document apportions or allocates the FY 2009 funds that were made available under Division A of the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, (Pub. L. 110-329, September 30, 2008), hereinafter, ("Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009") among potential program recipients according to statutory formulas in 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 and existing Full Funding Grant Agreements. The notice only includes the amount of FY 2009 funds that is currently available, which is approximately 5/12 or 43% of the amounts that were available under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008. The notice does not include any extension or reprogramming of any discretionary funds that lapsed to the designated project as of September 30, 2008. The notice also does not include partial amounts made available to projects designated Bus and Bus-Related Facilities Program funds or National Research Program funds under SAFETEA-LU. FTA will issue a supplemental notice at a later date regarding these projects and any additional increments of formula and discretionary funds that become available.

For each FTA program included in this notice, we have provided relevant information on the FY 2009 funding currently available, program requirements, period of availability, and other related program information and highlights, as appropriate. A separate section of the document provides information on program requirements and guidance that are applicable to all FTA programs.

II. FY 2009 Funding for FTA Programs

A. Funding Based on the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (Pub. L. 110– 329, September 30, 2008) and SAFETEA–LU Authorization

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides general funds and obligation authority on trust funds from the MTA that total \$4.1 billion for FTA programs, until a DOT Appropriations Act for FY 2009 is enacted or a continued continuing Resolution after March 6, 2009, whichever occurs first. Table 1 of this document shows the funding for the FTA programs, as provided for in the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009. All Formula Programs and the Section 5309 Bus and Bus-Related Facilities Program are funded entirely from MTA of the Highway Trust Fund in FY 2009. The Section 5309 New Starts Program, the Research Program, and FTA administrative expenses are funded by appropriations from the General Fund of the Treasury.

Congress has enacted a partial year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009. This Federal Register notice includes tables of apportionments and allocations for FTA formula programs based on that Act. Prorated allocations based on FY 2008 funding levels are also included for active Full Funding Grant Agreements (FFGAs) under the New Starts discretionary program; however, FY 2009 discretionary allocations for other discretionary programs will not be published until FTA issues a subsequent notice as additional resources are made available.

B. Program Funds Set-Aside for Project Management Oversight

FTA uses a percentage of funds appropriated to certain FTA programs for program oversight activities conducted by the agency. The funds are used to provide necessary oversight activities, including oversight of the construction of any major capital project under these statutory programs; to conduct safety and security, civil rights, procurement systems, management, planning certification and, financial reviews and audits, as well as

evaluations and analyses of grantee specific problems and issues; and to provide technical assistance to correct deficiencies identified in compliance reviews and audits.

Section 5327 of title 49 U.S.C.. authorizes the takedown of funds from FTA programs for project management oversight. Section 5327 provides oversight takedowns at the following levels: 0.5 percent of Planning funds, 0.75 percent of Urbanized Area Formula funds, 1 percent of Capital Investment funds, 0.5 percent of Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities formula funds, 0.5 percent of Nonurbanized Area Formula funds, and 0.5 percent of the Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in the Parks Program funds (formerly the Alternative Transportation in the Parks and Public Lands Program).

III. FY 2009 FTA Program Initiatives and Changes

A. SAFETEA-LU Implementation

In FY 2009, FTA continues to focus on implementation of SAFETEA-LU through issuance of new and revised program guidance and regulations. Before any documents that place binding obligations on grantees are finalized and issued, FTA makes them available for public comment. We encourage grantees to regularly check the FTA Web site at http:// www.fta.dot.gov and the U.S. Government docket management Website at http://regulations.gov for new issuances and to comment to the docket established for each document on relevant issues.

B. Planning Emphasis Areas

In recognition of the priority planning organizations and grantees are giving to the implementation of the new and changed provisions of SAFETEA–LU, FTA and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) are not issuing new planning emphasis areas for FY 2009, and have rescinded planning emphasis areas from prior years.

C. Earmarks and Competitive Grant Opportunities

SAFETEA-LU contained statutory earmarks under several programs. Absent future legislation to the contrary, FTA will honor the statutory earmarks; however, funds for the FY 2009 discretionary programs with the exception of New Start Program funds for existing FFGAs will not be made available in partial increments. FTA will publish the availability of discretionary funds in a subsequent notice. This notice does include tables

of unobligated balances for earmarks from previous years under the Bus and Bus-Related Facilities Program, the New Starts Program, the Clean Fuels Program, and the Alternatives Analysis Program. FTA will continue to honor those earmarks. FTA will supplement this notice, at a later date, to provide any additional discretionary allocations of funds made available in FY 2009 and any lapsed prior year earmarks that the Secretary of Transportation determines to extend or reprogram, once the Department has examined the requests.

D. Flexible Funding Procedures

Obligation authority for flexible funds, high priority projects and other transit projects in title 23 U.S.C. is transferred to FTA when States and local agencies determine that FTA will administer the project. The liquidating cash, however, is transferred between Federal accounts only as needed to ensure that adequate funds are available for disbursement on a timely basis. In order to track the cash flow more closely, FTA no longer combines funds transferred from FHWA into a single grant with FTA funds in the program to which they are transferred. FTA has established codes and procedures for grants involving funds transferred from FHWA. Grantees can contact the appropriate regional office for assistance.

E. Changes in Match for Biodiesel Vehicles and Hybrid Retrofits

Section 164 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008, allowed a 90 percent Federal share for biodiesel buses and for the net capital cost of factory-installed or retrofitted hybrid electric propulsion systems and any equipment related to such a system. This increased federal share is a crosscutting provision and is applicable across FTA programs for any grants awarded during FY 2008 regardless of what fiscal year funding is used. This provision remains in effect pursuant to Division A of the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, which expires on or before March 6, 2009. Grantees may apply for a 90 percent Federal share for the entire cost of a biodiesel bus, but only for the cost of the propulsion system and related equipment in the case of the hybrid electric systems, not for 90 percent of the cost of the entire vehicle. In lieu of calculating the costs of the equipment separately, grantees may apply for 83 percent of the cost of the vehicle.

F. National Transit Database (NTD) Disaster Adjustments Policy

Previously, when a transit provider could not report to the NTD due to an "Act of God", such as an earthquake, fire, or flood, FTA would grant the affected transit provider a "hold harmless adjustment," by using the previous year's service data reported to the NTD for that transit provider in the apportionment of formula grants for urbanized areas. On August 14, 2008, FTA proposed to change this policy and initiated notice and comment on the proposal. Effective November 13, 2008, (73 FR 67247), FTA established a new policy, retroactive to NTD Report Year (RY) 2007 data, allowing transit providers that suffer a marked decrease in service data due to a natural or manmade disaster to receive a similar "hold harmless adjustment" in the apportionment of formula grants for urbanized areas. This adjustment is not automatic and must be requested in writing by either the affected transit provider, or the affected designated recipient for the urbanized area. FTA will approve or deny each request at its discretion based on the following factors: (1) Whether a Federal disaster declaration was in place for all or part of the current report year, for either all or part of the transit provider's service area; (2) whether the request demonstrates that the decrease in transit service from the report year before the disaster is in large part due to the ongoing impact of the disaster; and (3) whether the request demonstrates that the decrease in transit service reasonably appears to be temporary, and does not reflect the true transit needs of the urbanized area. FTA will not grant adjustment requests that do not address all three factors. Adjustment requests should include sufficient documentation to allow FTA to evaluate the request based on these factors. FTA may request additional information from an applicant for an adjustment to evaluate the request based on these factors. A request for an adjustment may only be made for one year at a time. Requests for an adjustment related to the same disaster may be made in subsequent years, provided that the applicant can continue to support its request based on the above factors. If the adjustment request is granted, the NTD data in all publicly-available data sets and data products would remain unadjusted, and would reflect the actual NTD submission for the transit provider. The only adjustment would be in using data from the previous full NTD Report Year before the disaster occurred in the

data sets used for the apportionments of formula grants for urbanized areas.

Further instructions for requesting a "hold harmless" adjustment will be found in future editions of the NTD Annual Reporting Manual, available at http://www.ntdprogram.gov.

IV. FTA Programs

This section of the notice provides available FY 2009 funding and/or other important program-related information for the three major FTA funding accounts included in the notice (Formula and Bus Grants, Capital Investment Grants, and Research Grants). Of the 17 separate FTA programs contained in this notice that fall under the major program area headings, funding for ten programs is apportioned by statutory or administrative formula. Funding for the other seven is allocated on a discretionary or competitive basis.

Funding and/or other important information for each of the 17 programs is presented immediately below. This includes program apportionments or allocations, certain program requirements, length of time FY 2009 funding is available for obligation and other significant program information pertaining to FY 2009.

A. Metropolitan Planning Program (49 U.S.C. 5305(d))

Section 5305(d) authorizes federal funding to support a cooperative, continuous, and comprehensive planning program for transportation investment decision-making at the metropolitan area level. The specific requirements of metropolitan transportation planning are set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5303 and further explained in 23 CFR Part 450 as referenced in 49 CFR Part 613, Statewide Transportation Planning; Metropolitan Transportation Planning: Final Rule. State Departments of Transportation are direct recipients of funds allocated by FTA, which are then suballocated to Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) by formula, for planning activities that support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency; increasing the safety and security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users; increasing the accessibility and mobility options available to people and for freight; protecting and enhancing the environment, promoting energy conservation, and improving quality of life; enhancing the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight; promoting efficient

system management and operation; and emphasizing the preservation of the existing transportation system. This funding must support work elements and activities resulting in balanced and comprehensive intermodal transportation planning for the movement of people and goods in the metropolitan area. Comprehensive transportation planning is not limited to transit planning or surface transportation planning, but also encompasses the relationships among land use and all transportation modes, without regard to the programmatic source of Federal assistance. Eligible work elements or activities include, but are not limited to studies relating to management, planning, operations, capital requirements, and economic feasibility; evaluation of previously funded projects; peer reviews and exchanges of technical data, information, assistance, and related activities in support of planning and environmental analysis among MPOs and other transportation planners; work elements and related activities preliminary to and in preparation for constructing, acquiring, or improving the operation of facilities and equipment. An exhaustive list of eligible work activities is provided in FTA Circular 8100.1C, Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants, dated September 1, 2008. For more about the Metropolitan Planning Program and the FTA Circular 8100.1C, contact Victor Austin Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366-2996.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$38,068,323 to the Metropolitan Planning Program (49 U.S.C. 5305(d)) to support metropolitan transportation planning activities set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5303. The total amount apportioned for the Metropolitan Planning Program to States for MPOs' use in urbanized areas (UZAs) is 37,877,981, as shown in the table below, after the deduction for oversight and the addition of prior year reapportioned funds.

METROPOLITAN PLANNING PROGRAM

| Total Appropriation Oversight Deduction | \$38,068,323 - 190,342 |
|---|---------------------------|
| Total Apportioned | 37,877,981 |

States' apportionments for this program are displayed in Table 2.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionments

As specified in law, 82.72 percent of the amounts authorized for Section 5305 are allocated to the Metropolitan Planning program. FTA allocates Metropolitan Planning funds to the States according to a statutory formula. Eighty percent of the funds are distributed to the States as a basic allocation based on each State's UZA population, based on the most recent decennial Census. The remaining 20 percent is provided to the States as a supplemental allocation based on an FTA administrative formula to address planning needs in the larger, more complex UZAs. The amount published for each State is a combined total of both the basic and supplemental allocation.

3. Program Requirements

The State allocates Metropolitan Planning funds to MPOs in UZAs or portions thereof to provide funds for projects included in an annual work program (the Unified Planning Work Program, or UPWP) that includes both highway and transit planning projects. Each State has either reaffirmed or developed, in consultation with their MPOs, a new allocation formula, as a result of the 2000 Census. The State allocation formula may be changed annually, but any change requires approval by the FTA regional office before grant approval. Program guidance for the Metropolitan Planning Program is found in FTA Circular 8100.1C, Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants, dated September 1, 2008. For more about the Metropolitan Planning Program and the FTA Circular 8100.1C, contact Victor Austin of the Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366-2996.

4. Period of Availability

The funds apportioned under the Metropolitan Planning program remain available to be obligated by FTA to recipients for four fiscal years—which includes the year of apportionment plus three additional years. Any apportioned funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2012, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the Metropolitan Planning Program.

- 5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights
- a. Planning Emphasis Areas (PEAs). FTA and FHWA are not issuing new PEAs this year, and are rescinding PEAs issued in prior years, in light of the priority given to implementation of

SAFETEA-LU planning and program provisions.

b. Consolidated Planning Grants. FTA and FHWA planning funds under both the Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Programs can be consolidated into a single consolidated planning grant (CPG), awarded by either FTA or FHWA. The CPG eliminates the need to monitor individual fund sources, if several have been used, and ensures that the oldest funds will always be used first. Unlike "flex funds" for capital programs, planning funds from FHWA may be combined with FTA planning funds in a single grant. Alternatively, FTA planning funds may be transferred to FHWA to be administered as combined grants.

Under the CPG, States can report metropolitan planning program expenditures (to comply with the Single Audit Act) for both FTA and FHWA under the Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance number for FTA's Metropolitan Planning Program (20.505). Additionally, for States with an FHWA Metropolitan Planning (PL) fund-matching ratio greater than 80 percent, the State can waive the 20 percent local share requirement, with FTA's concurrence, to allow FTA funds used for metropolitan planning in a CPG to be granted at the higher FHWA rate. For some States, this Federal match rate can exceed 90 percent.

States interested in transferring planning funds between FTA and FHWA should contact the FTA Regional Office or FHWA Division Office for more detailed procedures. Current guidelines are included in Federal Highway Administration Memorandum dated July 12, 2007, "Information: Final Transfers to Other Agencies that Administer Title 23 Programs."

For further information on CPGs, contact Kristen Clarke, Office of Budget and Policy, FTA, at (202) 366–1686, Ken Johnson, Office of Program Management, FTA, at (202) 366–1659, or Kenneth Petty, Office of Planning and Environment, FHWA, at (202) 366–6654.

B. State Planning and Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5305(e))

This program provides financial assistance to States for Statewide transportation planning and other technical assistance activities, including supplementing the technical assistance program provided through the Metropolitan Planning program. The specific requirements of Statewide transportation planning are set forth in 49 U.S.C. 5304 and further explained in 23 CFR Part 450 as referenced in 49 CFR Part 613, Statewide Transportation

Planning; Metropolitan Transportation Planning; Final Rule. This funding must support work elements and activities resulting in balanced and comprehensive intermodal transportation planning for the movement of people and goods. Comprehensive transportation planning is not limited to transit planning or surface transportation planning, but also encompasses the relationships among land use and all transportation modes, without regard to the programmatic source of Federal assistance. For more information, contact Victor Austin of the Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366-2996.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$7,952,377 to the State Planning and Research Program (49 U.S.C. 5305). The total amount apportioned for the State Planning and Research Program (SPRP) is \$7,912,615, as shown in the table below, after the deduction for oversight (authorized by 49 U.S.C. 5327).

STATE PLANNING AND RESEARCH PROGRAM

| Total Appropriation Oversight Deduction | \$7,952,377 - 39,762 |
|---|-------------------------|
| Total Apportioned | 7,912,615 |

State apportionments for this program are displayed in Table 2.

2. Basis for Apportionment Formula

As specified in law, 17.28 percent of the amounts authorized for Section 5305 are allocated to the State Planning and Research program. FTA apportions funds to States by a statutory formula that is based on the most recent decennial Census, and the State's UZA population as compared to the UZA population of all States.

3. Requirements

Funds are provided to States for Statewide transportation planning programs. These funds may be used for a variety of purposes such as planning, technical studies and assistance, demonstrations, and management training. In addition, a State may authorize a portion of these funds to be used to supplement Metropolitan Planning funds allocated by the State to its UZAs, as the State deems appropriate. Program guidance for the State Planning and Research program is found in FTA Circular 8100.1C. This funding must support work elements and activities resulting in balanced and comprehensive intermodal

transportation planning for the movement of people and goods. Comprehensive transportation planning is not limited to transit planning or surface transportation planning, but also encompasses the relationships among land use and all transportation modes, without regard to the programmatic source of Federal assistance. Eligible work elements or activities include, but are not limited to studies relating to management, planning, operations, capital requirements, and economic feasibility; evaluation of previously funded projects; peer reviews and exchanges of technical data, information, assistance, and related activities in support of planning and environmental analysis; work elements and related activities preliminary to and in preparation for constructing, acquiring, or improving the operation of facilities and equipment. An exhaustive list of eligible work activities is provided in FTA Circular 8100.1C, Program Guidance for Metropolitan Planning and State Planning and Research Program Grants, dated September 1, 2008. For more information, contact Victor Austin, Office of Planning and Environment at (202) 366-2996.

4. Period of Availability

The funds apportioned under the State Planning and Research program remain available to be obligated by FTA to recipients for four fiscal years—which include the year of apportionment plus three additional fiscal years. Any apportioned funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2012, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the State Planning and Research Program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

See Section A5 for information about Planning Emphasis Areas and CPGs.

C. Urbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5307)

Section 5307 authorizes Federal capital and operating assistance, in some cases, for transit in Urbanized Areas (UZAs). A UZA is an area with a population of 50,000 or more that has been defined and designated as such in the most recent decennial Census by the U.S. Census Bureau. The Urbanized Area Formula Program funds may also be used to support planning activities, and may supplement to planning projects funded under the Metropolitan Planning program described above. Urbanized Areas Formula Program funds used for planning must be shown

in the UPWP for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area. Funding is apportioned directly to each UZA with a population of 200,000 or more, and to the State Governors for UZAs with populations between 50,000 and 200,000. Eligible applicants are limited to entities designated as recipients in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5307(a)(2) and other public entities with the consent of the Designated Recipient. Generally, operating assistance is not an eligible expense for UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more. However, there are several exceptions to this restriction. The exceptions are described in section 3(d)(5) below.

For more information about the Urbanized Area Formula Program contact Scott Faulk, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–1660.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$1,682,053,574 to the Urbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5307). The total amount apportioned for the Urbanized Area Formula Program is \$1,828,187,915 as shown in the table below, after the 0.75 percent deduction for oversight (authorized by 49 U.S.C. 5327) and including funds apportioned to UZAs from the appropriation for Section 5340 for Growing States and High Density States.

URBANIZED AREA FORMULA PROGRAM

| Total Appropriation Oversight Deduction Section 5340 Funds | \$1,682,053,574 a - 12,615,402 |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Added | 158,749,743 |
| Total Apportioned | 1,828,187,915 |

^a One percent set-aside for Small Transit Intensive Cities Formula.

Table 3 displays the amounts apportioned under the Urbanized Area Formula Program.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

FTA apportions Urbanized Area Formula Program funds based on legislative formulas. Different formulas apply to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more and to UZAs with populations less than 200,000. For UZAs with 50,000 to 199,999 in population, the formula is based solely on population and population density. For UZAs with populations of 200,000 and more, the formula is based on a combination of bus revenue vehicle miles, bus passenger miles, fixed guideway revenue vehicle miles, and fixed guideway route miles, as well as population and population density.

Table 4 includes detailed information about the formulas.

To calculate a UZA's FY 2009 apportionment, FTA used population and population density statistics from the 2000 Census and (when applicable) validated mileage and transit service data from transit providers' 2007 National Transit Database (NTD) Report Year. Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5336(b), FTA used 60 percent of the directional route miles attributable to the Alaska Railroad passenger operations system to calculate the apportionment for the Anchorage, Alaska UZA.

We have calculated dollar unit values for the formula factors used in the Urbanized Area Formula Program apportionment calculations. These values represent the amount of money each unit of a factor is worth in this year's apportionment. The unit values change each year, based on all of the data used to calculate the apportionments. The dollar unit values for FY 2009 are displayed in Table 5. To replicate the basic formula component of a UZA's apportionment, multiply the dollar unit value by the appropriate formula factor (*i.e.*, the population, population × population density), and when applicable, data from the NTD (i.e., route miles, vehicle revenue miles, passenger miles, and operating cost).

In FY 2009, one percent of funds appropriated for Section 5307, or \$16,820,536 based on the Continuing Appropriations Act, is set aside for Small Transit Intensive Cities (STIC). FTA apportions these funds to UZAs under 200,000 in population that operate at a level of service equal to or above the industry average level of service for all UZAs with a population of at least 200,000, but not more than 999,999, in one or more of six performance categories: Passenger miles traveled per vehicle revenue mile, passenger miles traveled per vehicle revenue hour, vehicle revenue miles per capita, vehicle revenue hours per capita, passenger miles traveled per capita, and passengers per capita.

The data for these categories for the purpose of FY 2009 apportionments comes from the NTD reports for the 2007 reporting year. This data is used to determine a UZA's eligibility under the STIC formula, and is also used in the STIC apportionment calculations. Because these performance data change with each year's NTD reports, the UZAs eligible for STIC funds and the amount each receives may vary each year. In FY 2009, FTA apportioned \$56,826 for each performance factor/category for which the urbanized area exceeded the national average for UZAs with a

population of at least 200,000 but not more than 999,999.

In addition to the funds apportioned to UZAs, according to the Section 5307 formula factors contained in 49 U.S.C. 5336, FTA also apportions funds to urbanized areas under Section 5340 Growing States and High Density States formula factors. In FY 2009, FTA apportions \$64,557,843 to 453 UZA's in all 50 States and \$94,191,900 to 46 UZAs in seven High Density States. Half of the funds appropriated for Section 5340 are available to Growing States and half to High Density States. FTA apportions Growing States funds by a formula based on State population forecasts for 15 years beyond the most recent Census. FTA distributes the amounts apportioned for each State between UZAs and nonurbanized areas based on the ratio of urbanized/ nonurbanized population within each State in the 2000 census, and to UZAs proportionately based on UZA population in the 2000 census because population estimates are not available at the UZA level. FTA apportions the High Density States funds to States with population densities in excess of 370 persons per square mile. These funds are apportioned only to UZAs within those States. FTA pro-rates each UZA's share of the High Density funds based on the population of the UZAs in the State in the 2000 census.

FTA cannot provide unit values for the Growing States or High Density formulas because the allocations to individual States and urbanized areas are based on their relative population data, rather than on a national per capita basis.

Based on language in the conference report accompanying SAFETEA-LU, FTA is to show a single apportionment amount for Section 5307, STIC and Section 5340. FTA shows a single Section 5307 apportionment amount for each UZA in Table 3, the Urbanized Area Formula apportionments. The amount includes funds apportioned based on the Section 5307 formula factors, any STIC funds, and any Growing States and High Density States funding allocated to the area. FTA uses separate formulas to calculate and generate the respective apportionment amounts for the Section 5307, STIC and Section 5340. For technical assistance purposes, the UZAs that received STIC funds are listed in Table 6. FTA will make available breakouts of the funding allocated to each UZA under these formulas, upon request to the regional office.

3. Program Requirements

Program guidance for the Urbanized Area Formula Program is presently found in FTA Circular C9030.1C, Urbanized Area Formula Program: Grant Application Instructions, dated October 1, 1998, and supplemented by additional information or changes provided in this document. FTA is in the process of updating the circular. Several important program requirements are highlighted below.

a. Urbanized Area Formula Apportionments to Governors

For small UZAs, those with a population of less than 200,000, FTA apportions funds to the Governor of each State for distribution. A single total Governor's apportionment amount for the Urbanized Area Formula, STIC, and Growing States and High Density States is shown in the Urbanized Area Formula Apportionment Table 3. The table also shows the apportionment amount attributable to each small UZA within the State. The Governor may determine the sub-allocation of funds among the small UZAs except that funds attributed to a small UZA that is located within the planning boundaries of a Transportation Management Area (TMA) must be obligated to that small UZA, as discussed in subsection f below.

b. Transit Enhancements

Section 5307(d)(1)(K) requires that one percent of Section 5307 funds apportioned to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more be spent on eligible transit enhancement activities or projects. This requirement is now treated as a certification, rather than as a set-aside as was the case under the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21). Designated recipients in UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more certify they are spending not less than one percent of Section 5307 funds for transit enhancements. In addition, Designated Recipients must submit an annual report on how they spent the money with the Federal fiscal year's final quarterly progress report in TEAM-Web. The report should include the following elements: (a) Grantee name; (b) UZA name and number; (c) FTA project number; (d) transit enhancement category; (e) brief description of enhancement and progress towards project implementation; (f) activity line item code from the approved budget; and (g) amount awarded by FTA for the enhancement. The list of transit enhancement categories and activity line item (ALI) codes may be found in

the table of Scope and ALI codes on TEAM-Web, which can be accessed at http://FTATEAMWeb.fta.dot.gov.

The term ''transit enhancement'' includes projects or project elements that are designed to enhance public transportation service or use and are physically or functionally related to transit facilities. Eligible enhancements include the following: (1) Historic preservation, rehabilitation, and operation of historic mass transportation buildings, structures, and facilities (including historic bus and railroad facilities); (2) bus shelters; (3) landscaping and other scenic beautification, including tables, benches, trash receptacles, and street lights; (4) public art; (5) pedestrian access and walkways; (6) bicycle access, including bicycle storage facilities and installing equipment for transporting bicycles on mass transportation vehicles; (7) transit connections to parks within the recipient's transit service area; (8) signage; and (9) enhanced access for persons with disabilities to mass transportation.

It is the responsibility of the MPO to determine how the one-percent for transit enhancements will be allotted to transit projects. The one percent minimum requirement does not preclude more than one percent from being expended in a UZA for transit enhancements. However, activities that are only eligible as enhancements—in particular, operating costs for historic facilities—may be assisted only within the one-percent funding level.

c. Transit Security Projects

Pursuant to section 5307(d)(1)(J), each recipient of Urbanized Area Formula funds must certify that of the amount received each fiscal year, it will expend at least one percent on "public transportation security projects" or must certify that it has decided the expenditure is not necessary. For applicants not eligible to receive Section 5307 funds for operating assistance, only capital security projects may be funded with the one percent. SAFETEA-LU, however, expanded the definition of eligible "capital" projects to include specific crime prevention and security activities, including: (1) Projects to refine and develop security and emergency response plans; (2) projects aimed at detecting chemical and biological agents in public transportation; (3) the conduct of emergency response drills with public transportation agencies and local first response agencies; and (4) security training for public transportation employees, but excluding all expenses related to operations, other than such

expenses incurred in conducting emergency drills and training. ALI codes have been established for these four new capital activities. The one percent may also include security expenditures included within other capital activities, and, where the recipient is eligible, operating assistance. The relevant ALI codes would be used for those activities.

FTA is often called upon to report to Congress and others on how grantees are expending Federal funds for security enhancements. To facilitate tracking of grantees' security expenditures, which are not always evident when included within larger capital or operating activity line items in the grant budget, we have established a non-additive ("non-add") scope code for security expenditures—Scope 991. The non-add scope is to be used to aggregate activities included in other scopes, and it does not increase the budget total. Section 5307 grantees should include this non-add scope in the project budget for each new Section 5307 grant application or amendment. Under this non-add scope, the applicant should repeat the full amount of any of the line items in the budget that are exclusively for security and include the portion of any other line item in the project budget that is attributable to security, using under the non-add scope the same line item used in the project budget. The grantee can modify the ALI description or use the extended text feature, if necessary, to describe the security expenditures.

The grantee must provide information regarding its use of the one percent for security as part of each Section 5307 grant application, using a special screen in TEAM-Web. If the grantee has certified that it is not necessary to expend one percent for security, the Section 5307 grant application must include information to support that certification. FTA will not process an application for a Section 5307 grant until the security information is complete.

d. FY 2009 Operating Assistance

UZAs under 200,000 in population may use Section 5307 funds for operating assistance. In addition, Section 5307, as amended by, SAFETEA-LU and TEA-21, allows some UZAs with a population of 200,000 or more to use FY 2009 Urbanized Area Formula funds for operating assistance under certain conditions. The specific provisions allowing the limited use of operating assistance in large UZAs are as follows:

(1) Section 5307(b)(1)(E) provides for grants for the operating costs of

equipment and facilities for use in public transportation in the Evansville, IN–KY urbanized area, for a portion or portions of the UZA if the portion of the UZA includes only one State, the population of the portion is less than 30,000, and the grants will be not used to provide public transportation outside of the portion of the UZA.

(2) Section 5307(b)(1)(F) provides operating costs of equipment and facilities for use in public transportation for local governmental authorities in areas which adopted transit operating and financing plans that became a part of the Houston, Texas, UZA as a result of the 2000 decennial census of population, but lie outside the service area of the principal public transportation agency that serves the

Houston UZA.

(3) Section 5336(a)(2) prescribes the formula to be used to apportion Section 5307 funds to UZAs with population of 200,000 or more. SAFETEA-LU amended 5336(a)(2) to add language that stated, "* * * except that the amount apportioned to the Anchorage urbanized area under subsection (b) shall be available to the Alaska Railroad for any costs related to its passenger operations." This language has the effect of directing that funds apportioned to the Anchorage urbanized area, under the fixed guideway tiers of the Section 5307 apportionment formula, be made available to the Alaska Railroad, and that these funds may be used for any capital or operating costs related to its passenger operations.

(4) Section 3027(c)(3) of TEA-21, as amended (49 U.S.C. 5307 note), provides an exception to the restriction on the use of operating assistance in a UZA with a population of 200,000 or more, by allowing transit providers/ grantees that provide service exclusively to elderly persons and persons with disabilities and that operate 20 or fewer vehicles to use Section 5307 funds apportioned to the UZA for operating assistance. The total amount of funding made available for this purpose under Section 3027(c)(3) is \$1.4 million. Transit providers/grantees eligible under this provision have already been identified and notified.

(5) Pursuant to the SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections Act, 2008, in FY 2009, section 5307(b)(2) allows (1) UZAs that grew in population from under 200,000 to over 200,000 or that were under 200,000 but merged into another urbanized area and the population is over 200,000, as a result of the 2000 Census to use Section 5307 funds for operating assistance in an amount up to 50 percent of the grandfathered amount for FY 2002

funds; (2) Areas that were nonurbanized under the 1990 Census and became urbanized, as a result of the 2000 Census, to use no more than 50 percent of the amount apportioned to the area for FY 2003 for operating assistance; and (3) nonurbanized areas under the 1990 Census that merged into urbanized areas over 200,000, as a result of the 2000 Census, to use 50 percent of the amount the area received in FY 2002 Section 5311 funding for operating assistance.

e. Sources of Local Match

Pursuant to Section 5307(e), the Federal share of an urbanized area formula grant is 80 percent of net project cost for a capital project and 50 percent of net project cost for operating assistance unless the recipients project a greater local share. The remainder of the net project cost (i.e., 20 percent and 50 percent, respectively) shall be provided from the following sources:

- 1. In cash from non-Government sources other than revenues from providing public transportation services;
- 2. From revenues derived from the sale of advertising and concessions;
- 3. From an undistributed cash surplus, a replacement or depreciation cash fund or reserve, or new capital;
- 4. From amounts received under a service agreement with a State or local social service agency or private social service organization; and
- 5. Proceeds from the issuance of revenue bonds.

In addition, funds from Section 403(a)(5)(C)(vii) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 603(a)(5)(C)(vii)) can be used to match Urbanized Area Formula funds.

f. Designated Transportation Management Areas (TMA)

Guidance for setting the boundaries of TMAs is in the joint transportation planning regulations codified at 23 CFR Part 450 as reference in 49 CFR Part 613. In some cases, the TMA planning boundaries established by the MPO for the designated TMA includes one or more small UZAs. In addition, one small UZA (Santa Barbara, CA) has been designated as a TMA. In either of these situations, the Governor cannot allocate "Governor's Apportionment" funds attributed to the small UZAs to other areas; that is, the Governor only has discretion to allocate Governor's Apportionment funds attributable to areas that are outside of designated TMA planning boundaries.

The list of small UZAs included within the planning boundaries of designated TMAs is provided in the table below.

| Designated TMA | Small urbanized area included in TMA planning boundary |
|----------------|---|
| Albany, NY | Galveston, TX; Lake Jackson-Angleton, TX; Texas City, TX; The Woodlands, TX. St. Augustine, FL. Kissimmee, FL. Titusville, FL. Pottstown, PA. Monessen, PA; Weirton, WV-Steubenville, OH–PA (PA portion); Uniontown-Connellsville, PA. Bremerton, WA. |

The MPO must notify the Associate Administrator for Program Management, Federal Transit Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, in writing, no later than July 1 of each year, to identify any small UZA within the planning boundaries of a TMA.

g. Urbanized Area Formula Funds Used for Highway Purposes

Funds apportioned to a TMA are eligible for transfer to FHWA for highway projects, if the Designated Recipient has allocated a portion of the areas section 5307 funding for such use. However, before funds can be transferred, the following conditions must be met: (1) Such use must be approved by the MPO in writing, after appropriate notice and opportunity for comment and appeal are provided to affected transit providers; (2) in the determination of the Secretary, such funds are not needed for investments required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA); and (3) the MPO determines that local transit needs are being addressed.

The MPO should notify the appropriate FTA Regional Administrator of its intent to use FTA funds for highway purposes, as prescribed in section V.D below. Urbanized Area Formula funds that are designated by the MPO for highway projects will be transferred to and administered by FHWA.

4. Period of Availability

The Urbanized Area Formula Program funds apportioned in this notice remain available to be obligated during the year of appropriation plus three additional years. Accordingly, these funds must be obligated by FTA to recipients by September 30, 2012. Any of these apportioned funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2012, will revert to FTA for reapportionment under the Urbanized Area Formula Program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

In each UZA with a population of 200,000 or more, the Governor in consultation with responsible local officials, and publicly owned operators of public transportation has designated one or more entities to be the Designated Recipient for Section 5307 funds apportioned to the UZA. The same entity(s) may or may not be the Designated Recipient for the Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) and New Freedom program funds apportioned to the UZA. In UZAs under 200,000 in population, the State is the Designated Recipient for Section 5307 as well as JARC and New Freedom programs. The Designated Recipient for Section 5307 may authorize other entities to apply directly to FTA for Section 5307 grants pursuant to a supplemental agreement. While the requirement that projects selected for funding be included in a locally developed coordinated public transit/human service transportation plan is not included in Section 5307 as it is in Sections 5310, 5316 (JARC) and 5317 (New Freedom), FTA expects that in their role as public transit providers, recipients of Section 5307 funds will be participants in the local planning process for these programs.

D. Clean Fuels Grant Program (49 U.S.C. 5308)

The Clean Fuels Grant Program supports the use of alternative fuels in air quality maintenance or nonattainment areas for ozone or carbon monoxide through capital grants to urbanized areas for clean fuel vehicles and facilities. Previously an unfunded Formula Program under TEA–21, the program is now a discretionary program. For more information about this program, contact Kimberly Sledge, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$21,074,900 to the Clean

Fuels Grant Program (49 U.S.C. 5308). FTA will publish project allocations in a supplemental notice when all program funds have been made available.

2. Requirements

Clean Fuels program funds may be made available to any grantee in a UZA that is designated as maintenance or nonattainment area for ozone or carbon monoxide as defined in the Clean Air Act. Eligible recipients include Section 5307 Designated Recipients as well as recipients in small UZAs. In the case of a small UZA, the State in which the area is located will act as the recipient.

Eligible projects include the purchase or lease of clean fuel buses (including buses that employ a lightweight composite primary structure), the construction or lease of clean fuel buses or electrical recharging facilities and related equipment for such buses, and construction or improvement of public transportation facilities to accommodate clean fuel buses.

Legislation will be necessary if a recipient wishes to use Clean Fuels funds earmarked in SAFETEA–LU for eligible program activities outside the scope of a project description.

Unless otherwise specified in law, grants made under the Clean Fuels program must meet all other eligibility requirements as outlined in Section 5308.

3. Period of Availability

Funds designated for specific Clean Fuels Program projects remain available for obligation for three fiscal years, which includes the year of appropriation plus two additional fiscal years. The FY 2009 funding for projects will remain available through September 30, 2011. Clean Fuels funds not obligated in an FTA grant for eligible purposes at the end of the period of availability will generally be made available for other projects.

5. Other Program or Allocation Related Information and Highlights

Prior year unobligated balances for Clean Fuel allocations in the amount of \$46,862,483 remain available for obligation in FY 2009. This includes \$6,690,000 in FY 2007 and \$40,172,483 in FY 2008 unobligated allocations. The unobligated amounts available as of September 30, 2008, are displayed in Table 7.

E. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Fixed Guideway Modernization

This program provides capital assistance for the modernization of existing fixed guideway systems. Funds are allocated by a statutory formula to UZAs with fixed guideway systems that have been in operation for at least seven years. A "fixed guideway" refers to any transit service that uses exclusive or controlled rights-of-way or rails, entirely or in part. The term includes heavy rail. commuter rail, light rail, monorail, trolleybus, aerial tramway, inclined plane, cable car, automated guideway transit, ferryboats, that portion of motor bus service operated on exclusive or controlled rights-of-way, and highoccupancy-vehicle (HOV) lanes. Eligible applicants are the public transit authorities in those urbanized areas to which the funds are allocated. For more information about Fixed Guideway Modernization contact Scott Faulk, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366-2053

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$675,257,000 to the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program. The total amount apportioned for the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program is \$668,504,430, after the deduction for oversight, and addition of prior year reapportioned funds, as shown in the table below.

FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION PROGRAM

| Total Appropriation Oversight Deduction | \$675,257,000 -6,752,570 |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Total Apportioned | 668,504,430 |

The FY 2009 Fixed Guideway Modernization Program apportionments to eligible areas are displayed in Table 8.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

The formula for allocating the Fixed Guideway Modernization funds contains seven tiers. The apportionment of funding under the first four tiers is

based on amounts specified in law and NTD data used to apportion funds in FY 1997. Funding under the last three tiers is apportioned based on the latest available data on route miles and revenue vehicle miles on segments at least seven years old, as reported to the NTD. Section 5337(f) of title 49, U.S.C. provides for the inclusion of Morgantown, West Virginia (population 55,997) as an eligible UZA for purposes of apportioning fixed guideway modernization funds. Also, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 5336(b) FTA used 60 percent of the directional route miles attributable to the Alaska Railroad passenger operations system to calculate the apportionment for the Anchorage, Alaska UZA under the Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Modernization formula.

FY 2009 Formula apportionments are based on data grantees provided to the NTD for the 2007 reporting year. Table 9 provides additional information and details on the formula. Dollar unit values for the formula factors used in the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program are displayed in Table 5. To replicate an area's apportionment, multiply the dollar unit value by the appropriate formula factor, *i.e.*, route miles and revenue vehicle miles.

3. Program Requirements

Fixed Guideway Modernization funds must be used for capital projects to maintain, modernize, or improve fixed guideway systems. Eligible UZAs (those with a population of 200,000 or more) with fixed guideway systems that are at least seven years old are entitled to receive Fixed Guideway Modernization funds. A threshold level of more than one mile of fixed guideway is required in order to receive Fixed Guideway Modernization funds. Therefore, UZAs reporting one mile or less of fixed guideway mileage under the NTD are not included. However, funds apportioned to an urbanized area may be used on any fixed guideway segment in the UZA. Program guidance for Fixed Guideway Modernization is presently found in FTA Circular C9300.1B, Capital Facilities and Formula Grant Programs, dated November 1, 2008.

4. Period of Availability

The funds apportioned in this notice under the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program remain available to be obligated by FTA to recipients during the year of appropriation plus three additional years. FY 2009 Fixed Guideway Modernization funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2012, will revert to FTA

for reapportionment under the Fixed Guideway Modernization Program.

F. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—Bus and Bus-Related Facilities

This program provides capital assistance for new and replacement buses, and related equipment and facilities. Funds are allocated on a discretionary basis. Eligible purposes are acquisition of buses for fleet and service expansion, bus maintenance and administrative facilities, transfer facilities, bus malls, transportation centers, intermodal terminals, park-andride stations, acquisition of replacement vehicles, bus rebuilds, bus preventive maintenance, passenger amenities such as passenger shelters and bus stop signs, accessory and miscellaneous equipment such as mobile radio units, supervisory vehicles, fare boxes, computers, and shop and garage equipment. Eligible applicants are State and local governmental authorities. Eligible subrecipients include other public agencies, private companies engaged in public transportation and private nonprofit organizations. For more information about Bus and Bus-Related Facilities contact Kimberly Sledge, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366-

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$350,455,128 for the Bus and Bus-Related Facilities program. FTA will publish project allocations in a supplemental notice when all program funds have been made available.

The SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections Act of 2008 extended funds made available for FY 2006 SAFTETEA-LU projects number 176 and 652. Funds for these projects remain available until September 30, 2009 and are shown in Table 10.

2. Requirements

FTA honors Congressional earmarks for the purpose designated, for purposes eligible under the program or under the expanded eligibility of a "notwithstanding" provision. Projects designated for funding in the report language accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008, were incorporated as earmarks into the Act by reference. FTA will treat these projects as projects designated in law. To apply to use funds designated in report language under the Bus Program in any year for project activities outside the scope of the project designation included in report language, the recipient must submit a request for reprogramming to the House and Senate

Committees on Appropriations for resolution.

FTA will continue to honor projects earmarked to receive Section 5309 bus funds in SAFETEA–LU for fiscal years 2007 and 2008 as well as projects earmarked by reference in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2008. Legislation will be necessary to amend the earmark if you wish to use funds for project activities outside the scope of the project description.

Grants made under the Bus and Bus-Related Facilities program must meet all other eligibility requirements as outlined in Section 5309 unless otherwise specified in law.

Program guidance for Bus and Bus-Related Facilities is found in FTA Circular C9300.1B, "Capital Investment Program Guidance and Application Instructions," (November 1, 2008).

3. Period of Availability

The FY 2007 and FY 2008 Bus and Bus-Related Facilities funds not obligated in a grant for eligible purposes as of September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2010, respectively, may be made available for other projects under 49 U.S.C. 5309.

4. Other Program or Allocation Related Information and Highlights

Prior year unobligated balances for Bus and Bus-Related allocations in the amount of \$665,031,952 remain available for obligation in FY 2009. This includes \$1,772,317 for FY 2006 earmarks extended in the SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections Act, 2008; \$197,666,184 in FY 2007 unobligated allocations (earmarked and discretionary projects); and \$465,593,451in FY 2008 unobligated allocations. The unobligated amounts available as of September 30, 2008, are displayed in Table 10. The FTA will issue a supplemental notice at a later date that identifies project funds that are redirected to other eligible activities or extended to the original project by subsequent action. Project funding that was extended or redirected under the SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections Act of 2008 are listed above in section 1 and also included in Table 10.

G. Capital Investment Program (49 U.S.C. 5309)—New Starts

The New Starts program provides funds for construction of new fixed guideway systems or extensions to existing fixed guideway systems.

Eligible purposes are light rail, rapid rail (heavy rail), commuter rail, monorail, automated fixed guideway system (such as a "people mover"), or a busway/high occupancy vehicle (HOV) facility, Bus

Rapid Transit that is fixed guideway, or an extension of any of these. Projects become candidates for funding under this program by successfully completing the appropriate steps in the major capital investment planning and project development process. Major new fixed guideway projects, or extensions to existing systems, financed with New Starts funds typically receive these funds through a full funding grant agreement (FFGA) that defines the scope of the project and specifies the total multi-year Federal commitment to the project. Beginning in FY 2007, up to \$200,000,000 each year is designated for "Small Starts" (Section 5309(e)) projects with a New Starts share of less than \$75,000,000 and a net project cost of less than \$250,000,000.

For more information about New Starts project development contact Elizabeth Day, Office of Planning and Environment, at (202) 366–4033, or for information about published allocations contact Kimberly Sledge, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$668,117,803 to New Starts. The total amount allocated for New Starts is \$430,252,472, as shown in the table below.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROGRAM (NEW STARTS)

| Total Appropriation | \$674,866,468 | |
|-------------------------|---------------|--|
| Oversight (one percent) | - 6,748,665 | |
| Undistributed Amount | 237,865,331 | |
| Total Allocated | 430,252,472 | |

2. Basis for Allocation

Congress included authorizations for specific New Starts projects with Full Funding Grant Agreements (FFGA) in SAFETEA—LU. Under the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, FFGAs have been allocated 5/12ths and the one percent statutory project management oversight takedown has been applied. Funds allocated to specific projects are shown in Table 11.

