

penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number.

#### Executive Order 12866

This rule has been determined to be significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

#### Executive Order 13132

This rule does not contain policies with federalism implications as that term is defined in EO 13132.

#### List of Subjects in 19 CFR Part 360

Administrative practice and procedure, Business and industry, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Steel.

For reasons discussed in the preamble, we propose amending 19 CFR 360 as follows:

#### PART 360—STEEL IMPORT MONITORING AND ANALYSIS SYSTEM

1. The authority citation for part 360 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 13 U.S.C. 301(a) and 302.

2. Section 360.105 is revised to read as follows.

#### § 360.105 Duration of the steel import licensing requirement.

The licensing program will be in effect through March 21, 2013, but may be extended upon review and notification in the **Federal Register** prior to this expiration date. Licenses will be required on all subject imports entered during this period, even if the entry summary documents are not filed until after the expiration of this program. The licenses will be valid for 10 business days after the expiration of this program to allow for the final filing of required Customs documentation.

Dated: November 26, 2008.

**Christopher A. Padilla,**

*Under Secretary for International Trade.*

[FR Doc. E8-28683 Filed 12-11-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Chapter I

[Docket No. FDA-2008-N-0622]

#### Withdrawal of Certain Proposed Rules and Other Proposed Actions

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the withdrawal of a certain advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) and proposed rules (NPRMs) that published in the **Federal Register** more than 5 years ago. These proposals are no longer considered viable candidates for final action at this time.

**DATES:** The proposals identified in this document are withdrawn as of December 12, 2008.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

*For Center for Drug Evaluation and Research actions:* Michael D. Bernstein, Office of Regulatory Policy, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 51, Rm. 6240, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, 301-796-3478.

*For Center for Food Safety and Nutrition actions:* Felicia Ellison, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-265), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Pkwy., College Park, MD 20740, 301-436-1264.

*For all other actions:* Erik Mettler, Office of the Commissioner, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., WO1, Rm. 4324, Silver Spring, MD 20993, 301-796-4830.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

In 1990, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) began the process of conducting periodic, comprehensive reviews of its regulations process that included reviewing the backlog of ANPRMs, notices of proposed rulemaking, and other notices for which no final action or withdrawal notice had been issued. In the **Federal Register** of December 30, 1991 (56 FR 67440), FDA issued its first notice withdrawing 89 proposed rules that had published before December 31, 1985, but had never been finalized. Then again, in the **Federal Register** of January 20, 1994 (59

FR 3042), the agency withdrew an additional nine outstanding proposed rules.

FDA published a notice in the **Federal Register** of April 22, 2003 (68 FR 19766), announcing its intent to withdraw 84 proposed rules and other proposed actions that had published in the **Federal Register** more than 5 years ago, but that had never been finalized. Included in this list were 19 proposed rules that were originally proposed for withdrawal in 1991, but at that time the agency decided to defer its decision to withdraw or finalize them until a later date. In the **Federal Register** of November 26, 2004 (69 FR 68831), the agency withdrew 81 proposed rules and other proposed actions.

The agency has conducted another review of its regulations process and found withdrawal is justified for four proposals.

##### II. NPRMs and ANPRMs To Be Withdrawn

Title: Labeling Declaration for FD&C Yellow No. 6 and FD&C Yellow No. 5; Amendment of Standard of Identity for Cheese Product (Proposed Rule, 92N-0334 (60 FR 37611, July 21, 1995))

Reason: Since the publication of this proposal, the underlying science and economic analyses have become outdated.

Title: Over-the-Counter Drug Products Containing Phenylpropanolamine; Required Labeling (Proposed Rule, 95N-0060 (61 FR 5912, February 14, 1996))

Reason: The agency's "Over-the-Counter Drug Products Containing Phenylpropanolamine; Required Labeling" (Proposed Rule, 95N-0060 (61 FR 5912, February 14, 1996)) has been superseded by the issuance of a new proposed rule entitled "Phenylpropanolamine-Containing Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use; Tentative Final Monographs" (1976N-0052N and 1981N-0022 (70 FR 75988, December 22, 2005)).

Title: Reinvention of Administrative Procedures Regulations (ANPRM, 96N-0163 (61 FR 28116, June 4, 1996))

Reason: The ANPRM requested comments on whether there should be possible changes to various existing administrative regulations under the "Reinventing Government" initiative. Since publication, some of the regulations have been addressed in separate rulemakings. The remaining regulations are not under current consideration for rulemaking.

Title: Marketing Exclusivity and Patent Provisions for Certain Antibiotic Drugs (Proposed Rule, 99N-3088 (65 FR 3623, January 24, 2000))

Reason: The provision of law which “Marketing Exclusivity and Patent Provisions for Certain Antibiotic Drugs” (Proposed Rule) was intended to implement, section 125(d) of the Medicare Modernization Act (Public Law 105–115), was superseded by the enactment of Public Law 110–379 (S. 3560) on October 8, 2008, which included new provisions on marketing exclusivity and patent provisions for certain antibiotic drugs.

The withdrawal of the proposals identified in this document does not preclude the agency from reinstating rulemaking concerning the issues addressed in the proposals listed in the previous paragraphs. Should we decide to undertake such rulemakings in the future, we will re-propose the actions and provide new opportunities for comment. Furthermore, this notice is only intended to address the specific actions identified in this document, and not any other pending proposals that the agency has issued or is considering.

The agency notes that withdrawal of a proposal does not necessarily mean that the preamble statement of the proposal no longer reflects the current position of FDA on the matter addressed. You may wish to review the agency’s Web site (<http://www.fda.gov>) for any current guidance on the matter.

**III. Withdrawal of the Proposed Rules and ANPRM**

For the reasons described in this document, FDA is withdrawing the aforementioned proposed rules and ANPRM.

Dated: December 3, 2008.

**Jeffrey Shuren,**

*Associate Commissioner for Policy and Planning.*

[FR Doc. E8–29331 Filed 12–11–08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4160–01–S**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R09–OAR–2008–0863; FRL–8751–5]

**Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Approval of the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District—Reasonably Available Control Technology Analysis**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern the District’s analysis of whether its rules meet Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) under the 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). We are approving the analysis under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to follow with a final action.

**DATES:** Any comments must arrive by January 12, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA–R09–OAR–2008–0863, by one of the following methods:

1. *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions.
2. *E-mail:* [steckel.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:steckel.andrew@epa.gov).
3. *Mail or deliver:* Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

*Instructions:* All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through <http://www.regulations.gov> or e-mail.

<http://www.regulations.gov> is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

*Docket:* The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov> and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stanley Tong, EPA Region IX, (415) 947–4122, [tong.stanley@epa.gov](mailto:tong.stanley@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. The State’s Submittal
  - A. What document did the State submit?
  - B. Are there other versions of this document?
  - C. What is the purpose of the submitted RACT SIP analysis?
- II. EPA’s Evaluation and Action
  - A. How is EPA evaluating the RACT SIP analysis?
  - B. Does the analysis meet the evaluation criteria?
  - C. EPA recommendations to strengthen the SIP
  - D. Public Comment and Final Action
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. The State’s Submittal**

*A. What document did the State submit?*

Table 1 lists the document addressed by this proposal with the date that it was adopted by the local air agency and submitted by the California Air Resources Board.

**TABLE 1—SUBMITTED DOCUMENT**

Local agency	Document	Adopted	Submitted
VCAPCD .....	2006 Reasonably Available Control Technology Analysis .....	06/27/06	01/31/07