3. Requirements

Because New Starts projects are earmarked in law rather than report language, reprogramming for a purpose other than that specified must also occur in law. New Starts projects are subject to a complex set of approvals related to planning and project development set forth in 49 CFR Part 611. FTA has published a number of rulemakings and interim guidance documents related to the New Starts program since the passage of SAFETEA—

LU. Grantees should reference the FTA Web site at http://www.fta.dot.gov for the most current program guidance about project developments and management. Grant related guidance for New Starts is found in FTA Circular C9300.1B, Capital Investment Program Guidance and Application Instructions dated November 1, 2008; and C5200.1A, Full Funding Grant Agreement Guidance, dated December 5, 2002.

4. Period of Availability

New Starts funds remain available for three fiscal years (including the fiscal year the funds are made available or appropriated plus two additional years). FY 2009 funds remain available through September 30, 2011. Funds may be made available for other section 5309 projects after the period of availability has expired.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

Prior year unobligated allocations for New Starts in the amount of \$325,627,924 remain available for obligation in FY 2009. This amount includes \$62,712,383 in FY 2007 and \$262,915,541 in FY 2008 unobligated allocations. These unobligated amounts are displayed in Table 12.

H. Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and Individuals With Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. 5310)

This program provides formula funding to States for capital projects to assist private nonprofit groups in meeting the transportation needs of the elderly and individuals with disabilities when the public transportation service provided in the area is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meet these needs. A State agency designated by the Governor administers the Section 5310 program. The State's responsibilities include: Notifying eligible local entities of funding availability; developing project selection criteria; determining applicant eligibility; selecting projects for funding; and ensuring that all subrecipients comply with Federal requirements. Eligible nonprofit organizations or public bodies must apply directly to the designated State agency for assistance under this program. For more information about the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program contact David Schneider, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366-2053.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$54,622,700 to the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program (49 U.S.C. 5310).

After deduction of 0.5 percent for oversight, and the addition of reapportioned prior year funds, \$54,349,586 remains available for allocation to the States.

ELDERLY AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES PROGRAM

| Total Appropriation Oversight Deduction | \$54,622,700 -273,113 |
|---|--------------------------|
| Total Apportioned | 54,349,587 |

The FY 2009 Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program apportionments to the States are displayed in Table 13.

2. Basis for Apportionment

FTA allocates funds to the States by an administrative formula consisting of a \$125,000 floor for each State (\$50,000 for smaller territories) with the balance allocated based on 2000 Census population data for persons aged 65 and over and for persons with disabilities.

3. Requirements

Funds are available to support the capital costs of transportation services for older adults and people with disabilities. Uniquely under this program, eligible capital costs include the acquisition of service. Seven specified States (Alaska, Louisiana, Minnesota, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina, and Wisconsin) may use up to 33 percent of their apportionment for operating assistance under the terms of the SAFETEA–LU Section 3012(b) pilot program.

Capital assistance is provided on an 80 percent Federal, 20 percent local matching basis except that Section 5310(c) allows States eligible for a higher match under the sliding scale for FHWA programs to use that match ratio for Section 5310 capital projects. Operating assistance is 50 percent Federal, 50 percent local. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT, with the exception of the Federal Lands Highway Program established by 23 U.S.C. 204) may be used as match. Revenue from service contracts may also be used as local match.

While the assistance is intended primarily for private non-profit organizations, public bodies approved by the State to coordinate services for the elderly and individuals with disabilities, or any public body that certifies to the State that there are no non-profit organizations in the area that are readily available to carry out the service, may receive these funds.

States may use up to ten percent of their annual apportionment to administer, plan, and provide technical assistance for a funded project. No local share is required for these program administrative funds. Funds used under this program for planning must be shown in the United Planning Work Program (UPWP) for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area.

The State recipient must certify that: The projects selected were derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan; and, the plan was developed through a process that included representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human services providers and participation by the public. The locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation planning process must be coordinated and consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes and funding for the program must included in the metropolitan and statewide Transportation Improvement Program (TIP and STIP) at a level of specificity or aggregation consistent with State and local policies and procedures. Finally, the State must certify that allocations of the grant to subrecipients are made on a fair and equitable basis.

The coordinated planning requirement is also a requirement in two additional programs. Projects selected for funding under the Job Access Reverse Commute program and the New Freedom program are also required to be derived from a locally developed coordinated public transit/human service transportation plan. FTA anticipates that most areas will develop one consolidated plan for all the programs, which may include separate elements and other human service transportation programs.

The Section 5310 program is subject to the requirements of Section 5307 to the extent the Secretary determines appropriate. Program guidance is found in FTA C 9070.1F, dated May 1, 2007. The circular is posted on the FTA Web site at http://www.fta.dot.gov.

4. Period of Availability

FTA has administratively established a three year period of availability for Section 5310 funds. Funds allocated to States under the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program in this notice must be obligated by September 30, 2011. Any funding that remains unobligated as of that date will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States under the Elderly and Individuals with Disabilities Program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

States may transfer Section 5310 funds to Section 5307 or Section 5311, but only for projects selected under the Section 5310 program, not as a general supplement for those programs. FTA anticipates that the States would use this flexibility primarily for projects to be implemented by a Section 5307 recipient in a small urbanized area, or for Federally recognized Indian Tribes that elect to receive funds as a direct recipient from FTA under Section 5311. A State that transfers Section 5310 funds to Section 5307 must certify that each project for which the funds are transferred has been coordinated with private nonprofit providers of services. FTA has established a scope code (641) to track 5310 projects included within a Section 5307 or 5311 grant. Transfer to Section 5307 or 5311 is permitted but not required. FTA expects primarily to award stand-alone Section 5310 grants to the State for any and all subrecipients.

I. Nonurbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5311)

This program provides formula funding to States and Indian Tribes for the purpose of supporting public transportation in areas with a population of less than 50,000. Funding may be used for capital, operating, State administration, and project administration expenses. Eligible subrecipients include State and local public agencies, Indian Tribes, private non-profit organizations, and private operators of public transportation services, including intercity bus companies. Indian Tribes are also eligible direct recipients under Section 5311, both for funds apportioned to the States and for projects selected to be funded with funds set aside for a separate Tribal Transit Program.

For more information about the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program contact Lorna Wilson, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$188,383,800 to the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program (49 U.S.C. 5311). The total amount apportioned for the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program is \$208,147,062, after take-downs of two percent for the Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP), 0.5 percent for oversight, and \$5,161,200 for the Tribal Transit Program, and the addition of Section 5340 funds and prior year funds

reapportioned, as shown in the table below.

NONURBANIZED AREA FORMULA PROGRAM

| Total Appropriation Oversight Deduction | \$188,383,800 - 941,919 |
|--|----------------------------|
| RTAP Takedown Tribal Transit Takedown | -3,767,676 -5,161,200 |
| Section 5340 Funds Added | 29,634,057 |
| Total Apportioned | 208,147,062 |

The FY 2009 Nonurbanized Area Formula apportionments to the States are displayed in Table 14.

2. Basis for Apportionments

FTA apportions the funds available for apportionment after take-down for oversight, the Tribal Transit Program, and RTAP according to a statutory formula. FTA apportions the first twenty percent to the States based on land area in nonurbanized areas with no state receiving more than 5 percent of the amount apportioned. FTA apportions the remaining eighty percent based on nonurbanized population of each State relative to the national nonurbanized population. FTA does not apportion Section 5311 funds to the Virgin Islands, which by a statutory exception are treated as an urbanized area for purposes of the Section 5307 formula program.

FTA is allocating \$29,634,057 to the 50 States for nonurbanized areas from the Growing States portion of Section 5340. FTA apportions Growing States funds by a formula based on State population forecasts for 15 years beyond the most recent census. FTA distributes the amounts apportioned for each State between UZAs and nonurbanized areas based on the ratio of urbanized/nonurbanized population within each State in the 2000 census.

3. Program Requirements

The Nonurbanized Area Formula Program provides capital, operating and administrative assistance for public transit service in nonurbanized areas under 50,000 in population.

The Federal share for capital assistance is 80 percent and for operating assistance is 50 percent, except that States eligible for the sliding scale match under FHWA programs may use that match ratio for Section 5311 capital projects and 62.5 percent of the sliding scale capital match ratio for operating projects.

Each State must spend no less than 15 percent of its FY 2009 Nonurbanized Area Formula apportionment for the development and support of intercity

bus transportation, unless the State certifies, after consultation with affected intercity bus service providers, that the intercity bus service needs of the State are being adequately met. FTA also encourages consultation with other stakeholders, such as communities affected by loss of intercity service.

Each State prepares an annual program of projects, which must provide for fair and equitable distribution of funds within the States, including Indian reservations, and must provide for maximum feasible coordination with transportation services assisted by other Federal sources.

In order to retain eligibility for funding, recipients of Section 5311 funding must report data annually to the NTD.

Program guidance for the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program is found in FTA C 9040.1F, Nonurbanized Area Formula Program Guidance and Grant Application Instructions, dated April 1, 2007, which was revised and reissued after notice and comment. The circular is posted at http://www.fta.dot.gov.

4. Period of Availability

Funds apportioned to nonurbanized areas under the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program during FY 2009 will remain available for two additional fiscal years after the year of apportionment. Any funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2011, will revert to FTA for allocation among the States under the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program.

- 5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights
- a. NTD Reporting. By law, FTA requires that each recipient under the Section 5311 program submit an annual report to the NTD containing information on capital investments, operations, and service provided with funds received under the Section 5311 program. Section 5311(b)(4), as amended by SAFETEA-LU, specifies that the report should include information on total annual revenue, sources of revenue, total annual operating costs, total annual capital costs, fleet size and type, and related facilities, revenue vehicle miles, and ridership. State or Territorial DOT 5311 grant recipients must complete a onepage form of basic data for each 5311 subrecipient, unless the subrecipient is already providing a full report to the NTD as a Tribal Transit direct recipient or as an urbanized area reporter (without receiving a Nine or Fewer

Vehicles Waiver). For the 2008 Report Year State or Territorial DOTs must report on behalf of any subrecipient receiving Section 5311 grants in 2008, or that continued to benefit in 2008 from capital assets purchased using Section 5311 grants. Tribal Transit direct recipients must report if they received an obligation or an outlay for a Section 5311 grant in 2008, or if they continued to benefit in 2008 from capital assets using Section 5311 Grants, unless the Tribe is already filing a full NTD Reports as an urbanized area reporter or unless the Tribe only received \$50,000 or less in planning grants. The NTD Rural Reporting Manual contains detailed reporting instructions and is posted on the NTD Web site, http://www.ntdprogram.gov.

b. Extension of Intercity Bus Pilot of In-Kind Match. Beginning in FY 2007, FTA implemented a two year pilot program of in-kind match for intercity bus service. The initial program was set to expire after FY 2008; however, FTA decided to extend the program through FY 2009. FTA published guidance on the in-kind match pilot in the **Federal Register** on February 28, 2007, as Appendix 1 of the Notice announcing the final revised circular 9040.1F.

J. Rural Transportation Assistance Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(3))

This program provides funding to assist in the design and implementation of training and technical assistance projects, research, and other support services tailored to meet the needs of transit operators in nonurbanized areas. For more information about Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP) contact Lorna Wilson, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$3,767,676 to RTAP (49 U.S.C. 5311(b)(2)), as a two percent takedown from the funds appropriated for Section 5311. FTA has reserved 15 percent for the National RTAP program. After adding prior year funds eligible for reapportionment, \$3,202,525 is available for allocations to the States, as shown in the table below.

RURAL TRANSIT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

| Total Appropriation | \$3,767,676 |
|------------------------|-------------|
| National RTAP Takedown | - 565,151 |
| Total Apportioned | 3,202,525 |

Table 14 shows the FY 2009 RTAP allocations to the States.

2. Basis for Allocation

FTA allocates funds to the States by an administrative formula. First FTA allocates \$65,000 to each State (\$10,000 to territories), and then allocates the balance based on nonurbanized population in the 2000 census.

3. Program Requirements

States may use the funds to undertake research, training, technical assistance, and other support services to meet the needs of transit operators in nonurbanized areas. These funds are to be used in conjunction with a State's administration of the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program, but may also support the rural components of the Section 5310, JARC, and New Freedom programs.

4. Period of Availability

Funds apportioned to States under RTAP remain available for two fiscal years following FY 2009. Any funds that remain unobligated at the close of business on September 30, 2011, will revert to FTA for allocation among the States under the RTAP.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

The National RTAP project is administered by cooperative agreement and re-competed at five-year intervals. In FY 2008, FTA awarded the cooperative agreement to the Neponset Valley Transportation Management Association (NVTMA) located in Waltham, Massachusetts through a competitive process. The projects are guided by a project review board that consists of managers of rural transit systems and State DOT RTAP programs. National RTAP resources also support the biennial TRB National Conference on Rural Public and Intercity Bus Transportation and other research and technical assistance projects of a national scope.

K. Public Transportation on Indian Reservations Program (49 U.S.C. 5311(c)(1))

FTA refers to this program as the Tribal Transit Program. It is funded as a takedown from funds appropriated for the Section 5311 program. Federally recognized Indian Tribes are defined as eligible direct recipients. The funds are to be apportioned for grants to Indian Tribes for any purpose eligible under Section 5311, which includes capital, operating, planning, and administrative assistance for rural public transit services and rural intercity bus service. For more information about the Tribal Transit Program contact Lorna Wilson,

Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2009

Under the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, the amount allocated to the program in FY 2009 is \$5,161,200, as authorized in Section 5311(c)(1)(C).

2. Basis for Allocation

Based on procedures developed in consultation with the Tribes, FTA will issue a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) soliciting applications for FY 2009 funds.

3. Requirements

FTA developed streamlined program requirements based on statutory authority allowing the Secretary to determine the terms and conditions appropriate to the program. These conditions are contained in the annual NOFA. Beginning with grants awarded in FY 2009, the grant agreement will incorporate the statement of warranty for labor protective arrangements, and tribal grants will be submitted to the Department of Labor (DOL) upon FTA approval.

4. Period of Availability

Funds remain available for three fiscal years, which includes the fiscal year the funds were apportioned or appropriated plus two additional years. Funds appropriated in FY 2009 will remain available for obligation to the tribes competitively selected to receive the funds through September 30, 2011. Any funds that remain unobligated after September 30, 2011, will revert to FTA for reallocation among the Tribes.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

Prior year unobligated allocations under the Tribal Transit Program in the amount of \$2,876,718 remain available for obligation in FY 2009. These unobligated amounts are displayed in Table 15.

The funds set aside for the Tribal Transit Program are not meant to replace or reduce funds that Indian Tribes receive from states through the Section 5311 program but are to be used to enhance public transportation on Indian reservations and transit serving tribal communities. Funds allocated to Tribes by the States may be included in the State's Section 5311 application or awarded by FTA in a grant directly to the tribe. We encourage Tribes intending to apply to FTA as direct recipients to contact the appropriate FTA regional office at the earliest opportunity.

Technical assistance for Tribes may be available from the State DOT using the State's allocation of RTAP or funds available for State administration under Section 5311, from the Tribal Transportation Assistance Program (TTAP) Centers supported by FHWA, and from the Community Transportation Association of America under a program funded by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). The National RTAP will also be developing new resources for Tribal Transit.

L. National Research Programs (49 U.S.C. 5314)

FTA's National Research Programs (NRP) include the National Research and Technology Program (NRTP), the Transit Cooperative Research Program (TCRP), the National Transit Institute (NTI), and the University Transportation Centers Program (UTC).

Through funding under these programs, FTA seeks to deliver solutions that improve public transportation. FTA's Strategic Research Goals are to provide transit research leadership, increase transit ridership, improve capital and operating efficiencies, improve safety and emergency preparedness, and to protect the environment and promote energy independence. For more information contact Bruce Robinson, Office of Research, Demonstration and Innovation, at (202) 366–4209.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2009

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$28,112,583 for the Research and University Research Centers Programs. Of this amount \$3,999,930 is allocated for TCRP, \$1,849,430 for NTI, \$3,010,700 for the UTC, and \$19,252,523 for NRTP. Within the NRTP, \$22,615,000 is allocated for specific activities under 49 U.S.C. 5338(d) and in Section 3046 of SAFETEA-LU, more than the amount currently available. All research and research and development projects, as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, are subject to a 2.6% reduction for the Small Business Innovative Research Program (SBIR), A project allocation table with the entire year's funding will be published in a subsequent notice.

2. Program Requirements

Application Instructions and Program Management Guidelines are set forth in FTA Circular 6100.1C. Research projects must support FTA's Strategic Research Goals and meet the Office of Management and Budget's Research and Development Investment Criteria. All research recipients are required to work with FTA to develop approved Statements of Work and plans to evaluate research results before award.

Eligible activities under the NRTP include research, development, demonstration and deployment projects as defined by 49 U.S.C. 5312(a); Joint Partnership projects for deployment of innovation as defined by 49 U.S.C. 5312(b); International Mass Transportation Projects as defined by 49 U.S.C. 5312(c); and, human resource programs as defined by 49 U.S.C. 5322. Unless otherwise specified in law, all projects must meet one of these eligibility requirements.

Problem Statements for TCRP can be submitted on TCRP's website: http://www.tcrponline.org. Information about NTI courses can be found at http://www.ntionline.com. UTC funds are transferred to the Research and Innovative Technology Administration to make awards.

3. Period of Availability

Funds are available until expended.

4. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

Funds not designated by Congress for specific projects and activities will be programmed by FTA based on national priorities. Opportunities are posted in http://www.grants.gov under Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 20 514

M. Job Access and Reverse Commute Program (49 U.S.C. 5316)

The Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) program provides formula funding to States and Designated Recipients to support the development and maintenance of job access projects designed to transport welfare recipients and low-income individuals to and from jobs and activities related to their employment, and for reverse commute projects designed to transport residents of UZAs and other than urbanized to suburban employment opportunities. For more information about the JARC program contact David Schneider, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2009

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$67,095,600 for the JARC Program. The total amount apportioned by formula is shown in the table below.

JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE PROGRAM

| Total Apportioned | \$67,095,600 |
|-------------------|------------------|
| Total Apportioned | \$67,095,600 |

Table 16 shows the FY 2009 JARC apportionments.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

By law, FTA allocates 60 percent of funds available to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more persons (large UZAs); 20 percent to the States for urbanized areas with populations ranging from 50,000 to 200,000 persons (small UZAs), and 20 percent to the States for rural and small urban areas with populations of less than 50,000 persons. FTA apportions funds based upon the number of low income individuals residing in a State or large urbanized area, using data from the 2000 Census for individuals below 150 percent of poverty. FTA publishes apportionments to each State for small UZAs and for rural and small urban areas and a single apportionment for each large UZA.

The Designated Recipient, either for the State or for a large UZA, is responsible for further allocating the funds to specific projects and subrecipients through a competitive selection process. If the Governor has designated more than one recipient of JARC funds in a large UZA, the Designated Recipients may agree to conduct a single competitive selection process or sub-allocate funds to each Designated Recipient, based upon a percentage split agreed upon locally, and conduct separate competitions.

States may transfer funds between the small UZA and the nonurbanized apportionments, if all of the objectives of JARC are met in the size area the funds are taken from. States may also use funds in the small UZA and nonurbanized area apportionments for projects anywhere in the State (including large UZAs) if the State has established a statewide program for meeting the objectives of JARC. A State planning to transfer funds under either of these provisions should submit a request to the FTA regional office. FTA will assign new accounting codes to the funds before obligating them in a grant.

3. Requirements

States and Designated Recipients must solicit grant applications and select projects competitively, based on application procedures and requirements established by the Designated Recipient, consistent with the Federal JARC program objectives. In the case of large UZAs, the area-wide solicitation shall be conducted in cooperation with the appropriate MPO(s).

Funds are available to support the planning, capital, and operating costs of transportation services that are eligible

for funding under the program. Assistance may be provided for a variety of transportation services and strategies directed at assisting welfare recipients and eligible low-income individuals address unmet transportation needs, and to provide reverse commute services. The transportation services may be provided by public, non-profit, or private-for-profit operators. The Federal share is 80 percent of capital and planning expenses and 50 percent of operating expenses. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT, with the exception of the Federal Lands Highway Program established by 23 U.S.C. 204) may be used for local/State match for funds provided under Section 5316, and revenue from service contracts may be used as local match.

States and Designated Recipients may use up to ten percent of their annual apportionment for administration, planning, and to provide technical assistance. No local share is required for these program administrative funds. Funds used under this program for planning in urbanized areas must be shown in the UPWP for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area.

The Designated Recipient must certify that: the projects selected were derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan; and, the plan was developed through a process that included representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human services providers and participation by the public, including those representing the needs of welfare recipients and eligible low-income individuals. The locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation planning process must be coordinated and consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes and funding for the program must be included in the metropolitan and statewide Transportation Improvement Program (TIP and STIP) at a level of specificity or aggregation consistent with State and local policies and procedures. Finally, the State must certify that allocations of the grant to subrecipients are made on a fair and equitable basis.

The coordinated planning requirement is also a requirement in two additional programs. Projects selected for funding under the Section 5310 program and the New Freedom program are also required to be derived from a locally developed coordinated public transit-human service transportation plan. FTA anticipates that most areas will develop one consolidated plan for all the programs, which may include

separate elements and other human service transportation programs. The goal of the coordinated planning process is not to be an exhaustive document, but to serve as a tool for planning and implementing beneficial projects. The level of effort required to develop the plan will vary among communities based on factors such as the availability of resources. FTA does not approve coordinated plans.

The JARC program is subject to the relevant requirements of Section 5307, including the requirement for certification of labor protections. JARC program requirements are published in FTA circular 9050.1, dated April 1, 2007. The circular and other guidance including frequently asked questions are posted on the FTA Web site at http://www.fta.dot.gov.

4. Period of Availability

FTA has established a consistent three-year period of availability for JARC, New Freedom, and the Section 5310 program, which includes the year of apportionment plus two additional years. FY 2009 funding is available through FY 2011. Any funding that remains unobligated on September 30, 2011 will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States and large UZAs under the JARC program.

5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

a. Carryover Earmarks. Table 17 lists prior year carryover of \$7,791,630 for JARC projects designated by Congress in FYs 2002–2005. JARC earmarks carried over from TEA-21 are subject to the terms and conditions under which they were originally appropriated, including the requirement for a 50 percent local share for both capital and operating assistance. All projects should be in a regional JARC Plan as required under TEA–21 or in the new local coordinated plan required by the new formula JARC program. FTA will award a grant for a designated project upon receipt of a complete application, but can honor changes to the original designation only if so directed by the Appropriations Committee chairs. FTA intends to propose that any remaining JARC Discretionary Program funds be reallocated in the agency's FY 2010 budget. Grantees intending to use their remaining discretionary JARC funds should obligate funds prior to September 30, 2009.

b. Designated Recipient. FTA must have received formal notification from the Governor or Governor's designee of the Designated Recipient for JARC funds apportioned to a State or large UZA before awarding a grant to that area for JARC projects.

c. Transfers to Section 5307 or 5311. States may transfer JARC funds to Section 5307 or Section 5311, but only for projects competitively selected under the JARC program, not as a general supplement for those programs. FTA anticipates that the States would use this flexibility primarily for projects to be implemented by a Section 5307 recipient in a small urbanized area or for Federally recognized Indian Tribes that elect to receive funds as a direct recipient from FTA under Section 5311. FTA has established a scope code (646) to track JARC projects included within a Section 5307 or 5311 grant. Transfer to Section 5307 or 5311 is permitted but not required. FTA will also award stand-alone Section 5316 grants to the State for any and all subrecipients. In order to track disbursements accurately against the appropriate program, FTA will not combine JARC funds with Section 5307 funds in a single Section 5307 grant, nor will FTA combine JARC with New Freedom funds in a single Section 5307 grant.

d. Evaluation. Section 5316(i)(2), of SAFETEA–LU, requires FTA to conduct a study to evaluate the effectiveness of the JARC program. To support the evaluation, annual GAO reports on the program, and DOT Performance Measures, while reducing the burden grantees previously experienced from separate reporting required for the JARC program under TEA–21. FTA has established a web-based system for designated recipients to report their program measures on behalf of themselves and their subrecipients.

N. New Freedom Program (49 U.S.C. 5317)

SAFETEA-LU established the New Freedom Program under 49 U.S.C. 5317. The program purpose is to provide new public transportation services and public transportation alternatives beyond those currently required by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) that assist individuals with disabilities with transportation, including transportation to and from jobs and employment support services. For more information about the New Freedom program contact David Schneider, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2009

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$37,633,750 for the New Freedom Program. The entire amount is apportioned by formula, as shown in the table below.

NEW FREEDOM PROGRAM

Total Apportioned \$37,633,750

Table 18 shows the FY 2009 New Freedom apportionments.

2. Basis for Formula Apportionment

By law, FTA allocates 60 percent of funds available to UZAs with populations of 200,000 or more persons (large UZAs); 20 percent to the States for urbanized areas with populations ranging from 50,000 to 200,000 persons (small UZAs), and 20 percent to the States for rural and small urban areas with populations of less than 50,000 persons. FTA apportions funds based upon the number of persons with disabilities over the age of five residing in a State or large urbanized area, using data from the 2000 Census. FTA publishes apportionments to each State for small UZAs and for rural and small urban areas and a single apportionment for each large UZA.

The Designated Recipient, either for the State or for a large UZA, is responsible for further allocating the funds to specific projects and subrecipients through a competitive selection process. If the Governor has designated more than one recipient of New Freedom funds in a large UZA, the Designated Recipients may agree to conduct a single competitive selection process or sub-allocate funds to each Designated Recipient, based upon a percentage split agreed on locally and conduct separate competitions.

3. Requirements

States and Designated Recipients must solicit grant applications and select projects competitively, based on application procedures and requirements established by the Designated Recipient, consistent with the Federal New Freedom program objectives. In the case of large UZAs, the area-wide solicitation shall be conducted in cooperation with the appropriate MPO(s).

Funds are available to support the capital and operating costs of new public transportation services and public transportation alternatives that are beyond those required by the Americans with Disabilities Act. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT, with the exception of the Federal Lands Highway Program established by 23 U.S.C. 204) may be used as match for capital funds provided under Section 5317, and revenue from contract services may be used as local match.

Funding is available for transportation services provided by public, non-profit,

or private-for-profit operators. Assistance may be provided for a variety of transportation services and strategies directed at assisting persons with disabilities to address unmet transportation needs. Eligible public transportation services and public transportation alternatives funded under the New Freedom program must be both new and beyond the ADA. (In FY 2007, FTA published interim guidance holding Designated Recipients harmless for project selections conducted in good faith based on FTA's earlier preliminary determination that eligible services could be either new or beyond the ADA. Grants awarded in FY 2009 are now subject to the requirements of the final guidance which was published April 1, 2007).

The Federal share is 80 percent of capital expenses and 50 percent of operating expenses. Funds provided under other Federal programs (other than those of the DOT) may be used for local/state match for funds provided under Section 5317, and revenue from service contracts may be used as local match.

States and Designated Recipients may use up to ten percent of their annual apportionment to administer, plan, and provide technical assistance for a funded project. No local share is required for these program administrative funds. Funds used under this program for planning must be shown in the UPWP for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area.

The Designated Recipient must certify that: the projects selected were derived from a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan; and, the plan was developed through a process that included representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human services providers and participation by the public, including those representing the needs of welfare recipients and eligible low-income individuals. The locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation planning process must be coordinated and consistent with the metropolitan and statewide planning processes and funding for the program must be included in the metropolitan and statewide Transportation Improvement Program (TIP and STIP) at a level of specificity or aggregation consistent with State and local policies and procedures. Finally, the State must certify that allocations of the grant to subrecipients are made on a fair and equitable basis.

The coordinated planning requirement is also a requirement in two additional programs. Projects selected

for funding under the Section 5310 program and the JARC program are also required to be derived from a locally developed coordinated public transithuman service transportation plan. FTA anticipates that most areas will develop one consolidated plan for all the programs, which may include separate elements and other human service transportation programs.

The New Freedom program is subject to the relevant requirements of Section 5307, but certification of labor protections is not required. New Freedom Program requirements are published in FTA circular 9045.1, which was effective May 1, 2007. The circular and other guidance including frequently asked questions are posted on the FTA Web site at http://www.fta.dot.gov.

4. Period of Availability

FTA has established a consistent three-year period of availability for New Freedom, JARC, and the Section 5310 program, which includes the year of apportionment plus two additional years. FY 2009 funding is available through FY 2011. Any funding that remains unobligated on September 30, 2011, will revert to FTA for reapportionment among the States and large UZAs under the New Freedom program.

- 5. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights
- a. Designated Recipient. FTA must have received formal notification from the Governor or Governor's designee of the Designated Recipient for New Freedom funds apportioned to a State or large UZA before awarding a grant to that area for New Freedom projects.

b. Transfers to Section 5307 or 5311. States may transfer New Freedom funds to Section 5307 or Section 5311, but only for projects competitively selected under the New Freedom program, not as a general supplement for those programs. FTA anticipates that the States would use this flexibility for projects to be implemented by a Section 5307 recipient in a small urbanized area or for Federally recognized Indian Tribes that elect to receive funds as a direct recipient from FTA under Section 5311. FTA has established a scope code (647) to track New Freedom projects included within a Section 5307 or 5311 grant. Transfer to Section 5307 or 5311 is permitted but not required. FTA will also award stand-alone Section 5317 grants to the State for any and all subrecipients. In order to track disbursements accurately against the appropriate program, FTA will not combine New Freedom funds with

Section 5307 funds in a single Section 5307 grant, nor will FTA combine New Freedom with JARC funds in a single Section 5307 grant.

c. Performance Measures. To support the evaluation of the program and Departmental reporting under the Governmental Performance and Results Act and the Office of Management and Budget's Performance Assessment and Rating Tool, FTA has established a webbased system for designated recipients to report their program measures on behalf of themselves and their subrecipients.

O. Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program (49 U.S.C. 5320)

The Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program (Transit in Parks Program), formally the Alternative Transportation in Parks and Public Lands (ATPPL) program, is administered by FTA in partnership with the Department of the Interior (DOI) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Forest Service. The purpose of the program is to enhance the protection of national parks and Federal lands, and increase the enjoyment of those visiting them. The program funds capital and planning expenses for alternative transportation systems such as buses and trams in federally-managed parks and public lands. Federal land management agencies and State, tribal and local governments acting with the consent of a Federal land management agency are eligible to apply.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, makes \$10,752,500 available for the program in FY 2009. Up to ten percent of the funds may be reserved for planning, research, and technical assistance. FTA will publish a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) in the **Federal Register** inviting applications for projects to be funded in FY 2009.

2. Program Requirements

Projects are competitively selected based on criteria specified in the Notice of Funding Availability. The terms and conditions applicable to the program are also specified in the NOFA. Projects must conserve natural, historical, and cultural resources, reduce congestion and pollution, and improve visitor mobility and accessibility. No more than 25 percent may be allocated for any one project.

3. Period of Availability

The funds under the Transit in Parks Program remain available until expended. 4. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

Project selections for the FY 2008 funding were published in the **Federal Register** on October 10, 2008. Fifty-two projects totaling \$24,470,501 were awarded.

P. Alternatives Analysis Program (49 U.S.C. 5339)

The Alternatives Analysis Program provides grants to States, authorities of the States, metropolitan planning organizations, and local government authorities to develop studies as part of the transportation planning process. These studies include an assessment of a wide range of public transportation alternatives designed to address a transportation problem in a corridor or subarea; sufficient information to enable the Secretary to make the findings of project justification and local financial commitment required; the selection of a locally preferred alternative; and the adoption of the locally preferred alternative as part of the state or regional long-range transportation plan. For more information about this program contact Ron Fisher, Office of Planning and Environment, at (202) 366-4033.

1. FY 2009 Funding Availability

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$10,619,642 to the Alternatives Analysis Program (49 U.S.C. 5339). FTA will publish project allocations in a supplemental notice when all program funds have been made available.

2. Requirements

Alternatives Analysis program funds may be made available to States, authorities of the States, metropolitan planning organizations, and local governmental authorities. The Government's share of the cost of an activity funded may not exceed 80 percent of the cost of the activity. The funds will be awarded as separate Section 5339 grants. The grant requirements will be comparable to those for Section 5309 grants. Eligible projects include planning and corridor studies and the adoption of locally preferred alternatives within the fiscally constrained Metropolitan Transportation Plan for that area. Funds awarded under the Alternatives Analysis Program must be shown in the UPWP for MPO(s) with responsibility for that area. Pre-award authority applies to these funds after Congress appropriates funds for these projects and the allocations are published in an FTA notice of apportionments and allocations.

Legislation to amend a 2007 or 2008 earmark under section 3037(c) of SAFETEA-LU is necessary should a recipient wish to use section 5339 funds for eligible project activities outside the scope of the project description. Unless otherwise specified in law, grants made under the Alternatives Analysis program must meet all other eligibility requirements as outlined in Section 5309.

3. Period of Availability

Funds designated for specific Alternatives Analysis Program projects remain available for obligation for three fiscal years, which includes the year of availability plus two additional fiscal years. Alternatives Analysis funds not obligated in an FTA grant for eligible purposes at the end of the period of availability will generally be made available for other projects.

4. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

Table 19 lists prior year carryover of \$23,481,600 for Alternatives Analysis projects allocated project funding in FY 2007 and FY 2008. This amount includes \$480,000 for FY 2006, which was competitively awarded in FY 2007. The total carryover amount also includes \$8,987,600 from FY 2007 and \$14,014,000 from FY 2008.

The SAFETEA–LU Technical Corrections Act of 2008 rescinded FY 2006 and FY 2007 funding in the amount of \$500,000 for the Middle Rio Grande Coalition of Governments, Albuquerque to Santa Fe Corridor Study. Funding for the Lane County, Oregon Bus Rapid Transit Phase II Corridor Study is now available to all phases of the project.

Q. Growing States and High Density States Formula Factors

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, makes \$188,383,800 available for apportionment in accordance with the formula factors prescribed for Growing States and High Density States in Section 5340 of SAFETEA-LU. Fifty percent of this amount (or \$94,191,900) is apportioned to eligible States and urbanized areas using the Growing State formula factors. The other 50 percent is apportioned to eligible States and urbanized areas using the High Density States formula factors. Based on application of the formulas, \$64,557,843 of the Growing States funding was apportioned to urbanized areas and \$29,634,057 to nonurbanized areas. All of the \$94,191,900 allotted to High Density States was apportioned to urbanized areas.

The term 'State' is defined only to mean the 50 States. For the Growing State portion of Section 5340, funds are allocated based on the population forecasts for fifteen years after the date of that census. Forecasts are based on the trend between the most recent decennial census and Census Bureau population estimates for the most current year. Census population estimates as of December 27, 2007 were used in the FY 2009 apportionments Funds allocated to the States are then sub-allocated to urbanized and nonurbanized areas based on forecast population, where available. If forecasted population data at the urbanized level is not available, as is currently the case, funds are allocated to current urbanized and non-urbanized areas on the basis of current population in the 2000 Census. Funds allocated to urbanized areas are included in their Section 5307 apportionment. Funds allocated for non-urbanized areas are included in the states' Section 5311 apportionments.

R. Over-the-Road Bus Accessibility Program (49 U.S.C. 5310 note)

The Over-the-Road Bus Accessibility (OTRB) Program authorizes FTA to make grants to operators of over-the-road buses to help finance the incremental capital and training costs of complying with the DOT over-the-road bus accessibility final rule, 49 CFR Part 37, published on September 28, 1998 (63 FR 51670). FTA conducts a national solicitation of applications, and grantees are selected on a competitive basis. For more information about the OTRB program contact Blenda Younger, Office of Transit Programs, at (202) 366–2053.

1. Funding Availability in FY 2009

The Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009, provides \$3,569,830 for the Overthe-Road Bus Accessibility (OTRB) Program, which is the total amount allocable for OTRB, as shown in the table below.

OVER-THE-ROAD BUS ACCESSIBILITY PROGRAM

| Total Apportioned | \$3,569,830 |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| | |

Of this amount, \$2,677,373 is allocable to providers of intercity fixed-route service, and \$892,457 to other providers of over-the-road bus services, including local fixed-route service, commuter service, and charter and tour service.

2. Program Requirements

Projects are competitively selected. The Federal share of the project is 90 percent of net project cost. Program guidance is provided in the Federal **Register** notice soliciting applications. Assistance under the program is available to private operators of overthe-road buses that are used substantially or exclusively in intercity, fixed route and over-the-road bus service. Assistance is also available to private operators of over-the-road buses in other services, such as charter, tour, and commuter service. Capital projects eligible for funding include projects to add lifts and other accessibility components to new vehicle purchases and to purchase lifts to retrofit existing vehicles. Eligible training costs include developing training materials or providing training for local providers of over-the-road bus services. A comprehensive listing of program requirements is published annually in the OTRB Program Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA).

3. Period of Availability

FTA has observed that some private operators selected to receive funding under this program have not acted promptly to obligate the funds in a grant and request reimbursement for expenditures. While the program does not have a statutory period of availability, in the FY 2008 Apportionment Notice, FTA published its intention to limit the period of availability to a selected operator to three years, which includes the year of allocation plus two additional years. Accordingly, funds for projects selected in FY 2005 or prior years are no longer available for obligation in a grant and will be reallocated in the FY 2009 competition. FY 2006 funds will be reallocated at the end of FY 2009 if not obligated in a grant by September 30, 2009. FY 2007 and FY 2008 funds were allocated on August 22, 2008 and will be reallocated if not obligated in a grant by September 30, 2010. Funds for project selections announced in FY 2009 will be reallocated if not obligated in a grant by September 30, 2011.

4. Other Program or Apportionment Related Information and Highlights

FTA will publish a NOFA soliciting applications for FY 2009 in a subsequent notice once the full funding level is made available to the program. The notice will be available at http://www.fta.dot.gov/laws/leg_reg_federal_register.html.

V. FTA Policy and Procedures for FY 2009 Grants

A. Automatic Pre-Award Authority To Incur Project Costs

1. Caution to New Grantees and Grantees Using Innovative Financing

While we provide pre-award authority to incur expenses prior to grant award for many projects, we recommend that first-time grant recipients not utilize this automatic pre-award authority and wait until the grant is actually awarded by FTA before incurring costs. As a new grantee, it is easy to misunderstand preaward authority conditions and not be aware of all of the applicable FTA requirements that must be met in order to be reimbursed for project expenditures incurred in advance of grant award. FTA programs have specific statutory requirements that are often different from those for other Federal grant programs with which new grantees may be familiar. If funds are expended for an ineligible project or activity, FTA will be unable to reimburse the project sponsor and, in certain cases, the entire project may be rendered ineligible for FTA assistance.

Grantees proposing to use innovative financing techniques or capital leasing are required to consult with the applicable FTA Regional Office (see Appendix A) prior to entering into the financial agreement—especially where the grantee expects to use Federal funds for debt service or capital lease payments. Consulting with FTA prior to entering into the agreement allows FTA to advise the grantee of any applicable federal regulations, such as the Capital Leasing Regulation, and will minimize the risk of the costs being ineligible for reimbursement at a later date.

2. Policy

FTA provides pre-award authority to incur expenses prior to grant award for certain program areas described below. This pre-award authority allows grantees to incur certain project costs prior to grant approval and retain the eligibility of those costs for subsequent reimbursement after grant approval. The grantee assumes all risk and is responsible for ensuring that all conditions are met to retain eligibility. This pre-award spending authority permits a grantee to incur costs on an eligible transit capital, operating, planning, or administrative project without prejudice to possible future Federal participation in the cost of the project. In the **Federal Register** Notice of November 30, 2006, FTA extended pre-award authority for capital assistance under all formula programs

through FY 2009, the duration of SAFETEA-LU. In this notice, FTA extends pre-award authority through FY 2010 for capital assistance under all formula programs. FTA provides pre-award authority for planning and operating assistance under the formula programs without regard to the period of the authorization. In addition, we extend pre-award authority for certain discretionary programs based on the annual Appropriations Act each year. All pre-award authority is subject to conditions and triggers stated below:

a. FTA does not impose additional conditions on pre-award authority for operating, planning, or administrative assistance under the formula grant programs. Grantees may be reimbursed for expenses incurred prior to grant award so long as funds have been expended in accordance with all Federal requirements. In addition to cross-cutting Federal grant requirements, program specific requirements must be met. For example, a planning project must have been included in a Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP); a New Freedom operating assistance project or a JARC planning or operating project must have been derived from a coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan (coordinated plan) and competitively selected by the Designated Recipient prior to incurring expenses; expenditure on State Administration expenses under State Administered programs must be consistent with the State Management Plan. Designated Recipients for JARC and New Freedom have pre-award authority for the ten percent of the apportionment they may use for program administration, if the use is consistent with their Program Management Plan.

b. Pre-Award authority for Alternatives Analysis planning projects under 49 U.S.C. 5339 is triggered by the publication of the allocation in FTA's Federal Register Notice of Apportionments and Allocations following the annual Appropriations Act, or announcement of additional discretionary allocations. The projects must be included in the UPWP of the MPO for that metropolitan area.

c. Pre-award authority for design and environmental work on a capital project is triggered by the authorization of formula funds, or the appropriation of funds for a discretionary project.

d. Following authorization of formula funds or appropriation and publication of discretionary projects, pre-award authority for capital project implementation activities including property acquisition, demolition, construction, and acquisition of vehicles, equipment, or construction materials is triggered by completion of the environmental review process with FTA's concurrence in the categorical exclusion (CE) determination or signing of an environmental Record of Decision (ROD) or Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). Prior to exercising preaward authority, grantees must comply with the conditions and Federal requirements outlined in paragraph 3 below. Failure to do so will render an otherwise eligible project ineligible for FTA financial assistance. Capital projects under the Section 5310, JARC, and New Freedom programs must comply with specific program requirements, including coordinated planning and competitive selection. In addition, prior to incurring costs, grantees are strongly encouraged to consult with the appropriate FTA regional office regarding the eligibility of the project for future FTA funds and the applicability of the conditions and Federal requirements.

e. As a general rule, pre-award authority applies to the Section 5309 Capital Investment Bus and Bus-Related Facilities, the Clean Fuels Bus program, high priority project designations, and any other transit discretionary projects designated in SAFETEA-LU only AFTER funds have been appropriated. Pre-award authority is currently extended for FY 2007 and FY 2008 discretionary project funding. As of the date of this notice, FTA extends preaward authority to FY 2009 projects designated discretionary funding in SAFETEA-LU and to discretionary allocations extended or reprogrammed under the SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections Act of 2008, as of June 6, 2008. For Section 5309 Capital Investment Bus and Bus-Related, Clean Fuels Program, or other transit capital discretionary projects such as those designated in an annual Appropriations Act, the date that costs may be incurred is: (1) For design and environmental review, the appropriations bill which funds the project was enacted; and (2) for property acquisition, demolition, construction, and acquisition of vehicles, equipment, or construction materials, the date that FTA approves the document (ROD, FONSI, or CE determination) that completes the environmental review process required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and its implementing regulations. FTA introduced this new trigger for pre-award authority in FY 2006 in recognition of the growing prevalence of new grantees unfamiliar with Federal and FTA requirements to

ensure FTA's continued ability to comply with NEPA and related environmental laws. Because FTA does not sign a final NEPA document until MPO and statewide planning requirements (including air quality conformity requirements, if applicable) have been satisfied, this new trigger for pre-award will ensure compliance with both planning and environmental requirements prior to irreversible action by the grantee.

f. In previous notices, FTA extended pre-award authority to Section 330 projects referenced in the DOT Appropriation Act, 2002, and the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003 and to those surface transportation projects commonly referred to as Section 115 projects administered by FTA, for which amounts were provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004, Section 117 projects in the 2005 Appropriations Act, and Section 112 of the 2006 Appropriations Act that are to be administered by FTA. FTA, in the FY 2008 Apportionment Notice, extended pre-award authority to high priority projects in SAFETEA-LU, as of the date they were transferred or allotted to FTA for administration. The same conditions described for bus projects apply to these projects. We strongly encourage any prospective applicant that does not have a previous relationship with FTA to review Federal grant requirements with the FTA regional office before incurring

g. Blanket pre-award authority does not apply to Section 5309 Capital Investment New Starts funds. Specific instances of pre-award authority for Capital Investment New Starts projects are described in paragraph 4 below. Preaward authority does not apply to Capital Investment Bus and Bus-Related Facilities or Clean Fuels projects authorized for funding beyond this fiscal year. Before an applicant may incur costs for Capital Investment New Starts projects, Bus and Bus-Related Facilities projects, or any other projects not yet published in a notice of apportionments and allocations, it must first obtain a written Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) from FTA. To obtain an LONP, a grantee must submit a written request accompanied by adequate information and justification to the appropriate FTA regional office, as described below.

h. Blanket pre-award authority does not apply to Section 5314 National Research Programs. Before an applicant may incur costs for National Research Programs, it must first obtain a written Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) from FTA. To obtain an LONP, a grantee must submit a written request accompanied by adequate information and justification to the appropriate FTA headquarters office. Information about LONP procedures may be obtained from the appropriate headquarters office.

3. Conditions

The conditions under which preaward authority may be utilized are specified below:

a. Pre-award authority is not a legal or implied commitment that the subject project will be approved for FTA assistance or that FTA will obligate Federal funds. Furthermore, it is not a legal or implied commitment that all items undertaken by the applicant will be eligible for inclusion in the project.

b. All FTA statutory, procedural, and contractual requirements must be met.

c. No action will be taken by the grantee that prejudices the legal and administrative findings that the Federal Transit Administrator must make in

order to approve a project.

d. Local funds expended by the grantee pursuant to and after the date of the pre-award authority will be eligible for credit toward local match or reimbursement if FTA later makes a grant or grant amendment for the project. Local funds expended by the grantee prior to the date of the preaward authority will not be eligible for credit toward local match or reimbursement. Furthermore, the expenditure of local funds on activities such as land acquisition, demolition, or construction prior to the date of preaward authority for those activities (i.e., the completion of the NEPA process) would compromise FTA's ability to comply with Federal environmental laws and may render the project ineligible for FTA funding.

e. The Federal amount of any future FTA assistance awarded to the grantee for the project will be determined on the basis of the overall scope of activities and the prevailing statutory provisions with respect to the Federal/local match ratio at the time the funds are obligated.

f. For funds to which the pre-award authority applies, the authority expires with the lapsing of the fiscal year funds.

g. When a grant for the project is subsequently awarded, the Financial Status Report, in TEAM-Web, must indicate the use of pre-award authority.

h. Environmental, Planning, and Other Federal Requirements.

All Federal grant requirements must be met at the appropriate time for the project to remain eligible for Federal funding. The growth of the Federal transit program has resulted in a growing number of inexperienced grantees who make compliance with Federal planning and environmental laws increasingly challenging. FTA has therefore modified its approach to preaward authority to use the completion of the NEPA process, which has as a prerequisite the completion of planning and air quality requirements, as the trigger for pre-award authority for all activities except design and environmental review.

i. The requirement that a project be included in a locally adopted metropolitan transportation plan, the metropolitan transportation improvement program and Federallyapproved statewide transportation improvement program (23 CFR Part 450) must be satisfied before the grantee may advance the project beyond planning and preliminary design with non-Federal funds under pre-award authority. If the project is located within an EPA-designated non-attainment area for air quality, the conformity requirements of the Clean Air Act, 40 CFR Part 93, must also be met before the project may be advanced into implementation-related activities under pre-award authority. Compliance with NEPA and other environmental laws and executive orders (e.g., protection of parklands, wetlands, and historic properties) must be completed before State or local funds are spent on implementation activities, such as site preparation, construction, and acquisition, for a project that is expected to be subsequently funded with FTA funds. The grantee may not advance the project beyond planning and preliminary design before FTA has determined the project to be a categorical exclusion, or has issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) or an environmental Record of Decision (ROD), in accordance with FTA environmental regulations, 23 CFR Part 771. For planning projects, the project must be included in a locallyapproved Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) that has been coordinated with the State.

j. In addition, Federal procurement procedures, as well as the whole range of applicable Federal requirements (e.g., Buy America, Davis-Bacon Act, Disadvantaged Business Enterprise) must be followed for projects in which Federal funding will be sought in the future. Failure to follow any such requirements could make the project ineligible for Federal funding. In short, this increased administrative flexibility requires a grantee to make certain that no Federal requirements are circumvented through the use of preaward authority. If a grantee has questions or concerns regarding the environmental requirements, or any

other Federal requirements that must be met before incurring costs, it should contact the appropriate regional office.

- 4. Pre-Award Authority for New Starts Projects
- a. Preliminary Engineering (PE) and Final Design (FD). Projects proposed for Section 5309 New Starts funds are required to follow a Federally defined New Starts project development process. This New Starts process includes, among other things, FTA approval of the entry of the project into PE and into FD. In accordance with Section 5309(d), FTA considers the merits of the project, the strength of its financial plan, and its readiness to enter the next phase in deciding whether or not to approve entry into PE or FD. Upon FTA approval to enter PE, FTA extends pre-award authority to incur costs for PE activities. Upon FTA approval to enter FD, FTA extends preaward authority to incur costs for FD activities. The pre-award authority for each phase is automatic upon FTA's signing of a letter to the project sponsor approving entry into that phase. PE and FD are defined in the New Starts regulation entitled Major Capital Investment Projects, found at 49 CFR Part 611

b. Real Property Acquisition Activities. FTA extends automatic preaward authority for the acquisition of real property and real property rights for a New Starts project upon completion of the NEPA process for that project. The NEPA process is completed when FTA signs an environmental Record of Decision (ROD) or Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), or makes a Categorical Exclusion (CE) determination. With the limitations and caveats described below, real estate acquisition for a New Starts project may commence, at the project sponsor's risk, upon completion of the NEPA process.

For FTA-assisted projects, any acquisition of real property or real property rights must be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act (URA) and its implementing regulations, 49 CFR Part 24. This pre-award authority is strictly limited to costs incurred: (i) To acquire real property and real property rights in accordance with the URA regulation, and (ii) to provide relocation assistance in accordance with the URA regulation. This pre-award authority is limited to the acquisition of real property and real property rights that are explicitly identified in the final environmental impact statement (FEIS), environmental assessment (EA), or CE document, as needed for the selected

alternative that is the subject of the FTA-signed ROD or FONSI, or CE determination. This pre-award authority does not cover site preparation, demolition, or any other activity that is not strictly necessary to comply with the URA, with one exception. That exception is when a building that has been acquired, has been emptied of its occupants, and awaits demolition poses a potential fire-safety hazard or other hazard to the community in which it is located, or is susceptible to reoccupation by vagrants. Demolition of the building is also covered by this preaward authority upon FTA's written agreement that the adverse condition exists.

Pre-award authority for property acquisition is also provided when FTA makes a CE determination for a protective buy or hardship acquisition in accordance with 23 CFR 771.117(d)(12), and when FTA makes a CE determination for the acquisition of a pre-existing railroad right-of-way in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5324(c). When a tiered environmental review in accordance with 23 CFR 771.111(g) is being used, pre-award authority is not provided upon completion of the firsttier environmental document except when the Tier-1 ROD or FONSI signed by FTA explicitly provides such preaward authority for a particular identified acquisition.

Project sponsors should use preaward authority for real property acquisition and relocation assistance very carefully, with a clear understanding that it does not constitute a funding commitment by FTA. FTA provides pre-award authority upon completion of the NEPA process to maximize the time available to project sponsors to move people out of their homes and places of business, in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Act, but also with maximum sensitivity to the plight of the people so affected. Although FTA provides pre-award authority for property acquisition upon completion of the NEPA process, FTA will not make a grant to reimburse the sponsor for real estate activities conducted under preaward authority until the project has been approved into FD. Even if funds have been appropriated for the project, the timing of an actual grant for property acquisition and related activities must await FD approval to ensure that Federal funds are not risked on a project whose advancement beyond PE is still not yet assured.

c. National Énvironmental Policy Act (NEPA) Activities. NEPA requires that major projects proposed for FTA funding assistance be subjected to a public and interagency review of the need for the project, its environmental and community impacts, and alternatives to avoid and reduce adverse impacts. Projects of more limited scope also need a level of environmental review, either to support an FTA finding of no significant impact (FONSI) or to demonstrate that the action is categorically excluded from the more rigorous level of NEPA review.

FTA's regulation titled "Environmental Impact and Related Procedures," at 23 ČFR Part 771 states that the costs incurred by a grant applicant for the preparation of environmental documents requested by FTA are eligible for FTA financial assistance (23 CFR 771.105(e)). Accordingly, FTA extends pre-award authority for costs incurred to comply with NEPA regulations and to conduct NEPA-related activities for a proposed New Starts or Small Starts project, effective as of the date of the Federal approval of the relevant STIP or STIP amendment that includes the project or any phase of the project. NEPA-related activities include, but are not limited to, public involvement activities, historic preservation reviews, section 4(f) evaluations, wetlands evaluations, endangered species consultations, and biological assessments. This pre-award authority is strictly limited to costs incurred to conduct the NEPA process, and to prepare environmental, historic preservation and related documents. It does not cover PE activities beyond those necessary for NEPA compliance.

For many FTA programs, costs incurred by a grant applicant exercising pre-award authority in the preparation of environmental documents required by FTA are eligible for FTA reimbursement (See also 23 CFR 771.105(e)). FTA assistance for environmental documents for New Starts and Small Starts projects, however, is subject to certain restrictions. Under SAFETEA-LU, Section 5309 New Starts funds cannot be used for any activity, including a NEPA-related activity that occurs prior to the approval of a New Starts project into PE or a Small Starts project into Project Development (PD). Section 5339 (Alternatives analysis program), Section 5307 (Urbanized Area Formula Program) and flexible highway funds are available for NEPA work conducted prior to PE approval (for New Starts) or PD approval (for Small Starts). Section 5309 New Starts funds, however, as well as Section 5307 (Urban Formula program) and flexible highway funds, can be used for NEPA work conducted after PE approval (for New Starts) or PD approval (for Small Starts). NEPA-

related activities include, but are not limited to, public involvement activities, historic preservation reviews, section 4(f) evaluations, wetlands evaluations, endangered species consultations, and biological assessments. As with any pre-award authority, FTA reimbursement for costs incurred is not guaranteed.

d. Other New Starts Activities
Requiring Letter of No Prejudice
(LONP). Except as discussed in
paragraphs a through c above, a grant
applicant must obtain a written LONP
from FTA before incurring costs for any
activity expected to be funded by New
Start funds not yet awarded. To obtain
an LONP, an applicant must submit a
written request accompanied by
adequate information and justification
to the appropriate FTA regional office,
as described in B below.

5. Pre-Award Authority for Small Starts

When FTA issues a Project Development approval letter for a Small Starts project, FTA grants pre-award authority for the engineering and design activities necessary to complete NEPA. Upon FTA's issuance of a Record of Decision (ROD), a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), or a Categorical Exclusion (CE) determination, pre-award authority is granted to incur costs for all other project engineering activities including right-of-way acquisition and utility relocation. When FTA issues a Project Construction Grant Agreement (PCGA), FTA grants pre-award authority for the construction phase of the project. Preaward authority for NEPA-related work on a Small Starts project is described in paragraph 4.c above. Pre-award authority for real property acquisition activities for a Small Starts project is granted under the same conditions and for the same reasons as for New Starts projects, as described in paragraph 4.b above.

B. Letter of No Prejudice (LONP) Policy

1. Policy

LONP authority allows an applicant to incur costs on a project utilizing non-Federal resources, with the understanding that the costs incurred subsequent to the issuance of the LONP may be reimbursable as eligible expenses or eligible for credit toward the local match should FTA approve the project at a later date. LONPs are applicable to projects and project activities not covered by automatic preaward authority. The majority of LONPs will be for Section 5309 New Starts or Small Starts funds not covered under a full funding grant agreement (FFGA) or

PCGA, or for Section 5309 Bus and Bus-Related projects authorized but not yet appropriated by Congress. LONPs may be issued for formula and discretionary funds beyond the life of the current authorization or FTA's extension of automatic pre-award authority; however, the LONP is limited to a fiveyear period.

2. Conditions and Federal Requirements

The conditions for pre-award authority specified in section V.A.2 above apply to all LONPs. The Environmental, Planning and Other Federal Requirements described in section V.A.3 also apply to all LONPs. Because project implementation activities may not be initiated prior to NEPA completion, FTA will not issue an LONP for such activities until the NEPA process has been completed with a ROD, FONSI, or Categorical Exclusion determination.

3. Request for LONP

Before incurring costs for a project not covered by automatic pre-award authority, the project sponsor must first submit a written request for an LONP, accompanied by adequate information and justification, to the appropriate regional office and obtain written approval from FTA. FTA approval of an LONP for a New Starts or Small Starts project is determined on a case-by-case basis. As a prerequisite to FTA approval of an LONP for a New Starts or Small Starts project, FTA will require project sponsors to demonstrate project worthiness and readiness that establish the project as a promising candidate for an FFGA or PCGA. For New Starts projects, this usually cannot be determined prior to the project's approval to enter final design. However, there may be limited instances where LONP requests prior to entry into final design are approved, if strongly justified. Projects will be assessed based upon the criteria considered in the New Start evaluation process. Specifically, when requesting an LONP, the applicant shall provide sufficient information to allow FTA to consider the following items:

a. Description of the activities to be covered by the LONP.

b. Justification for advancing the identified activities. The justification should include an accurate assessment of the consequences to the project scope, schedule, and budget should the LONP not be approved.

c. Data that indicates that the project will maintain its ability to receive a rating of "medium", or better and that its cost-effectiveness rating will be "medium" or better, unless such project has been specifically exempted from such a requirement.

- d. Allocated level of risk and contingency for the activity requested.
- e. Status of procurement progress, including, if appropriate, submittal of bids for the activities covered by the LONP.
- f. Strength of the capital and operating financial plan for the New Starts project and the future transit system.
- g. Adequacy of the Project Management Plan.
- h. Resolution of any readiness issues that would affect the project, such as land acquisition and technical capacity to carry out the project.

C. FTA FY 2009 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances

The full text of the FY 2009 Certifications and Assurances was published in the Federal Register on October 31, 2008, and is available on the FTA Website and in TEAM-Web. The FY 2009 Certifications and Assurances must be used for all grants made in FY 2009, including obligation of carryover. All grantees with active grants are required to have signed the FY 2009 Certifications and Assurances within 90 days after publication. Any questions regarding this document may be addressed to the appropriate Regional Office or to Nydia Picayo, in the FTA Office of Program Management, at (202) 366-1662.

D. FHWA Funds Used for Transit Purposes

SAFETEA-LU continues provisions in the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) and TEA-21 that expanded modal choice in transportation funding by including substantial flexibility to transfer funds between FTA and FHWA formula program funding categories. The provisions also allow for transfer of certain discretionary program funds for administration of highway projects by FHWA and transit projects by FTA. FTA and FHWA execute Flex Funding Transfers between the Formula and Bus Grants Transit programs and the Federal Aid Highway programs. This also includes the transfer of Metropolitan and Statewide planning set-aside funds from FHWA to FTA to be combined with metropolitan and statewide planning resources as Consolidated Planning Grants (CPG). These transfers are based on States requests to transfer funding from the Highway and/or Transit programs to fund States and local project priorities, and joint planning needs. This practice can result in transfers to the Federal Transit

Program from the Federal Aid Highway Program or vice versa.

1. Transfer Process for Funds

SAFETEA-LU was enacted on August 10, 2005. With the enactment of SAFETEA-LU, beginning in FY2006, Federal transit programs are funded solely from general funds or trust funds. The transit formula and bus grant programs are now funded from MTA of the Highway Trust Fund. The Formula and Bus Grant Programs receives flex funding transfers from the Federal Aid Highway Program.

As a result of the changes to program funding mechanisms, there is no longer a requirement to transfer budget authority and liquidating cash resources simultaneously upon the execution of a flex funding transfer request by a State. Since the transfers are between trust fund accounts, the only requirement is to transfer budget authority (obligation limitation) between the Federal Aid Program trust fund account and the Federal Transit Formula and Bus Grant Program account. At the point in time that the obligation resulting from the transfer of budgetary authority is expended, a transfer of liquidating cash will be required.

Beginning in FY 2007, the accounting process was changed for transfers of flex funds and other specific programs to allow budget authority to be transferred and the cash to be transferred separately. FTA requires that flexed fund transfers to FTA be in separate and identifiable grants in order to ensure that the draw-down of flexed funds can be tracked, thus securing the internal controls for monitoring these resources from the Federal Highway Administration to avoid deficiencies in FTA's Formula and Bus Grants account.

FTA monitors the expenditures of flexed funded grants and requests the transfer of liquidating cash from FHWA to ensure sufficient funds are available to meet expenditures. To facilitate tracking of grantees' flex funding expenditures, FTA developed codes to provide distinct identification of "flex funds."

The process for transferring flexible funds between FTA and FHWA programs is described below. Note that the new transfer process for "flex funds" that began in FY 2007 does not apply to the transfer of State planning set-aside funds from FHWA to FTA to be combined with metropolitan and statewide planning resources as Consolidated Planning Grants (CPG). These transfers are based on States requests to transfer funding from the Highway and/or Transit programs to fund States and local project priorities,

and joint planning needs. Planning funds transferred will be allowed to be merged in a single grant with FTA planning resources using the same process implemented in FY 2006. For information on the process for the transfer of funds between FTA and FHWA planning programs refer to section IV.A and B. Note also that certain prior year appropriations earmarks (Sections 330, 115, 117, and 112) are allotted annually for administration rather than being transferred. For information regarding these procedures, please contact Kristen D. Clarke, FTA Budget Office, at (202) 366-1686; or FHWA Budget Division, at (202) 366-2845.

a. Transfer From FHWA to FTA

FHWA funds transferred to FTA are used primarily for transit capital projects and eligible operating activities that have been designated as part of the metropolitan and statewide planning and programming process. The project must be included in an approved STIP before the funds can be transferred. By letter, the State DOT requests the FHWA Division Office to transfer highway funds for a transit project. The letter should specify the project, amount to be transferred, apportionment year, State, urbanized area, Federal aid apportionment category (i.e., Surface Transportation Program (STP), Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) or identification of the earmark and indication of the intended FTA formula program (i.e., Section 5307, 5311 or 5310) and should include a description of the project as contained in the STIP. Note that FTA may also administer certain transfers of statutory earmarks under the Section 5309 bus program, for tracking purposes.

The FHWA Division Office confirms that the apportionment amount is available for transfer and concurs in the transfer, by letter to the State DOT and FTA. The FHWA Office of Budget and Finance then transfers obligation authority. All FHWA, CMAQ, and STP funds transferred to FTA will be transferred to one of the three FTA formula programs (i.e. Urbanized Area Formula (Section 5307), Nonurbanized Area Formula (Section 5311) or Elderly and Persons with Disabilities (Section 5310). High Priority projects in Section 1702 of SAFETEA-LU or Transportation Improvement projects in Section 1934 of SAFETEA-LU and other Congressional earmarks that are transferred to FTA will be aligned with and administered through FTA's discretionary Bus and Bus Related Facilities Program (Section 5309). The most recent guidance on transfers of FHWA funds as allowed

under SAFETEA-LU is FHWA Memorandum, dated July 19, 2007, "Information Fund Transfers to Other Agencies and Among Title 23

Programs."

The FTA grantee's application for the project must specify which program the funds will be used for, and the application must be prepared in accordance with the requirements and procedures governing that program. Upon review and approval of the grantee's application, FTA obligates

funds for the project.

Transferred funds are treated as FTA formula or discretionary funds, but are assigned a distinct identifying code for tracking purposes. The funds may be transferred for any capital purpose eligible under the FTA formula program to which they are transferred and, in the case of CMAQ, for certain operating costs. FHWA issued revised interim guidance on project eligibility under the CMAQ program in a Notice at 71 FR 76038 et seq. (December 19, 2006) incorporating changes made by SAFETEA-LU. In accordance with 23 U.S.C. 104(k), all FTA requirements except local share are applicable to transferred funds except in certain cases when CMAQ funds are authorized for operating expenses. Earmarks that are transferred to the Section 5309 Bus Program for administration, however, can be used for the Congressionally designated transit purposes, and in some case where the law provides, are not limited to eligibility under the Bus

In the event that transferred formula funds are not obligated for the intended purpose within the period of availability of the formula program to which they were transferred, they become available to the Governor for any eligible capital transit project. Earmarked funds, however, can only be used for the Congressionally designated purposes.

b. Transfers From FTA to FHWA

The MPO submits a written request to the FTA regional office for a transfer of FTA Section 5307 formula funds (apportioned to a UZA 200,000 and over in population) to FHWA based on approved use of the funds for highway purposes, as determined by the designated recipient under Section 5307 and contained in the Governor's approved State Transportation Improvement Program. The MPO must certify that: (1) Notice and opportunity for comment and appeal has been provided to affected transit providers; (2) the funds are not needed for capital investments required by the Americans with Disabilities Act, and (3) local transit needs are being addressed. The

FTA Regional Administrator reviews and, if he or she concurs in the request, then forwards the approval in written format to FTA Headquarters, where a reduction equal to the dollar amount being transferred to FHWA is made to the grantee's Urbanized Area Formula Program apportionment.

Transfers of discretionary earmarks for administration by FHWA are handled on a case-by-case basis, by the FTA regional office, in consultation with the FTA Office of Program Management, Office of Chief Counsel, and Office of Budget and Policy.

c. Matching Share for FHWA Transfers

The provisions of Section 104(k) of title 23 U.S.C., regarding the non-Federal share, apply to Title 23 funds used for transit projects. Thus, FHWA funds transferred to FTA retain the same matching share that the funds would have if used for highway purposes and administered by FHWA.

There are four instances in which a Federal share higher than 80 percent would be permitted. First, in States with large areas of Indian and certain public domain lands and national forests, parks and monuments, the local share for highway projects is determined by a sliding scale rate, calculated based on the percentage of public lands within that State. This sliding scale, which permits a greater Federal share, but not to exceed 95 percent, is applicable to transfers used to fund transit projects in these public land States. FHWA develops the sliding scale matching ratios for the increased Federal share.

Second, commuter carpooling and vanpooling projects and transit safety projects using FHWA transfers administered by FTA may retain the same 100 percent Federal share that would be allowed for ride-sharing or safety projects administered by FHWA.

The third instance is the 100 percent Federally-funded safety projects; however, these are subject to a nationwide 10 percent program limitation.

The fourth instance occurs with CMAQ funds. H.R. 6, The Energy Independence and Security Act, 2007, increased the federal share of CMAQ projects to 100% at the State's discretion. FTA will honor this increased match for CMAQ funds transferred to FTA for implementation if the state chooses to fund the project at a higher federal share than 80 percent. The federal share for CMAQ projects cannot be lower than 80 percent.

D. Miscellaneous Transit Earmarks in FHWA Programs

The FY 2002 and FY 2003 Appropriations Acts and accompanying reports included Section 330, which identified a number of transit projects among projects designated to receive funding from certain FHWA funding sources. The FY 2004 Appropriations Act similarly included transit projects among projects designated to receive funding from certain FHWA sources in Section 115, the FY 2005 Appropriations Act included a set of designations under Section 117, and the FY 2006 Appropriations Act included designations under Section 112, which may include some projects that FHWA will identify to be administered by FTA. For those projects identified by FHWA as transit in nature, FHWA allots the funds to FTA to administer. The funds are available for the designated project until obligated and expended. Some of these FY 2002-2006 designations for transit projects have not yet been obligated. However, because these are FHWA funds, funds for projects unobligated at the end of the FY are not automatically available as carry over made available in the following FY. Instead FHWA re-allots obligation authority to FTA annually, after reconciling account balances. Because the requirements and procedures associated with these projects differ in some cases from those for the FTA programs that FTA grantees are familiar with, and the availability of funds for obligation by FTA depends on allotments from FHWA, transit applicants seeking funding under these miscellaneous FHWA designations must work closely with the appropriate FTA regional office and FHWA Division Office when applying for a grant under these designations.

E. Grant Application Procedures

1. Grantees must provide a Dun and Bradstreet (D&B) Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number for inclusion in all applications for a Federal grant or cooperative agreement. The DUNS number should be entered into the grantee profile in TEAM-Web. Additional information about this and other Federal grant streamlining initiatives mandated by the Federal Financial Assistance Management Improvement Act of 1999 (Pub. L. 106-107) can be accessed on OMB's Web site at http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/ grants/reform.html.

2. All applications for FTA funds should be submitted electronically to the appropriate FTA regional office through TEAM-Web, an Internetaccessible electronic grant application system. FTA has provided limited exceptions to the requirement for electronic filing of applications.

3. In FY 2009, FTÂ remains committed to processing applications promptly upon receipt of a completed application by the appropriate regional office. In order for an application to be considered complete and for FTA to assign a grant number, enabling submission in TEAM-Web, the following requirements must be met:

- a. The project is listed in a currently approved Metropolitan Transportation Plan, Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); FTA approved Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), or Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).
- b. All eligibility issues have been resolved.
- c. Required environmental findings have been made.
- d. The project budget's Activity Line Items (ALI), scope, and project description meet FTA requirements.
- e. Local share funding source(s) have been identified.
- f. The grantee's required Civil Rights submissions are current.
- g. Certifications and assurances are properly submitted.
- h. Funding is available, including any flexible funds included in the budget.
- i. For projects involving new construction (using at least \$100 million in New Starts or formula funds), FTA engineering staff has reviewed the project management plan and given approval.

j. When required for grants related to New Starts projects, PE and/or FD has been approved.

k. Milestone information is complete, or FTA determines that milestone information can be finalized before the grant is ready for award. The grant must include sufficient milestones appropriate to the scale of the project to allow adequate oversight to monitor the progress of projects from the start through completion and closeout.

4. Under most FTA programs, grants involving funding related to transit operations must be submitted to the Department of Labor (DOL) for certification of labor protective arrangements, prior to grant award. Grants under the Nonurbanized Area Formula Program and Over-the-Road Bus Program are covered under the special warranty provision and do not require certification. Beginning with grants associated in FY 2009, Tribal Transit grants are also covered by the special warranty. Although grants under these programs will not be certified,

they must be submitted to DOL upon approval by FTA. This change resulted from the new DOL Regulations, 29 CFR Part 215, published on August 13, 2008. In addition, before FTA can award grants for discretionary projects and activities designated by Congress, notification must be given to members of Congress, and in the case of awards greater than \$500,000, to the House and Senate authorizing and appropriations committees three days prior to award. Discretionary grants allocated by FTA also go through the Congressional notification process if they are greater than \$500,000. In previous years, the amount requiring notification was \$1 million; however, the Continuing Appropriations Act, 2008, lowered the threshold for notification to \$500,000 dollars

5. Other important issues that impact FTA grant processing activities are discussed below.

a. Change in Budget Structure

Because SAFETEA-LU restructured FTA's accounts from split funded accounts to one solely trust funded account and three general funded accounts, FTA does not mix funds from years prior to FY 2006 in the same grant with funds appropriated in FY 2006 and beyond (except for New Starts and research grants). Prior to FY 2006, all programs were funded approximately 80 percent from MTA of the Highway Trust Fund and 20 percent from the General Funds U.S. Treasury. The trust funds were transferred into the general funded accounts at the beginning of the year. Under SAFETEA-LU most programs are funded entirely from trust funds derived from the MTA, while the New Starts and Research programs are funded with general funds. For a New Starts or research project, any prior year funds currently available for obligation and FY 2009 funds may be included in an amendment to an existing grant.

For formula programs funded solely from trust funds beginning in FY 2006, grantees may not combine funds appropriated since FY 2006 in the same grant with FY 2005 and prior year funds. Grant amendments cannot be made to add FY 2006 and later year funds to a grant that includes FY 2005 or prior funds. However, grantees are able to amend new grants established with FY 2006 or later year funds to add funds made available after FY 2006. We regret any inconvenience this accounting change may cause as we implement new statutory requirements under SAFETEA-LU. We encourage grantees to spend down and close out old grants as quickly as possible to minimize the inconvenience.

b. Grant Budgets—SCOPE and Activity Line Item (ALI) Codes

FTA uses the SCOPE and Activity Line Item (ALI) Codes in the grant budgets to track program trends, to report to Congress, and to respond to requests from the Inspector General and the Government Accountability Office (GAO), as well as to manage grants. The accuracy of the data is dependent on the careful and correct use of codes. As needed, we revise the SCOPE and ALI table to include new codes for newly eligible capital items, to better track certain expenditures, and to accommodate new or modified programs. We encourage grantees to review the table before selecting codes from the drop-down menus in TEAM-Web while creating a grant budget and to consult with the regional office in the correct use of codes.

c. Earmark and Discretionary Program Tracking

FTA has implemented procedures in TEAM-Web for matching grants to earmarks or projects selected by FTA under discretionary programs. Each earmark or selected discretionary project published in the Federal Register is associated with a unique identifier. Tables of earmarks and selected discretionary projects have also been established in TEAM-Web. When applying for a grant using funding designated by Congress or FTA for a particular project, grantees are asked to identify the amount of funding associated with each specific earmark or discretionary project used in the grant. Further instructions are posted on the TEAM-Website and regional staff can provide additional assistance.

F. Payments

Once a grant has been awarded and executed, requests for payment can be processed. To process payments FTA uses ECHO-Web, an Internet accessible system that provides grantees the capability to submit payment requests on-line, as well as receive user-IDs and passwords via e-mail. New applicants should contact the appropriate FTA regional office to obtain and submit the registration package necessary for set-up under ECHO-Web.

G. Oversight

FTA conducts periodic oversight reviews to assess grantee compliance with Federal requirements. Each urbanized area grantee is reviewed every three years (a Triennial Review). Triennial reviews have been modified to look at the grantee's involvement in the coordinated planning for transportation for the populations targeted by the JARC

and New Freedom programs and participation in delivery of specialized services under those programs in the urbanized area. States are reviewed periodically for their management of the Section 5310, 5311, JARC, and New Freedom programs. Other more detailed reviews are scheduled based on an annual grantee risk assessment, for example, reviews in the areas of Procurement, Financial Management, Safety and Civil Rights.

H. Technical Assistance

FTA headquarters and regional staff will be pleased to answer your questions and provide any technical assistance you may need to apply for FTA program funds and manage the grants you receive. This notice and the program guidance circulars previously identified in this document may be accessed via the FTA Web site at http://www.fta.dot.gov.

In addition, copies of the following circulars and other useful information are available on the FTA Web site and may be obtained from FTA regional offices; Circular 4220.1F, Third Party Contracting Requirements; and Circular 5010.1D, Grant Management Guidelines. Both circulars were recently revised and can be found at http://www.fta.dot.gov/ laws/leg reg circulars guidance.html. The FY 2009 Annual List of Certifications and Assurances and Master Agreement are also posted on the FTA Web site. The DOT final rule on "Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in Department of Transportation Financial Assistance Programs," which was effective July 16, 2003, can be found at http://

www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_04/49cfr26_04.html/

Issued in Washington, DC, this 8th day of December 2008.

Sherry Little,

Acting Administrator.

Appendix A

FTA Regional Offices

- Richard H. Doyle, Regional Administrator, Region 1—Boston, Kendall Square, 55 Broadway, Suite 920, Cambridge, MA 02142–1093, Tel. 617 494–2055.
- States served: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont
- Brigid Hynes-Cherin, Regional Administrator, Region 2—New York, One Bowling Green, Room 429, New York, NY 10004–1415, Tel. No. 212 668–2170.
- States served: New Jersey, New York Letitia Thompson, Regional Administrator, Region 3— Philadelphia, 1760 Market Street, Suite 500, Philadelphia, PA 19103— 4124, Tel. 215 656—7100.
- States served: Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, and District of Columbia
- Yvette Taylor, Regional Administrator, Region 4—Atlanta, Atlanta Federal Center, Suite 17T50, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, GA 30303, Tel. 404 562–3500.
- States served: Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virgin Islands
- Marisol Simon, Regional Administrator, Region 5—Chicago, 200 West Adams

- Street, Suite 320, Chicago, IL 60606, Tel. 312 353–2789.
- States served: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin
- Robert C. Patrick, Regional Administrator, Region 6—Ft. Worth, 819 Taylor Street, Room 8A36, Ft. Worth, TX 76102, Tel. 817 978–0550.
- States served: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, New Mexico and Texas
- Mokhtee Ahmad, Regional Administrator, Region 7—Kansas City, MO, 901 Locust Street, Room 404, Kansas City, MO 64106, Tel. 816 329–3920.
- States served: Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska
- Terry Rosapep, Regional Administrator, Region 8—Denver, 12300 West Dakota Ave., Suite 310, Lakewood, CO 80228–2583, Tel. 720–963–3300.
- States served: Colorado, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and Wyoming
- Leslie T. Rogers, Regional Administrator, Region 9—San Francisco, 201 Mission Street, Room 2210, San Francisco, CA 94105–1926, Tel. 415 744–3133.
- States served: American Samoa, Arizona, California, Guam, Hawaii, Nevada, and the Northern Mariana Islands
- Rick Krochalis, Regional Administrator, Region 10—Seattle, Jackson Federal Building, 915 Second Avenue, Suite 3142, Seattle, WA 98174–1002, Tel. 206 220–7954.
- States served: Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington

BILLING CODE 4910-57-P

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 1

FY 2009 AVAILABLE FUNDING AND APPORTIONMENTS FOR FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS

(The total available amount for a program is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| FORMULA GRANTS | |
|---|-------------------|
| Section 5303 Metropolitan Transportation Planning Program | |
| Total Available | \$38,068,3 |
| Less Oversight (one-half percent) | (190,3 |
| Total Apportioned | \$37,877,9 |
| Section 5304 Statewide Transportation Planning Program | |
| Total Available | \$7,952,3 |
| Less Oversight (one-half percent) | (39,7 |
| Total Apportioned | \$7,912,6 |
| Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program | |
| Total Available | \$1,682,053,5 |
| Less Oversight (three-fourths percent) | (12,615,4 |
| Total Apportioned | \$1,669,438,1 |
| Section 5309 Fixed Guideway Modernization | |
| Total Available | \$675,257,0 |
| Less Oversight (one percent) | (6,752,5 |
| Total Apportioned | \$668,504,4 |
| Section 5310 Special Needs of Elderly Individuals and | |
| Individuals with Disabilities Program | # 54.000 7 |
| Total Available | \$54,622,7 |
| Less Oversight (one-half percent) | (273,1 |
| Total Apportioned | \$54,349,5 |
| Section 5311 Nonurbanized Area Formula Program | |
| Total Available | \$179,454,9 |
| Less Oversight (one-half percent) | (941,9 |
| Total Apportioned | \$178,513,0 |
| Section 5311(b)(3) Rural Transportation Assistance Program (RTAP) | |
| Total Available | \$3,767,6 |
| Less Amount Reserved for National RTAP | (565,1 |
| Total Apportioned | \$3,202,5 |
| Section 5316 Job Access and Reverse Commute Program | |
| Total Available | \$67,095,6 |
| Total Apportioned | \$67,095,6 |
| Section 5317 New Freedom Program | |
| Total Available | \$37,633,7 |
| Total Apportioned | \$37,633,7 |
| Section 5340 Growing States and High Density States Formula | |
| Total Available | \$188,383,8 |
| Total Apportioned | \$188,383,8 |
| CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS | |
| Section 5309 New Starts | |
| Total Available | \$674,866,4 |
| Less Oversight (one percent) | (6,748,6 |
| Funds Available for Allocation | \$668,117,8 |
| RESEARCH | |
| Section 5314 National Research Program | \$28,112, |
| TOTAL AVAILABLE (Above Grant Programs) | \$3,637,268,7 |
| TOTAL APPORTIONMENT (Above Grant Programs) | |

^{1/} Apportionments derived from the Section 5340 formula are combined with the Section 5307 or Section 5311 apportionments, as appropriate, in accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report

^{2/} The amount shown here only includes funding for the programs included in this notice and shown above. It does not include \$5,161,200 in funds currently available under the Tribal Transit Program, which will be competitively awarded when the full year's appropriation is available.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 2

FY 2009 SECTION 5303 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROGRAM AND SECTION 5304 STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROGRAM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| STATE | SECTION 5303 APPORTIONMENT | SECTION 5304 APPORTIONMENT |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Alabama | \$286,721 | \$74,869 |
| Alaska | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Arizona | 757,521 | 150,731 |
| Arkansas | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| California | 5,958,872 | 1,157,809 |
| Colorado | 567,666 | 123,919 |
| Connecticut | 420,753 | 109,862 |
| Delaware | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| District of Columbia | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Florida | 2,475,345 | 519,519 |
| Georgia | 976,319 | 193,232 |
| Hawaii | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Idaho | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Illinois | 2,100,582 | 375,558 |
| Indiana | 570,656 | 131,554 |
| Iowa | 164,657 | 42,996 |
| Kansas | 192,524 | 46,584 |
| Kentucky | 240,286 | 60,427 |
| Louisiana | 375,394 | 97,794 |
| Maine | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Maryland | 849,053 | 165,746 |
| Massachusetts | 1,115,552 | 217,338 |
| Michigan | 1,246,131 | 253,725 |
| Minnesota | 531,797 | 104,588 |
| Mississippi | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Missouri | 560,916 | 119,201 |
| Montana | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Nebraska | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Nevada | 277,311 | 64,652 |
| New Hampshire | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| New Jersey | 1,757,155 | 299,051 |
| New Mexico | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| New York | 3,349,191 | 597,987 |
| North Carolina | 555,490 | 145,051 |
| North Dakota | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Ohio | 1,205,408 | 281,984 |
| Oklahoma | 219,137 | 57,221 |
| Oregon | 337,099 | 76,216 |
| Pennsylvania | 1,556,191 | 316,685 |
| Puerto Rico | 628,524 | 134,090 |
| Rhode Island | 156,554 | 39,563 |
| South Carolina | 276,768 | 72,270 |
| South Dakota | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Tennessee | 437,897 | 114,344 |
| Texas | 2,780,527 | 570,652 |
| Utah | 258,196 | 67,421 |
| Vermont | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Virginia | 859,596 | 181,784 |
| Washington | 807,443 | 165,991 |
| West Virginia | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| Wisconsin | 449,045 | 109,630 |
| Wyoming | 151,512 | 39,563 |
| TOTAL | \$37,877,981 | \$7,912,615 |

Page 1 of 12

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

.....

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the
Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|---|-----------------|
| 1,000,000 or more in Population | \$1,305,455,682 |
| 200,000 - 999,999 in Population | 332,452,859 |
| 50,000 - 199,999 in Population | 190,279,374 |
| National Total | \$1,828,187,915 |
| Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 1,000,000 or more in Population: | |
| Atlanta, GA | \$26,709,471 |
| Baltimore, MD | 23,490,643 |
| Boston, MANHRI | 59,803,136 |
| Chicago, ILIN | 100,021,141 |
| Cincinnati, OHKYIN | 7,630,695 |
| Cleveland, OH | 12,130,686 |
| Columbus, OH | 4,929,135 |
| DallasFort WorthArlington, TX | 26,743,484 |
| DenverAurora, CO | 20,317,367 |
| Detroit, MI | 17,586,420 |
| Houston, TX | 27,679,509 |
| Indianapolis, IN | 4,874,796 |
| Kansas City, MOKS | 6,188,706 |
| Las Vegas, NV | 10,260,246 |
| Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | 118,548,233 |
| Miami, FL | 42,629,882 |
| Milwaukee, WI | 8,692,728 |
| MinneapolisSt. Paul, MN | 20,491,346 |
| New Orleans, LA | 7,512,433 |
| New YorkNewark, NYNJCT | 357,370,192 |
| Orlando, FL | 8,035,542 |
| Philadelphia, PANJDEMD | 57,033,516 |
| PhoenixMesa, AZ | 19,595,306 |
| Pittsburgh, PA | 15,034,189 |
| Portland, ORWA | 15,196,454 |
| Providence, RIMA | 13,909,464 |
| RiversideSan Bernardıno, CA | 11,099,932 |
| Sacramento, CA | 9,173,038 |
| San Antonio, TX | 9,510,426 |
| San Diego, CA | 24,649,277 |
| San FranciscoOakland, CA | 53,062,730 |
| San Jose, CA | 16,843,839 |
| San Juan, PR | 13,610,924 |
| Seattle, WA | 38,074,668 |
| St. Louis, MOIL | 13,951,377 |
| TampaSt. Petersburg, FL | 10,154,025 |
| Virginia Beach, VA | 7,716,692 |
| Washington, DCVAMD | 65,194,034 |
| Total | \$1,305,455,682 |

Page 2 of 12

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|---|-----------------------|
| Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 to | |
| 999,999 in Population | |
| AguadillaIsabelaSan Sebastian, PR | \$1,691,338 |
| Akron, OH | 2,670,023 |
| Albany, NY | 4,397,173 |
| Albuquerque, NM | 3,466,741 |
| AllentownBethlehem, PANJ | 3,182,833 |
| Anchorage, AK | 9,722,429 |
| Ann Arbor, MI | 1,967,293 |
| Antioch, CA | 2,628,032 |
| Asheville, NC | 784,459 |
| Atlantic City, NJ | 4,322,65 ⁻ |
| Augusta-Richmond County, GASC | 1,003,742 |
| Austin, TX | 7,957,089 |
| Bakersfield, CA | 2,476,11 |
| Barnstable Town, MA | 2,231,32 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 2,007,54 |
| Birmingham, AL | 2,639,72 |
| Boise City, ID | 1,096,96 |
| Bonita SpringsNaples, FL | 1,024,37 |
| BridgeportStamford, CTNY | 10,506,39 |
| Buffalo, NY | 7,306,73 |
| Canton, OH | 1,566,95 |
| Cape Coral, FL | 1,766,66 |
| CharlestonNorth Charleston, SC | 1,967,23 |
| Charlotte, NCSC | 6,327,38 |
| Chattanooga, TNGA | 1,418,51 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 2,672,99 |
| Columbia, SC | 1,620,69 |
| Columbus, GAAL | 900,15 |
| Concord, CA | 8,617,62 |
| Corpus Christi, TX | 1,925,45 |
| Davenport, IAIL | 1,597,97 |
| Dayton, OH | 6,317,81 |
| Daytona BeachPort Orange, FL | 1,816,52 |
| DentonLewisville, TX | 1,256,80 |
| Des Moines, IA | 2,402,74 |
| Durham, NC | 2,553,34 |
| El Paso, TXNM | 4,594,16 |
| Eugene, OR | 1,972,03 |
| Evansville, INKY | 895,11 |
| Fayetteville, NC | 947,22 |
| Flint, MI | 2,435,16 |
| Fort Collins, CO | 1,034,01 |
| Fort Wayne, IN | 1,244,57 |
| Fresno, CA | 3,675,14 |
| Grand Rapids, MI | 3,232,27 |
| Greensboro, NC | 1,659,79 |
| Greenville, SC | 903,67 |
| GulfportBiloxi, MS | 734,76 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

Page 3 of 12

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5300 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| Harrisburg, PA Hartford, CT Honolulu, HI 1.1530,068 Huntsville, AL 1.739,861 Indio-Cathedral City-Palm Springs, CA 1.455, 169 Jackson, MS 1.456, 169 Jackson, MS | URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|--|--|---------------|
| Honolulu, HI 11,530,088 Huntsville, AL 739,861 Indio-Cathedral City-Palm Springs, CA 1,435,169 Jackson, MS 1,049,875 Jacksonville, FL 5,900,577 Knoxville, TN 1,764,827 Lancaster, PA 2,980,398 Lancaster, PA 2,981,348 Lassing, MI 2,176,085 Lexington-Fayette, KY 1,155,461 Little Rock, AR 1,155,457 Luisblock, TX 1,187,774 McAllen, TX 1,187,774 McAllen, TX 1,187,774 McAllen, TX 1,482,402 Memphis, TN-MS-AR 5,407,720 Mission Vielo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,669 Mobile, AL 1,242,644 Ogden-Layton, | Harrisburg, PA | 2,137,245 |
| Huntsville, AL 739,861 Indio-Cathedral City-Palm Springs, CA 1,435,168 Jackson, MS 1,049,875 Jackson, MS 1,049,875 Jackson, MS 1,768,827 Jackson, MS 1,768,827 Lancaster, PA 2,980,348 Lansing, MI 2,176,085 Lexington-Fayette, KY 1,672,048 Lincoln, NE 1,615,451 Little Rock, AR 1,615,451 Little Rock, AR 1,615,451 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Maclison, WI 2,883,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MS-AR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,803,333 Modesto, CA 1,241,696 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,862,364 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,862,364 Ogt | Hartford, CT | 8,669,717 |
| Indio-Cathedral City-Palm Springs, CA 1,435,168 Jackson, MS 1,049,875 Jackson, MS 1,049,875 Knoxville, TN 1,764,827 Lancaster, PA 2,981,348 Lancaster-Palmdale, CA 2,981,348 Lansing, MI 2,176,085 Lexington-Fayette, KY 1,672,048 Lincoln, NE 1,155,451 Little Rock, AR 1,551,876 Louisville, KY-IN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MS-AR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,704,177 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Oknaha, NE-I-IA 3,004,352 Oxnard, CA 1,279,170 Pensacola, FL-AL 1,279,170 Porosa, Lucie, E. 968,497 </td <td>Honolulu, HI</td> <td>11,530,068</td> | Honolulu, HI | 11,530,068 |
| Jackson, MS 1,049,875 Jacksonville, FL 5,900,577 Knoxville, TN 1,764,827 Lancaster, PA 2,980,338 Lexingth, MI 2,176,085 Lexington-Fayette, KY 1,672,048 Lincoln, NE 1,155,451 Little Rock, AR 1,651,876 Louisville, KY-IN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,998,331 McAllen, TX 4,432,842 Memphis, TN-MS-AR 4,080,332 Mobiel, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omhan, NE-HA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,109,203 Palm Bay-Melbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,279,170 Port, St. Lucie, FL 958,497 Port, Lucie, FL 958,497 Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY 7,107,218 Proyer-Orem, UT 2,185,596 <td>Huntsville, AL</td> <td>739,861</td> | Huntsville, AL | 739,861 |
| Jacksonville, TL 5,900,577 Knoxville, TN 1,764,827 Lancaster- PA 2,980,388 Lancaster Palmdale, CA 2,981,348 Lansing, MI 2,176,085 Lexington- Fayette, KY 1,672,048 Lincoln, NE 1,155,451 Little Rock, AR 1,551,876 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,396,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MS-AR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,320 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashrille-Davidson, TN 4,266,405 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,103,203 Ormaha, NE-IA 3,004,372 Oxmard, CA 3,103,203 Palm Bay-Melbourne, FL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,227,770 Port, St. Lucie, FL 1,229,702 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 Provo-Orem, UT 2,185,596< | IndioCathedral CityPalm Springs, CA | 1,435,169 |
| Knoxville, TN 1,764,827 Lancaster, PA 2,980,938 Lancaster, Palmdale, CA 2,981,348 Laxingr, MI 2,176,085 Lexington-Fayette, KY 1,672,048 Little Rock, AR 1,651,876 Louisville, KY-IN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,993,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MS-AR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Ogden-Layton, UT 3,043,354 Ogden, NE-IA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm Bay-Melbourne, FL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,179,170 Ports, Lucie, FL 958,497 Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY 7,107,218 Paleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 | Jackson, MS | 1,049,875 |
| Lancaster, PA 2,980,938 Lancaster-Palmdale, CA 2,981,348 Lansing, MI 2,176,085 Lexington-Fayette, KY 1,672,048 Lincoln, NE 1,155,457 Little Rock, AR 1,651,457 Louisville, KY-IN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,778 Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Ogden-Layton, UT 3,043,354 Omaha, NE-IA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm Bay-Melbourne, FL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,224,748 Poroscola, FLAL 1,224,748 Poroscola, FLAL 2,245,709 Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY 7,107,218 Provo-Orem, UT 2,185,506 <td>Jacksonville, FL</td> <td>5,900,577</td> | Jacksonville, FL | 5,900,577 |
| LancasterPalmdale, CA 2,981,348 Lansing, MI 2,176,058 Lexington-Fayette, KY 1,672,048 Lincoln, NE 1,155,451 Little Rock, AR 1,651,876 Louisville, KY-IN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TNMSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,224,748 PoughkeepsteNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 PoughkeepsteNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 Raieigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1, | Knoxville, TN | 1,764,827 |
| Lansing, MI 2,176,085 Lexington-Fayette, KY 1,672,048 Lincoln, NE 1,155,451 Little Rock, AR 1,651,876 Louisville, KY-IN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,980,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Mashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,352 Omana, NE-IA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,229,170 Pensacola, FL-AL 1,229,170 Poroia, IL 1,279,170 Por St. Lucie, FL 958,497 Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY 7,107,218 Provo-Orem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,260,791 Reading, PA 1,300,625 | Lancaster, PA | 2,980,938 |
| Leinoglon-Fayette, KY 1,672,048 Lincoln, NE 1,155,457 Little Rock, AR 1,651,876 Loubsville, KY-IN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 OgdenLayton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omata, NE-IA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsteNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOren, UT 2,185,596 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reading, PA 1,300,624 </td <td>LancasterPalmdale, CA</td> <td>2,981,348</td> | LancasterPalmdale, CA | 2,981,348 |
| Linte Rock, AR 1,155,451 Little Rock, AR 1,651,876 Louisville, KY-IN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 9,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NE-IA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 90ghkeepsie-Newburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,34 | Lansing, MI | 2,176,085 |
| Little Rock, AR 1,651,876 Louisville, KY-IN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,80,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 1,828,142 Pensacola, FL-AL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 2,917,707 Port St. Lucie, FL 956,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 2,024,709 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 <t< td=""><td>Lexington-Fayette, KY</td><td>1,672,048</td></t<> | Lexington-Fayette, KY | 1,672,048 |
| Loubsville, KYIN 5,376,403 Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TNMSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,132,422 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 2,971,707 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Rond Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 9,585,720 <td>Lincoln, NE</td> <td>1,155,451</td> | Lincoln, NE | 1,155,451 |
| Lubbock, TX 1,187,774 Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TNMSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,241,696 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,229,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,796 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 2,242,709 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Rockford, IL 1,573,638 Salem, OR 1,573,631 Salem, OR 1,573,631 Salem, | Little Rock, AR | 1,651,876 |
| Madison, WI 2,898,331 McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TN-MSAR 4,000,332 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 2,943,784 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NE-IA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,202 Pensacola, FL-AL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY 7,107,218 Provo-Orem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rocklord, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 9,585,720 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 | Louisville, KYIN | 5,376,403 |
| McAllen, TX 1,432,842 Memphis, TNMSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 6,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucle, FL 958,497 Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY 7,107,218 Provo-Orem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockoford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 9,585,720 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Salar Lake City, UT | Lubbock, TX | 1,187,774 |
| Memphis, TNMSAR 5,407,720 Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 OgdenLayton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 958,497 Poorla, IL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 SarasotaBradenton, FL SarasotaBradenton, FL SarasotaBradenton, FL SarasotaBradenton, FL Savannah, GA 1,902,475 Savannah, GA 1,431,070 | Madison, WI | 2,898,331 |
| Mission Viejo, CA 4,080,332 Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 OgdenLayton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,227,9170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY 7,107,218 Provo-Orem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 3,673,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA | McAllen, TX | 1,432,842 |
| Mobile, AL 1,241,696 Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 OgdenLayton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA | Memphis, TNMSAR | 5,407,720 |
| Modesto, CA 1,700,417 Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 OgdenLayton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,536,68 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Sart Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scratton, PA 1,719,934 Shreveport, LA 30,043,227,987 | Mission Viejo, CA | 4,080,332 |
| Nashville-Davidson, TN 4,266,460 New Haven, CT 7,862,354 Ogden-Layton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NE-IA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,573,638 Satt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scratton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 3,027,987 South Bend, INMI 1, | Mobile, AL | 1,241,696 |
| New Haven, CT 7,862,354 OgdenLayton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Modesto, CA | 1,700,417 |
| OgdenLayton, UT 2,943,784 Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 Provor-Orem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,573,638 Satl Lake City, UT 9,585,720 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,307 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Nashville-Davidson, TN | 4,266,460 |
| Oklahoma City, OK 3,043,354 Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 958,497 Pott, Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,045 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | New Haven, CT | 7,862,354 |
| Omaha, NEIA 3,004,372 Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 SanasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,902,475 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | OgdenLayton, UT | 2,943,784 |
| Oxnard, CA 3,103,203 Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvorOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 SanasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Oklahoma City, OK | 3,043,354 |
| Palm BayMelbourne, FL 1,828,142 Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Omaha, NEIA | 3,004,372 |
| Pensacola, FLAL 1,224,748 Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Roud Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,573,638 Sath Lake City, UT 9,585,720 SanasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Oxnard, CA | 3,103,203 |
| Peoria, IL 1,279,170 Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 Provor-Orem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Palm BayMelbourne, FL | 1,828,142 |
| Port St. Lucie, FL 958,497 PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvorOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Pensacola, FLAL | 1,224,748 |
| PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY 7,107,218 ProvorOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Peoria, IL | 1,279,170 |
| ProvoOrem, UT 2,185,596 Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Port St. Lucie, FL | 958,497 |
| Raleigh, NC 2,760,791 Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salern, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY | 7,107,218 |
| Reading, PA 1,300,624 Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | ProvoOrem, UT | 2,185,596 |
| Reno, NV 2,242,709 Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salern, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Raleigh, NC | 2,760,791 |
| Richmond, VA 4,209,554 Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Reading, PA | 1,300,624 |
| Rochester, NY 4,713,356 Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Reno, NV | 2,242,709 |
| Rockford, IL 1,122,342 Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Richmond, VA | 4,209,554 |
| Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI 1,690,871 Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Rochester, NY | 4,713,356 |
| Salem, OR 1,573,638 Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Rockford, IL | 1,122,342 |
| Salt Lake City, UT 9,585,720 Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI | 1,690,871 |
| Santa Rosa, CA 1,902,475 SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Salem, OR | 1,573,638 |
| SarasotaBradenton, FL 2,811,450 Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Salt Lake City, UT | 9,585,720 |
| Savannah, GA 1,367,042 Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | Santa Rosa, CA | 1,902,475 |
| Scranton, PA 1,729,300 Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | SarasotaBradenton, FL | |
| Shreveport, LA 1,431,077 South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | | |
| South Bend, INMI 1,719,934 Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | | |
| Spokane, WAID 3,227,987 Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | · | |
| Springfield, MACT 5,272,433 | | · |
| , 5 | · | |
| Springfield, MO 874,329 | • - | |
| | Springfield, MO | 874,329 |

Page 4 of 12

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Stockton, CA | 3,062,599 |
| Syracuse, NY | 3,085,526 |
| Tallahassee, FL | 1,051,175 |
| TemeculaMurrieta, CA | 1,237,730 |
| Thousand Oaks, CA | 1,202,878 |
| Toledo, OHMI | 2,682,309 |
| Trenton, NJ | 4,637,645 |
| Tucson, AZ | 4,873,716 |
| Tulsa, OK | 2,691,919 |
| VictorvilleHesperiaApple Valley, CA | 1,038,527 |
| Wichita, KS | 2,014,710 |
| Winston-Salem, NC | 1,154,853 |
| Worcester, MACT | 3,655,415 |
| Youngstown, OHPA | 1,410,931 |
| Total | \$332,452,859 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

Page 5 of 12

534,772

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|--|----------------------|
| Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized | |
| Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population | |
| ALABAMA | \$3,378,718 |
| Anniston, AL | 313,671 |
| Auburn, AL | 290,055 |
| Decatur, AL | 275,873 |
| Dothan, AL | 264,529 |
| Florence, AL | 332,464 |
| Gadsden, AL | 260,290 |
| Montgomery, AL Tuscaloosa, AL | 1,073,111 568,725 |
| ALASKA | \$232,079 |
| Fairbanks, AK | 232,079 |
| ARIZONA | \$1,665,196 |
| Avondale, AZ | 405,322 |
| Flagstaff, AZ | 300,592 |
| Prescott, AZ | 313,254 |
| Yuma, AZCA | 646,028 |
| ARKANSAS | \$2,284,183 |
| FayettevilleSpringdale, AR | 852,462 |
| Fort Smith, AROK | 561,656 |
| Hot Springs, AR | 226,207 |
| Jonesboro, AR Pine Bluff, AR | 236,749 |
| Texarkana, TXTexarkana, AR | 294,251 112,858 |
| CALIFORNIA | \$25,843,776 |
| AtascaderoEl Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA | 284,683 |
| Camarillo, CA | 420,348 |
| Chico, CA | 666,134 |
| Davis, CA | 943,732 |
| El Centro, CA | 486,032 |
| Fairfield, CA | 1,069,768 |
| GilroyMorgan Hill, CA | 486,667 |
| Hanford, CA Hemet, CA | 560,950 763,156 |
| Livermore, CA | 763,136 566,824 |
| Lodi, CA | 626,309 |
| Lompoc, CA | 342,155 |
| Madera, CA | 360,212 |
| Manteca, CA | 396,034 |
| Merced, CA | 871,178 |
| Napa, CA | 580,685 |
| Petaluma, CA | 424,478 |
| Porterville, CA | 396,566 |
| Redding, CA | 508,227 |
| Salinas, CA | 1,496,457 744,466 |
| San Luis Obispo, CA Santa Barbara, CA | 1,739,732 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | 1,524,287 |
| Santa Grand, 674 | 1,378,251 |
| Santa Maria, CA | 872,941 |
| SeasideMontereyMarina, CA | 1,204,043 |
| Sımi Valley, CA | 925,437 |
| Tracy, CA | 521,971 |
| Touteste OA | F04.770 |

Turlock, CA

Page 6 of 12

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Vacaville, CA | 675,838 |
| Vallejo, CA | 1,418,128 |
| Visalia, CA | 813,229 |
| Watsonville, CA | 604,313 |
| Yuba City, CA | 630,563 |
| Yuma, AZCA | 5,210 |
| COLORADO | \$4,212,183 |
| Boulder, CO | 1,164,040 |
| Grand Junction, CO | 467,572 |
| Greeley, CO | 611,877 |
| LafayetteLouisville, CO | 384,441 |
| Longmont, CO | 857,154 |
| Pueblo, CO | 727,099 |
| CONNECTICUT | \$8,483,913 |
| Danbury, CTNY | 3,290,803 |
| NorwichNew London, CT | 1,424,909 |
| Waterbury, CT | 3,768,201 |
| DELAWARE | \$617,962 |
| Dover, DE | 601,914 |
| Salisbury, MDDE | 16,048 |
| FLORIDA | \$10,116,103 |
| Brooksville, FL | 462,132 |
| Deltona, FL | 749,234 |
| Fort Walton Beach, FL | 761,421 |
| Gainesville, FL | 1,233,671 |
| Kissimmee, FL | 978,414 |
| Lady Lake, FL | 215,970 |
| Lakeland, FL | 1,126,520 |
| LeesburgEustis, FL | 573,550 |
| North PortPunta Gorda, FL | 576,995 |
| Ocala, FL | 478,657 |
| Panama City, FL | 612,571 |
| St. Augustine, FL | 264,324 |
| Titusville, FL | 497,705 |
| Vero BeachSebastian, FL | 587,956 |
| Winter Haven, FL | 746,791 |
| Zephyrhills, FL | 250,192 |
| GEORGIA | \$3,857,766 |
| Albany, GA | 460,968 |
| Athens-Clarke County, GA | 499,091 |
| Brunswick, GA | 233,028 |
| Dalton, GA | 249,730 375,057 |
| Gainesville, GA Hınesville, GA | 375,057 269,362 |
| Macon, GA | 692,656 |
| Rome, GA | 393,501 |
| Valdosta, GA | 283,650 |
| Warner Robins, GA | 400,723 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

Page 7 of 12

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| HAWAII | \$1,000,626 |
| Kailua (Honolulu County)Kaneohe, HI | 1,000,626 |
| IDAHO | \$1,893,264 |
| Coeur d'Alene, ID | 392,175 |
| Idaho Falls, ID | 384,372 |
| Lewiston, IDWA | 166,283 |
| Nampa, ID | 540,849 |
| Pocatello, ID | 409,585 |
| ILLINOIS | \$4,848,069 |
| Alton, IL | 424,182 |
| Beloit, WIIL | 66,123 |
| BloomingtonNormal, IL | 760,754 |
| Champaign, IL | 1,122,230 |
| Danville, IL | 271,257 |
| Decatur, IL | 573,398 |
| DeKalb, IL | 384,594 |
| Dubuque, IAIL | 13,420 |
| Kankakee, IL | 384,803 |
| Springfield, IL | 847,308 |
| INDIANA | \$5,008,929 |
| Anderson, IN | 471,573 |
| Bloomington, IN | 749,875 |
| Columbus, IN | 270,491 |
| Elkhart, INMI | 656,635 |
| Kokomo, IN | 388,234 |
| Lafayette, IN | 1,018,836 |
| Michigan City, INMI | 358,401 |
| Muncie, IN | 678,203 |
| Terre Haute, IN | 416,681 |
| IOWA | \$3,709,285 |
| Ames, IA | 582,380 |
| Cedar Rapids, IA | 969,004 |
| Dubuque, IAIL | 355,250 |
| Iowa City, IA | 733,515 |
| Sioux City, IANESD | 472,010 |
| Waterloo, IA | 597,126 |
| KANSAS | \$1,432,652 |
| Lawrence, KS | 645,142 |
| St. Joseph, MOKS | 5,658 |
| Topeka, KS | 781,852 |
| KENTUCKY | \$1,331,615 |
| Bowling Green, KY | 288,684 |
| Clarksville, TNKY | 124,814 |
| Huntington, WVKYOH | 259,956 |
| Owensboro, KY | 348,511 |
| RadcliffElizabethtown, KY | 309,650 |
| LOUISIANA | \$3,920,078 |
| Alexandria, LA | 358,730 |
| Houma, LA | 622,430 |
| Lafayette, LA | 946,349 |
| Lake Charles, LA | 625,551 |
| MandevilleCovington, LA | 287,996 |
| Monroe, LA | 705,902 |
| Slidell, LA | 373,120 |

Page 8 of 12

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| MAINE | \$1,807,374 |
| Bangor, ME | 342,402 |
| DoverRochester, NHME | 40,775 |
| Lewiston, ME | 418,586 |
| Portland, ME | 966,480 |
| Portsmouth, NHME | 39,131 |
| MARYLAND | \$5,083,028 |
| AberdeenHavre de GraceBel Air, MD | 1,350,916 |
| Cumberland, MD-WV-PA | 377,638 |
| Frederick, MD | 891,908 |
| Hagerstown, MDWVPA | 671,162 |
| Salisbury, MDDE | 532,544 |
| St. Charles, MD | 683,215 |
| Westminster, MD | 575,645 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | \$2,939,142 |
| LeominsterFitchburg, MA | 1,062,792 |
| Nashua, NHMA | 236 |
| New Bedford, MA | 1,335,426 |
| Pittsfield, MA | 540,688 |
| MICHIGAN | \$6,023,816 |
| Battle Creek, MI | 384,608 |
| Bay City, MI | 503,350 |
| Benton HarborSt. Joseph, MI | 285,284 |
| Elkhart, INMI | 8,163 |
| Holland, MI | 490,359 |
| Jackson, MI | 445,887 |
| Kalamazoo, MI | 1,074,889 |
| Michigan City, INMI | 2,338 |
| Monroe, MI | 332,127 |
| Muskegon, MI Port Huron, MI | 753,195 535,096 |
| Saginaw, MI | 751,191 |
| South LyonHowellBrighton, MI | 457,329 |
| • | |
| MINNESOTA | \$2,315,425 |
| Duluth, MNWI | 596,034 |
| Fargo, NDMN | 228,116 |
| Grand Forks, NDMN | 48,679 |
| La Crosse, WIMN | 35,451 |
| Rochester, MN | 700,781 |
| St. Cloud, MN | 706,364 |
| MISSISSIPPI | \$568,431 |
| Hattiesburg, MS | 301,576 |
| Pascagoula, MS | 266,855 |
| MISSOURI | \$2,083,398 |
| Columbia, MO | 699,709 |
| Jefferson City, MO | 252,728 |
| Joplin, MO | 325,318 |
| Lee's Summit, MO | 332,781 |
| St. Joseph, MOKS | 472,862 |
| MONTANA | \$1,318,114 |
| Billings, MT | 573,536 |
| Great Falls, MT | 372,409 |
| Missoula, MT | 372,169 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

Page 9 of 12

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| N. MARIANA ISLANDS | \$324,996 |
| Saipan, MP | 324,996 |
| NEBRASKA | \$91,949 |
| Sioux City, IANESD | 91,949 |
| NEVADA | \$332,419 |
| Carson City, NV | 332,419 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | \$2,348,270 |
| DoverRochester, NHME | 437,446 |
| Manchester, NH | 789,320 |
| Nashua, NHMA Portsmouth, NHME | 935,311 186,193 |
| | |
| NEW JERSEY | \$1,844,619 |
| Hightstown, NJ Vineland, NJ | 666,835 743,321 |
| WildwoodNorth WildwoodCape May, NJ | 434,463 |
| | |
| NEW MEXICO Farmington, NM | \$1,235,218 240,207 |
| Las Cruces, NM | 521,287 |
| Santa Fe, NM | 473,724 |
| NEW YORK | \$4,770,425 |
| Binghamton, NYPA | 1,260,914 |
| Danbury, CTNY | 37,826 |
| Elmira, NY | 571,879 |
| Glens Falls, NY | 370,211 |
| Ithaca, NY | 582,988 |
| Kingston, NY | 340,549 |
| Middletown, NY | 331,106 |
| Saratoga Springs, NY | 426,448 |
| Utica, NY | 848,504 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | \$5,249,311 |
| Burlington, NC | 453,649 |
| Concord, NC | 525,189 |
| Gastonia, NC Goldsboro, NC | 632,411 |
| Greenville, NC | 268,963 449,335 |
| Hickory, NC | 767,926 |
| High Point, NC | 631,930 |
| Jacksonville, NC | 463,907 |
| Rocky Mount, NC | 301,204 |
| Wilmington, NC | 754,797 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | \$1,649,480 |
| Bismarck, ND | 541,160 |
| Fargo, NDMN | 749,703 |
| Grand Forks, NDMN | 358,617 |
| OHIO | \$4,256,427 |
| Huntington, WVKYOH | 170,147 |
| Lima, ÔH | 364,778 |
| LorainElyria, OH | 1,105,019 |
| Mansfield, OH | 389,729 |
| Middletown, OH | 508,830 492,315 |
| Newark, OH Parkersburg, WVOH | 492,315 119,728 |
| Sandusky, OH | 258,228 |
| Sandony, Orr | 250,220 |

Page 10 of 12

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|---|------------------------|
| Springfield, OH | 492,956 |
| Weirton, WVSteubenville, OHPA | 205,583 |
| Wheeling, WVOH | 149,114 |
| OKLAHOMA | \$1,024,333 |
| Fort Smith, AROK | 10,640 |
| Lawton, OK | 445,927 |
| Norman, OK | 567,766 |
| OREGON | \$1,352,410 |
| Bend, OR | 285,020 |
| Corvallis, OR Longview, WAOR | 320,463 |
| Medford, OR | 7,637 739,290 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | ¢6 674 610 |
| Altoona, PA | \$6,674,618 469,676 |
| Binghamton, NYPA | 16,974 |
| Cumberland, MD-WV-PA | 64 |
| Erie, PA | 1,291,350 |
| Hagerstown, MDWVPA | 5,871 |
| Hazleton, PA | 267,715 |
| Johnstown, PA | 562,990 |
| Lebanon, PA | 471,203 |
| Monessen, PA Pottstown, PA | 388,252 |
| State College, PA | 338,015 850,637 |
| UniontownConnellsville, PA | 379,890 |
| Weirton, WVSteubenville, OHPA | 1,273 |
| Williamsport, PA | 559,860 |
| York, PA | 1,070,848 |
| PUERTO RICO | \$5,069,548 |
| Arecibo, PR | 674,963 |
| Fajardo, PR FloridaBarcelonetaBajadero, PR | 499,356 |
| Guayama, PR | 300,278 392,249 |
| Juana Diaz, PR | 263,477 |
| Mayaguez, PR | 610,500 |
| Ponce, PR | 1,343,771 |
| San GermanCabo RojoSabana Grande, PR | 472,281 |
| Yauco, PR | 512,673 |
| RHODE ISLAND | 0 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | \$3,028,568 |
| Anderson, SC | 298,740 |
| Florence, SC | 460,551 |
| MauldinSimpsonville, SC | 367,030 |
| Myrtle Beach, SC | 552,519 |
| Rock Hill, SC | 307,782 |
| Spartanburg, SC Sumter, SC | 734,837 307,109 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | \$1,192,088 |
| Rapid City, SD | 382,025 |
| Sioux City, IANESD | 15,668 |
| Sioux Falls, SD | 794,395 |
| TENNESSEE | \$3,139,954 |
| Bristol, TNBristol, VA | 162,089 |
| Clarksville, TNKY | 471,339 |

Page 11 of 12

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|--|----------------------|
| Cleveland, TN | 259,868 |
| Jackson, TN | 386,954 |
| Johnson City, TN | 447,158 |
| Kingsport, TNVA | 392,141 |
| Morristown, TN | 242,233 |
| Murfreesboro, TN | 778,172 |
| TEXAS | \$16,373,671 |
| Abilene, TX | 625,720 |
| Amarillo, TX | 1,087,277 |
| Beaumont, TX | 717,863 |
| Brownsville, TX | 1,275,796 |
| College StationBryan, TX | 1,020,627 |
| Galveston, TX | 650,553 |
| Harlingen, TX | 593,831 |
| Killeen, TX | 1,061,832 |
| Lake JacksonAngleton, TX Laredo, TX | 421,631 1,619,931 |
| Longview, TX | 385,493 |
| McKinney, TX | 301,883 |
| Midland, TX | 572,502 |
| Odessa, TX | 628,462 |
| Port Arthur, TX | 706,843 |
| San Angelo, TX | 477,913 |
| Sherman, TX | 406,770 |
| Temple, TX | 373,381 |
| Texarkana, TXTexarkana, AR | 215,297 |
| Texas City, TX | 488,945 |
| The Woodlands, TX | 510,704 |
| Tyler, TX | 530,177 |
| Victoria, TX | 277,230 |
| Waco, TX Wichita Falls, TX | 884,929 538,081 |
| UTAH | \$985,029 |
| Logan, UT | 648,588 |
| St. George, UT | 336,441 |
| VERMONT | \$704,236 |
| Burlington, VT | 704,236 |
| VIRGIN ISLANDS | \$393,048 1/ |
| VIRGINIA | \$4,330,295 |
| Blacksburg, VA | 554,280 |
| Bristol, TNBristol, VA | 94,547 |
| Charlottesville, VA | 635,672 |
| Danville, VA | 250,716 |
| Fredericksburg, VA | 468,934 |
| Harrisonburg, VA | 457,534 |
| Kingsport, TNVA | 7,408 |
| Lynchburg, VA | 569,992 |
| Roanoke, VA Winchester, VA | 1,023,632 267,580 |
| WASHINGTON | \$6,707,027 |
| Bellingham, WA | 731,177 |
| Bremerton, WA | 1,096,901 |
| KennewickRichland, WA | 1,149,612 |
| Lewiston, IDWA | 96,917 |
| Longview, WAOR | 343,769 |
| Marysville, WA | 619,846 |
| Mount Vernon, WA | 426,199 |
| OlympiaLacey, WA | 993,803 |

Page 12 of 12

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 3

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 AND SECTION 5340 URBANIZED AREA APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report, an urbanized area apportionments for Section 5307 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. An area's apportionment amount includes regular Section 5307 funds, Small Transit Intensive Cities funds, and Growing States and High Density States formula funds, as appropriate.)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Wenatchee, WA | 537,527 |
| Yakima, WA | 711,276 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | \$2,757,042 |
| Charleston, WV | 1,017,438 |
| Cumberland, MD-WV-PA | 10,572 |
| Hagerstown, MDWVPA | 138,366 |
| Huntington, WVKYOH | 459,793 |
| Morgantown, WV | 392,158 |
| Parkersburg, WVOH | 312,290 |
| Weirton, WVSteubenville, OHPA | 142,662 |
| Wheeling, WVOH | 283,763 |
| WISCONSIN | \$7,763,806 |
| Appleton, WI | 1,161,731 |
| Beloit, WIIL | 244,047 |
| Duluth, MNWI | 188,293 |
| Eau Claire, WI | 564,635 |
| Fond du Lac, WI | 302,791 |
| Green Bay, WI | 1,090,286 |
| Janesville, WI | 381,730 |
| Kenosha, WI | 752,503 |
| La Crosse, WIMN | 595,783 |
| Oshkosh, WI | 620,051 |
| Racine, WI | 844,388 |
| Sheboygan, WI | 546,545 |
| Wausau, WI | 471,023 |
| WYOMING | \$705,462 |
| Casper, WY | 331,223 |
| Cheyenne, WY | 374,239 |
| Total | \$190,279,374 |

^{1/} Language in section 5307(I) of SAFETEA-LU directs that the Virgin Islands be treated as an urbanized area

TABLE 4

FY 2009 SECTION 5307 APPORTIONMENT FORMULA

Distribution of Available Funds

Of the funds made available to the Section 5307 program, a one percent takedown is authorized for Small Transit Intensive Cities. This amount is apportioned to the Governors based on a separate formula that uses criteria related to specific service performance categories.

The remaining funds are apportioned to small, medium, and large sized urbanized areas (UZAs). 9.32% is made available for UZAs 50,000-199,999 in population, and 90.68% to UZAs 200,000 or more in population.

UZA Population and Weighting Factors

50,000-199,999 in population :

9.32% of available Section 5307 funds

(Apportioned to Governors)

50% apportioned based on population

50% apportioned based on population x population density

200,000 and greater in population:

90.68% of available Section 5307 funds

(Apportioned to UZAs)

33.29% (Fixed Guideway Tier*)

95.61% (Non-incentive Portion of Tier)

--- at least 0.75% to each UZA with commuter rail and pop. 750,000 or greater

60% - fixed guideway revenue vehicle miles

40% - fixed guideway route miles

4.39% ("Incentive" Portion of Tier)

- -- at least 0.75% to each UZA with commuter rail and pop. 750,000 or greater
- -- fixed guideway passenger miles x fixed guideway passenger miles/operating cost

66.71% ("Bus" Tier)

90.8% (Non-incentive Portion of Tier)

73.39% for UZAs with population 1,000,000 or greater

50% - bus revenue vehicle miles

25% - population

25% - population x population density

26.61% for UZAs pop. < 1,000,000

50% - bus revenue vehicle miles

25% - population

25% - population x density

9.2% ("Incentive" Portion of Tier)

-- bus passenger miles x bus passenger miles/operating cost

^{*} Includes all fixed guideway modes, such as heavy rail, commuter rail, light rail, trolleybus, aerial tramway, inclined plane, cable car, automated guideway transit, ferryboats, exclusive busways, and HOV lanes.

FISCAL YEAR 2009 FORMULA PROGRAMS APPORTIONMENT DATA UNIT VALUES

(Apportionment unit values are based on funding made available under the FY 2009 Continuing Resolution - P.L. 110-329)

| Section 5307 Urbanized Ar | - | ram - Bus Tier | | | | APPORTIONMENT DATA UNIT VALUE |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Population x Densi | ityity | | | | | \$1.39841610 \$0.00035482 \$0.17613278 |
| Urbanized Areas Under 1,00 | 00,000: | | , | | | |
| Population x Densi | ityityity | | | | | \$1.28159331 \$0.00056074 \$0.22900919 |
| Bus Incentive (PM denotes | Passenger Mile): | | | | | |
| Bus PM x Bus PM Operating Cost | <u>=</u> | | | | | \$0.00377591 |
| Fixed Guideway R | rea Formula Prog evenue Vehicle Mile oute Mile Rail Floor | | · | | | \$0.25893392 \$13,691 \$3,577,357 |
| Fixed Guideway Incentive: | | | | | | |
| | M x Fixed Guideway | <u>/ PM =</u> | | | | \$0.00026752 |
| | perating Cost Rail Incentive Floor | | | | | \$164,257 |
| • | rea Formula Prog | | | | | \$2.57765050 \$0.00128224 |
| Section 5307 Small Transit | t Intensive Cities ng Performance Cate | egory | | | | \$56,826 |
| Section 5311 Urbanized Ar Population | rea Formula Prog | | | | | \$1.59515476 |
| Section 5309 Capital Progr | ram - Fixed Guid | eway Moderniza | ition | | | |
| _ | Tier 2 | Tier 3 | Tier 4 | Tier 5 | Tier 6 | Tier 7 |
| Legislatively Specified Areas: Revenue Vehicle Mile Route Mile | \$0.01308985 \$912.86 | | \$0.05880084 \$3,365.70 | \$0.01451199 \$1,129.67 | \$0.00956834 \$744.84 | \$0.10753224 \$8,370.73 |
| Other Urbanized Areas: Revenue Vehicle Mile Route Mile | \$0.07005658 \$2,046.72 | \$0.00247808 \$72.40 | \$0.05880084 \$3,365.70 | \$0.02700359 \$790.52 | \$0.02204374 \$645.32 | \$0.37160243 \$10,878.54 |

Notes:

^{1.} Unit values for Section 5307 do not take into account Section 5340 funding added to the program.

^{2.} The unit value for Section 5311 is based on the total nonurbanized/rural population for the States and territories. It does not take into account Section 5311 funds allocated based on land area in nonurbanized areas, or Section 5340 funding added to the program.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| | | Passenger | Passenger | Vehicle | Vehicle | Daggaga | | Number of | STIC Funding: |
|------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| State | Urbanized Area (UZA) Description | Vehicle Revenue Mile | Vehicle Revenue Hour | Mile per Capita | Hour per Capita | Miles per Capita | Passenger Trips per Capita | Factors Met or Exceeded | per Factor Met or Exceeded |
| | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999 | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | | |
| Alabama | Anniston, AL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Alabama | Auburn, AL | 0.903 | 15.309 | 4.544 | 0.268 | 4.104 | 1.140 | 0 | 0 |
| Alabama | Decatur, AL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Alabama | Dothan, AL | 0.715 | 10.418 | 10.885 | 0.747 | 7.785 | 2.425 | 0 | 0 |
| Alabama | Florence, AL | 1.000 | 13.146 | 8.335 | 0.634 | 8.335 | 2.806 | 0 | 0 |
| Alabama | Gadsden, AL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Alabama | Montgomery, AL | 3.439 | 56.080 | 8.077 | 0.495 | 27.776 | 5.520 | 0 | 0 |
| Alabama | Tuscaloosa, AL | 2.924 | 33.590 | 2.994 | 0.261 | 8.755 | 1.648 | 0 | 0 |
| Alaska | Fairbanks, AK | 4.463 | 81.220 | 10.100 | 0.555 | 45.081 | 6.257 | 0 | 0 |
| Arizona | Avondale, AZ | 4.900 | 65.764 | 2.844 | 0.212 | 13.935 | 3.513 | 0 | 0 |
| Arizona | Flagstaff, AZ | 3.107 | 44.563 | 11.042 | 0.770 | 34.311 | 13.212 | 0 | 0 |
| Arizona | Prescott, AZ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Arizona | Yuma, AZ-CA | 1.956 | 27.386 | 10.930 | 0.781 | 21.384 | 3.298 | 1 | 56,826 |
| Arkansas | Fayetteville-Springdale, AR | 2.914 | 36.446 | 5.184 | 0.414 | 15.102 | 8.308 | 0 | 0 |
| Arkansas | Fort Smith, AR-OK | 2.103 | 28.060 | 4.540 | 0.340 | 9.546 | 2.119 | 0 | 0 |
| Arkansas | Hot Springs, AR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Arkansas | Jonesboro, AR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Arkansas | Pine Bluff, AR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Atascadero-El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles), CA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Camarillo, CA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Chico, CA | 3.944 | 55.819 | 17.307 | 1.223 | 68.262 | 13.525 | 2 | 113,652 |
| California | Davis, CA | 8.412 | 110.992 | 19.110 | 1.448 | 160.760 | 53.302 | 9 | 340,958 |
| California | El Centro, CA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 15.358 | 1.716 | 0.000 | 7.884 | 2 | 113,652 |
| California | Fairfield, CA | 2.600 | 48.711 | 17.329 | 0.925 | 45.050 | 9.330 | 2 | 113,652 |
| California | Gilroy-Morgan Hill, CA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Hanford, CA | 0.559 | 20.223 | 67.064 | 1.855 | 37.515 | 11.380 | 2 | 113,652 |
| California | Hemet, CA | 1.881 | 36.115 | 5.453 | 0.284 | 10.258 | 1.045 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Livermore, CA | 3.609 | 47.802 | 5.552 | 0.419 | 20.036 | 4.009 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Lodi, CA | 1.591 | 16.485 | 6.190 | 0.597 | 9.848 | 3.851 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Lompoc, CA | 9.137 | 137.500 | 9.238 | 0.614 | 84.409 | 7.107 | 2 | 113,652 |
| California | Madera, CA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Manteca, CA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6 FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

| 329) |
|------|
| |
| 110 |
| |
| P.L |
| - 1 |
| 60 |
| 2009 |
| 6 |
| ion |
| lui |
| so |
| Re |
| us |
| .0 |
| iat |
| ã |
| oro, |
| dd |
| A |
| ing |
| пп |
| ıti |
| S |
| 6 |
| th |
| ler |
| 22 |
| |
| aple |
| -2 |
| ~~ |
| _ |
| made |
| ш |
| иg |
| di |
| 'n |
| 'n |
| 01 |
| sed |
| bas |
| is |
| 11 |
| mo |
| ш |
| 11 0 |
| en |
| ш |
| į, |
| ort |
| odd |
| Ā |
| |

| State | Urbanized Area (UZA) Description | Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile | Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour | Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita | Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita | Passenger Miles per Capita | Passenger Trips per Capita | Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded | STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded |
|-------------|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999 | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | | |
| California | Merced, CA | 1.729 | 30.508 | 17.055 | 0.966 | 29.482 | 11.688 | 2 | 113,652 |
| California | Napa, CA | 2.935 | 39.789 | 7.531 | 0.556 | 22.104 | 5.610 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Petaluma, CA | 3.310 | 45.406 | 6.730 | 0.491 | 22.273 | 4.977 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Porterville, CA | 3.903 | 57.983 | 7.384 | 0.497 | 28.819 | 7.915 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Redding, CA | 3.175 | 49.862 | 10.740 | 0.684 | 34.098 | 7.140 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Salinas, CA | 6.720 | 107.059 | 7.108 | 0.446 | 47.765 | 9.553 | - | 56,826 |
| California | San Luis Obispo, CA | 6.482 | 130.848 | 26.869 | 1.331 | 174.162 | 25.255 | 9 | 340,958 |
| California | Santa Barbara, CA | 10.892 | 149.740 | 15.303 | 1.113 | 166.686 | 39.503 | 9 | 340,958 |
| California | Santa Clarita, CA | 12.691 | 235.375 | 15.025 | 0.810 | 190.676 | 15.964 | 9 | 340,958 |
| California | Santa Cruz, CA | 9.320 | 132.998 | 24.058 | 1.686 | 224.220 | 35.388 | 9 | 340,958 |
| California | Santa Maria, CA | 1.832 | 27.597 | 7.485 | 0.497 | 13.708 | 9.441 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Seaside-Monterey-Marina, CA | 6.928 | 109.504 | 17.069 | 1.080 | 118.266 | 20.708 | 9 | 340,958 |
| California | Simi Valley, CA | 3.591 | 50.736 | 6.312 | 0.447 | 22.666 | 4.277 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Tracy, CA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Turlock, CA | 2.706 | 36.599 | 3.634 | 0.269 | 9.832 | 2.576 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Vacaville, CA | 5.518 | 100.593 | 0.767 | 0.042 | 4.230 | 0.683 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Vallejo, CA | 3.927 | 62.928 | 10.422 | 0.650 | 40.926 | 7.502 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Visalia, CA | 4.865 | 62.174 | 9.777 | 0.765 | 47.564 | 11.811 | 0 | 0 |
| California | Watsonville, CA | 8.072 | 125.248 | 4.058 | 0.262 | 32.754 | 5.872 | 2 | 113,652 |
| California | Yuba City, CA | 5.788 | 88.300 | 10.539 | 0.691 | 60.998 | 8.481 | 0 | 0 |
| Colorado | Boulder, CO | 10.198 | 142.711 | 13.802 | 0.986 | 140.754 | 26.371 | 9 | 340,958 |
| Colorado | Grand Junction, CO | 4.053 | 62.326 | 8.169 | 0.531 | 33.112 | 7.215 | 0 | 0 |
| Colorado | Greeley, CO | 3.410 | 41.128 | 5.826 | 0.483 | 19.864 | 5.374 | 0 | 0 |
| Colorado | Lafayette-Louisville, CO | 6.895 | 96.362 | 8.623 | 0.617 | 59.456 | 11.011 | - | 56,826 |
| Colorado | Longmont, CO | 8.830 | 118.692 | 11.844 | 0.881 | 104.579 | 19.204 | 9 | 340,958 |
| Colorado | Pueblo, CO | 4.143 | 59.384 | 6.285 | 0.439 | 26.043 | 8.330 | 0 | 0 |
| Connecticut | Danbury, CT-NY | 29.367 | 765.557 | 33.219 | 1.274 | 975.542 | 41.050 | 9 | 340,958 |
| Connecticut | Norwich-New London, CT | 6:039 | 122.151 | 9.128 | 0.456 | 55.666 | 6.676 | 1 | 56,826 |
| Connecticut | Waterbury, CT | 28.983 | 676.498 | 27.320 | 1.170 | 791.820 | 38.318 | 9 | 340,958 |
| Delaware | Dover, DE | 3.151 | 54.055 | 27.928 | 1.628 | 87.989 | 12.117 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Florida | Brooksville, FL | 1.679 | 32.069 | 5.399 | 0.283 | 9.064 | 1.708 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | Deltona, FL | 2.940 | 45.206 | 9.211 | 0.599 | 27.083 | 4.888 | 0 | 0 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION Table 6

Table 6 FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

| $\overline{}$ |
|---------------|
| 5 |
| 32 |
| 0 |
| \tilde{z} |
| ì |
| Τ. |
| Д |
| |
| 8 |
| 0 |
| 5 |
| on |
| lutio |
| ļ |
| 20 |
| Reso |
| |
| ns |
| į, |
| at |
| nı |
| О |
| 7. |
| И |
| K, |
| 18 |
| ÷ |
| и |
| onti |
| ô |
| ŭ |
| the |
| # |
| 13 |
| nnde |
| × |
| le |
| 19 |
| Ę |
| ail |
| ĝ |
| |
| ade |
| и |
| à |
| ij |
| q |
| 'n. |
| 5 |
| 0 |
| |
| ased |
| ď |
| 2 |
| t is |
| |
| ипош |
| ато |
| |
| nt |
| ıe |
| tionme |
| .0 |
| |
| 20 |
| ħ |
| Š |
| _ |

| State | Urbanized Area (UZA) Description | Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile | Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour | Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita | Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita | Passenger Miles per Capita | Passenger Trips per Capita | Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded | STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded |
|----------|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999 | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | | |
| Florida | Fort Walton Beach, FL | 1.194 | 17.274 | 7.822 | 0.540 | 9:336 | 1.699 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | Gainesville, FL | 9.985 | 113.962 | 19.087 | 1.672 | 190.579 | 56.272 | 9 | 340,958 |
| Florida | Kissimmee, FL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | Lady Lake, FL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | Lakeland, FL | 4.136 | 66.870 | 12.917 | 0.799 | 53.428 | 11.021 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Florida | Leesburg-Eustis, FL | 0.952 | 14.598 | 21.041 | 1.372 | 20.031 | 2.689 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Florida | North Port-Punta Gorda, FL | 1.135 | 18.609 | 4.259 | 0.260 | 4.833 | 0.630 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | Ocala, FL | 2.405 | 35.355 | 4.214 | 0.287 | 10.136 | 3.209 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | Panama City, FL | 3.016 | 49.818 | 6.984 | 0.423 | 21.063 | 3.797 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | St. Augustine, FL | 1.817 | 34.791 | 8.751 | 0.457 | 15.902 | 2.264 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | Titusville, FL | 6.863 | 222.726 | 18.800 | 0.579 | 129.021 | 4.238 | 4 | 227,305 |
| Florida | Vero Beach-Sebastian, FL | 3.287 | 37.789 | 4.585 | 0.399 | 15.070 | 2.732 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | Winter Haven, FL | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Florida | Zephyrhills, FL | 5.288 | 88.835 | 5.196 | 0.309 | 27.476 | 4.045 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgia | Albany, GA | 5.670 | 096.06 | 6.988 | 0.436 | 39.625 | 8.274 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgia | Athens-Clarke County, GA | 5.987 | 69.280 | 8.007 | 0.692 | 47.939 | 14.431 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgia | Brunswick, GA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgia | Dalton, GA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgia | Gainesville, GA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgia | Hinesville, GA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgia | Macon, GA | 4.490 | 64.351 | 8.237 | 0.575 | 36.983 | 7.965 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgia | Rome, GA | 7.502 | 97.482 | 10.216 | 0.786 | 76.638 | 11.198 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Georgia | Valdosta, GA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Georgia | Warner Robins, GA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Hawaii | Kailua (Honolulu County)-Kaneohe, HI | 10.288 | 141.002 | 2.030 | 0.148 | 20.881 | 4.493 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Idaho | Coeur d'Alene, ID | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | Idaho Falls, ID | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | Lewiston, ID-WA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | Nampa, ID | 2.886 | 59.439 | 4.939 | 0.240 | 14.256 | 1.336 | 0 | 0 |
| Idaho | Pocatello, ID | 3.665 | 48.984 | 10.615 | 0.794 | 38.907 | 7.775 | - | 56,826 |
| Illinois | Alton, IL | 5.029 | 89.697 | 4.172 | 0.234 | 20.983 | 2.818 | 0 | 0 |
| Illinois | Bloomington-Normal, IL | 4.623 | 66.449 | 10.174 | 0.708 | 47.033 | 12.620 | 0 | 0 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6 FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| | | Passenger Miles per | Passenger Miles per | Vehicle Revenue | Vehicle Revenue | Passenger | | Number of Performance | STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| State | Urbanized Area (UZA) Description | Vehicle Revenue Mile | Vehicle Revenue Hour | Mile per Capita | Hour per Capita | Miles per Capita | Passenger Trips per Capita | Factors Met or Exceeded | per Factor Met or Exceeded |
| | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999 | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | | |
| Illinois | Champaign, IL | 8.179 | 94.407 | 23.290 | 2.018 | 190.479 | 74.875 | 5 | 284,131 |
| Illinois | Danville, IL | 4.317 | 75.251 | 8.456 | 0.485 | 36.509 | 8.492 | 0 | 0 |
| Illinois | Decatur, IL | 3.610 | 49.176 | 11.180 | 0.821 | 40.358 | 12.012 | - | 56,826 |
| Illinois | DeKalb, IL | 1.844 | 30.375 | 9.972 | 909.0 | 18.393 | 2.200 | 0 | 0 |
| Illinois | Kankakee, IL | 5.346 | 73.248 | 9:956 | 0.727 | 53.228 | 6.557 | 0 | 0 |
| Illinois | Springfield, IL | 2.623 | 32.228 | 9.492 | 0.772 | 24.895 | 8.622 | - | 56,826 |
| Indiana | Anderson, IN | 1.836 | 23.061 | 4.017 | 0.320 | 7.375 | 1.804 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana | Bloomington, IN | 6.933 | 76.367 | 12.048 | 1.094 | 83.529 | 28.187 | 4 | 227,305 |
| Indiana | Columbus, IN | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana | Eikhart, IN-Mi | 2.029 | 31.853 | 2.667 | 0.361 | 11.498 | 2.412 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana | Kokomo, IN | 1.163 | 11.409 | 11.308 | 1.153 | 13.157 | 2.846 | - | 56,826 |
| Indiana | Lafayette, IN | 10.366 | 116.834 | 11.702 | 1.038 | 121.298 | 37.100 | 5 | 284,131 |
| Indiana | Michigan City, IN-MI | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Indiana | Muncie, IN | 4.838 | 63.963 | 13.297 | 1.006 | 64.333 | 23.086 | ဗ | 170,478 |
| Indiana | Terre Haute, IN | 1.228 | 12.582 | 4.859 | 0.474 | 5.966 | 2.880 | 0 | 0 |
| lowa | Ames, IA | 5.611 | 59.612 | 21.594 | 2.033 | 121.172 | 85.048 | 4 | 227,305 |
| Iowa | Cedar Rapids, IA | 3.636 | 50.931 | 9.533 | 0.681 | 34.665 | 7.797 | 0 | 0 |
| lowa | Dubuque, IA-IL | 4.019 | 47.976 | 8.715 | 0.730 | 35.027 | 10.450 | 0 | 0 |
| Iowa | Iowa City, IA | 5.881 | 66.054 | 21.581 | 1.922 | 126.929 | 69.875 | 4 | 227,305 |
| lowa | Sioux City, IA-NE-SD | 4.076 | 47.842 | 5.771 | 0.492 | 23.524 | 8.972 | 0 | 0 |
| Iowa | Waterloo, IA | 1.002 | 16.675 | 8.398 | 0.505 | 8.415 | 4.396 | 0 | 0 |
| Kansas | Lawrence, KS | 1.968 | 24.446 | 11.591 | 0.933 | 22.811 | 8.972 | - | 56,826 |
| Kansas | Topeka, KS | 4.239 | 62.343 | 10.170 | 0.691 | 43.109 | 12.025 | 0 | 0 |
| Kentucky | Bowling Green, KY | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Kentucky | Owensboro, KY | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Kentucky | Radcliff-Elizabethtown, KY | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Louisiana | Alexandria, LA | 5.006 | 76.246 | 7.357 | 0.483 | 36.827 | 9.023 | 0 | 0 |
| Louisiana | Houma, LA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Louisiana | Lafayette, LA | 8.636 | 119.515 | 4.655 | 0.336 | 40.202 | 8.979 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Louisiana | Lake Charles, LA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Louisiana | Mandeville-Covington, LA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Louisiana | Monroe, LA | 14.893 | 205.613 | 6.342 | 0.459 | 94.453 | 10.085 | 8 | 170,478 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6 FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| | | Passenger | Passenger | Vehicle | Vehicle | | | Number of | STIC Funding: |
|---------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Š | 2 (V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V | Miles per Vehicle | Miles per Vehicle | Revenue Mile per | Revenue Hour per | Passenger Miles per | Passenger Trips | Performance Factors Met or | @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met |
| Oldie | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | Exceeded | Type de |
| Louisiana | Slidell, LA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Maine | Bangor, ME | 4.852 | 65.977 | 10.821 | 0.796 | 52.502 | 13.356 | - | 56,826 |
| Maine | Lewiston, ME | 1.560 | 21.140 | 15.775 | 1.164 | 24.603 | 7.058 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Maine | Portland, ME | 6.998 | 87.915 | 9.608 | 0.765 | 67.237 | 13.491 | 1 | 56,826 |
| Maryland | Aberdeen-Havre de Grace-Bel Air, MD | 1.865 | 33.796 | 4.110 | 0.227 | 7.667 | 1.543 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland | Cumberland, MDWV | 5.133 | 83.977 | 11.411 | 0.697 | 58.574 | 6.381 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland | Frederick, MD | 3.302 | 43.358 | 9.200 | 0.701 | 30.374 | 5.957 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland | Hagerstown, MD-WV-PA | 2.705 | 41.215 | 3.992 | 0.262 | 10.795 | 2.892 | 0 | 0 |
| Maryland | Salisbury, MD-DE | 0.419 | 1.139 | 36.148 | 13.283 | 15.136 | 6.820 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Maryland | St. Charles, MD | 3.012 | 63.833 | 16.487 | 0.778 | 49.651 | 6.409 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Maryland | Westminster, MD | 1.105 | 13.582 | 12.300 | 1.001 | 13.593 | 2.254 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Massachusetts | Leominster-Fitchburg, MA | 3.323 | 37.703 | 19.053 | 1.679 | 63.303 | 9.007 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Massachusetts | New Bedford, MA | 3.000 | 36.224 | 6.331 | 0.524 | 18.995 | 6.635 | 0 | 0 |
| Massachusetts | Pittsfield, MA | 2.710 | 38.009 | 21.645 | 1.543 | 58.662 | 10.290 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Michigan | Battle Creek, MI | 3.220 | 41.351 | 6.590 | 0.513 | 21.222 | 5.822 | 0 | 0 |
| Michigan | Bay City, MI | 2.251 | 39.856 | 20.347 | 1.149 | 45.805 | 8.251 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Michigan | Benton Harbor-St. Joseph, MI | 1.423 | 18.116 | 7.138 | 0.561 | 10.155 | 2.838 | 0 | 0 |
| Michigan | Holland, MI | 1.662 | 22.867 | 8.629 | 0.627 | 14.339 | 2.405 | 0 | 0 |
| Michigan | Jackson, MI | 1.998 | 31.169 | 9.821 | 0.630 | 19.626 | 6.303 | 0 | 0 |
| Michigan | Kalamazoo, MI | 4.231 | 51.109 | 11.410 | 0.945 | 48.277 | 16.027 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Michigan | Monroe, MI | 2.261 | 26.333 | 9.169 | 0.787 | 20.733 | 6.263 | 1 | 56,826 |
| Michigan | Muskegon, MI | 2.761 | 39.699 | 5.879 | 0.409 | 16.232 | 4.485 | 0 | 0 |
| Michigan | Port Huron, MI | 1.192 | 17.807 | 24.070 | 1.611 | 28.690 | 10.777 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Michigan | Saginaw, MI | 3.693 | 47.356 | 6.140 | 0.479 | 22.675 | 5.653 | 0 | 0 |
| Michigan | South Lyon-Howell-Brighton, MI | 0.000 | 0.000 | 6.662 | 0.333 | 0.000 | 0.893 | 0 | 0 |
| Minnesota | Duluth, MN-WI | 4.968 | 64.819 | 15.600 | 1.196 | 77.509 | 24.340 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Minnesota | Rochester, MN | 5.852 | 87.754 | 11.971 | 0.798 | 70.062 | 17.347 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Minnesota | St. Cloud, MN | 4.507 | 61.959 | 16.352 | 1.190 | 73.707 | 22.231 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Mississippi | Hattiesburg, MS | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Mississippi | Pascagoula, MS | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Missouri | Columbia, MO | 6.456 | 66.757 | 8.472 | 0.819 | 54.689 | 16.829 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Missouri | Jefferson City, MO | 1.822 | 26.569 | 10.519 | 0.721 | 19.165 | 8.168 | 0 | 0 |

6 of 10

FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| State | Urbanized Area (UZA) Description | Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile | Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour | Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita | Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita | Passenger Miles per Capita | Passenger Trips | Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded | STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999 | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | | |
| Missouri | Joplin, MO | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Missouri | Lee's Summit, MO | 1.934 | 29.740 | 0.459 | 0.030 | 0.888 | 0.127 | 0 | 0 |
| Missouri | St. Joseph, MO-KS | 2.172 | 24.336 | 10.121 | 0.903 | 21.982 | 4.850 | - | 56,826 |
| Montana | Billings, MT | 3.572 | 48.749 | 7.583 | 0.556 | 27.083 | 7.740 | 0 | 0 |
| Montana | Great Falls, MT | 1.438 | 18.450 | 9.220 | 0.718 | 13.254 | 7.125 | 0 | 0 |
| Montana | Missoula, MT | 4.111 | 58.441 | 10.329 | 0.727 | 42.461 | 10.895 | 0 | 0 |
| N. Mariana Islands Saipan, MP | Saipan, MP | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Nevada | Carson City, NV | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| New Hampshire | Dover-Rochester, NH-ME | 10.957 | 202.964 | 4.616 | 0.249 | 50.582 | 2.861 | 2 | 113,652 |
| New Hampshire | Manchester, NH | 2.618 | 32.071 | 3.877 | 0.316 | 10.149 | 3.259 | 0 | 0 |
| New Hampshire | Nashua, NH-MA | 4.410 | 55.708 | 2.303 | 0.182 | 10.157 | 1.979 | 0 | 0 |
| New Hampshire | Portsmouth, NH-ME | 6.409 | 100.989 | 2.748 | 0.174 | 17.616 | 2.155 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | Hightstown, NJ | 5.835 | 80.497 | 0.275 | 0.020 | 1.607 | 0.386 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | Vineland, NJ | 1.000 | 15.560 | 7.122 | 0.458 | 7.122 | 1.388 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | Wildwood-North Wildwood-Cape May, NJ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| New Mexico | Farmington, NM | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| New Mexico | Las Cruces, NM | 4.060 | 46.081 | 5.854 | 0.516 | 23.766 | 7.568 | 0 | 0 |
| New Mexico | Santa Fe, NM | 2.752 | 24.242 | 10.214 | 1.160 | 28.110 | 8.385 | 1 | 56,826 |
| New York | Binghamton, NY-PA | 3.782 | 53.370 | 16.840 | 1.193 | 63.688 | 19.788 | 3 | 170,478 |
| New York | Elmira, NY | 3.103 | 60.682 | 15.869 | 0.811 | 49.234 | 10.365 | 2 | 113,652 |
| New York | Glens Falls, NY | 3.717 | 58.478 | 5.528 | 0.351 | 20.544 | 5.717 | 0 | 0 |
| New York | Ithaca, NY | 4.172 | 58.652 | 36.263 | 2.580 | 151.298 | 59.111 | 4 | 227,305 |
| New York | Kingston, NY | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| New York | Middletown, NY | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| New York | Saratoga Springs, NY | 7.781 | 122.538 | 8.049 | 0.511 | 62.628 | 8.861 | 2 | 113,652 |
| New York | Utica, NY | 2.680 | 34.305 | 10.446 | 0.816 | 27.997 | 10.312 | 1 | 56,826 |
| North Carolina | Burlington, NC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| North Carolina | Concord, NC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| North Carolina | Gastonia, NC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| North Carolina | Goldsboro, NC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| North Carolina | Greenville, NC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| North Carolina | Hickory, NC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6 FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| | | Passenger | Passenger | Vehicle | Vehicle | | | Number of | STIC Funding: |
|----------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| State | Urbanized Area (UZA) Description | Vehicle Revenue Mile | Wehicle Vehicle Revenue Hour | Mile per Capita | Hour per Capita | rassenger Miles per Capita | Passenger Trips per Capita | Factors Met or Exceeded | per Factor Met or Exceeded |
| | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999 | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | | |
| North Carolina | High Point, NC | 2.740 | 30.302 | 5.313 | 0.480 | 14.558 | 5.832 | 0 | 0 |
| North Carolina | Jacksonville, NC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| North Carolina | Rocky Mount, NC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| North Carolina | Wilmington, NC | 2.930 | 44.130 | 8.689 | 0.577 | 25.455 | 9.641 | 0 | 0 |
| North Dakota | Bismarck, ND | 1.075 | 14.585 | 12.354 | 0.910 | 13.275 | 3.960 | 2 | 113,652 |
| North Dakota | Fargo, ND-MN | 3.962 | 51.799 | 7.988 | 0.611 | 31.652 | 9.643 | 0 | 0 |
| North Dakota | Grand Forks, ND-MN | 0.897 | 12.713 | 10.594 | 0.747 | 9.499 | 5.079 | 0 | 0 |
| Ohio | Lima, OH | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Ohio | Lorain-Elyria, OH | 3.284 | 54.869 | 7.515 | 0.450 | 24.683 | 4.099 | 0 | 0 |
| Ohio | Mansfield, OH | 3.078 | 32.988 | 4.134 | 0.386 | 12.726 | 4.172 | 0 | 0 |
| Ohio | Middletown, OH | 4.714 | 68.374 | 2.785 | 0.192 | 13.128 | 2.651 | 0 | 0 |
| Ohio | Newark, OH | 0.903 | 15.199 | 21.883 | 1.300 | 19.762 | 3.800 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Ohio | Sandusky, OH | 1.272 | 18.078 | 6.831 | 0.481 | 8.687 | 1.714 | 0 | 0 |
| Ohio | Springfield, OH | 3.144 | 35.395 | 3.024 | 0.269 | 9.508 | 5.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Ohio | Weirton, WV-Steubenville, OH-PA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Oklahoma | Lawton, OK | 3.193 | 47.066 | 6.656 | 0.452 | 21.254 | 3.934 | 0 | 0 |
| Oklahoma | Norman, OK | 4.897 | 53.963 | 6.124 | 0.556 | 29.992 | 13.193 | 0 | 0 |
| Oregon | Bend, OR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 6.451 | 0.619 | 0.000 | 5.208 | 0 | 0 |
| Oregon | Corvallis, OR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Oregon | Medford, OR | 2.012 | 29.283 | 7.709 | 0.530 | 15.507 | 7.772 | 0 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania | Altoona, PA | 4.101 | 53.557 | 6.844 | 0.524 | 28.068 | 8.556 | 0 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania | Erie, PA | 3.200 | 38.303 | 14.459 | 1.208 | 46.262 | 14.239 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Pennsylvania | Hazleton, PA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania | Johnstown, PA | 6.997 | 78.071 | 9.675 | 0.867 | 62.689 | 16.564 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Pennsylvania | Lebanon, PA | 2.412 | 35.813 | 12.078 | 0.813 | 29.127 | 4.926 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Pennsylvania | Monessen, PA | 16.238 | 226.804 | 4.270 | 0.306 | 69.342 | 2.322 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Pennsylvania | Pottstown, PA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Pennsylvania | State College, PA | 8.846 | 108.782 | 19.839 | 1.613 | 175.499 | 87.006 | 9 | 340,958 |
| Pennsylvania | Uniontown-Connellsville, PA | 1.143 | 18.431 | 23.140 | 1.436 | 26.458 | 4.133 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Pennsylvania | Williamsport, PA | 6.319 | 101.964 | 14.975 | 0.928 | 94.634 | 21.582 | 4 | 227,305 |
| Pennsylvania | York, PA | 3.453 | 45.557 | 13.455 | 1.020 | 46.458 | 10.879 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Puerto Rico | Arecibo, PR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Table 6 FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| State | Urbanized Area (UZA) Description | Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Mile | Passenger Miles per Vehicle Revenue Hour | Vehicle Revenue Mile per Capita | Vehicle Revenue Hour per Capita | Passenger Miles per Capita | Passenger Trips per Capita | Number of Performance Factors Met or Exceeded | STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met or Exceeded |
|----------------|---|---|---|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999 | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | | |
| Puerto Rico | Fajardo, PR | 6.682 | 130.422 | 3.431 | 0.176 | 22.926 | 6.351 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Puerto Rico | Florida-Barceloneta-Bajadero, PR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | Guayama, PR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | Juana Diaz, PR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | Mayaguez, PR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | Ponce, PR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | San German-Cabo Rojo-Sabana Grande, PR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Puerto Rico | Yauco, PR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| South Carolina | Anderson, SC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| South Carolina | Florence, SC | 2.369 | 55.225 | 44.339 | 1.902 | 105.030 | 7.894 | က | 170,478 |
| South Carolina | Mauldin-Simpsonville, SC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| South Carolina | Myrtle Beach, SC | 1.925 | 26.400 | 7.215 | 0.526 | 13.886 | 2.996 | 0 | 0 |
| South Carolina | Rock Hill, SC | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| South Carolina | Spartanburg, SC | 3.220 | 45.838 | 12.164 | 0.854 | 39.168 | 5.255 | 2 | 113,652 |
| South Carolina | Sumter, SC | 4.387 | 98.246 | 11.723 | 0.524 | 51.436 | 4.254 | 0 | 0 |
| South Dakota | Rapid City, SD | 2.777 | 34.019 | 6.771 | 0.553 | 18.805 | 4.591 | 0 | 0 |
| South Dakota | Sioux Falls, SD | 4.183 | 55.243 | 10.158 | 0.769 | 42.492 | 7.484 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | Bristol, TN-Bristol, VA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | Clarksville, TN-KY | 3.253 | 49.221 | 9.051 | 0.598 | 29.444 | 5.406 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | Cleveland, TN | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | Jackson, TN | 3.008 | 40.431 | 11.438 | 0.851 | 34.410 | 7.914 | - | 56,826 |
| Tennessee | Johnson City, TN | 3.741 | 40.173 | 5.140 | 0.479 | 19.232 | 5.197 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | Kingsport, TN-VA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | Morristown, TN | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Tennessee | Murfreesboro, TN | 8.968 | 124.011 | 0.293 | 0.021 | 2.627 | 0.603 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Texas | Abilene, TX | 2.501 | 34.108 | 9.975 | 0.732 | 24.952 | 5.571 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Amarillo, TX | 1.858 | 28.848 | 4.857 | 0.313 | 9.025 | 2.289 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Beaumont, TX | 3.339 | 47.069 | 6.224 | 0.441 | 20.779 | 4.715 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Brownsville, TX | 15.492 | 183.513 | 6.119 | 0.517 | 94.792 | 10.815 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Texas | College Station-Bryan, TX | 13.459 | 278.354 | 7.394 | 0.358 | 99.516 | 5.714 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Texas | Galveston, TX | 1.395 | 15.418 | 12.652 | 1.144 | 17.645 | 20.429 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Texas | Harlingen, TX | 0.759 | 25.890 | 0.284 | 0.008 | 0.215 | 0.036 | 0 | 0 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| | | Passenger Miles per | Passenger Miles per | Vehicle Revenue | Vehicle Revenue | Passenger | | Number of Performance | STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 |
|----------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| State | Urbanized Area (UZA) Description | Vehicle Revenue Mile | Vehicle Revenue Hour | Mile per Capita | Hour per Capita | Miles per Capita | Passenger Trips per Capita | Factors Met or Exceeded | per Factor Met or Exceeded |
| | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999 | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | | |
| Texas | Killeen, TX | 1.819 | 31.156 | 10.328 | 0.603 | 18.783 | 3.063 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Lake Jackson-Angleton, TX | 1.800 | 35.174 | 1.433 | 0.073 | 2.579 | 0.142 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Laredo, TX | 6.798 | 70.609 | 11.368 | 1.094 | 77.273 | 24.922 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Texas | Longview, TX | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | McKinney, TX | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Midland, TX | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Odessa, TX | 1.022 | 15.532 | 7.656 | 0.504 | 7.821 | 3.886 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Port Arthur, TX | 2.800 | 43.114 | 2.974 | 0.193 | 8.328 | 1.225 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | San Angelo, TX | 1.355 | 27.653 | 10.047 | 0.492 | 13.617 | 3.578 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Sherman, TX | 0.000 | 0.000 | 25.862 | 1.061 | 0.000 | 6.297 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Texas | Temple, TX | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Texarkana, TX-Texarkana, AR | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Texas City, TX | 1.800 | 35.174 | 1.175 | 090.0 | 2.115 | 0.116 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | The Woodlands, TX | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Tyler, TX | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Victoria, TX | 2.120 | 23.836 | 5.867 | 0.522 | 12.436 | 4.036 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Waco, TX | 4.279 | 63.979 | 5.655 | 0.378 | 24.196 | 4.149 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | Wichita Falls, TX | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Utah | Logan, UT | 5.271 | 77.939 | 19.253 | 1.302 | 101.478 | 33.613 | 4 | 227,305 |
| Utah | St. George, UT | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Vermont | Burlington, VT | 4.779 | 67.503 | 16.392 | 1.161 | 78.344 | 20.671 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Virgin Islands | Virgin Islands | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia | Blacksburg, VA | 7.389 | 66.934 | 11.788 | 1.301 | 87.097 | 42.732 | 4 | 227,305 |
| Virginia | Charlottesville, VA | 5.289 | 72.226 | 16.148 | 1.183 | 85.413 | 19.525 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Virginia | Danville, VA | 0.933 | 14.283 | 6.331 | 0.414 | 5.908 | 4.266 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia | Fredericksburg, VA | 3.628 | 68.571 | 8.479 | 0.449 | 30.761 | 3.140 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia | Harrisonburg, VA | 6.771 | 65.167 | 9.514 | 0.989 | 64.426 | 28.346 | 3 | 170,478 |
| Virginia | Lynchburg, VA | 7.078 | 88.636 | 11.573 | 0.924 | 81.914 | 14.873 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Virginia | Roanoke, VA | 5.027 | 68.351 | 10.341 | 0.761 | 51.984 | 11.088 | 0 | 0 |
| Virginia | Winchester, VA | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| Washington | Bellingham, WA | 4.991 | 969.29 | 29.097 | 2.145 | 145.216 | 48.502 | 4 | 227,305 |
| Washington | Bremerton, WA | 4.734 | 90.780 | 33.352 | 1.739 | 157.884 | 28.479 | 4 | 227,305 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION Table 6

Table 6 FY 2009 Small Transit Intensive Cities Performance Data and Apportionments

| _ |
|-------------------|
| 6 |
| 0 |
| 32 |
| 1 |
| 9 |
| _ |
| - |
| Τ. |
| |
| 4 |
| |
| 6 |
| Ò |
| 20 |
| $^{\circ}$ |
| |
| ~ |
| .2 |
| lutio |
| 7 |
| 9 |
| 23 |
| æ |
| - |
| 23 |
| 5 |
| .≍ |
| 7 |
| ۲, |
| à |
| 7 |
| ro_{I} |
| a |
| 9 |
| A. |
| bo |
| 22 |
| -5 |
| 3 |
| .≍ |
| 11 |
| ~ |
| \sim |
| \circ |
| в |
| 4 |
| ~ |
| 2 |
| ~ |
| ĭ |
| 3 |
| 0. |
| Ę |
| $q_{\mathcal{E}}$ |
| ~ |
| ΞĖ |
| |
| В |
| _ |
| nade |
| 2 |
| \approx |
| 2 |
| 0.0 |
| ũ |
| æ |
| 22 |
| 3 |
| f |
| σ, |
| 0 |
| ~ |
| 3 |
| Š |
| ase |
| 9 |
| .2 |
| |
| nt |
| опи |
| поп |
| -2 |
| ä |
| |
| ū |
| ıe |
| |
| 11 |
| .0 |
| Ţ, |
| = |
| × |
| ~ |
| |
| 4 |
| (A |
| (A |

| | | Passenger Miles per Vehicle | Passenger Miles per Vehicle | Vehicle Revenue Mile per | Vehicle Revenue Hour per | Passenger Miles per | Passenger Trips | Number of Performance Factors Met or | STIC Funding: @ ~ \$56,826 per Factor Met |
|---------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| State | Urbanized Area (UZA) Description | Revenue Mile | Revenue Hour | Capita | Capita | Capita | per Capita | Exceeded | or Exceeded |
| | Average for UZAs with populations 200,000 - 999,999 | 6.409 | 107.800 | 11.777 | 0.772 | 89.604 | 15.553 | | |
| Washington | Kennewick-Richland, WA | 6.725 | 143.004 | 56.748 | 2.669 | 381.634 | 31.054 | 9 | 340,958 |
| Washington | Longview, WA-OR | 4.284 | 48.778 | 6.067 | 0.533 | 25.994 | 6.373 | 0 | 0 |
| Washington | Marysville, WA | 6.743 | 107.294 | 8.582 | 0.539 | 57.869 | 8.366 | - | 56,826 |
| Washington | Mount Vernon, WA | 4.184 | 92.347 | 29.906 | 1.355 | 125.125 | 10.965 | က | 170,478 |
| Washington | Olympia-Lacey, WA | 6.175 | 117.403 | 39.451 | 2.075 | 243.599 | 29.939 | 5 | 284,131 |
| Washington | Wenatchee, WA | 5.373 | 99.316 | 35.050 | 1.896 | 188.322 | 16.379 | 4 | 227,305 |
| Washington | Yakima, WA | 4.431 | 78.086 | 13.100 | 0.743 | 58.048 | 12.713 | - | 56,826 |
| West Virginia | Charleston, WV | 4.186 | 69.944 | 14.995 | 0.897 | 62.767 | 12.444 | 2 | 113,652 |
| West Virginia | Huntington, WV-KY-OH | 3.464 | 50.656 | 6.222 | 0.425 | 21.552 | 4.476 | 0 | 0 |
| West Virginia | Morgantown, WV | 1.293 | 21.652 | 17.505 | 1.045 | 22.632 | 13.171 | 2 | 113,652 |
| West Virginia | Parkersburg, WV-OH | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| West Virginia | Wheeling, WV-OH | 2.476 | 30.995 | 8.240 | 0.658 | 20.398 | 5.087 | 0 | 0 |
| Wisconsin | Appleton, WI | 3.322 | 51.022 | 9.681 | 0.630 | 32.160 | 6.293 | 0 | 0 |
| Wisconsin | Beloit, WI-IL | 3.429 | 53.838 | 6.070 | 0.387 | 20.813 | 5.353 | 0 | 0 |
| Wisconsin | Eau Claire, WI | 3.032 | 44.831 | 15.430 | 1.043 | 46.777 | 12.627 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Wisconsin | Fond du Lac, WI | 0.996 | 12.540 | 8.042 | 0.638 | 8.007 | 3.794 | 0 | 0 |
| Wisconsin | Green Bay, WI | 3.622 | 54.148 | 9.486 | 0.634 | 34.354 | 9.435 | 0 | 0 |
| Wisconsin | Janesville, WI | 3.748 | 57.745 | 7.241 | 0.470 | 27.137 | 7.525 | 0 | 0 |
| Wisconsin | Kenosha, WI | 5.251 | 76.825 | 10.832 | 0.740 | 56.874 | 15.684 | - | 56,826 |
| Wisconsin | La Crosse, WI-MN | 3.070 | 40.305 | 13.981 | 1.065 | 42.918 | 12.951 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Wisconsin | Oshkosh, WI | 3.091 | 48.755 | 16.244 | 1.030 | 50.212 | 16.832 | ဇ | 170,478 |
| Wisconsin | Racine, WI | 4.446 | 56.082 | 9.124 | 0.723 | 40.568 | 11.397 | 0 | 0 |
| Wisconsin | Sheboygan, WI | 2.461 | 32.565 | 13.061 | 0.987 | 32.138 | 8.773 | 7 | 113,652 |
| Wisconsin | Wausau, WI | 3.614 | 51.849 | 12.441 | 0.867 | 44.964 | 12.942 | 2 | 113,652 |
| Wyoming | Casper, WY | 1.000 | 11.649 | 6.782 | 0.582 | 6.782 | 2.297 | 0 | 0 |
| Wyoming | Cheyenne, WY | 1.778 | 25.999 | 7.363 | 0.503 | 13.089 | 3.486 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | Total | | | | 296 | \$16,820,536 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 7

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5308 Clean Fuels Allocations

| State | Earmark ID | SAFETEA-LU Project No. | Project Location and Description | Unobligated Allocation |
|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| FY 2007 | Unobligated Allocation | ns | | |
| OH/KY | E2007-CLNF-006 | 640 | Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky-TANK Bus Replacement Project | \$476,000 |
| OH/KY | E2007-CLNF-007 | 641 | Transit Authority of River City-New Hybrid Electric Bus | 714,000 |
| RI | E2007-CLNF-012 | 605 | Rhode Island, Statewide Bus and Van Replacement | 5,500,000 |
| | Subtotal FY 2007 Und | obligated Allocatio | ns | \$6,690,000 |
| FY 2008 | B Unobligated Allocation | ns | | |
| CA | D2008-CLNF-001 | | Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (AC Transit) - Facility | 4,000,000 |
| CA | D2008-CLNF-002 | | Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) - Facility | 5,500,000 |
| CA | E2008-CLNF-001 | 611 | San Joaquin Region Transit District, California, Hybrid Diesel-Electric Replacement | 250,000 |
| DE | E2008-CLNF-003 | 517 | Delaware Statewide Bus and Bus Replacement (with Clean Fuel (hybrid) vehicl | 1,141,483 |
| GA | D2008-CLNF-003 | | Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority (MARTA) - Facility | 4,000,000 |
| KY | E2008-CLNF-006 | 640 | Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky-TANK Bus Replacement Project | 517,000 |
| KY MI | E2008-CLNF-007 D2008-CLNF-004 | 641 | Transit Authority of River City-New Hybrid Electric Buses Capital Area Transportation Authority (CATA) - Vehicles | 776,000 1,000,000 |
| NM | E2008-CLNF-008 | 612 | Santa Fe,NM,Trails Bus and Bus Facilities | 500,000 |
| NV NY | E2008-CLNF-009 D2008-CLNF-005 | 557 | Lake Tahoe,NV MPO Bus Replacement Metropolitan Suburban Bus Authority (MTA Long Island Bus) - Vehicles | 1,000,000 3,293,000 |
| NY | D2008-CLNF-006 | | Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority (NFTA) - Vehicles | 2,520,000 |
| PA | D2008-CLNF-007 | | Pennsylvania DOT for Centre Area Transportation Authority (CATA) - Vehicles | 540,000 |
| PA | D2008-CLNF-008 | | Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA) - Vehicles | 3,000,000 |
| RI TX | E2008-CLNF-012 E2008-CLNF-014 | 605 497 | Rhode Island,Statewide Bus and Van Replacement City of El Paso-Sun Metro-Bus Replacements | 6,200,000 776,000 |
| TX | E2008-CLNF-016 | 638 | The District,The Woodlands,TX-Bus Replacement Program | 259,000 |
| VA WA | D2008-CLNF-009 D2008-CLNF-010 | | Hampton Roads Transit (HRT)- Facility King County Department of Transportation - Metro Transit Division - Vehicles | 2,700,000 2,200,000 |
| | Subtotal FY 2008 Und | obligated Allocatio | ns | \$40,172,483 |
| | Total Unobligated | Allocations | ······s | 46,862,483 |

FY 2009 SECTION 5309 FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION APPORTIONMENTS

| | (Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329) | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| STATE | AREA | APPORTIONMENT |
| Alaska | Anchorage, AK - Alaska Railroad | \$7,134,341 |
| Arizona | PhoenixMesa, AZ | 1,486,501 |
| California | Antioch, CA | 1,449,789 |
| California | Concord, CA | 7,305,434 |
| California | LancasterPalmdale, CA | 1,165,878 |
| California | Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | 22,815,243 |
| California California | Mission Viejo, CA Oxnard, CA | 855,892 635,421 |
| California | RiversideSan Bernardino, CA | 2,240,874 |
| California | Sacramento, CA | 1,881,089 |
| California | San Diego, CA | 8,144,838 |
| California | San FranciscoOakland, CA | 36,524,130 |
| California | San Jose, CA | 7,963,049 |
| California | Stockton, CA | 864,272 |
| California | Thousand Oaks, CA | 352,697 |
| Colorado | DenverAurora, CO | 3,233,888 |
| Connecticut | Hartford, CT | 864,881 |
| Connecticut | Southwestern Connecticut | 19,022,344 |
| District of Columbia | Washington, DCVAMD | 42,566,032 |
| Florida | Jacksonville, FL | 189,959 |
| Florida | Miami, FL | 10,696,680 |
| Florida | Orlando, FL | 93,287 |
| Florida | TampaSt. Petersburg, FL | 75,374 |
| Georgia | Atlanta, GA | 14,398,540 |
| Hawaii | Honolulu, HI | 824,513 |
| Illinois | Chicago, ILIN | 71,547,932 |
| Illinois | Round Lake Beach-McHenry-Grayslake, IL-WI | 1,274,779 |
| Indiana | South Bend, INMI New Orleans, LA | 436,779 |
| Louisiana Massachusetts | Boston, MA | 1,530,177 37,866,686 |
| Massachusetts | Worcester, MA-CT | 553,993 |
| Maryland | Baltimore Commuter Rail | 9,905,402 |
| Maryland | Baltimore, MD | 5,503,864 |
| Michigan | Detroit, MI | 328,204 |
| Minnesota | MinneapolisSt. Paul, MN | 4,814,212 |
| Missouri | Kansas City, MOKS | 17,218 |
| Missouri | St. Louis, MOIL | 3,402,945 |
| North Carolina | Charlotte, NCSC | 98,980 |
| New Jersey | Atlantic City, NJ | 624,076 |
| New Jersey | Northeastern New Jersey | 45,241,755 |
| New Jersey | Trenton, NJ | 849,332 |
| New York | Buffalo, NY | 670,532 |
| New York | New York | 188,875,016 |
| New York | Poughkeepsie-Newburgh, NY | 1,202,787 |
| Ohio | Cleveland, OH | 5,932,637 |
| Ohio | Dayton, OH | 2,736,593 |
| Oregon | Portland, ORWA | 4,359,218 499,152 |
| Pennsylvania | Harrisburg, PA Lancaster, PA | 1,452,393 |
| Pennsylvania Pennsylvania | Philadelphia, PA-NJ-DE-MD | 45,733,090 |
| Pennsylvania | Pittsburgh, PA | 9,473,866 |
| Puerto Rico | San Juan, PR | 1,243,363 |
| Rhode Island | Providence, RIMA | 1,313,187 |
| Tennessee | Chattanooga, TNGA | 43,462 |
| Tennessee | Memphis, TNMSAR | 224,534 |
| Texas | DallasFort WorthArlington, TX | 4,217,743 |
| Texas | Houston, TX | 4,649,641 |
| Utah | Salt Lake City, UT | 1,258,796 |
| Virgınia | Virginia Beach, VA | 649,398 |
| Washington | Seattle, WA | 16,081,151 |
| Wisconsin | Madison, WI | 382,123 |
| Wisconsin | Milwaukee, WI | 149,580 |
| West Virginia | Morgantown, WV | 574,888 |
| | TOTAL | \$668,504,430 |

TABLE 9

FY 2009 FIXED GUIDEWAY MODERNIZATION PROGRAM APPORTIONMENT FORMULA

Tier 1 First \$497,700,000 to the following areas:

| \$ 8,372,000 |
|----------------------------|
| \$ 38,948,000 |
| \$ 78,169,000 |
| \$ 9,509,500 |
| \$ 1,730,588 |
| \$ 176,034,461 |
| \$ 50,604,653 |
| \$ 58,924,764 |
| \$ 13,662,463 |
| \$ 33,989,571 |
| \$ 27,755,000 |
| \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ |

- Tier 2

 Next \$70,000,000 as follows:
 Tier 2(A): 50 percent is allocated to areas identified in Tier 1; Tier 2(B): 50 percent is allocated to other urbanized areas with fixed guideway tiers in operation at least seven years. Funds are allocated by the Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors that were used to apportion funds for the fixed guideway modernization program in FY 1997.
- Tier 3 Next \$5,700,000 as follows: Pittsburgh 61.76%; Cleveland 10.73%; New Orleans 5.79%; and 21.72% is allocated to all other areas in Tier 2(B) by the same fixed guideway tier formula factors used in fiscal year 1997.
- Tier 4 Next \$186,600,000 as follows: All eligible areas using the same year fixed guideway tier formula factors used in fiscal year 1997.
- Tier 5 Next \$70,000,000 as follows: 65% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 35% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors. Any segment that is less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.
- Tier 6 Next \$50,000,000 as follows: 60% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 40% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway tier formula factors. Any segment less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.
- Tier 7 Remaining amounts as follows: 50% to the 11 areas identified in Tier 1, and 50% to all other areas using the most current Urbanized Area Formula Program fixed guideway formula factors. Any segment that is less than 7 years old in the year of the apportionment will be deleted from the database.

page 1 of 13

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations SAFETEA-LU Unobligated Project No. Project Location and Description State Earmark ID Allocation FY 2006 Extended Allocations ID E2006-BUSP-369 Boise, ID-Multimodal facility \$456,607 E2006-BUSP-374 652 Valley Regional Transit, ID-Downtown Boise Multimodal 1,315,710 ID Subtotal FY 2006 Extended Allocations. \$1,772,317 FY 2007 Unobligated Allocations \$1,200,000 F2007-BUSP-0003 C Street Expanded bus facility and inter-modal parking garage. Anchorage, AK AK 422 E2007-BUSP-0005 476,000 Hoonah, AK-Intermodal Ferry Dock AK 541 E2007-BUSP-0006 Improve marine inter-modal facilities in Ketchikan 923,800 AK 416 E2007-BUSP-0008 Juneau, Alaska-transit bus acquisition and transit center 360,000 AK 236 AK E2007-BUSP-0009 550 Juneau-Transit Bus Acquisition and Transit Center 357,000 E2007-BUSP-0013 596 North Slope Borough, AK-Transit Purposes 476,000 ΑK E2007-BUSP-0016 Wrangell, AK-Ferry Infrastructure 238,000 ΑK 664 E2007-BUSP-0017 Alabama Institute for Deaf and Blind-Bus project 119,000 461 ΑL ΑL E2007-BUSP-0019 437 American Village/Montevallo, Alabama construction of closed loop Access Road, bus lanes and parking 80,256 E2007-BUSP-0020 469 Auburn University-Intermodal Parking Garage 952,000 AL E2007-BUSP-0025 504 City of Montgomery, AL-Montgomery Airport Intermodal Center 952,000 ΑL 238,000 E2007-BUSP-0028 534 Gulf Shores, AL-Community Bases AL ΑL E2007-BUSP-0029 582 Mobile County, AL Commission-Bus project 119,000 University of Alabama in Birmingham Intermodal Facility 1,666,000 AL E2007-BUSP-0030 644 E2007-BUSP-0035 AR 487 Central Arkansas Transit Authority Facility Upgrades 550,000 CA D2007-BUSP-002 San Francisco - Urban Partnership Agreement 58,000,000 E2007-BUSP-0048 Baldwin Park, CA Construct vehicle and bicycle parking lot and pedestrian rest area at transit center 401,280 CA 76 CA E2007-BUSP-0051 Burbank, CA Construction of Empire Area Transit Center near Burbank Airport 50,160 E2007-BUSP-0052 Calexico, CA Purchase new buses for the Calexico Transit System 60,192 CA E2007-BUSP-0060 Davis, CA Davis Multi-Modal Station to improve entrance to Amtrak Depot and parking lot, provide 200,640 CA additional parking and improve service CA E2007-BUSP-0062 339 East San Diego County, California-Bus Maintenance Facility Expansion 401,280 E2007-BUSP-0067 Glendale, CA Construction of Downtown Streetcar Project 200,640 212 CA E2007-BUSP-0070 276 Long Beach Transit, Long Beach, California, for the purchase of transit vehicles and enhancement para-133,760 transit and senior transportation serv CA E2007-BUSP-0071 332 Long Beach, CA Park and Ride Facility 200.640 Los Angeles, CA, Construction of Intermodal Transit Center at California State University Los Angeles CA E2007-BUSP-0081 158,506 E2007-BUSP-0083 Los Angeles, CA, LAX Intermodal Transportation Center Rail and Bus System Expansion 550,000 CA 566 E2007-BUSP-0086 Martinez CA Inter-modal Facility Restoration 300,960 CA 266 F2007-BUSP-0087 Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Light Rail Transit Project from Pasadena, CA to Montclair, CA 3,009,600 CA 285 E2007-BUSP-0088 CA 39 Monrovia, California-Transit Village Project 601.920 E2007-BUSP-0094 Norwalk, CA Transit System Bus Procurement and Los Angeles World Airport Remote Fly-Away Facility 92 160.512 CA E2007-BUSP-0104 Pleasant Hill, CA Construct Diablo Valley College Bus Transit Center 1216 300.960 CA E2007-BUSP-0105 Redondo Beach, CA Capital Equipment procurement of 12 Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Transit CA 251 160,512 Vehicles for Coastal Shuttle Services by Beach Cities Tran CA E2007-BUSP-0108 189 Sacramento, CA Bus enhancement and improvements-construct maintenance facility and purchase clean-401,280 fuel buses to improve transit service E2007-BUSP-0109 Sacramento, CA Construct intermodal station and related improvements 1,404,480 CA 84 E2007-BUSP-0112 314 San Diego, CA Widen sidewalks and bus stop entrance, and provide diagonal parking, in the Skyline 60,192 CA Paradise Hills neighborhood (Reo Drive) CA E2007-BUSP-0114 127 San Fernando, CA Purchase CNG buses and related equipment and construct facilities 609,946 E2007-BUSP-0118 827,640 CA 381 San Francisco, CA Redesign and renovate intermodal facility at Glen Park Community E2007-BUSP-0123 Santa Barbara, CA-Expansion of Regional Intermodal Transit Center CA 147 60,192 E2007-BUSP-0124 Santa Monica, CA Construct intermodal park-and-ride facility at Santa Monica College campus on South 200,640 CA 364 Bundy Drive near Airport Avenue CA E2007-BUSP-0128 401 South Pasadena, CA Silent Night Grade Crossing Project 180,576 CA E2007-BUSP-0131 Temecula, California-Intermodal Transit Facility 100,320 CA E2007-BUSP-0136 Woodland, CA Yolobus operations, maintenance, administration facility expansion and improvements to 401,280 83 increase bus service with alternative fuel buses CO E2007-BUSP-0137 449 City of Aspen, CO Bus and Bus Facilities 140.448 E2007-BUSP-0138 448 City of Durango, CO Bus and Bus Facilities 50,160 CO Colorado Association of Transit Agencies/Colorado Transit Coalition-Colorado Statewide Buses and Bus E2007-BUSP-0139 1,341,187 CO 509 Denver Regional Transit District-Bus Maintenance Facility 714,000 CO E2007-BUSP-0140 518 476,000 F2007-BUSP-0141 Denver Regional Transit District-Denver Union Station Multimodal Renovations CO 520 E2007-BUSP-0143 1,103,520 Denver, CO Denver Union Station Inter-modal Center CO 167 E2007-BUSP-0146 Mountain Express, Crested Butte, CO Bus and Bus Facilities 100,320 CO 188 СТ 100,320 E2007-BUSP-0152 Bridgeport, Connecticut-Greater Bridgeport Transit Authority Bus Facility 44 СТ 400,000 E2007-BUSP-0153 478 Bridgeport, CT Facility Expansion/Improvement CT CT 2,150,000 E2007-BUSP-0155 523 Downtown Middletown, CT, Transportation Infrastructure Improvement Project E2007-BUSP-0156 218 Enfield, Connecticut-Intermodal station 601,920 СТ E2007-BUSP-0158 267 Middletown, CT Construct intermodal center 300,960 СТ E2007-BUSP-0160 New London, Connecticut-Intermodal Transportation Center and Streetscapes 100,320 269 СТ E2007-BUSP-0161 Norwalk, Connecticut-Pulse Point Joint Development inter-modal facility 100,320 369 E2007-BUSP-0162 Stonington and Mystic, Connecticut-Intermodal Center parking facility and Streetscape 489,562

page 2 of 13

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

| | | SAFETEA-LU | | Unobligated |
|----------|------------------------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------|
| State | Earmark ID | Project No. | Project Location and Description | Unobligated Allocation |
| CT | E2007-BUSP-0163 | 32 | Torrington, CT Construct bus-related facility (Northwestern Connecticut Central Transit District) | 401,280 |
| CT | E2007-BUSP-0165 | 657 | Waterbury, CT Bus Maintenance Facility | 2,300,000 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0167 | 470 | Bay County, FL - Transit Facility | 476,000 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0168 | 297 | Broward County, FL - Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities | 401,280 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0169 | 69 | Broward County, FL Buses & Bus Facilities | 1,304,160 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0170 | 479 | Broward County-Bus and Bus Facilities | 476,000 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0171 | 117 | Broward, FL Purchase new articulated buses and bus stop improvements on State Road 7. (SR 7) between | 100,320 |
| | E0007 DUOD 0470 | 400 | Golden Glades Interchange and Glades Road | 1 000 000 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0172 | 439 | Central Florida Commuter Rail Intermodal facilities | 1,003,200 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0173 | 453 | Central Florida Commuter Rail Intermodal Facilities | 720,000 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0175 | 498 23 | City of Gainesville Regional Transit System-Facility Expansion Construct intermodal transportation & parking facility, City of Winter Park, Florida | 238,000 100,320 |
| FL FL | E2007-BUSP-0177 E2007-BUSP-0178 | 80 | Flagler County, Florida-bus facility | 60,192 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0180 | 344 | Gainesville, FL Bus Facility Expansion | 802,560 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0189 | 558 | Lakeland Area Mass Transit District/Citrus Connection-Capital Funding Needs | 476,000 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0192 | 308 | Miami Dade, FL N.W. 7th Avenue Transit Hub | 601,920 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0193 | 211 | Miami-Dade County, Florida-buses and bus facilities | 1,203,840 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0194 | 432 | Miami-Dade County, Florida-buses and bus facilities | 802,560 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0195 | 133 | Miami-Dade County, Florida-Transit Security System | 599,914 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0196 | 580 | Miami-Dade Transit 7th Avenue NW Transit Hub | 238,000 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0197 | 454 | Miami-Dade Transit Dadeland South Intermodal Center | 480,000 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0205 | 415 | Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL | 451,440 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0206 | 420 | Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL | 401,280 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0208 | 623 | South Florida Regional Transportation Authority-West Palm Beach Intermodal Facility | 476,000 |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0210 | 31 | St. Augustine, Florida-Intermodal Transportation Center and related pedestrian and landscape | 200,640 |
| | | | Improvements | |
| FL | E2007-BUSP-0211 | 390 | St. Lucie County, FL Purchase Buses | 200,640 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0214 | 355 | Albany, GA Bus replacement | 60,192 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0215 | 255 | Albany, GA Multimodal Facility | 160,512 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0217 | 247 | Atlanta, GA Inter-modal Passenger Facility Improvements | 401,280 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0221 | 91 | Columbus, GA Bus replacement | 60,192 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0222 | 510 | Columbus, Georgia/Phoenix City, Alabama-National Infantry Museum Multimodal Facility | 405,000 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0223 | 49 | Columbus, Georgia-Buses & Bus Facilities | 194,420 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0224 | 530 | Georgia Department of Transportation-Georgia Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities | 1,817,644 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0225 | 60 | Georgia Statewide Bus Program | 40,128 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0228 | 406 | Moultrie, GA Inter-modal facility | 60,192 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0230 | 256 | Savannah, GA Bus and Bus Facilities-Chatham Area Transit | 1,003,200 |
| GA | E2007-BUSP-0232 | 206 | Sylvester, GA Inter-modal Facility | 40,128 |
| IA | E2007-BUSP-0236 | 475 | Black Hawk County, IA UNI Multimodal Project | 714,000 |
| ID | E2007-BUSP-0239 | 176 | Boise, ID-Multimodal facility Valley Periosal Transit ID Dountour Peice Multimodal | 902,880 |
| ID " | E2007-BUSP-0241 | 652 | Valley Regional Transit, ID-Downtown Boise Multimodal Centralia, Illinois-South Central Mass Transit District Improvements | 1,381,000 80,256 |
| IL IL | E2007-BUSP-0242 E2007-BUSP-0243 | 433 226 | Champaign, IL-Construct park and ride lot with attached daycare facility | 300,960 |
| IL IL | E2007-BUSP-0259 | 632 | Springfield, IL, Multimodal Transit Terminal | 1,100,000 |
| IN | E2007-BUSP-0263 | 109 | Bloomington, IN-Bus and transfer facility | 965,078 |
| IN | E2007-BUSP-0264 | 529 | Gary, Indiana, Gary Airport Station Modernization and Shuttle Service Project | 400,000 |
| IN | E2007-BUSP-0266 | 235 | Indianapolis, IN Construct the Ivy Tech State College Multi-Modal Facility | 1,003,200 |
| IN | E2007-BUSP-0267 | 5 | Indianapolis, IN Downtown Transit Center | 2,808,960 |
| IN | E2007-BUSP-0268 | 220 | Indianapolis, IN IndySMART program to relieve congestion, improve safety and air quality | 401,280 |
| IN | E2007-BUSP-0271 | 546 | Ivy Tech State College, Indiana Multimodal Center | 200,000 |
| KY | E2007-BUSP-0280 | 639 | Transit Authority of Lexington, KY-Rehabilitation of Building for Maintenance and Administration | 952,000 |
| LA | E2007-BUSP-0281 | 484 | Capital Area Transit System-Baton Rouge BRT | 714,000 |
| LA | E2007-BUSP-0287 | 170 | Louisiana-Construct pedestrian walkways between Caddo St. and Milam St. along Edwards St. in | 203,640 |
| | | | Shreveport, LA | |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0298 | 59 | Beverly, MA Design and Construct Beverly Deport Intermodal Transportation Center | 401,280 |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0302 | 124 | Haverhill, MA Design and Construct Inter-modal Transit Parking Improvements | 1,123,584 |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0303 | 21 | Hingham, MA Hingham Marine Intermodal Center Improvements: Enhance public transportation infrastructure/parking | 1,805,760 |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0307 | 42 | Medford, MA Downtown revitalization featuring construction of a 200 space Park and Ride Facility | 401,280 |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0308 | 257 | Newburyport, MA Design and Construct Intermodal Facility | 401,280 |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0310 | 161 | Revere, MA Inter-modal transit improvements in the Wonderland station (MBTA) area | 361,152 |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0311 | 88 | Rockport, MA Rockport Commuter Rail Station Improvements | 551,760 |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0312 | 370 | Salem, MA Design and Construct Salem Intermodal Transportation Center | 401,280 |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0313 | 205 | Woburn, MA Construction of an 89 space park and ride facility to be located on Magazine Hill, in the Heart of Woburn Square | 361,152 |
| MA | E2007-BUSP-0647 | | Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority Ferry System | 1,603,000 |
| MD | E2007-BUSP-0314 | 122 | Baltimore, MD Construct Intercity Bus Intermodal Terminal | 1,003,200 |
| MD | E2007-BUSP-0318 | 573 | Maryland Statewide Bus Facilities and Buses | 1,568,416 |
| MD | E2007-BUSP-0320 | 214 | Mount Rainier, MD Intermodal and Pedestrian Project | 90,288 |
| MI | E2007-BUSP-0330 | 319 | Detroit Bus Maintenance Facility | 1,805,760 |
| MI | E2007-BUSP-0335 | 9 | Detroit, MI Enclosed heavy-duty maintenance facility with full operational functions for up to 300 buses | 902,880 |
| | E2007-BUSP-0349 | 577 | Metro Transit/Metropolitan Council, MN-Bus/Bus Capital | 1,714,217 |

TABLE 10 Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations

page 3 of 13

| State | Earmark ID | SAFETEA-LU Project No. | Project Location and Description | Unobligated Allocation |
|-------|------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| MS | E2007-BUSP-0357 | 130 | Coahoma County, Mississippi Purchase buses for the Aaron E. Henry Community Health Services Center, | 30,096 |
| | | | Inc./DARTS transit service | |
| MS | E2007-BUSP-0358 | 547 | Jackson State University, MS-Busing Project | 1,190,000 |
| NC | E2007-BUSP-0362 | 490 | Charlotte Area Transit System/City of Charlotte-Charlotte Multimodal Station | 2,380,000 |
| NC | E2007-BUSP-0363 | 217 | Charlotte, NC Construct Charlotte Multimodal Station | 1,564,992 |
| NC | E2007-BUSP-0365 | 228 | Charlotte, North Carolina-Multimodal Station | 802,560 |
| NC | E2007-BUSP-0366 | 154 | City of Greenville, NC Expansion Buses and Greenville Intermodal Center | 715,081 |
| NC | E2007-BUSP-0368 | 302 | Greensboro, North Carolina-Piedmont Authority for Regional Transportation Multimodal Transportation Center | 2,512,013 |
| NC | E2007-BUSP-0369 | 52 | Greensboro, North Carolina-Replacement buses | 1,159,699 |
| ND | E2007-BUSP-0376 | 595 | North Dakota Department of Transportation/Statewide Bus | 786,036 |
| NE | E2007-BUSP-0378 | 160 | Kearney, Nebraska-RYDE Transit Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility | 401,280 |
| NE | E2007-BUSP-0379 | 586 | Nebraska Department of Roads-Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility for RYDE in Kearney, NE | 476,000 |
| NE | E2007-BUSP-0380 | 587 | Nebraska Department of Roads-Statewide Vehicles, Facilities, and Related Equipment Purchases | 952,000 |
| NH | E2007-BUSP-0383 | 418 | Windham, New HampshireConstruction of Park and Ride Bus facility at Exit 3 | 742,368 |
| NJ | E2007-BUSP-0386 | 28 | Camden, NJ Construction of the Camden County Intermodal Facility in Cramer Hill | 200,640 |
| NJ | E2007-BUSP-0391 | 38 | Monmouth County, NJ Construction of main bus facility for Freehold Township, including a terminal and repair shop | 401,280 |
| NJ | E2007-BUSP-0399 | 618 | South Brunswick, NJ Transit System | 1,000,000 |
| NJ | E2007-BUSP-0402 | 181 | Trenton, NJ Development of Trenton Trolley System | 200,640 |
| NJ | E2007-BUSP-0650 | | Camden, NJ Ferry System | 1,000,000 |
| NM | E2007-BUSP-0405 | 562 | Las Cruces, NM, Road Runner Bus and Bus Facilities | 58,730 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0416 | 20 | Bronx, NY Establish an intermodal transportation facility at the Wildlife Conservation Society Bronx Zoo | 200,640 |
| | | | | |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0417 | 279 | Bronx, NY Establish an intermodal transportation facility at the Wildlife Conservation Society Bronx Zoo | 200,640 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0419 | 338 | Bronx, NY Intermodal Facility near Exit 6. of the Bronx River Parkway | 50,160 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0421 | 10 | Bronx, NY Wildlife Conservation Society intermodal transportation facility at the Bronx Zoo | 87,780 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0427 | 192 | Buffalo, NY Inter-modal Center Parking Facility | 200,640 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0428 | 245 | Bus to provide York-town, New York internal circulator to provide transportation throughout the Town | 37,118 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0429 | 230 | Construction of Third Bus Depot on Staten Island | 2,407,680 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0430 | 146 | Cooperstown, New York-Intermodal Transit Center | 1,003,200 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0431 | 363 | Corning, New York-Transportation Center | 1,003,200 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0432 | 512 | Transportation Center Enhancements, Corning, NY | 450,000 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0434 | 300 | Geneva, New York-Multimodal facility-Construct passenger rail center | 100,320 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0435 | 317 | Jamestown, NY Rehabilitation of Intermodal Facility and associated property | 141,994 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0437 | 368 | Nassau County, NY Conduct planning and engineering for transportation system (HUB) | 1,404,480 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0438 | 585 | Nassau County, NY, Conduct planning, engineering, and construction for transportation system (HUB) | 1,200,000 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0441 | 590 | New York City, NY, Bronx Zoo Intermodal Facility | 450,000 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0444 | 593 | New York, Improvements to Moynihan Station | 1,200,000 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0446 | 373 | Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority, NY Replacement Buses | 200,640 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0448 | 379 | Ramapo, NY Transportation Safety Field Bus | 50,160 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0449 | 252 | Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square transit center | 902,880 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0450 | 430 | Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square Transit Center | 451,440 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0451 | 607 | Rochester, NY, Renaissance Square Intermodal Facility, Design and Construction | 1,400,000 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0453 | 386 | Suffolk County, NY Design and construction of intermodal transit facility in Wyandanch | 922,944 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0454 | 353 | Suffolk County, NY Purchase four handicapped accessible vans to transport veterans to and from the VA facility in Northport | 56,179 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0457 | 289 | Town of Warwick, NY Bus Facility Warwick Transit System | 110,352 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0458 | 451 | Utica, New York Transit Multimodal Facilities | 1,200,000 |
| NY | E2007-BUSP-0652 | 701 | Staten Island Ferry | 1,000,000 |
| OH | E2007-BUSP-0468 | 89 | Cincinnati, Ohio-Metro Regional Transit Hub Network Eastern Neighborhoods | 185,592 |
| ОН | E2007-BUSP-0471 | 179 | Cleveland, OH Construct passenger inter-modal center near Dock 32 | 172,550 |
| ОН | E2007-BUSP-0472 | 411 | Cleveland, OH Construction of an inter-modal facility and related improvements at University Hospitals facility on Euclid Avenue | 200,640 |
| OH | E0007 BLICD 0475 | 400 | · · | 902,880 |
| OH | E2007-BUSP-0475 | 198 | Cleveland, Ohio-Euclid Avenue University Hospital intermodal facility | 410,911 |
| OH | E2007-BUSP-0483 | 309 | Elyria, OH Construct the New York Central Train Station into an intermodal transportation hub | |
| OH | E2007-BUSP-0484 | 349 | Kent, OH Construct Kent State University Intermodal Facility serving students and the general public | 200,640 |
| OH | E2007-BUSP-0485 | 104 | Marietta, Ohio Construction of transportation hub to accommodate regional bus traffic | 100,320 |
| ОН | E2007-BUSP-0487 | 87 | Niles, OH Acquisition of bus operational and service equipment of Niles Trumbull Transit | 40,128 |
| ОН | E2007-BUSP-0490 | 64 | Zanesville, OH-bus system signage and shelters | 16,302 |
| OR | E2007-BUSP-0500 | 168 | Lane Transit District, Bus Rapid Transit Progressive Corridor Enhancements | 594,621 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0510 | 456 | Altoona Multimodal Transportation Facility Parking Garage | 240,000 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0514 | 481 | Butler Township, PA-Cranbury Area Transit Service | 626,980 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0519 | 513 | County of Lackawanna Transit System-Scranton Intermodal Transportation Center | 238,000 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0521 | 81 | Easton, Pennsylvania-Design and construct Intermodal Transportation Center | 401,280 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0522 | 524 | Erie, PA Metropolitan Transit Authority-Bus Acquisitions | 238,000 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0523 | 431 | Erie, PA-EMTA Vehicle Acquisition | 401,280 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0524 | 331 | Gettysburg, Pennsylvania-transit transfer center | 180,375 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0533 | 201 | Philadelphia, PA Cruise Terminal Transportation Ctr Phila Naval Shipyard | 702,240 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0534 | 137 | Philadelphia, PA Improvements to the existing Penns Landing Ferry Terminal | 802,560 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0535 | 413 | Philadelphia, PA Penns Landing water shuttle parking lot expansion and water shuttle ramp infrastructure | 220,704 |
| | | , | construction | |

page 4 of 13

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

| | | Pri | or Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations | |
|----------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| State | Earmark ID | SAFETEA-LU Project No. | Project Location and Description | Unobligate Allocatio |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0536 | 22 | Philadelphia, PA Philadelphia Zoo Intermodal Transportation project w/parking consolidation, pedestrian | 1,003,20 |
| | E2007-B031-0330 | 22 | walkways, public transportation complements & | 1,003,20 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0537 | 274 | Philadelphia, PA SEPTAs Market St. Elevated Rail project in conjunction with Philadelphia Commercial | 280,89 |
| | E0007 DUOD 0500 | 010 | Development Corporation for improvements | |
| PA PA | E2007-BUSP-0538 | 316 397 | Philadelphia, Pennsylvania-SEPTA Market Street Elevated Line parking facility Pottsville, PA Union Street Trade and Transfer Center Intermodal Facility | 802,56 |
| PA PA | E2007-BUSP-0540 E2007-BUSP-0543 | 397 424 | Sharon, PA-Bus Facility Construction | 401,28 100,32 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0546 | 628 | Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority-Villanova-SEPTA Intermodal | 586,93 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0547 | 642 | Transit Authority of Warren County, PA-Impact Warren | 238,00 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0551 | 662 | Williamsport, PA Bureau of Transportation-Williamsport Trade and Transit Centre Expansion | 714,00 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0552 | 65 | York, Pennsylvania-Rabbit Transit facilities and communications equipment | 555,87 |
| PA | E2007-BUSP-0653 | | Philadelphia Penn's Landing Ferry System | 1,000,00 |
| PR | E2007-BUSP-0553 | 128 | Bayamon, Puerto Rico-bus terminal | 120,38 |
| PR | E2007-BUSP-0554 | 421 | Bayamon, Puerto Rico-Purchase of Trolley Cars | 170,54 |
| PR | E2007-BUSP-0559 | 58 | Yabucoca, Puerto Rico-Trolley Buses | 35,11 |
| 3I | E2007-BUSP-0562 | 115 | Rhode Island Statewide Bus Fleet | 1,203,84 |
| SC SD | E2007-BUSP-0564 E2007-BUSP-0566 | 619 621 | South Carolina Department of Transportation-Transit Facilities Construction Program South Dakota Department of Transportation-Statewide Buses and Bus Facilities | 476,00 431,87 |
| TN | E2007-BUSP-0573 | 30 | Sevier County, Tennessee-US. 441 bus rapid transit | 50,16 |
| TN | E2007-BUSP-0574 | 636 | Tennessee Department of Transportation-Statewide Tennessee Transit ITS and Bus Replacement Project | 2,147,72 |
| | | | | , |
| TX | E2007-BUSP-0576 | 426 | Abilene, TX Vehicle replacement and facility improvements for transit system | 80,25 |
| TX | E2007-BUSP-0581 | 455 | Carrollton, Texas Downtown Regional Multimodal Transit Hub | 240,00 |
| TX | E2007-BUSP-0585 | 515 | Dallas Area Rapid Transit-Bus passenger Facilities | 238,00 |
| TX | E2007-BUSP-0586 | 336 | Dallas, TX Bus Passenger Facilities | 2,568,19 |
| TX TX | E2007-BUSP-0590 E2007-BUSP-0591 | 561 24 | Laredo-North Laredo Transit Hub-Bus Maintenance Facility Roma, TX Bus Facility | 714,00 |
| UT | E2007-BUSP-0597 | 651 | Utah Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities | 105,330 1,273,77 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0599 | 232 | Alexandria, VA Royal Street Bus Garage Replacement | 100,320 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0603 | 157 | Bealeton, Virginia-Intermodal Station Depot Refurbishment | 55,17 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0604 | 492 | City of Alexandria, VA-City-Wide Transit Improvements | 238,00 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0606 | 494 | City of Alexandria, VA-Replace Royal Street Bus Garage | 714,00 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0608 | 511 | Commonwealth of Virginia-Statewide Bus Capital Program | 1,465,060 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0614 | 535 | Hampton Roads Transit, VA-Southside Bus Facility | 238,000 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0615 | 391 | Hampton Roads, VA Final design and construction for a Hampton Roads Transit Southside Bus Facility | 401,280 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0616 | 354 | Norfolk, Virginia-Final Design and Construction Southside Bus Facility | 351,120 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0617 | 68 | Northern Neck and Middle Peninsula, Virginia-Bay Transit Multimodal Facilities | 652,08 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0621 | 434 | Roanoke, VA-Bus restoration in the City of Roanoke | 50,16 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0622 | 312 | Roanoke, Virginia-Improve Virginian Railway Station | 50,16 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0623 | 305 | Roanoke, Vırginia-Intermodal Facility | 40,12 |
| VA | E2007-BUSP-0624 | 361 | Roanoke, Virginia-Roanoke Railway and Link Passenger facility | 100,320 |
| VT | E2007-BUSP-0625 | 477 | Brattleborough, VT, Intermodal Center | 200,00 |
| WA | E2007-BUSP-0630 | 337 | Island Transit, WA Operations Base Facilities Project | 481,536 |
| WA | E2007-BUSP-0633 | 333 | Oak Harbor, WA Multimodal Facility | 200,640 |
| WA WV | E2007-BUSP-0638 E2007-BUSP-0643 | 655 73 | Washington, King Street Transportation Center-Intercity Bus Terminal Component West Virginia Construct Beckley Intermodal Gateway pursuant to the eligibility provisions for projects listed | 60,000 4,815,360 |
| | | | under section 3030(d)(3) of P L 105-17 | |
| WY | E2007-BUSP-0645 | 665 | Wyoming Department of Transportation-Wyoming Statewide Bus and Bus Related Facilities | 420,386 |
| | Subtotal F1 2007 Und | obligatea Allocan | 9715 | \$197,666,184 |
| | Unobligated Allocations | | | |
| AK | E2008-BUSP-0002 | 466 | Anchorage-Transit Needs | \$259,000 |
| AK | E2008-BUSP-0003 | 422 | C Street Expanded bus facility and inter-modal parking garage, Anchorage, AK | 1,300,00 |
| AK | E2008-BUSP-0005 | 541 | Hoonah, AK-Intermodal Ferry Dock | 517,000 |
| AK | E2008-BUSP-0006 | 416 | Improve marine dry-dock in Ketchikan | 3,640,00 |
| AK | E2008-BUSP-0008 | 236 | Juneau, Alaska-transit bus acquisition and transit center | 390,00 |
| AK | E2008-BUSP-0009 | 550 | Juneau-Transit Bus Acquisition and Transit Center | 388,00 |
| AK AK | E2008-BUSP-0013 | 596 | North Slope Borough, AK-Transit Purposes | 517,00 |
| AK | E2008-BUSP-0014 E2008-BUSP-0015 | 597 616 | North Star Borough, AK-Transit Purposes Sitka, Alaska-Transit Needs | 259,000 5,000 |
| AK | E2008-BUSP-0016 | 664 | Wrangell, AK-Ferry Infrastructure | 259,00 |
| AK | E2008-BUSP-0653 | 004 | Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities Enhancements | 367,50 |
| AL | E2008-BUSP-0017 | 461 | Alabama Institute for Deaf and Blind-Bus project | 129,00 |
| AL | E2008-BUSP-0019 | 437 | American Vıllage/Montevallo, Alabama construction of closed loop Access Road, bus lanes and parking | 86,94 |
| • | E0000 E110E 0055 | 400 | facility Ashum Hawarita laterna dal Badura Carrara | 1 005 00 |
| AL | E2008-BUSP-0020 | 469 | Auburn University-Intermodal Parking Garage | 1,035,00 |
| AL | E2008-BUSP-0025 | 504 | City of Montgomery, AL-Montgomery Airport Intermodal Center | 1,035,00 |
| AL AL | E2008-BUSP-0028 | 534 582 | Gulf Shores, AL Bus and Bus facilities | 259,00 |
| AL AL | E2008-BUSP-0029 | 582 | Mobile County, AL Commission-Bus project | 129,00 1,811,00 |
| m i | E2008-BUSP-0030 | 644 | University of Alabama in Birmingham Intermodal Facility US Space and Rocket Center, AL-Tramway Expansion | 259,00 |
| | F3UU8"BLICD"UU34 | | | |
| AL AL | E2008-BUSP-0034 E2008-BUSP-0654 | 650 | Alabama Senior Transportation Program | 686,00 |

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations

SAFETEA-LU Unobligated State Earmark ID Project No. Project Location and Description Allocation E2008-BUSP-0656 AL City of Mobiles Transit System 1,372,000 Huntsville, AL Multimodal Dallas Branch ΑL E2008-BUSP-0657 1,225,000 AR E2008-BUSP-0035 487 Central Arkansas Transit Authority, Bus Acquisition 750,000 ΑZ D2008-BUSP-001 City of Tucson 3,000,000 Regional Public Transportation Authority, Valley Metro (Phoenix) ΑZ D2008-BUSP-002 3,000,000 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0040 47 Phoenix, AZ Construct City of Phoenix para-transit facility (Dial-A-Ride) 217,360 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0041 346 Phoenix, AZ Construct metro bus facility in Phoenix's West Valley 1,086,800 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0042 150 Phoenix, AZ Construct regional heavy bus maintenance facility 217,360 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0043 Scottsdale, Arizona-Plan, design, and construct intermodal center 543,400 26 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0044 203 Tempe, Arizona-Construct East Valley Metro Bus Facility 1,412,840 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0659 Bus Expansion--Phoenix, Avondale, Glendale 245,000 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0660 Buses and Bus Maintenance Facility, Tucson 980,000 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0661 Construction of Intermodal Center, Scottsdale 196,000 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0662 East Valley Bus Maintenance Facility, Tempe 392,000 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0663 Main Street Bus Rapid Transit Buses, Mesa 490,000 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0664 Phoenix Regional Heavy Bus Maintenance Facility 490,000 ΑZ E2008-BUSP-0665 Phoenix/Glendale West Valley Operating Facility 735,000 CA E2008-BUSP-0046 288 Alameda County, CA AC Transit Bus Rapid Transit Corridor Project 434,720 CA E2008-BUSP-0048 Baldwin Park, CA Construct vehicle and bicycle parking lot and pedestrian rest area at transit center 434,720 CA E2008-BUSP-0051 396 Burbank, CA Construction of Empire Area Transit Center near Burbank Airport 54,340 E2008-BUSP-0052 190 Calexico, CA Purchase new buses for the Calexico Transit System 65,208 CA E2008-BUSP-0059 207 Culver City, CA Purchase compressed natural gas buses and expand natural gas fueling facility 154,922 CA E2008-BUSP-0060 Davis, CA Davis Multi-Modal Station to improve entrance to Amtrak Depot and parking lot, provide 217,360 CA 17 additional parking and improve service E2008-BUSP-0061 Development of Gold Country Stage Transit Transfer Center, Nevada County, CA 202,214 CA 11 E2008-BUSP-0062 339 East San Diego County, California-Bus Maintenance Facility Expansion 434,720 CA E2008-BUSP-0063 Emeryville, CA Expand & Improve Inter-modal Transit Center at Amtrak Station 217,360 CA CA E2008-BUSP-0064 222 Escondido, CA-Construct Bus Maintenance Facility 108,680 E2008-BUSP-0066 260 Gardena, CA Purchase of alternative fuel buses for service expansion, on-board security system and bus 1,332,417 facility training equipment E2008-BUSP-0067 212 Glendale, CA Construction of Downtown Streetcar Project 217,360 CA E2008-BUSP-0070 276 Long Beach Transit, Long Beach, California, for the purchase of transit vehicles and enhancement para-CA 144,906 transit and senior transportation service E2008-BUSP-0071 332 Long Beach, CA Park and Ride Facility 217,360 CA CA E2008-BUSP-0076 Los Angeles, CA Design and construct improved transit and pedestrian linkages between Los Angeles 326,040 223 Community College and nearby MTA rail stop and bus I CA E2008-BUSP-0077 307 Los Angeles, CA Improve safety, mobility and access between LATTC, Metro line and nearby bus stops on 108,680 Grand Ave between Washington and 23rd CA E2008-BUSP-0081 6 Los Angeles, CA, Construction of Intermodal Transit Center at California State University Los Angeles 171,714 E2008-BUSP-0082 Los Angeles, CA, Fly-Away Bus System Expansion 600,000 CA 567 CA E2008-BUSP-0083 566 Los Angeles, CA, LAX Intermodal Transportation Center Rail and Bus System Expansion 600,000 E2008-BUSP-0086 Martinez, CA Inter-modal Facility Restoration 326,040 CA E2008-BUSP-0087 285 Metro Gold Line Foothill Extension Light Rail Transit Project from Pasadena, CA to Montclair, CA 3,260,400 CA E2008-BUSP-0088 Monrovia, California-Transit Village Project 652,080 CA 39 CA E2008-BUSP-0089 200 Montebello, CA Bus Lines Bus Fleet Replacement Project 152,152 CA E2008-BUSP-0090 321 Monterey Park, CA Catch Basins at Transit Stop Installation 69,555 CA E2008-BUSP-0091 191 Monterey Park, CA Safety improvements at a bus stop including creation of bus loading areas and street 347,776 improvements CA E2008-BUSP-0092 375 Monterey, CA Purchase bus equipment 217,360 E2008-BUSP-0093 Needles, California-El Garces Intermodal Facility 434,720 CA 43 CA E2008-BUSP-0094 92 Norwalk, CA Transit System Bus Procurement and Los Angeles World Airport Remote Fly-Away Facility 173,888 CA E2008-BUSP-0097 173 Ontario, CA Construct Omnitrans Transcenter 217,360 Palm Springs, California-Sunline Transit bus purchase CA E2008-BUSP-0101 45 108,680 CA E2008-BUSP-0102 70 Palm Springs, California-Sunline Transit CalStrat-Weststart fuel cell bus program 217,360 E2008-BUSP-0104 Pleasant Hill, CA Construct Diablo Valley College Bus Transit Center 326,040 CA 116 CA E2008-BUSP-0105 251 Redondo Beach, CA Capital Equipment procurement of 12 Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Transit 173,888 Vehicles for Coastal Shuttle Services by Beach Cities Tran E2008-BUSP-0107 171 Riverside, California-RTA Advanced Traveler Information System 108,680 CA E2008-BUSP-0108 Sacramento, CA Bus enhancement and improvements-construct maintenance facility and purchase clean-434,720 CA 189 fuel buses to improve transit service Sacramento, Improvements to the existing Sacramento Intermodal Facility (Sacramento Valley Station) 1,521,520 CA E2008-BUSP-0109 84 CA E2008-BUSP-0110 253 San Bernardino, CA Implement Santa Fe Depot improvements in San Bernardino 108.680 CA E2008-BUSP-0111 282 San Diego, CA Completion of San Diego Joint Transportation Operations Center (JTOC) 434,720 E2008-BUSP-0112 65.208 CA 314 San Diego, CA Widen sidewalks and bus stop entrance, and provide diagonal parking, in the Skyline Paradise Hills neighborhood (Reo Drive) E2008-BUSP-0114 660,774 San Fernando, CA Purchase CNG buses and related equipment and construct facilities CA 127 E2008-BUSP-0118 896.610 CA 381 San Francisco, CA Redesign and renovate intermodal facility at Glen Park Community 65,208 E2008-BUSP-0123 CA 147 Santa Barbara, CA-Expansion of Regional Intermodal Transit Center Santa Monica, CA Construct intermodal park-and-ride facility at Santa Monica College campus on South 217,360 CA E2008-BUSP-0124 364 Bundy Drive near Airport Avenue 195,624 CA E2008-BUSP-0128 401 South Pasadena, CA Silent Night Grade Crossing Project

page 5 of 13

page 6 of 13

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

| | | | ior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations | |
|----------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| State | Earmark ID | SAFETEA-LU Project No. | Project Location and Description | Unobligated Allocation |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0129 | 383 | South San Francisco, CA Construction of Ferry Terminal at Oyster Point in South San Francisco to the San | 1,032,460 |
| | | | Francisco Bay Water Transit Authority | |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0131 | 315 | Temecula, California-Intermodal Transit Facility | 108,608 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0132 | 85 | Torrance Transit System, CA Acquisition of EPA and CARB-certified low emission replacement buses | 652,080 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0134 | 35 | Union City, CA Inter-modal Station, Phase 1. Modify BART station | 923,780 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0135 | 195 | Woodland Hills, CA Los Angeles Pierce College Bus Rapid Transit Station Extension | 217,360 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0136 | 83 | Woodland, CA Yolobus operations, maintenance, administration facility expansion and improvements to increase bus service with alternative fuel buses | 434,720 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0645 | | San Francisco Water Transit Authority | 2,500,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0666 | | Anaheim Regional Intermodal Center, Orange County | 588,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0668 | | Beach Cities Transit Equipment, Redondo Beach | 490,000 |
| CA CA | E2008-BUSP-0669 E2008-BUSP-0671 | | Bus Shelters for Bellflower Clean Air Bus Purchase Program, Baldwin Park | 490,000 392,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0672 | | Culver City Multi-Modal Light Rail Station | 656,600 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0673 | | East County Bus Maintenance Facility, El Cajon | 343,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0675 | | Fairfield/Vacaville Intermodal Station | 196,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0676 | | Foothill Transit Oriented Neighborhood | 490,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0677 | | Inter-County Express Bus, Orange County | 490,000 |
| CA CA | E2008-BUSP-0678 E2008-BUSP-0679 | | Los Angeles Southwest College Transit Center Monrovia Transit Village | 392,000 490,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0680 | | Monterey Salinas Transit Bus Financing | 196,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0682 | | Municipal Transit Operators Coalition (MTOC) | 1,078,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0684 | | Palmdale Transportation Center - Parking Lot | 245,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0685 | | Palo Alto Intermodal Transit Center | 392,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0687 | | Rio Hondo College Buses - Los Angeles | 490,000 |
| CA CA | E2008-BUSP-0688 E2008-BUSP-0689 | | Riverside and Corona Transit Centers SamTrans Revenue Collection System | 686,000 490,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0690 | | San Diego Balboa Park Trolleys | 328,300 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0691 | | San Joaquin Regional Transit District | 735,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0692 | | San Luis Rey Transit Center | 245,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0693 | | Santa Maria Intermodal Transit Center | 490,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0694 | | Street Shuttle Buses for Artesia | 588,000 |
| CA CA | E2008-BUSP-0695 | | Transit Access Passenger Integration, Los Angeles Transit Center, California State Univ, Northridge | 735,000 392,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0696 E2008-BUSP-0698 | | Venice/Robertson Multi-Modal Station | 490,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0699 | | VTA Zero Emission Bus Demonstration Program | 392,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0700 | | Yolo County Bus Maintenance Facility Improvements | 392,000 |
| CA | E2008-BUSP-0701 | | Union City Intermodal Station, Union City | 392,000 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0137 | 449 | City of Aspen, CO Bus and Bus Facilities | 152,152 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0138 | 448 509 | City of Durango, CO Bus and Bus Facilities Colorado Association of Transit Agencies/Colorado Transit Coalition-Colorado Statewide Buses and Bus | 54,340 4,076,713 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0139 | 309 | Facilities | 4,070,713 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0140 | 518 | Denver Regional Transit District-Bus Maintenance Facility | 776,000 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0141 | 520 | Denver Regional Transit District-Denver Union Station Multimodal Renovations | 517,000 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0142 | 521 | Denver Regional Transit District-US 36 Corridor BRT Denver, CO Denver Union Station Inter-modal Center | 1,811,000 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0143 E2008-BUSP-0146 | 167 188 | Mountain Express, Crested Butte, CO Bus and Bus Facilities | 1,195,480 108,680 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0148 | 445 | Roaring Fork Transit Authority, CO Bus and Bus Facilities | 163,020 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0150 | 450 | Town of Snowmass Village, CO Bus and Bus Facilities | 65,208 |
| CO | E2008-BUSP-0702 | | Colorado Transit Coalition Statewide Request | 2,231,784 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0152 | 44 | Bridgeport, Connecticut-Greater Bridgeport Transit Authority Bus Facility | 108,680 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0153 | 478 | Bridgeport, CT Facility Expansion/Improvement | 500,000 |
| CT CT | E2008-BUSP-0154 E2008-BUSP-0155 | 90 523 | Buses and bus related facilities throughout the State of Connecticut Downtown Middletown, CT, Transportation Infrastructure Improvement Project | 1,304,160 2,500,000 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0156 | 218 | Enfield. Connecticut-intermodal station | 652,080 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0158 | 267 | Middletown, CT Construct intermodal center | 326,040 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0160 | 269 | New London, Connecticut-Intermodal Transportation Center and Streetscapes | 108,680 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0161 | 369 | Norwalk, Connecticut-Pulse Point Joint Development inter-modal facility | 108,680 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0162 | 131 | Stonington and Mystic, Connecticut-Intermodal Center parking facility and Streetscape | 530,358 |
| CT CT | E2008-BUSP-0163 E2008-BUSP-0164 | 32 270 | Torrington, CT Construct bus-related facility (Northwestern Connecticut Central Transit District) Vernon, Connecticut-Intermodal Center, Parking and Streetscapes | 434,720 1,651,936 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0165 | 657 | Waterbury, CT Bus Maintenance Facility | 2,800,000 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0703 | | Bridgeport Intermodal Center | 4,307,100 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0704 | | Intermodal Center, Mansfield | 490,000 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0705 | | Norwalk Pulse Point Facility Safety Improvements | 147,000 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0706 | | Norwich Intermodal Transportation Center | 1,969,800 |
| CT | E2008-BUSP-0708 | | South Norwalk Intermodal Facility Phase 2 | 490,000 588,000 |
| CT DC | E2008-BUSP-0709 E2008-BUSP-0710 | | West Haven Intermodal Station Union Station Intermodal Transportation Facility | 490,000 |
| | 'A E2008-BUSP-0711 | | WMATA Bus and Bus Facilities | 1,117,200 |
| DE | E2008-BUSP-0713 | | Replacement of Fixed Route Transit Buses | 656,600 |
| FL | E2008-BUSP-0168 | 470 | Bay County, FL - Transit Facility | 517,000 |
| | | | Broward County, FL - Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities | 434,720 |

1D

E2008-BUSP-0746

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TARLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations SAFETEA-LU Unobligated State Earmark ID Project No. **Project Location and Description** Allocation E2008-BUSP-0170 Broward County, FL Buses & Bus Facilities 1,412,840 E2008-BUSP-0171 479 Broward County-Bus and Bus Facilities 517,000 FL E2008-BUSP-0172 Broward, FL Purchase new articulated buses and bus stop improvements on State Road 7. (SR 7) between 108,680 117 Golden Glades Interchange and Glades Road FL E2008-BUSP-0173 439 Design, engineering, right-of-way acquisition, and construction Central Florida Commuter Rail intermodal 1,086,800 E2008-BUSP-0174 Central Florida Commuter Rail Intermodal Facilities FL 453 780,000 E2008-BUSP-0176 498 City of Gainesville Regional Transit System-Facility Expansion 259,000 E2008-BUSP-0178 Design, engineering, right-of-way acquisition and construction intermodal transportation & parking facility, 108,680 FL 23 City of Winter Park, Florida FL E2008-BUSP-0179 80 Flagler County, Florida-bus facility 65.208 E2008-BUSP-0181 Gainesville, FL Bus Facility Expansion FL 344 869,440 E2008-BUSP-0184 Hillsborough Area Regional Transit-Bus Rapid Transit Improvements FL 538 517,000 Hillsborough, FL, Hillsborough Area regional Transit Authority E2008-BUSP-0185 FL 539 1,000,000 E2008-BUSP-0188 Jacksonville, FL Paratransit Vehicles FL 107 24,907 E2008-BUSP-0189 Lakeland Area Mass Transit District/Citrus Connection-Capital Funding Needs 517,000 FL 558 FL E2008-BUSP-0192 308 Miami Dade, FL N W 7th Avenue Transit Hub 652,080 E2008-BUSP-0193 Miami-Dade County, Florida-buses and bus facilities FL 211 1,304,160 FL E2008-BUSP-0194 432 Miami-Dade County, Florida-buses and bus facilities 869,440 FL E2008-BUSP-0195 133 Miami-Dade County, Florida-Transit Security System 649,906 E2008-BUSP-0196 Mıami-Dade Transit 7th Avenue NW Transit Hub FL 580 259,000 E2008-BUSP-0197 Miami-Dade Transit Dadeland South Intermodal Center FL 454 520,000 E2008-BUSP-0204 Pinellas County Metropolitan Planning Organization-Pinellas Mobility Initiative BRT and Guide way FL600 259,000 FL E2008-BUSP-0205 415 Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL 489,060 E2008-BUSP-0206 Purchase Buses and construct bus facilities in Broward County, FL FL 420 434,720 FL E2008-BUSP-0208 623 South Florida Regional Transportation Authority-West Palm Beach Intermodal Facility 517,000 E2008-BUSP-0210 St. Augustine, Florida-Intermodal Transportation Center and related pedestrian and landscape FL 217,360 FL E2008-BUSP-0211 390 St. Lucie County, FL Purchase Buses 217,360 E2008-BUSP-0212 Tampa, FL Establish Transit Emphasis Corridor Project 163,020 FL 402 E2008-BUSP-0213 FL Tampa, FL Purchase buses and construct bus facilities 489,060 E2008-BUSP-0714 FL 7th Avenue Transit Hub 490,000 FL E2008-BUSP-0715 Basic Transit Infrastructure, Hillsborough 294,000 E2008-BUSP-0716 196,000 FL **Broward Bus Procurement** E2008-BUSP-0717 Broward County Southwest Transit Facility FL 490,000 E2008-BUSP-0718 Flagler County Bus and Bus Facilities 490,000 FL FL E2008-BUSP-0719 HART Bus and Paratransit Van Acquisition 294,000 FL E2008-BUSP-0720 Jacksonville Intermodal Center 490,000 E2008-BUSP-0721 Jacksonville Transportation Authority, Bus and Bus Facilities FL 490,000 E2008-BUSP-0722 Lakeland Area Mass Transit District, Lakeland 294,000 FL E2008-BUSP-0723 Lower Keys Shuttle, Key West 294,000 FLFL E2008-BUSP-0725 Mıami Lakes Transit Program 294,000 E2008-BUSP-0726 Miami-Dade Transit Bus Procurement Plan 686,000 FL E2008-BUSP-0727 Multi-Modal Transportation Program Boca Raton FL 343,000 E2008-BUSP-0728 North Orange/South Seminole ITS Enhanced Circulator, City of Orlando FL 1,149,050 FL E2008-BUSP-0730 Pasco County Public Transportation (Bus Purchase) 294,000 FL E2008-BUSP-0734 StarMetro Intelligent Transpo System, Tallahassee 490,000 E2008-BUSP-0736 Town Center Transit Hub in Mıramar FL 392,000 E2008-BUSP-0214 GΑ 355 Albany, GA Bus replacement 65,208 E2008-BUSP-0215 GΑ 255 Albany, GA Multimodal Facility 173,888 E2008-BUSP-0216 Athens, GA Buses and Bus Facilities 308,651 GΑ 357 E2008-BUSP-0217 Atlanta, GA Inter-modal Passenger Facility Improvements GΑ 247 434,720 E2008-BUSP-0221 GΑ 91 Columbus, GA Bus replacement 65,208 E2008-BUSP-0222 Columbus, Georgia/Phoenix City, Alabama-National Infantry Museum Multimodal Facility GΑ 510 440,000 GΑ E2008-BUSP-0223 49 Columbus, Georgia-Buses & Bus Facilities 210,622 GΑ E2008-BUSP-0224 530 Georgia Department of Transportation-Georgia Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities 2,328,000 E2008-BUSP-0225 Georgia Statewide Bus Program GΑ 60 43,472 E2008-BUSP-0226 Jesup, Georgia-Train Depot intermodal center GΑ 275 217,360 E2008-BUSP-0228 Moultrie, GA Inter-modal facility 65,208 GΑ 406 E2008-BUSP-0230 Savannah, GA Bus and Bus Facilities-Chatham Area Transit 1,086,800 GΑ 256 E2008-BUSP-0232 Sylvester, GA Inter-modal Facility 43,472 GΑ E2008-BUSP-0739 Chatham County, Savannah Bus Facility 392,000 GΑ E2008-BUSP-0740 City of Moultrie Intermodal Facility 343,000 GΑ н E2008-BUSP-0741 Honolulu Bus and Paratransit Replacement Program 196,000 н E2008-BUSP-0742 Public Transportation Vehicle Enhancement Project 392,000 н E2008-BUSP-0743 Rural Bus Program for Hawaii, Maui and Kauai Counties 1,528,800 IΑ D2008-BUSP-004 University of Iowa/Cambus 1,000,000 Ames, Iowa-Expansion of CyRide Bus Maintenance Facility ΙA E2008-BUSP-0235 440 434,720 776,000 IΑ E2008-BUSP-0236 Black Hawk County, IA UNI Multimodal Project 475 656,600 ΙA E2008-BUSP-0744 Coralville Intermodal Facility 978,120 ID E2008-BUSP-0239 176 Boise, ID-Multimodal facility Idaho Department of Transportation - Idaho Statewide ITS for Public Transportation ID E2008-BUSP-0240 543 388,000 1.500,000 ID E2008-BUSP-0241 652 Valley Regional Transit, ID-Downtown Boise Multimodal

Idaho Transit Coalition Buses and Bus Facilities

page 7 of 13

2,697,546

page 8 of 13

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

| | | | or Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations | 11 |
|----------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| State | Earmark ID | SAFETEA-LU Project No. | Project Location and Description | Unobligated Allocation |
| D | E2008-BUSP-0747 | | Treasure Valley Transit Facilities | 282,240 |
| L | E2008-BUSP-0242 | 433 | Centralia, Illinois-South Central Mass Transit District Improvements | 86,944 |
| L | E2008-BUSP-0243 | 226 | Champaign, IL-Construct park and ride lot with attached daycare facility | 326,040 |
| IL | E2008-BUSP-0249 | 296 | Elgin to Rockford, Illinois-Intermodal stations along planned Metra Union Pacific West Line extension alignment, including necessary alternatives anal | 108,680 |
| IL | E2008-BUSP-0254 | 429 | Normal, Illinois-Multimodal Transportation Center | 434,720 |
| IL | E2008-BUSP-0255 | 163 | Normal, Illinois-Multimodal Transportation Center, including facilities for adjacent public and nonprofit uses | 1,086,800 |
| IL | E2008-BUSP-0259 | 632 | Springfield, IL, Multimodal Transit Terminal | 1,300,000 |
| IL. | E2008-BUSP-0707 | | Pace Bus Park-N-Ride Facility, Plainfield | 245,000 |
| IL | E2008-BUSP-0748 | | Berwyn Intermodal Transit Facility Downstate Illinois Replacement Buses | 392,000 |
| IL IL | E2008-BUSP-0751 E2008-BUSP-0752 | | Bus and Bus Facilities in Bloomington, Galesburg, Macomb, Peoria, and Rock Island | 2,940,000 2,450,000 |
| IL | E2008-BUSP-0753 | | Macomb Maintenance Facility | 245,000 |
| IL | E2008-BUSP-0756 | | Mobile Data Terminal/Chicago Paratransit Vehicles | 196,000 |
| IL | E2008-BUSP-0757 | | Mobile data terminals for Pace, Arlington Hts | 392,000 |
| IL | E2008-BUSP-0758 | | Multimodal Center, Normal | 245,000 |
| IN | E2008-BUSP-0263 | 109 | Bloomington, IN-Bus and transfer facility | 1,045,502 |
| IN | E2008-BUSP-0264 | 529 | Gary, Indiana, Gary Airport Station Modernization and Shuttle Service Project | 450,000 |
| IN | E2008-BUSP-0265 | 544 | Indianapolis Downtown Transit Center | 1,100,000 |
| IN | E2008-BUSP-0266 | 235 | Indianapolis, IN Construct the Ivy Tech State College Multi-Modal Facility | 1,086,800 |
| IN | E2008-BUSP-0267 | 5 | Indianapolis, IN Downtown Transit Center | 3,043,040 |
| IN IN | E2008-BUSP-0268 E2008-BUSP-0271 | 220 546 | Indianapolis, IN IndySMART program to relieve congestion, improve safety and air quality Ivy Tech State College, Indiana Multimodal Center | 434,720 250,000 |
| IN | E2008-BUSP-0763 | 340 | City of Anderson | 392,000 |
| IN | E2008-BUSP-0765 | | Statewide Electric Hybrid Bus Initiative by the Indiana Transit Association | 1,760,000 |
| KY | D2008-BUSP-006 | | Kentucky Transportation Cabinet | 4,587,354 |
| KY | E2008-BUSP-0280 | 639 | Transit Authority of Lexington, KY-Rehabilitation of Building for Maintenance and Administration | 1,035,000 |
| KY | E2008-BUSP-0771 | | Bus Replacement Program, TANK, FT. Wright | 245,000 |
| KY | E2008-BUSP-0772 | | Fulton County Transit Authority | 392,000 |
| KY | E2008-BUSP-0773 | | Paducah Area Transit System | 1,960,000 |
| KY | E2008-BUSP-0775 | | Transit Authority of Northern Kentucky Bus Replacement Project | 980,000 |
| KY KS | E2008-BUSP-0776 E2008-BUSP-0767 | | Transportation to Wellness, Covington Bus Fleet Replacement, Topeka Metropolitan Transit | 196,000 294,000 |
| KS | E2008-BUSP-0768 | | Bus Replacement for Unified Government of Wyandotte County | 686,000 |
| LA | E2008-BUSP-0281 | 639 | Capital Area Transit System-Baton Rouge BRT | 776,000 |
| LA | E2008-BUSP-0287 | 484 | Louisiana-Construct pedestrian walkways between Caddo St. and Milam St. along Edwards St. in Shreveport, LA | 220,360 |
| LA | E2008-BUSP-0290 | 170 | New Orleans, LA Regional Planning Commission, bus and bus facilities | 108,680 |
| LA | E2008-BUSP-0779 | 243 | SporTran Buses for the City of Shreveport | 245,000 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0296 | 118 | Attleboro, MA Construction, engineering and site improvements at the Attleboro Intermodal Center | 434,720 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0298 | 59 | Beverly, MA Design and Construct Beverly Deport Intermodal Transportation Center | 434,720 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0299 | 273 | Boston, MA Harbor Park Pavilion & Inter-modal Station | 271,700 |
| MA MA | E2008-BUSP-0302 E2008-BUSP-0303 | 124 21 | Haverhill, MA Design and Construct Inter-modal Transit Parking Improvements Hingham, MA Hingham Marine Intermodal Center Improvements: Enhance public transportation | 1,217,216 1,956,240 |
| | | | infrastructure/parking | |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0307 | 42 257 | Medford, MA Downtown revitalization featuring construction of a 200 space Park and Ride Facility | 434,720 434,720 |
| MA MA | E2008-BUSP-0308 E2008-BUSP-0310 | 257 161 | Newburyport, MA Design and Construct Intermodal Facility Revere, MA Inter-modal transit improvements in the Wonderland station (MBTA) area | 391,248 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0311 | 88 | Rockport, MA Rockport Commuter Rail Station Improvements | 597,740 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0312 | 370 | Salem, MA Design and Construct Salem Intermodal Transportation Center | 434,720 |
| ·MA | E2008-BUSP-0313 | 205 | Woburn, MA Construction of an 89 space park and ride facility to be located on Magazine Hill, in the Heart of Woburn Square | 391,248 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0646 | | Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority Ferry System | 2,500,000 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0780 | | Attleboro Intermodal Center, Attleboro | 490,000 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0782 | | Bus Fleet Replacement Project, WRTA, Worcester | 196,000 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0783 | | Commonwealth Avenue Green Line Station | 656,600 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0784 | | Construction of Amesbury Bus Facility | 245,000 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0787 | | Intermodal Stations in Salem and Beverly | 245,000 |
| MA MA | E2008-BUSP-0788 | | MART Bus Commuter Facilities MART Commuter Parking and Facilities | 735,000 735,000 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0789 E2008-BUSP-0790 | | MBTA Commuter Rail Station Improvements, Melrose | 686,000 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0791 | | Merrimack Valley RTA Buses | 392,000 |
| MA | E2008-BUSP-0792 | | Newton Rapid Transit Handicap Accessibility | 392,000 |
| | CA E2008-BUSP-0953 | | Fuel Cell Bus Program | 9,550,000 |
| MD | E2008-BUSP-0314 | 122 | Baltimore, MD Construct Intercity Bus Intermodal Terminal | 1,086,800 |
| MD | E2008-BUSP-0315 | 303 | Howard County, MD Construct Central Maryland Transit Operations and Maintenance Facility | 1,086,800 |
| MD | E2008-BUSP-0316 | 542 | Howard County, MD Construct Central Maryland Transit Operations and Maintenance Facility | 200,000 |
| MD | E2008-BUSP-0318 | 573 | Maryland Statewide Bus Facilities and Buses | 6,500,000 |
| MD | E2008-BUSP-0319 | 224 | Montgomery County, MD Wheaton CBD Intermodal Access Program Maunt Pourier, MD Intermodal and Redoutring Project | 108,680 97,812 |
| MD MD | E2008-BUSP-0320 E2008-BUSP-0323 | 214 629 | Mount Rainier, MD Intermodal and Pedestrian Project Southern Maryland Commuter Initiative | 3,000,000 |
| MD | E2008-BUSP-0793 | 029 | Bi-County Transit Center, Langley Park | 818,300 |
| | | | and a summer of the summer of | |

ND

ND

NE

NE

NE

NE

NH

NH

NH

E2008-BUSP-0375

E2008-BUSP-0841

E2008-BUSP-0377

E2008-BUSP-0378

E2008-BUSP-0379

E2008-BUSP-0380

D2008-BUSP-013

E2008-BUSP-0382

E2008-BUSP-0843

595

160

586

587

240

418

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

page 9 of 13

679,500

434,720

517,000

869,440

804,232

490,000

1,772,800

1,035,000

1,134,330

TARLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations SAFETEA-LU Unobligated Earmark ID Project No. Project Location and Description Allocation State MD E2008-BUSP-0795 Maryland Statewide Bus and Bus Facility Program 735,000 MD E2008-BUSP-0796 Southern Maryland Commuter Bus Park and Ride Lots 1,274,000 E2008-BUSP-0327 204 Boysville of Michigan Transportation System 730,330 MI E2008-BUSP-0329 319 Detroit Bus Maintenance Facility 1,956,240 MI E2008-BUSP-0330 522 Detroit Department of Transportation Bus Replacement 2,200,000 Mi E2008-BUSP-0331 Detroit Fare Collection System 869,440 м 2 MI E2008-BUSP-0332 156 **Detroit Replacement Buses** 1,086,800 E2008-BUSP-0333 320 Detroit, MI Bus Replacement 1.630.200 M Detroit, MI Enclosed heavy-duty maintenance facility with full operational functions for up to 300 buses E2008-BUSP-0334 978,120 MI 9 E2008-BUSP-0341 Marquette County, Michigan Transit Authority Bus passenger facility 572 300.000 MI E2008-BUSP-0342 581 Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Bus Replacement 439,832 М Port Huron, Michigan, Blue Water Area Transportation Commission, Bus Maintenance Facility МІ E2008-BUSP-0344 601 1,500,000 Mi E2008-BUSP-0798 1st District Bus Replacement and Facilities 1,602,438 E2008-BUSP-0800 Ann Arbor Transportation Authority Transit Center 735,000 Mi MI E2008-BUSP-0803 Bus Component Overhaul, Detroit 245,000 E2008-BUSP-0804 Bus Maintenance Facility, Detroit 735,000 М E2008-BUSP-0813 Midland Dial-a-Ride (Midland County) 40,220 MI 245,000 Мі E2008-BUSP-0815 Replacement Buses, Detroit E2008-BUSP-0345 Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation (SMART) Bus Maintenance Facility 2,000,000 MI 434,720 MN E2008-BUSP-0346 40 Duluth, MN Downtown Duluth Area Transit facility improvements E2008-BUSP-0347 177 Fond du Lac Reservation, MN Purchase buses 32,604 MN E2008-BUSP-0348 Metro Transit/Metropolitan Council, MN-Bus/Bus Capital 2,457,000 MN 577 E2008-BUSP-0349 St. Paul to Hinckley, MN Construct bus amenities along Rush Line Corridor 326,040 MN 185 E2008-BUSP-0350 342 St. Paul, MN Union Depot Multi Modal Transit Facility 434,720 MN E2008-BUSP-0819 Albert Lea Transit Facility Rehabilitation 294,000 MN F2008-BUSP-0820 Greater Minnesota Transit Bus and Bus Facilities 454,000 MN E2008-BUSP-0822 Transit Bus Facilities, Duluth 392,000 MN E2008-BUSP-0823 Union Depot Multi-Modal Hub, St. Paul 656,600 MN E2008-BUSP-0824 White Farth Tribal Nation SMART Transit and Buses 392.000 MN E2008-BUSP-0351 Bi-State Development Agency-St Louis Bridge Repair/Reconstruction, for any activity eligible under section 1,293,000 MO 473 5309 Bı-State Development Agency-St. Louis Metro Bus Fare Collection Program 4.139.000 MO E2008-BUSP-0352 474 217.360 МО E2008-BUSP-0353 345 Kansas City, MO Bus Transit Infrastructure MO E2008-BUSP-0354 598 OATS, Incorporated, MO-ITS Information and Billing System and Bus Facilities 2,920,672 E2008-BUSP-0355 624 Southeast Missouri Transportation Service-Bus Project 225,023 MO 735,000 МО E2008-BUSP-0826 Forest Park Circulator/I-64 Closure Alleviation E2008-BUSP-0827 Franklin County Transit 172,480 MO 735,000 E2008-BUSP-0828 Kansas City Area Transportation Authority Bus Replacement (KCATA) MO E2008-BUSP-0829 МО Southeast Missouri Transportation Service (SMTS) 735.000 E2008-BUSP-0830 St. Louis Metro Bus & Paratransit Rolling Stock 490,000 МО MS E2008-BUSP-0356 130 Coahoma County, Mississippi Purchase buses for the Aaron E. Henry Community Health Services Center, 32,604 Inc /DARTS transit service Jackson State University, MS-Busing Project 1,293,000 MS E2008-BUSP-0357 547 Coast Transit Authority Bus 2,940,000 MS E2008-BUSP-0832 LOU Public Transit System, Oxford 857,500 MS E2008-BUSP-0834 869,440 E2008-BUSP-0358 129 Bozeman, Montana-Vehicular Parking Facility МТ 175,000 E2008-BUSP-0359 Bozeman, MT, Intermodal and parking facility MT 476 E2008-BUSP-0360 Montana Department of Transportation-Statewide Bus Facilities and Buses 776,000 MT 584 656,600 E2008-BUSP-0835 Bus and Bus Facilities MT E2008-BUSP-0836 **CSKT** Reservation Transportation Program 229,810 MT 3,000,000 Chapel Hill Transit D2008-BUSP-010 NC 3,000,000 D2008-BUSP-012 **Durham Area Transit Authority** NC Charlotte Area Transit System/City of Charlotte-Charlotte Multimodal Station 2,587,000 F2008-BUSP-0361 490 NC Charlotte, NC Construct Charlotte Multimodal Station 1,695,408 NC F2008-BUSP-0362 217 869,440 Charlotte, North Carolina-Multimodal Station NC E2008-BUSP-0364 228 City of Greenville, NC Expansion Buses and Greenville Intermodal Center E2008-BUSP-0365 774,671 NC 154 2,721,347 E2008-BUSP-0367 Greensboro, North Carolina-Piedmont Authority for Regional Transportation Multimodal Transportation NC 302 North Carolina Department of Transportation-North Carolina Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities 3,770,606 NC E2008-BUSP-0371 594 326,040 Town of Chapel Hill, NC Park and Ride Lot NC E2008-BUSP-0373 134 294,000 NC E2008-BUSP-0837 Asheville Replacement Buses, Asheville 1,101,270 North Carolina Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities NC E2008-BUSP-0838 392,000 NC E2008-BUSP-0840 Intermodal Transportation Facility, Winston-Salem

North Dakota Department of Transportation/Statewide Bus

New Hampshire Department of Transportation

Kearney, Nebraska-RYDE Transit Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility

Nebraska-statewide transit vehicles, facilities, and related equipment

Windham, New Hampshire--Construction of Park and Ride Bus facility at Exit 3

Nebraska Department of Roads-Bus Maintenance and Storage Facility for RYDE in Kearney, NE

Nebraska Department of Roads-Statewide Vehicles, Facilities, and Related Equipment Purchases

North Dakota Statewide Transit

I-89 Park and Ride/Bus Terminal

page 10 of 13

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

| | SAFETEA-LU Unobi | | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|----------------------|--|
| State | Earmark ID | Project No. | Project Location and Description | Allocation | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0384 | 86 | Burlington County, NJ-BurLink and Burlington County Transportation System vehicles and equipment | 869,440 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0385 | 28 | Camden, NJ Construction of the Camden County Intermodal Facility in Cramer Hill | 217,360 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0386 | 12 | Hoboken, NJ Rehabilitation of Hoboken Inter-modal Terminal | 825,968 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0388 | 389 | Lakewood, NJ-Ocean County Bus service and parking facilities | 652,080 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0389 | 138 | Long Branch, NJ Determine scope, engineering, design and construct facilities for ferry service from Long Branch, NJ to New York City | 869,440 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0390 | 38 | Monmouth County, NJ Construction of main bus facility for Freehold Township, including a terminal and repair shop | 434,720 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0391 | 209 | Morristown, New Jersey-Intermodal Historic Station | 217,360 | |
| NJ . | E2008-BUSP-0394 | 328 | New Jersey Transit Community Shuttle Buses | 108,680 | |
| NJ NJ | E2008-BUSP-0395 E2008-BUSP-0397 | 13 393 | Newark, NJ Penn Station Intermodal Improvements including the rehabilitation of boarding areas South Amboy, NJ Construction of improvements to facilities at South Amboy Station under S Amboy, NJ Regional Intermodal Initiative | 217,360 1,738,880 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0398 | 618 | South Brunswick, NJ Transit System | 1,000,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0399 | 643 | Trenton Intermodal Station | 4,000,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0400 | 61 | Trenton, New Jersey-Trenton Train Station Rehabilitation | 326,040 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0401 | 181 | Trenton, NJ Development of Trenton Trolley System | 217,360 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0402 | 62 | Trenton, NJ Reconstruction and rehabilitation of the Trenton Train Station | 1,521,520 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0649 | | Camden, NJ Ferry System | 1,000,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0844 | | Bus Shuttle Project for Seniors, Irvington | 392,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0845 | | Hudson County Intermodal Station Pedestrian Bridge Lakewood Multimodal Facility, Phase I | 294,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0846 E2008-BUSP-0847 | | Morris County Intermodel Park and Ride | 1,313,200 490,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0848 | | Newark Penn Station Intermodel Improvement | 1,313,200 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0849 | | Northern New Jersey Intermodal Stations & Park-N-Ride | 196,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0850 | | Northwest NJ Intermodal Transit Improvements | 588,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0851 | | Passaic/Bergen Intermodal Facilities | 490,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0852 | | South Amboy Intermodal Transportation Initiative | 490,000 | |
| NJ | E2008-BUSP-0853 | | West Orange Township Senior Citizen & Handicap Shuttle Bus | 196,000 | |
| NM | E2008-BUSP-0404 | 562 | Las Cruces, NM, Road Runner Bus and Bus Facilities | 300,000 | |
| NM | E2008-BUSP-0855 | | Bus and Bus Facilities, City of Roswell | 294,000 | |
| NM | E2008-BUSP-0856 | | Bus and Bus Facilities, Grant County | 984,900 | |
| NM | E2008-BUSP-0857 | | Fleet and Capital Items Los Alamos County Transit System | 588,000 | |
| NM NM | E2008-BUSP-0858 E2008-BUSP-0859 | | New Mexico Commuter Rail, Santa Fe/Bernalillo Intermodal Facility Para-Transit Van Replacement, Las Cruces | 1,082,900 | |
| NM | E2008-BUSP-1000 | 460 | Mid-Region Council of Governments 0, Mexico, public transportation buses, bus-related equipment and facilities, and intermodal terminals in Albuquerque | 146,700 500,000 | |
| NV | E2008-BUSP-0866 | | Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities | 735,000 | |
| NY | D2008-BUSP-016 | | New York Metropolitan Transportation Authority, New York City Transit | 7,000,000 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0412 | 74 | Albany-Schenectady, NY Bus Rapid Transit Improvements in NY Route 5. Corridor. | 217,360 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0413 | 463 | Albany-Schenectady, NY, Bus Rapid Transit Improvements in NY Route 5 | 1,200,000 | |
| NY NY | E2008-BUSP-0414 E2008-BUSP-0415 | 271 20 | Bronx, NY Botanical Garden metro North Rail station Intermodal Facility Bronx, NY Establish an intermodal transportation facility at the Wildlife Conservation Society Bronx Zoo | 217,360 217,360 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0416 | 279 | Bronx, NY Establish an intermodal transportation facility at the Wildlife Conservation Society Bronx Zoo | 217,360 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0418 | 338 | Bronx, NY Intermodal Facility near Exit 6. of the Bronx River Parkway | 54,340 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0420 | 10 | Bronx, NY Wildlife Conservation Society intermodal transportation facility at the Bronx Zoo | 95,095 | |
| NY NY | E2008-BUSP-0421 E2008-BUSP-0422 | 197 408 | Brooklyn, NY Construct a multi-modal transportation facility Brooklyn, NY Construct a multi-modal transportation facility in the vicinity of Downstate Medical Center | 304,304 217,360 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0423 | 41 | Brooklyn, NY New Urban Center-Broadway Junction Intermodal Center | 208,666 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0426 | 192 | Buffalo, NY Inter-modal Center Parking Facility | 217,360 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0427 | 245 | Bus to provide York-town, New York internal circulator to provide transportation throughout the Town | 40,212 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0428 | 230 | Construction of Third Bus Depot on Staten Island | 2,608,320 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0429 | 146 | Cooperstown, New York-Intermodal Transit Center | 1,086,800 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0430 | 363 | Corning, New York-Transportation Center | 1,086,800 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0431 | 512 | Transportation Center Enhancements, Corning, NY | 550,000 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0433 | 300 | Geneva, New York-Multimodal facility-Construct passenger rail center | 108,680 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0434 | 317 | Jamestown, NY Rehabilitation of Intermodal Facility and associated property | 434,720 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0435 | 343 | Kings County, NY Construct a multi-modal transportation facility | 217,360 1,521,520 | |
| NY NY | E2008-BUSP-0436 E2008-BUSP-0437 | 368 585 | Nassau County, NY Conduct planning and engineering for transportation system (HUB) Nassau County, NY, Conduct planning, engineering, and construction for transportation system (HUB) | | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0439 | 376 | New York City, NY Purchase Handicapped-Accessible Livery Vehicles | 217,360 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0440 | 590 | New York City, NY, Bronx Zoo Intermodal Facility | 550,000 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0441 | 591 | New York City, NY, Enhance Transportation Facilities Near W. 65th Street and Broadway | 550,000 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0443 | 593 | New York, Improvements to Moynihan Station | 1,300,000 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0445 | 373 | Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority, NY Replacement Buses | 217,360 | |
| NY | E2008-BUSP-0447 E2008-BUSP-0448 | 379 | Ramapo, NY Transportation Safety Field Bus | 54,340 978,120 | |
| | C/UUD-DUDE-U448 | 252 | Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square transit center | 978.120 | |
| NY NY | E2008-BUSP-0449 | 430 | Rochester, New York-Renaissance Square Transit Center | 489,060 | |

РΑ

E2008-BUSP-0550

662

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

page 11 of 13

776,000

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations SAFETEA-LU Unobligated State Earmark ID Project No. Project Location and Description Allocation Rockland County, NY Express Bus NY E2008-BUSP-0451 609 800,000 Suffolk County, NY Design and construction of intermodal transit facility in Wyandanch NY F2008-BUSP-0452 386 999.856 NY E2008-BUSP-0453 353 Suffolk County, NY Purchase four handicapped accessible vans to transport veterans to and from the VA 60,831 facility in Northport Syracuse, New York, Syracuse University Connective Corridor Transit Project NY E2008-BUSP-0454 635 1,100,000 E2008-BUSP-0456 NY 289 Town of Warwick, NY Bus Facility Warwick Transit System 119,548 NY E2008-BUSP-0457 451 Utica, New York Transit Multimodal Facilities 1,300,000 NY E2008-BUSP-0651 666 Staten Island Ferry 1,000,000 Bronx Zoo Intermodal Transportation Facility E2008-BUSP-0868 588,000 NY NY E2008-BUSP-0869 Bus Replacement/Service Expansion, Suffolk Co. 245,000 NY E2008-BUSP-0870 Central New York Regional Transportation Authority 1,568,000 NY E2008-BUSP-0871 City of Poughkeepsie Transit Hub 764,400 E2008-BUSP-0872 CNYRTA Transit Garage - Oneida County, Utica 392,000 NY NY E2008-BUSP-0873 Intermodal Transit Center, Port Chester 686,000 E2008-BUSP-0874 Jamaica Intermodal Facilities, Jamaica 490,000 NY E2008-BUSP-0875 Lincoln Center Corridor Redevelopment Project 490,000 NY NY E2008-BUSP-0877 Nassau County Hub 1,528,800 E2008-BUSP-0878 NFTA, Purchase Hybrid Buses 294,000 NY NY E2008-BUSP-0879 Preliminary Design of a Saratoga Bus Facility 245,000 NY E2008-BUSP-0880 Replacement Buses for the Westchester County Bee-Line Bus Systems 764,400 E2008-BUSP-1001 New York City, NY rehabilitation of subway stations to include passenger access improvements including 50,000 NY escalators or installation of infrastructure for E2008-BUSP-0467 Cincinnati, Ohio-Metro Regional Transit Hub Network Eastern Neighborhoods 201,058 ОН 89 ОН E2008-BUSP-0470 179 Cleveland, OH Construct passenger inter-modal center near Dock 32 186,930 E2008-BUSP-0471 Cleveland, OH Construction of an inter-modal facility and related improvements at University Hospitals 217,360 OH 411 facility on Euclid Avenue ОН E2008-BUSP-0474 198 Cleveland, Ohio-Euclid Avenue University Hospital intermodal facility 978,120 OH E2008-BUSP-0478 292 Cuyahoga County, Ohio-Ohio Department of Transportation transit improvements 32,604 ОН E2008-BUSP-0482 Elyria, OH Construct the New York Central Train Station into an intermodal transportation hub 445,153 E2008-BUSP-0483 349 Kent, OH Construct Kent State University Intermodal Facility serving students and the general public 217,360 OH E2008-BUSP-0484 104 Marietta, Ohio Construction of transportation hub to accommodate regional bus traffic 108,680 ОН E2008-BUSP-0486 Niles, OH Acquisition of bus operational and service equipment of Niles Trumbull Transit 43,472 ОН ОН E2008-BUSP-0487 385 Springfield, OH-City of Springfield Bus Transfer Station and Associated Parking 54,340 E2008-BUSP-0489 Zanesville, OH-bus system signage and shelters 17,661 ОН E2008-BUSP-0881 Bus Purchase, Portage Area Transit, Kent 490,000 OH E2008-BUSP-0882 Central Ohio Transit Authority Bus Replacement 588,000 ОН OH E2008-BUSP-0883 Greater Dayton RTA Bus Replacement 490,000 ОН E2008-BUSP-0884 Kent State Geauga, Regional Transit Shelter 441,000 E2008-BUSP-0885 Kent State Multimodal Transportation Facility 196,000 OH ОН E2008-BUSP-0886 Senior Transportation Connection 1,197,560 E2008-BUSP-0888 West Price Hill Park and Ride 196,000 ОН OR D2008-BUSP-018 Lane Transit District (Eugene) 1,000,000 OR E2008-BUSP-0496 159 Eugene, OR Lane Transit District, Vehicle Replacement 225,662 OR E2008-BUSP-0499 168 Lane Transit District, Bus Rapid Transit Progressive Corridor Enhancements 644,172 E2008-BUSP-0506 Wilsonville, OR South Metro Area Rapid Transit, bus and bus facilities 54,340 OR PΑ E2008-BUSP-0509 456 Altoona Multimodal Transportation Facility Parking Garage 260,000 РΑ E2008-BUSP-0510 465 AMTRAN Altoona, PA-Buses and Transit System Improvements 776,000 РΑ E2008-BUSP-0512 471 Beaver County, PA Transit Authority Bus Replacement/ Related Equipment Replacement 259,000 PΑ E2008-BUSP-0513 481 Butler Township, PA-Cranbury Area Transit Service 905,000 PΑ E2008-BUSP-0515 Cambria County, PA Transit Authority-Bus Replacements 776,000 482 PΑ E2008-BUSP-0518 513 County of Lackawanna Transit System-Scranton Intermodal Transportation Center 259,000 РΑ E2008-BUSP-0520 Easton, Pennsylvania-Design and construct Intermodal Transportation Center 434,720 PΑ E2008-BUSP-0521 524 Erie, PA Metropolitan Transit Authority-Bus Acquisitions 259,000 РΑ E2008-BUSP-0522 431 Erie, PA-EMTA Vehicle Acquisition 434,720 PΑ E2008-BUSP-0523 331 Gettysburg, Pennsylvania-transit transfer center 195,407 РΑ E2008-BUSP-0524 458 Hershey, Pennsylvania Intermodal Center and Parking Garage 65,000 PΑ E2008-BUSP-0527 37 Lancaster, PA-bus replacement 206,492 PA E2008-BUSP-0528 559 Lancaster, PA-Intermodal Project 181,000 PΑ E2008-BUSP-0530 583 Monroe Township, PA-Clarion County Buses 136,654 PΑ E2008-BUSP-0532 201 Philadelphia, PA Cruise Terminal Transportation Ctr. Phila. Naval Shipyard 760,760 PΑ E2008-BUSP-0533 137 Philadelphia, PA Improvements to the existing Penns Landing Ferry Terminal 869,440 PA E2008-BUSP-0534 413 Philadelphia, PA Penns Landing water shuttle parking lot expansion and water shuttle ramp infrastructure 239,096 Philadelphia, PA Philadelphia Zoo Intermodal Transportation project w/parking consolidation, pedestrian 1,086,800 PA E2008-BUSP-0535 22 walkways, public transportation complements & 304,304 Philadelphia, PA SEPTAs Market St. Elevated Rail project in conjunction with Philadelphia Commercial PΑ E2008-BUSP-0536 274 Development Corporation for improvements E2008-BUSP-0537 316 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania-SEPTA Market Street Elevated Line parking facility 869,440 PΑ F2008-BUSP-0539 434,720 Pottsville, PA Union Street Trade and Transfer Center Intermodal Facility PA 397 E2008-BUSP-0542 Sharon, PA-Bus Facility Construction 108,680 PΑ 424 E2008-BUSP-0545 Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority-Villanova-SEPTA Intermodal 776,000 PA 628 E2008-BUSP-0546 Transit Authority of Warren County, PA-Impact Warren 259,000 PΑ 642 E2008-BUSP-0548 Westmoreland County Transit Authority, PA-Bus Replacement 259.000 PΑ 660

Williamsport, PA Bureau of Transportation-Williamsport Trade and Transit Centre Expansion

page 12 of 13

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 10

| | | Pri | or Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations | |
|----------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| State | Earmark ID | SAFETEA-LU Project No. | Project Location and Description | Unobligated Allocation |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0551 | 65 | York, Pennsylvania-Rabbit Transit facilities and communications equipment | 602,196 |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0652 | 00 | Philadelphia Penn's Landing Ferry Terminal | 1,000,000 |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0893 | | 69th Street Terminal Parking Facility, Upper Darby Township | 490,000 |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0894 | | Advanced CNG Buses Fleet Replacement - CATA | 735,000 |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0895 | | Altoona, PA Intermodal Transportation Center | 328,300 |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0896 | | Bethlehem Transit Transfer Center | 490,000 |
| PA . | E2008-BUSP-0898 | | Church Street Transportation Center | 2,352,000 |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0899 | | Expansion of the Scranton Electric Trolley System | 196,000 |
| PA PA | E2008-BUSP-0900 E2008-BUSP-0904 | | Franklin Street Station Intermodal, Reading Replacement Buses, Centre Area Transportation Authority (CATA) | 1,225,000 686,000 |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0906 | | SEPTA Interoperability Communications Initiative | 656,600 |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0907 | | Vehicle Replacement - DuFAST | 588,000 |
| PA | E2008-BUSP-0908 | | Union Station Intermodal Trade and Transit Center | 392,000 |
| PR | E2008-BUSP-0552 | 128 | Bayamon, Puerto Rico-bus terminal | 130,416 |
| PR | E2008-BUSP-0553 | 421 | Bayamon, Puerto Rico-Purchase of Trolley Cars | 184,756 |
| PR | E2008-BUSP-0556 | 152 | San Juan, Puerto Rico Metropolitan Bus Authoritybus security equipment | 652,080 |
| PR PR | E2008-BUSP-0557 E2008-BUSP-0558 | 71 58 | San Juan, Puerto Rico Metropolitan Bus Authority Yabucoca, Puerto Rico-Trolley Buses | 217,360 38,038 |
| RI | E2008-BUSP-0560 | 115 | Rhode Island Statewide Bus Fleet | 1,304,160 |
| RI | E2008-BUSP-0909 | 110 | Rhode Island Public Transit Authority Intelligent Transportation Systems | 1,341,522 |
| SC | E2008-BUSP-0562 | 533 | Greensville, SC Transit Authority-City of Greenville Multimodal Transportation Center Improvements | 39,790 |
| sc | E2008-BUSP-0563 | 619 | South Carolina Department of Transportation-Transit Facilities Construction Program | 517,000 |
| SC | E2008-BUSP-0564 | 620 | South Carolina Department of Transportation-Vehicle Acquisition Program | 2,069,000 |
| SC | E2008-BUSP-0910 | | Columbia Transit Facility | 735,000 |
| SD | D2008-BUSP-020 | 201 | Prairie Hills Transit (Spearfish) | 1,598,000 |
| SD | E2008-BUSP-0565 | 621 | South Dakota Department of Transportation-Statewide Buses and Bus Facilities | 2,215,000 |
| TN TN | E2008-BUSP-0566 E2008-BUSP-0567 | 237 554 | Knoxville, Tennessee-Central Station Transit Center Knoxville, TN-Central Station | 2,217,072 647,000 |
| TN | E2008-BUSP-0572 | 30 | Sevier County, Tennessee-U.S 441 bus rapid transit | 54,340 |
| TN | E2008-BUSP-0573 | 636 | Tennessee Department of Transportation-Statewide Tennessee Transit ITS and Bus Replacement Project | 3,104,000 |
| TN | E2008-BUSP-0912 | | Memphis Area Transit Authority | 490,000 |
| TN | E2008-BUSP-0913 | | MTSU Intermodal Transportation Hub | 196,000 |
| TN | E2008-BUSP-0914 | | Tennessee DOT, Bus and Bus Facilities Replacement Texas Department of Transportation | 3,880,242 11,628,120 |
| TX TX | D2008-BUSP-023 D2008-BUSP-024 | | VIA Metropolitan Transit Authority (San Antonio) | 2,000,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0575 | 426 | Abilene, TX Vehicle replacement and facility improvements for transit system | 86,944 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0578 | 153 | Bryan, TX The District-Bryan Intermodal Transit Terminal and Parking Facility | 652,080 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0579 | 485 | Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority, TX-Bus Replacements | 2,587,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0580 | 455 | Carrollton, Texas Downtown Regional Multimodal Transit Hub | 260,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0581 | 506 | City of Round Rock, TX-Downtown Intermodal Transportation Terminal | 259,000 434,720 |
| TX TX | E2008-BUSP-0582 E2008-BUSP-0584 | 111 515 | Construct West Houston and Fort Bend County, Texas-bus transit corridor Dallas Area Rapid Transit-Bus passenger Facilities | 259,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0585 | 336 | Dallas, TX Bus Passenger Facilities | 2,782,208 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0586 | 196 | Design Downtown Carrollton, Texas Regional Multi-Modal Transit Hub Station | 434,720 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0588 | 536 | Harris County-West Houston-Fort Bend Bus Transit Corridor: Uptown Westpark Terminal | 259,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0589 | 561 | Laredo-North Laredo Transit Hub-Bus Maintenance Facility | 776,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0590 | 24 | Roma, TX Bus Facility | 114,114 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0915 | | Abilene Paratransit Vehicle Replacement | 431,200 |
| TX TX | E2008-BUSP-0917 E2008-BUSP-0918 | | Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Austin City of El Paso Paratransit Van Replacement | 254,800 490,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0919 | | City of El Paso, Neighborhood Circulator | 392,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0921 | | Concho Valley Multi-modal Terminal Building | 245,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0923 | | Fort Bend County Stenna Plantation Park and Ride | 294,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0925 | | Greater Southeast District Transit Facility | 196,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0926 | | Houston Downtown Clean Fuel Transit Initiative | 1,470,000 |
| TX | E2008-BUSP-0928 | | Rio Metro Intercity Transit, Hidalgo County The Woodlands Control Cost of Control Control | 490,000 294,000 |
| TX TX | E2008-BUSP-0929 E2008-BUSP-0930 | | The Woodlands Capital Cost of Contracting Urban Commuter Rail Circulator Vehicles | 245,000 |
| UT | E2008-BUSP-0596 | 651 | Utah Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities | 923,749 |
| VA | D2008-BUSP-026 | ••• | Transportation District Commission of Hampton Roads | 2,000,000 |
| VA | E2008-BUSP-0597 | 409 | Alexandria, VA Eisenhower Avenue Inter-modal Station improvements, including purchase of buses and construction of bus shelters | 543,400 |
| VA | E2008-BUSP-0598 | 232 | Alexandria, VA Royal Street Bus Garage Replacement | 108,680 |
| VA | E2008-BUSP-0601 | 359 | Arlington County, VA Pentagon City Multimodal Improvements | 434,720 |
| VA | E2008-BUSP-0602 | 157 | Bealeton, Virginia-Intermodal Station Depot Refurbishment | 59,774 |
| VA | E2008-BUSP-0603 | 492 | City of Alexandria, VA-City-Wide Transit Improvements | 259,000 |
| VA | E2008-BUSP-0604 | 493 | City of Alexandria, VA-Potomac Yard Transit Improvements | 259,000 776,000 |
| VA VA | E2008-BUSP-0605 E2008-BUSP-0606 | 494 495 | City of Alexandria, VA-Replace Royal Street Bus Garage City of Alexandria, VA-Valley Pedestrian & Transit | 259,000 |
| VA VA | E2008-BUSP-0607 | 495 511 | Commonwealth of Virginia-Statewide Bus Capital Program | 3,880,000 |
| VA | E2008-BUSP-0608 | 15 | Fairfax County, VA Richmond Highway (U.S. Route1) Public Transportation Improvements | 434,720 |
| VA | E2008-BUSP-0609 | 525 | Fairfax County, Virginia-Richmond Highway Initiative | 517,000 |
| VA | E2008-BUSP-0610 | 281 | Falls Church, VA Falls Church Intermodal Transportation Center | 434,720 |

page 13 of 13

TABLE 10

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 Bus and Bus Related Facilities Allocations

Unobligated SAFETEA-LU Project No. **Project Location and Description** State Earmark ID Allocation E2008-BUSP-0613 535 Hampton Roads Transit, VA-Southside Bus Facility 259,000 VA E2008-BUSP-0614 Hampton Roads, VA Final design and construction for a Hampton Roads Transit Southside Bus Facility 391 VA 434,720 VA E2008-BUSP-0615 354 Norfolk, Vırgınia-Final Design and Construction Southside Bus Facility 380,380 VA E2008-BUSP-0616 68 Northern Neck and Middle Peninsula, Virginia-Bay Transit Multimodal Facilities 706,420 E2008-BUSP-0620 434 Roanoke, VA-Bus restoration in the City of Roanoke ۷A 54,340 E2008-BUSP-0621 ۷A 312 Roanoke, Virginia-Improve Virginian Railway Station 54,340 VA E2008-BUSP-0622 305 Roanoke, Virginia-Intermodal Facility 43,472 VA E2008-BUSP-0623 361 Roanoke, Virginia-Roanoke Railway and Link Passenger facility 108,680 HRT Southside Bus Facility Replacement, Norfolk VA F2008-BUSP-0935 686,000 E2008-BUSP-0936 ٧A PRTC Bus Facilities 980,000 Southside Bus Facility Replacement in Hampton Roads ٧A E2008-BUSP-0937 1,176,000 ۷A E2008-BUSP-0938 WMATA Bus Safety Initiative 196,000 VI E2008-BUSP-0939 VITRAN Purchase USVI 392,000 VT E2008-BUSP-0624 477 Brattleborough, VT, Intermodal Center 200,000 VT E2008-BUSP-0626 633 State of Vermont Buses, Facilities and Equipment 256,587 E2008-BUSP-0940 VT Bennington Multi-Modal Facility 328,300 Bus Replacement for Rural Community Transportation of St Johnsbury E2008-BUSP-0941 VT 328,300 E2008-BUSP-0943 VT Vans for Vermont Senior Centers 196,000 E2008-BUSP-0944 656,600 VT Vermont Statewide Buses, Facilities and Equipment WA E2008-BUSP-0629 337 Island Transit, WA Operations Base Facilities Project 521,664 WA E2008-BUSP-0632 333 Oak Harbor, WA Multimodal Facility 217,360 WA E2008-BUSP-0633 613 Seattle, WA Multimodal Terminal Redevelopment & Expansion 1,000,000 E2008-BUSP-0634 Snohomish County, WA Community Transit bus purchases and facility enhancement WA 113 652,080 WA E2008-BUSP-0636 654 Washington Southworth Terminal Redevelopment 1,350,000 E2008-BUSP-0637 655 Washington, King Street Transportation Center-Intercity Bus Terminal Component 70.000 WA F2008-BUSP-0949 C-TRAN Vehicle Replacement 480,200 WA Everett Transit Vehicle Replacement E2008-BUSP-0950 588,000 WA WA E2008-BUSP-0952 Hybrid Bus Program 294,000 WA E2008-BUSP-0955 Intercity Transit Multimodal Facility Olympia 343,000 WA E2008-BUSP-0958 Link Transit Vehicle Replacement 539,000 E2008-BUSP-0961 Pierce Transit Peninsula Park & Ride WΑ 1,029,000 WA E2008-BUSP-0962 Port Angles International Gateway Project 343,000 E2008-BUSP-0963 Pullman Transit Maintenance Facility Expansion 784,000 WA E2008-BUSP-0965 Spokane Transit Smart Bus Technology Modernization WA 686,000 E2008-BUSP-0966 University Place Intermodal Transit Facility 735,000 WA E2008-BUSP-0638 Milwaukee, WI Rehabilitate Intermodal transportation facility at downtown Milwaukee's Amtrak Station, WI 350 978.120 increase parking for bus passengers E2008-BUSP-0639 WI 100 State of Wisconsin buses and bus facilities 3.553.836 W١ E2008-BUSP-0640 452 Design, engineering, right-of-way acquisition, and construction State of Wisconsin Transit Intermodal 1,300,000 Facilities WI E2008-BUSP-0641 663 Wisconsın, Statewide Buses and Bus Facilities 650,000 E2008-BUSP-0968 Janesville City Transit System 735,000 WI E2008-BUSP-0970 Wisconsin Statewide Bus and Bus Facilities 1.220.412 WI E2008-BUSP-0642 West Virginia Construct Beckley Intermodal Gateway pursuant to the eligibility provisions for projects listed 73 5,216,640 W٧ under section 3030(d)(3) of P.L.. 105-17 WY E2008-BUSP-0644 665 Wyoming Department of Transportation-Wyoming Statewide Bus and Bus Related Facilities 776,000 Subtotal FY 2008 Unobligated Allocations. \$465,593,451 \$665,031,952 Total Unobligated Allocations.

FY 2009 SECTION 5309 NEW STARTS ALLOCATIONS

(Allocation amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| STATE | EARMARK ID | PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION | ALLOCATION |
|------------------|----------------|--|---------------|
| AZ | E2009-NWST-001 | Phoenix-Central Phoenix East Valley Corridor | 37,555,472 |
| CA | E2009-NWST-002 | Los Angeles - East Side (FFGA) | 33,382,642 |
| CO | E2009-NWST-003 | Denver- Southeast Corridor LRT | 1,020,898 |
| DC | E2009-NWST-004 | Washington, DC-Largo Metrorail Extension | 14,604,906 |
| IL | E2009-NWST-005 | Chicago-Ravenswood | 16,691,321 |
| MN | E2009-NWST-006 | Minneapolis-Northstar Corridor Rail | 22,950,566 |
| NJ | E2009-NWST-007 | Northern NJ- Hudson-Bergen LRT- MOS-2 | 1,092,821 |
| NY | E2009-NWST-008 | New York - East Side Access | 89,715,849 |
| NY | E2009-NWST-009 | New York-Second Avenue Subway MOS | 71,453,458 |
| OR | E2009-NWST-010 | Portland-South Corridor I-205/Portland Mall LRT | 33,382,642 |
| PA | E2009-NWST-011 | Pittsburgh-North Shore LRT | 664,176 |
| TX | E2009-NWST-012 | Dallas-Northwest Southeast LRT MOS | 35,990,660 |
| UT | E2009-NWST-013 | Salt Lake-Weber County to Salt Lake City Commuter Rail | 33,382,642 |
| VA | E2009-NWST-014 | Norfolk-Norfolk LRT | 9,806,151 |
| WA | E2009-NWST-015 | Seattle-Central Link LRT-Initial Segment | 28,558,268 |
| TOTAL ALLOCATION | | | \$430,252,472 |

Prior Year Unobligated Section 5309 New Starts Allocations

| State | Earmark ID | Project Location and Description | Unobligated Allocation |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| FY 2007 | Unobligated Allocation | ns | |
| IL | D2007-NWST-012 | Union-Pacific West Line Extension | \$1,255,978 |
| CA | D2007-NWST-030 | Oakland Airport Connector (Public-Private Partnership Pilot Program) | 24,999,999 |
| 00 | D2007-NWST-009 | West Corridor LRT | |
| | | | 35,000,000 |
| AK/HI | D2007-NWST-002 | Alaska and Hawaii Ferry | 1,456,406 |
| | Subtotal FY 2007 Un | | \$62,712,383 |
| FY 2008 | 3 Unobligated Allocation | ns | |
| ΑK | E2008-NWST-001 | Denali Commission | \$5,000,000 |
| AK, HI | E2008-NWST-002 | Alaska and Hawaii ferry projects | 14,400,000 |
| CA | E2008-NWST-004 | AC Transit BRT Corridor - Alameda County | 490,000 |
| CA | E2008-NWST-006 | Metro Rapid Bus System Gap Closure | 16,347,380 |
| CA | E2008-NWST-008 | Rapid Transit (BRT) project, Livermore | 2,940,000 |
| CA | E2008-NWST-010 | Smart EIS and PE | 1,960,000 |
| CA | E2008-NWST-011 | South Sacramento Corridor, Phase 2 | 4,410,000 |
| CA | E2008-NWST-012 | Telegraph Ave./International Blvd./E 14th St. BRT Corridor Improvements | 1,960,000 |
| co | E2008-NWST-015 | West Corridor LRT Project | 39,200,000 |
| CT | E2008-NWST-016 | New Britain-Hartford Busway | 3,271,632 |
| FL | E2008-NWST-018 | JTA Bus Rapid Transit | 9,329,600 |
| E. | E2008-NWST-019 | Metrorail Orange Line Expansion | 1,960,000 |
| -11 | E2008-NWST-020 | Honolulu High Capacity Transit Corridor | 15,190,000 |
| L | E2008-NWST-021 | METRA Connects Southeast Service | 7,227,500 |
| L | E2008-NWST-022 | METRA Star Line | 7,227,500 |
| L | E2008-NWST-023 | Metra Union Pacific Northwest Line | 7,227,500 |
| L | E2008-NWST-024 | Metra Union Pacific West Line | 7,227,500 |
| (S | E2008-NWST-027 | State Avenue BRT Corridor, Wyandotte County | 1,470,000 |
| ΜA | E2008-NWST-029 | MBTA Fitchburg to Boston Rail Corridor Project | 5,880,000 |
| ΜA | E2008-NWST-030 | North Shore Corridor and Blue Line Extension | 1,960,000 |
| MS | E2008-NWST-033 | I-69 Mississippi HOV/BRT | 7,546,000 |
| ٧J | E2008-NWST-036 | Monmouth-Ocean-Middlesex County Passenger Rail | 980,000 |
| ٧J | E2008-NWST-038 | Trans-Hudson Midtown Corridor | 14,700,000 |
| OR | E2008-NWST-042 | Lane Transit District, Pioneer Parkway EmX BRT Corridor | 14,504,000 |
| PΑ | E2008-NWST-044 | Bus Rapid Transit, Cumberland County | 294,000 |
| PA | E2008-NWST-045 | CORRIDORone Regional Rail Project | 10,976,000 |
| RI | E2008-NWST-047 | Pawtucket/Central Falls Commuter Rail Station | 1,960,000 |
| RI | E2008-NWST-048 | South County Commuter Rail Wickford Junction Station | 12,269,449 |
| TX | E2008-NWST-049 | DCTA Fixed Guideway/Engineering | 245,000 |
| TΧ | E2008-NWST-050 | Galveston Rail Trolley | 1,960,000 |
| TX | E2008-NWST-051 | North Corridor, Houston and Southeast Corridor | 19,600,000 |
| JT | E2008-NWST-055 | Provo Orem BRT | 4,018,000 |
| ۷A | E2008-NWST-059 | Route 1 BRT, Potomac Yard - Crystal City, Alexandria and Arlington | 980,000 |
| | | , | 490,000 |
| VA VA | E2008-NWST-060 | Virginia Railway Express Extension - Gainesville/Haymarket, VA | 3,920,000 |
| VA MA | E2008-NWST-061 | VRE Rolling Stock Pacific Highway South RRT. King County | 13,794,480 |
| WA | E2008-NWST-063 Subtotal FY 2008 Un | Pacific Highway South BRT. King County publicated Allocations | \$262,915,541 |
| | | ,, | |

FY 2009 SECTION 5310 SPECIAL NEEDS FOR ELDERLY INDIVIDUALS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Alabama | \$948,032 |
| Alaska | 124,488 |
| American Samoa | 27,693 |
| Arizona | 990,921 |
| Arkansas | 608,797 |
| California | 5,797,448 |
| Colorado | 688,622 |
| Connecticut | 669,383 |
| Delaware | 193,611 |
| District of Columbia | 166,651 |
| Florida | 3,697,197 |
| Georgia | 1,385,199 |
| Guam | 73,531 |
| Hawaii | 269,151 |
| Idaho | 256,651 |
| Illinois | 2,140,042 |
| Indiana | 1,125,050 |
| Iowa | 578,736 |
| Kansas | 518,496 |
| Kentucky | 873,760 |
| Louisiana | 869,904 |
| Maine | 304,076 |
| Maryland | 925,063 |
| Massachusetts | 1,229,262 |
| Michigan | 1,779,735 |
| Minnesota | 814,978 |
| Mississippi | 610,545 |
| Missouri | 1,074,318 |
| Montana | 212,927 |
| N. Mariana Islands | 28,251 |
| Nebraska | 342,980 |
| Nevada | 419,917 |
| New Hampshire | 257,930 |
| New Jersey New Mexico | 1,564,391 |
| New York | 378,984 3,713,291 |
| North Carolina | 1,549,638 |
| North Dakota | 167,684 |
| Ohio | 2,081,733 |
| Oklahoma | 718,303 |
| Oregon | 665,622 |
| Pennsylvania | 2,457,884 |
| Puerto Rico | 835,650 |
| Rhode Island | 261,089 |
| South Carolina | 825,561 |
| South Dakota | 185,215 |
| Tennessee | 1,151,618 |
| Texas | 3,439,370 |
| Utah | 340,411 |
| Vermont | 157,687 |
| Virgin Islands | 69,571 |
| Virginia | 1,214,716 |
| Washington | 1,032,682 |
| West Virginia | 458,186 |
| Wisconsin | 942,806 |
| Wyoming | 134,150 |
| TOTAL | \$54,349,587 |

TABLE 14

FY 2009 SECTION 5311 AND SECTION 5340 NONURBANIZED APPORTIONMENTS AND SECTION 5311(b)(3) RURAL TRANSIT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (RTAP) APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based on funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

(Note In accordance with language in the SAFETEA-LU conference report apportionments for Section 5311 and Section 5340 were combined to show a single amount. The State's apportionment under the column heading "Section 5311 and 5340 Apportionment" includes Section 5311 and Growing States funds.)

| STATE | SECTIONS 5311 AND 5340 APPORTIONMENT | SECTION 5311(b)(3) APPORTIONMENT |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Alabama | \$5,397,105 | \$77,326 |
| Alaska | 2,462,941 | 34,838 |
| American Samoa | 92,314 | 5,430 |
| Arizona | 3,840,969 | 52,041 |
| Arkansas | 4,116,144 | 63,669 |
| California | 9,237,151 | 103,847 |
| Colorado | 3,388,074 | 49,398 |
| Connecticut | 1,101,006 | 38,932 |
| Delaware | 513,138 | 32,933 |
| Florida | 5,537,949 | 77,452 |
| Georgia | 6,967,099 | 90,535 |
| Guam | 249,522 | 7,351 |
| Hawaii | 798,552 | 35,357 |
| Idaho | 2,370,836 | 41,554 |
| Illinois | 5,764,877 | 80,792 |
| Indiana | 5,528,643 | 80,551 |
| lowa | 4,121,697 | 63,647 |
| Kansas | 3,817,136 | 57,127 |
| Kentucky | 5,222,780 | 76,718 |
| Louisiana | | |
| Maine | 4,130,048 | 66,047 |
| | 2,208,333 | 46,889 |
| Maryland | 2,022,540 | 47,639 |
| Massachusetts | 1,419,268 | 42,023 |
| Michigan | 7,036,140 | 94,151 |
| Minnesota | 5,176,555 | 71,452 |
| Mississippi | 4,690,811 | 70,605 |
| Missouri | 5,629,629 | 77,300 |
| Montana | 3,058,594 | 41,118 |
| N. Mariana Islands | 14,211 | 4,449 |
| Nebraska | 2,665,395 | 45,809 |
| Nevada | 1,992,720 | 34,300 |
| New Hampshire | 1,422,006 | 41,432 |
| New Jersey | 1,318,743 | 40,971 |
| New Mexico | 3,327,224 | 46,805 |
| New York | 7,132,357 | 96,357 |
| North Carolina | 8,969,626 | 112,445 |
| North Dakota | 1,616,718 | 36,062 |
| Ohio | 8,125,201 | 107,587 |
| Oklahoma | 4,600,500 | 66,710 |
| Oregon | 3,971,344 | 56,431 |
| Pennsylvania | 8,227,335 | 108,143 |
| Puerto Rico | 571,203 | 34,496 |
| Rhode Island | 236,116 | 30,325 |
| South Carolina | 4,510,072 | 70,082 |
| South Dakota | 2,000,286 | 38,995 |
| Tennessee | 5,755,313 | 81,635 |
| Texas | 13,726,498 | 147,267 |
| Utah | 1,961,090 | 37,514 |
| Vermont | 1,069,583 | 37,876 |
| Virginia | 5,047,660 | 74,555 |
| Washington | 3,882,417 | 59,288 |
| West Virginia | 2,736,253 | 53,437 |
| Wisconsin | 5,477,023 | 77,628 |
| Wyoming | | |
| | 1,890,317 | 35,204 |

161,632

\$2,876,718

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 15

| | | | Unobligated |
|--------|--------------------------|--|-------------|
| State | Earmark ID | Project Location and Description | Allocation |
| FY 200 | 7 Unobligated Allocation | ns | |
| AK | D2007-TRTR-001 | Asa Carsarmiut Tribal Council | \$165,366 |
| AK | D2007-TRTR-017 | Healy Lake Village | 25,000 |
| AK | D2007-TRTR-021 | Kenaitze Indian Tribe | 25,000 |
| AK | D2007-TRTR-034 | Northway Village | 25,000 |
| IA | D2007-TRTR-046 | Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa | 24,963 |
| ME | D2007-TRTR-018 | Houlton Band of Maliseet Indians | 57,017 |
| МІ | D2007-TRTR-025 | Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians | 25,000 |
| MN | D2007-TRTR-014 | Grand Portage Band of Chippewa Indians | 60,000 |
| MN | D2007-TRTR-041 | Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians | 200,000 |
| MS | D2007-TRTR-030 | Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians | 25,000 |
| MT | D2007-TRTR-006 | Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes | 250,000 |
| MT | D2007-TRTR-013 | Fort Belknap Indian Community | 218,000 |
| MT | D2007-TRTR-056 | The Blackfeet Tribe | 107,820 |
| NC | D2007-TRTR-012 | Eastern Band of Cherokee Nations | 172,900 |
| NE | D2007-TRTR-036 | Omaha Tribe of Nebraska | 25,000 |
| NE | D2007-TRTR-038 | Ponca Tribe Nebraska | 216,500 |
| NM | D2007-TRTR-063 | Walatowa Pueblo of Jemez | 25,000 |
| OK | D2007-TRTR-045 | Sac and Fox Nation | 25,000 |
| OK | D2007-TRTR-059 | The Miami Tribe of Oklahoma | 154,760 |
| OK | D2007-TRTR-003 | Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma | 158,000 |
| OK | D2007-TRTR-045 | Sac and Fox Nation | 25,000 |
| OK | D2007-TRTR-059 | The Miami Tribe of Oklahoma | 154,760 |
| SC | D2007-TRTR-002 | Catawba Indian Nation | 225,000 |
| SD | D2007-TRTR-026 | Lower Brule Sioux Tribe call | 150,000 |
| SD | D2007-TRTR-035 | Oglala Sioux Tribe | 150,000 |
| SD | D2007-TRTR-044 | Rosebud Sioux Tribe | 25,000 |

Lac Courte Oreilles Tribe

Total Unobligated Allocations.....

WI

D2007-TRTR-024

Page 1 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2009 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|---|---------------|
| 200,000 or more in Population | \$40,257,360 |
| 50,000-199,999 in Population | 13,419,120 |
| Nonurbanized | 13,419,120 |
| National Total | \$67,095,600 |
| Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 or more in Population: | |
| AguadillaIsabelaSan Sebastian, PR | \$260,725 |
| Akron, OH | 122,217 |
| Albany, NY | 113,359 |
| Albuquerque, NM | 160,252 |
| AllentownBethlehem, PANJ | 106,286 |
| Anchorage, AK | 41,237 |
| Ann Arbor, MI | 59,547 |
| Antioch, CA | 41,616 |
| Asheville, NC | 56,013 |
| Atlanta, GA | 659,625 |
| Atlantic City, NJ | 47,697 |
| Augusta-Richmond County, GASC | 94,039 |
| Austin, TX | 199,449 |
| Bakersfield, CA | 156,317 |
| Baltimore, MD | 427,800 |
| Barnstable Town, MA | 36,893 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 144,734 |
| Birmingham, AL | 174,903 |
| Boise City, ID | 47,767 |
| Bonita SpringsNaples, FL | 36,009 |
| Boston, MANHRI | 674,795 |
| BridgeportStamford, CTNY | 127,948 |
| Buffalo, NY | 238,020 |
| Canton, OH | 55,447 |
| Cape Coral, FL | 72,451 |
| CharlestonNorth Charleston, SC | 107,911 |
| Charlotte, NCSC | 136,519 |
| Chattanooga, TNGA | 82,947 |
| Chicago, ILIN | 1,737,669 |
| Cincinnati, OHKYIN | 284,465 |
| Cleveland, OH | 381,830 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 83,229 |
| Columbia, SC | 94,140 |
| Columbus, GAAL | 73,265 |
| Columbus, OH | 239,612 |
| Concord, CA | 49,422 |
| Corpus Christi, TX | 98,481 |
| DallasFort WorthArlington, TX | 976,154 |
| Davenport, IAIL | 61,837 |
| Dayton, OH | 149,076 |

Page 2 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2009 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Daytona BeachPort Orange, FL | 67,061 |
| DentonLewisville, TX | 40,913 |
| DenverAurora, CO | 343,058 |
| Des Moines, IA | 62,583 |
| Detroit, MI | 827,540 |
| Durham, NC | 74,878 |
| El Paso, TXNM | 314,704 |
| Eugene, OR | 65,325 |
| Evansville, INKY | 48,790 |
| Fayetteville, NC | 74,694 |
| Flint, MI | 101,768 |
| Fort Collins, CO | 42,124 |
| Fort Wayne, IN | 59,038 |
| Fresno, CA | 235,639 |
| Grand Rapids, MI | 101,797 |
| Greensboro, NC | 56,841 |
| Greenville, SC | 76,032 |
| GulfportBiloxi, MS | 57,326 |
| Harrisburg, PA | 58,129 |
| Hartford, CT | 154,541 |
| Honolulu, HI | 145,408 |
| Houston, TX | 1,093,262 |
| Huntsville, AL | 44,745 |
| Indianapolis, IN | 227,362 |
| IndioCathedral CityPalm Springs, CA | 82,352 |
| Jackson, MS | 92,426 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 194,316 |
| Kansas City, MOKS | 255,662 |
| Knoxville, TN | 103,363 |
| Lancaster, PA | 53,620 |
| LancasterPalmdale, CA | 80,425 |
| Lansing, MI | 74,036 |
| Las Vegas, NV | 300,125 |
| Lexington-Fayette, KY | 61,433 |
| Lincoln, NE | 46,139 |
| Little Rock, AR | 95,082 |
| Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | 3,933,572 |
| Louisville, KYIN | 197,913 |
| Lubbock, TX | 70,305 |
| Madison, WI | 65,909 |
| McAllen, TX | 327,825 |
| Memphis, TNMSAR | 286,068 |
| Minani, FL | 1,374,567 287,989 |
| Milwaukee, WI | 350,601 |
| MinneapolisSt. Paul, MN | |
| Mission Viejo, CA | 54,400 113,154 |
| Mobile, AL Modesto, CA | 101,405 |
| Nashville-Davidson, TN | 163,762 |
| New Haven, CT | 98,373 |
| INOW HAVEIL, OT | 30,575 |

Page 3 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2009 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|--|------------------|
| New Orleans, LA | 366,937 |
| New YorkNewark, NYNJCT | 4,446,201 |
| OgdenLayton, UT | 69,084 |
| Oklahoma City, OK | 208,756 |
| Omaha, NEIA | 126,730 |
| Orlando, FL | 269,823 |
| Oxnard, CA | 91,395 |
| Palm BayMelbourne, FL | 79,857 |
| Pensacola, FLAL | |
| Peoria, IL | 87,463 58,276 |
| Philadelphia, PANJDEMD | 1,069,377 |
| PhoenixMesa, AZ | |
| | 705,955 |
| Pittsburgh, PA | 370,876 |
| Port St. Lucie, FL | 65,865 |
| Portland, ORWA | 320,170 |
| PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY | 67,898 |
| Providence, RIMA | 270,303 |
| ProvoOrem, UT | 81,374 |
| Raleigh, NC | 82,364 |
| Reading, PA | 53,300 |
| Reno, NV | 66,500 |
| Richmond, VA | 159,655 |
| RiversideSan Bernardino, CA | 503,692 |
| Rochester, NY | 148,497 |
| Rockford, IL | 54,727 |
| Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI | 22,674 |
| Sacramento, CA | 361,320 |
| Salem, OR | 100,557 |
| Salt Lake City, UT | 158,929 |
| San Antonio, TX | 422,786 |
| San Diego, CA | 688,130 |
| San FranciscoOakland, CA | 614,189 |
| San Jose, CA | 226,733 |
| San Juan, PR | 1,559,757 |
| Santa Rosa, CA | 51,665 |
| SarasotaBradenton, FL | 110,112 |
| Savannah, GA | 66,083 |
| Scranton, PA | 94,704 |
| Seattle, WA | 472,364 |
| Shreveport, LA | 98,162 |
| South Bend, INMI | 59,921 |
| Spokane, WAID | 87,770 |
| Springfield, MACT | 135,603 |
| Springfield, MO | 58,267 |
| St. Louis, MOIL | 419,157 |
| Stockton, CA | 129,269 |
| Syracuse, NY | 100,362 |
| Tallahassee, FL | 65,119 |
| TampaSt. Petersburg, FL | 480,361 |
| TemeculaMurrieta, CA | 42,792 |

Page 4 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2009 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| UDD ANIZED ADEA/CTATE | ADDODTIONATHE |
|--|---------------|
| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
| Thousand Oaks, CA | 23,130 |
| Toledo, OHMI | 123,863 |
| Trenton, NJ | 48,642 |
| Tucson, AZ | 216,799 |
| Tulsa, OK | 140,117 |
| VictorvilleHesperiaApple Valley, CA | 64,235 |
| Virginia Beach, VA | 303,262 |
| Washington, DCVAMD | 585,470 |
| Wichita, KS | 89,343 |
| Winston-Salem, NC | 64,946 |
| Worcester, MACT | 88,073 |
| Youngstown, OHPA | 107,536 |
| TOTAL | \$40,257,360 |
| Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized | |
| Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population | |
| in cas so, ove to 177,777 in a opinimon | |
| Alabama | \$375,505 |
| Alaska | 16,921 |
| Arizona | 135,353 |
| Arkansas | 241,363 |
| California | 1,397,865 |
| Colorado | 225,063 |
| Connecticut | 137,232 |
| Delaware | 23,096 |
| Florida | 782,258 |
| Georgia | 428,545 |
| Hawaii | 25,367 |
| Idaho | 145,239 |
| Illinois | 308,570 |
| Indiana | |
| | 330,267 |
| lowa | 198,548 |
| Kansas | 90,821 |
| Kentucky | 123,466 |
| Louisiana | 389,816 |
| Maine | 118,548 |
| Maryland | 147,426 |
| Massachusetts | 126,002 |
| Michigan | 418,106 |
| Minnesota | 113,455 |
| Mississippi | 69,950 |
| Missouri | 139,873 |
| Montana | 107,191 |
| N. Mariana Islands | 38,895 |
| Nebraska | 7,152 |
| Nevada | 18,519 |
| New Hampshire | 107,473 |
| New Jersey | 68,820 |
| New Mexico | 132,879 |
| Now York | 252 107 |

252,107

New York

Page 5 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2009 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|----------------------|---------------|
| North Carolina | 428,210 |
| North Dakota | 81,305 |
| Ohio | 314,705 |
| Oklahoma | 85,227 |
| Oregon | 108,885 |
| Pennsylvania | 412,314 |
| Puerto Rico | 1,262,894 |
| South Carolina | 240,823 |
| South Dakota | 60,870 |
| Tennessee | 279,881 |
| Texas | 1,505,431 |
| Utah · | 61,958 |
| Vermont | 32,132 |
| Virginia | 286,112 |
| Washington | 372,365 |
| West Virginia | 255,022 |
| Wisconsin | 341,404 |
| Wyoming | 47,891 |
| TOTAL | \$13,419,120 |

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Nonurbanized Areas Less than 50,000 in Population

| Alabama | \$449,145 |
|----------------|-----------|
| Alaska | 43,746 |
| American Samoa | 40,362 |
| Arizona | 241,480 |
| Arkansas | 338,661 |
| California | 683,551 |
| Colorado | 127,016 |
| Connecticut | 32,729 |
| Delaware | 29,825 |
| Florida | 387,687 |
| Georgia | 531,945 |
| Guam | 40,417 |
| Hawaii | 53,392 |
| Idaho | 115,921 |
| Illinois | 302,466 |
| Indiana | 270,651 |
| lowa | 193,091 |
| Kansas | 192,340 |
| Kentucky | 489,453 |
| Louisiana | 419,015 |
| Maine | 129,446 |
| Maryland | 83,513 |
| Massachusetts | 52,179 |
| Michigan | 360,132 |
| Minnesota | 230,496 |
| Mississippi | 485,481 |
| Missouri | 394,932 |
| | |

Page 6 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 16

FY 2009 SECTION 5316 JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE APPORTIONMENTS

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|----------------------|---------------|
| Montana | 116,880 |
| N. Mariana Islands | 22,963 |
| Nebraska | 119,924 |
| Nevada | 35,977 |
| New Hampshire | 56,065 |
| New Jersey | 44,562 |
| New Mexico | 226,186 |
| New York | 430,355 |
| North Carolina | 676,571 |
| North Dakota | 61,798 |
| Ohio | 460,540 |
| Oklahoma | 364,417 |
| Oregon | 184,503 |
| Pennsylvania | 489,113 |
| Puerto Rico | 173,958 |
| Rhode Island | 7,656 |
| South Carolina | 375,018 |
| South Dakota | 92,711 |
| Tennessee | 437,184 |
| Texas | 1,070,630 |
| Utah | 65,952 |
| Vermont | 59,641 |
| Virgin Islands | 40,578 |
| Virginia | 314,645 |
| Washington | 226,806 |
| West Virginia | 265,074 |
| Wisconsin | 228,857 |
| Wyoming | 51,484 |
| TOTAL | \$13,419,120 |

1 of 1

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 17

| Prior Year Unobligated Section 5316 JARC Allocations | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| State | Earmark ID | Project Description | Unobligated Allocation |
| FY 200. | 2 Unobligated Allocation | ons | |
| CA | E2002-JARC-008 | Del Norte County, California | \$73,400 |
| NY | E2002-JARC-054 | Columbia County, New York | 100,000 |
| VA | E2002-JARC-082 | Winchester, Virginia | 1,000,000 |
| , | Subtotal FY 2002 Un | obligated Allocations | \$1,173,400 |
| FY 200 | 3 Unobligated Allocatio | ons | |
| ОН | E2003-JARC-078 | STEP-UP Job Access Project Dayton | \$123,834 |
| NY | E2003-JARC-065 | Chemung County Transit | 74,300 |
| NY | E2003-JARC-066 | Columbia County | 99,067 |
| | Subtotal FY 2003 Un | obligated Allocations | \$297,201 |
| FY 200 | 4 Unobligated Allocatio | ons | |
| AK | E2004-JARC-000 | Craig Transit Service JARC Program | \$49,563 |
| NY | E2004-JARC-070 | Ulster County Area Transit Rural Feeder Service | 49,563 |
| VA | E2004-JARC-101 | Virginia Beach Paratransit Services | 198,252 |
| SD NY | E2004-JARC-083 E2004-JARC-050 | Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Public Bus System New Jersey Community Development Corporation Transportation Opportunity Center | 247,815 297,378 |
| CA | E2004-JARC-013 | City of Irwindale Senior Transportation Services | 64,432 |
| CA | E2004-JARC-014 | Guaranteed Ride Home Santal Clarita | 396,504 |
| MD | E2004-JARC-040 | VoxLinx Voice-Enabled Transit Trip Planner | 1,288,638 |
| TN | E2204-JARC-087 | Monroe County Job Access and Reverse Commute Program | 99,126 |
| | Subtotal FY 2004 Un | nobligated Allocations | \$2,691,271 |
| FY 200 | 95 Unobligated Allocatio | ons | |
| ОН | E2005-JARC-066 | Western Reserve Transit Job Access Program, Ohio | \$79,734 |
| GA | E2005-JARC-026 | Dooly-Crisp Unified Transportation System, Georgia | 198,236 |
| MI | E2005-JARC-042 | DCC Community Health & Safety Transport Project, Michigan | 297,354 |
| PA | E2005-JARC-071 | Philadelphia Unemployment Project (PUP), Pennsylvania | 306,772 |
| WI | E2005-JARC-095 | Wisconsin Statewide JARC | 2,747,662 |
| | | nobligated Allocations | \$3,629,758 |
| | Total Unobligated | Allocations | \$7,791,630 |

Page 1 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 18

FY 2009 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|---|-------------------|
| UZAs 200,000 or more in Population | \$22,580,250 |
| UZAs 50,000-199,999 in Population | 7,526,750 |
| Nonurbanized | 7,526,750 |
| National Total | \$37,633,750 |
| Amounts Apportioned to Urbanized Areas 200,000 or more in Population: | |
| AguadillaIsabelaSan Sebastian, PR | \$58,588 |
| Akron, OH | 75,581 |
| Albany, NY | 72,649 |
| Albuquerque, NM | 84,899 |
| AllentownBethlehem, PANJ | 72,488 |
| Anchorage, AK | 24,223 |
| Ann Arbor, MI | 28,528 |
| Antioch, CA | 28,156 |
| Asheville, NC | 36,015 |
| Atlanta, GA | 413,029 |
| Atlantic City, NJ | 34,302 |
| Augusta-Richmond County, GASC | 50,252 |
| Austin, TX | 92,382 |
| Bakersfield, CA | 60,901 |
| Baltimore, MD | 295,234 |
| Barnstable Town, MA | 36,671 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 65,193 |
| Birmingham, AL | 100,792 |
| Boise City, ID | 29,711 |
| Bonita SpringsNaples, FL | 34,005 |
| Boston, MANHRI | 522,063 |
| BridgeportStamford, CTNY | 110,422 |
| Buffalo, NY | 140,336 |
| Canton, OH | 34,561 |
| Cape Coral, FL | 54,503 |
| CharlestonNorth Charleston, SC | 60,318 |
| Charlotte, NCSC | 89,710 |
| Chattanooga, TNGA | 53,180 |
| Chicago, ILIN | 1,060,090 |
| Cincinnati, OHKYIN | 187,076 |
| Cleveland, OH | 239,952 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 50,508 |
| Columbia, SC | 53,858 |
| Columbus, GAAL | 37,044 |
| Columbus, OH | 133,537 |
| Concord, CA | 56,580 43,151 |
| Corpus Christi, TX | 43,151 526,811 |
| DallasFort WorthArlington, TX | 526,811 34,249 |
| Davenport, IAIL | 34,249 |

Page 2 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 18

FY 2009 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Dayton, OH | 93,910 |
| Daytona BeachPort Orange, FL | 44,901 |
| DentonLewisville, TX | 24,240 |
| DenverAurora, CO | 236,112 |
| Des Moines, IA | 43,032 |
| Detroit, MI | 553,817 |
| Durham, NC | 33,364 |
| El Paso, TXNM | 94,121 |
| Eugene, OR | 29,359 |
| Evansville, INKY | 31,857 |
| Fayetteville, NC | 36,282 |
| Flint, MI | 56,349 |
| Fort Collins, CO | 20,022 |
| Fort Wayne, IN | 35,230 |
| Fresno, CA | 84,904 |
| Grand Rapids, MI | |
| Greensboro, NC | 62,334 35,059 |
| Greenville, SC | |
| GulfportBiloxi, MS | 45,658 |
| • | 33,994 |
| Harrisburg, PA | 42,846 |
| Hartford, CT | 114,736 |
| Honolulu, HI | 92,605 |
| Houston, TX | 491,784 |
| Huntsville, AL | 26,010 |
| Indianapolis, IN | 160,213 |
| IndioCathedral CityPalm Springs, CA | 41,526 |
| Jackson, MS | 41,009 |
| Jacksonville, FL | 126,883 |
| Kansas City, MOKS | 173,248 |
| Knoxville, TN | 61,910 |
| Lancaster, PA | 39,355 |
| LancasterPalmdale, CA | 35,012 |
| Lansing, MI | 36,387 |
| Las Vegas, NV | 198,411 |
| Lexington-Fayette, KY | 32,199 |
| Lincoln, NE | 23,915 |
| Little Rock, AR | 53,908 |
| Los AngelesLong BeachSanta Ana, CA | 1,681,436 |
| Louisville, KYIN | 125,672 |
| Lubbock, TX | 27,652 |
| Madison, WI | 31,803 |
| McAllen, TX | 76,072 |
| Memphis, TNMSAR | 142,222 |
| Miami, FL | 779,468 |
| Milwaukee, WI | 164,560 |
| MinneapolisSt. Paul, MN | 243,652 |
| Mission Viejo, CA | 50,304 |
| Mobile, AL. | 54,145 |

Page 3 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 18

FY 2009 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|--|---------------|
| Modesto, CA | 48,850 |
| Nashville-Davidson, TN | 100,569 |
| New Haven, CT | 69,927 |
| New Orleans, LA | 160,779 |
| New YorkNewark, NYNJCT | 2,655,582 |
| OgdenLayton, UT | 42,793 |
| Oklahoma City, OK | 109,639 |
| Omaha, NEIA | 70,261 |
| Orlando, FL | 163,222 |
| Oxnard, CA | 47,576 |
| Palm BayMelbourne, FL | 62,249 |
| Pensacola, FLAL | 48,349 |
| Peoria, IL | 32,208 |
| Philadelphia, PANJDEMD | 697,524 |
| PhoenixMesa, AZ | 379,732 |
| Pittsburgh, PA | 231,287 |
| Port St. Lucie, FL | 47,592 |
| Portland, ORWA | 196,093 |
| PoughkeepsieNewburgh, NY | 42,357 |
| Providence, RIMA | 177,099 |
| ProvoOrem, UT | 24,099 |
| Raleigh, NC | 50,647 |
| Reading, PA | 32,593 |
| Reno, NV | 42,458 |
| Richmond, VA | 106,086 |
| RiversideSan Bernardino, CA | 210,004 |
| Rochester, NY | 89,292 |
| Rockford, IL | 36,088 |
| Round Lake BeachMcHenryGrayslake, ILWI | 21,527 |
| Sacramento, CA | 196,533 |
| Salem, OR | 28,524 |
| Salt Lake City, UT | 101,975 |
| San Antonio, TX | 194,785 |
| San Diego, CA | 336,528 |
| San FranciscoOakland, CA | 441,480 |
| San Jose, CA | 185,585 |
| San Juan, PR | 421,504 |
| Santa Rosa, CA | 37,211 |
| SarasotaBradenton, FL | 93,602 |
| Savannah, GA | 32,840 |
| Scranton, PA | 63,636 |
| Seattle, WA | 334,066 |
| Shreveport, LA | 41,446 |
| South Bend, INMI | 37,726 |
| Spokane, WAID | 47,456 |
| Springfield, MACT | 88,562 |
| Springfield, MO | 28,699 |
| St. Louis, MOIL | 264,707 |

Page 4 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 18

FY 2009 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Stockton, CA | 50,493 |
| Syracuse, NY | 53,416 |
| Tallahassee, FL | 19,867 |
| TampaSt. Petersburg, FL | 348,702 |
| TemeculaMurrieta, CA | 27,512 |
| Thousand Oaks, CA | 21,358 |
| Toledo, OHMI | 71,256 |
| Trenton, NJ | 37,069 |
| Tucson, AZ | 103,767 |
| Tulsa, OK | 78,681 |
| VictorvilleHesperiaApple Valley, CA | 29,412 |
| Virginia Beach, VA | 174,116 |
| Washington, DCVAMD | 428,019 |
| Wichita, KS | 54,957 |
| Winston-Salem, NC | 38,919 |
| Worcester, MACT | 62,277 |
| Youngstown, OHPA | 62,046 |
| TOTAL | \$22,580,250 |

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Urbanized Areas 50,000 to 199,999 in Population

| Alabama | \$198,406 |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Alaska | 9,287 |
| Arizona | 64,291 |
| Arkansas | 132,516 |
| California | 770,513 |
| Colorado | 127,783 |
| Connecticut | 122,352 |
| Delaware | 15,201 |
| Florida | 577,865 |
| Georgia | 209,239 |
| Hawaii | 21,663 |
| Idaho | 75,292 |
| Illinois | 170,443 |
| Indiana | 193,460 |
| lowa | 112,710 |
| Kansas | 53,119 |
| Kentucky | 72,576 |
| Louisiana | 204,099 |
| Maine | 82,959 |
| Maryland | 131,769 |
| Massachusetts | 89,659 |
| Michigan | 279,158 |
| Minnesota | 66,239 |
| Mississippi | 32,158 |
| Missouri | 78,697 |
| Montana | 54,764 |
| N. Mariana Islands | 11,798 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION TABLE 18

Page 5 of 6

FY 2009 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

(Apportionment amount is based funding made available under the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2009 - P.L. 110-329)

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|----------------------|---------------|
| Nebraska | 3,285 |
| Nevada | 14,970 |
| New Hampshire | 102,846 |
| New Jersey | 54,802 |
| New Mexico | 58,566 |
| New York | 153,111 |
| North Carolina | 310,380 |
| North Dakota | 47,079 |
| Ohio | 212,667 |
| Oklahoma | 36,322 |
| Oregon | 55,623 |
| Pennsylvania | 250,211 |
| Puerto Rico | 337,121 |
| South Carolina | 171,841 |
| South Dakota | 41,450 |
| Tennessee | 175,383 |
| Texas | 661,884 |
| Utah | 23,559 |
| Vermont | 19,716 |
| Virginia | 172,331 |
| Washington | 238,633 |
| West Virginia | 150,373 |
| Wisconsin | 246,541 |
| Wyoming | 30,040 |
| TOTAL | \$7,526,750 |

Amounts Apportioned to State Governors for Nonurbanized Areas Less than 50,000 in Population

| Alabama | \$255,130 |
|----------------|-----------|
| Alaska | 20,702 |
| American Samoa | 3,631 |
| Arizona | 108,709 |
| Arkansas | 183,932 |
| California | 316,454 |
| Colorado | 71,325 |
| Connecticut | 34,091 |
| Delaware | 21,938 |
| Florida | 245,802 |
| Georgia | 290,648 |
| Guam | 10,594 |
| Hawaii | 30,058 |
| Idaho | 49,567 |
| Illinois | 194,023 |
| Indiana | 212,697 |
| lowa | 126,293 |
| Kansas | 109,987 |
| Kentucky | 266,858 |
| Louisiana | 178,785 |

Page 6 of 6

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 18

FY 2009 SECTION 5317 NEW FREEDOM APPORTIONMENTS

| URBANIZED AREA/STATE | APPORTIONMENT |
|----------------------|---------------|
| Maine | 81,170 |
| Maryland | 71,671 |
| Massachusetts | 45,123 |
| Michigan | 254,659 |
| Minnesota | 145,525 |
| Mississippi | 216,731 |
| Missouri | 210,848 |
| Montana | 48,466 |
| N. Mariana Islands | 349 |
| Nebraska | 63,532 |
| Nevada | 26,324 |
| New Hampshire | 54,957 |
| New Jersey | 34,333 |
| New Mexico | 82,859 |
| New York | 265,143 |
| North Carolina | 414,842 |
| North Dakota | 29,252 |
| Ohio | 305,541 |
| Oklahoma | 185,501 |
| Oregon | 125,069 |
| Pennsylvania | 307,467 |
| Puerto Rico | 38,641 |
| Rhode Island | 8,034 |
| South Carolina | 210,786 |
| South Dakota | 38,634 |
| Tennessee | 271,563 |
| Texas | 516,442 |
| Utah | 30,189 |
| Vermont | 37,652 |
| Virgin Islands | 7,321 |
| Virginia | 212,287 |
| Washington | 126,727 |
| West Virginia | 137,882 |
| Wisconsin | 164,684 |
| Wyoming | 25,322 |
| TOTAL | \$7,526,750 |

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TABLE 19 Prior Year Unobligated Section 5339 Alternatives Analysis Allocations Unobligated State Earmark ID Project Location and Description Allocation FY 2006 Unobligated Allocations

| CA | D2006-ALTA-004 | San Jose - BRT treatments to increase ridership | 480,000 |
|-------|---|---|---------------------------|
| | Subtotal FY 2006 Unobligated Allocations. | | \$480,000 |
| State | Earmark ID | Project Location and Description | Unobligated Allocation |

| State | Earmark ID | Project Location and Description | Allocation |
|---------|------------------------|--|--------------|
| FY 2007 | Unobligated Allocatio | ns | |
| CA | E2007-ALTA-001 | San Gabriel Valley-Gold Line Foothill Extension Corridor Study | 1,250,000 |
| IL | E2007-ALTA-002 | Metra BNSF Naperville to Aurora Corridor Study | 1,250,000 |
| MS | E2007-ALTA-006 | Madison-Ridgeland Transportation Commission, Mississippi, Madison LRT Corridor Study | 350,000 |
| NC | E2007-ALTA-007 | Piedmont Authority Regional Transportation East-West Corridor Study | 1,000,000 |
| NJ | E2007-ALTA-008 | Trans-Hudson Midtown Corridor Study | 1,500,000 |
| NJ | E2007-ALTA-010 | New Jersey Transit Midtown Project Study | 2,500,000 |
| OK | D2007-ALTA-018 | Tulsa to Broken Arrow | 137,600 |
| SC | E2007-ALTA-014 | South Carolina Department of Transportation Light Rail Study | 300,000 |
| TN | E2007-ALTA-014 | Sevierville County Transportation Board, Sevier County BRT Study | 500,000 |
| WI | D2007-ALTA-026 | Madison - Madison Area | 200,000 |
| | | nobligated Allocations | \$8,987,600 |
| FY 2008 | Unobligated Allocation | ons | |
| CA | E2008-ALTA-004 | Bus Rapid Transit Alternative Analysis, San Jose | 245,000 |
| CA | E2008-ALTA-005 | Red Car Trolley Engineering Study | 98,000 |
| СТ | E2008-ALTA-012 | Southeastern Connecticut Bus Rapid Transit System | 1,313,200 |
| FL | E2008-ALTA-013 | Bus Rapid Transit Improvements, Broward County | 686,000 |
| FL | E2008-ALTA-014 | Downtown Orlando East-West Circulator System, Orlando | 686,000 |
| FL | E2008-ALTA-015 | Downtown Transit Circulator, Fort Lauderdale | 656,600 |
| FL | E2008-ALTA-017 | Miami-Dade County Metrorail Orange Line Expansion | 1,372,000 |
| GA | E2008-ALTA-018 | I-285 Bus Rapid Transit Project, Atlanta | 490,000 |
| IA | E2008-ALTA-019 | DART Alternative Analysis Design, Des Moines | 245,000 |
| IL | E2008-ALTA-011 | Illinois Valley Commuter Rail, Ottawa | 245,000 |
| NC | E2008-ALTA-023 | Charlotte Rapid Transit Extension-Northeast Corridor LRT Project | 2,695,000 |
| NJ | E2008-ALTA-021 | Northern Branch Rail Service Restoration | 490,000 |
| ОН | E2008-ALTA-024 | West Shore Corridor Alternative Analysis | 343,000 |
| PA | E2008-ALTA-026 | East West Corridor Rapid Transit, Allegheny County | 980,000 |
| PA | E2008-ALTA-027 | Northwest New Jersey/Northeast Pennsylvania Commuter Rail Service | 1,313,200 |
| PA | E2008-ALTA-028 | Philadelphia Navy Yard Transit Extension Study | 392,000 |
| VA | E2008-ALTA-029 | Commuter Rail Station at Carmel Church | 490,000 |
| VA | E2008-ALTA-030 | I-66 Bus Rapid Transit Study | 980,000 |
| WA | E2008-ALTA-031 | Spokane Streetcar Study, Spokane | 294,000 |
| | Subtotal FY 2008 Ur | nobligated Allocations | \$14,014,000 |
| | Total Unobligated | Allocations | \$23,481,600 |
| | | | |

The SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections Act, 2008 rescinded FY 2006 and FY 2007 funding for the Middle Rio Grande Coalition of Governments, Albuquerque to Santa Fe Corridor Study in the amount of \$500,000 each year.

The SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections Act, 2008 made funding for the FY 2006 and FY 2007 Lane County, Oregon Bus Rapid Transit Phase II Corridor Study available to all phases of the project All funding has been obligated.