

association, or another interested party (including an explanation). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, identify the firms in which your workers are employed or which are members of your association.

(3) A statement indicating whether your firm/entity is willing to participate in these reviews by providing information requested by the Commission.

(4) A statement of the likely effects of the revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on the Domestic Industry in general and/or your firm/entity specifically. In your response, please discuss the various factors specified in section 752(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675a(a)) including the likely volume of subject imports, likely price effects of subject imports, and likely impact of imports of Subject Merchandise on the Domestic Industry.

(5) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. producers of the Domestic Like Product. Identify any known related parties and the nature of the relationship as defined in section 771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1677(4)(B)).

(6) A list of all known and currently operating U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise and producers of the Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country that currently export or have exported Subject Merchandise to the United States or other countries after 2002.

(7) If you are a U.S. producer of the Domestic Like Product, provide the following information on your firm's operations on that product during calendar year 2007 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of the Domestic Like Product accounted for by your firm's(s') production;

(b) The quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s); and

(c) The quantity and value of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of the Domestic Like Product produced in your U.S. plant(s).

(8) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the Subject Merchandise from the Subject Country(ies), provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product

during calendar year 2007 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from each Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') imports;

(b) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from each Subject Country; and

(c) The quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of Subject Merchandise imported from each Subject Country.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country(ies), provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2007 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) The quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from each Subject Country accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country after 2002, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production

facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in each Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 25, 2008.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E8-28409 Filed 11-28-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-394-A & 399-A (Second Review) (Remand)]**

### Ball Bearings From Japan and the United Kingdom

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of stay of remand proceedings.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") hereby gives notice of the stay of its remand proceedings in the Commission's five-year reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings from Japan and the United Kingdom.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 24, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Russell Duncan, Office of Investigations, telephone 202-708-4727, or David Goldfine, Office of General Counsel, telephone 202-708-5452, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-

205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record of investigation Nos. 731–TA–394–A & 399–A may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (“EDIS”) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—In June 2006, the Commission determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonable foreseeable time. The Commission’s determinations for Japan and the United Kingdom were appealed to the Court of International Trade. On September 9, 2008, the Court issued a decision remanding the matter to the Commission for further proceedings. *NSK v. United States*, Slip Op. 08–95 (Ct. Int’l Trade, Sept. 9, 2008). In its opinion, the Court issued an order instructing the Commission to (1) “conduct a *Bratsk* analysis of non-subject imports as outlined in this opinion;” (2) “reassess supply conditions within the domestic industry,” *i.e.*, the industry’s restructuring efforts during the period of review, and (3) “reexamine its findings with regard to likely impact and its decision to cumulate imports from the United Kingdom in light of changes in its determinations that may result as a consequence of the foregoing remand instructions.” The Commission initiated its remand proceeding on October 8, 2008.

On September 18, 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit issued its opinion in *Mittal Steel Point Lisas, Ltd. v. United States* (Ct. No. 2007–1552), which clarified and limited its holding in *Bratsk Aluminium Smelter v. United States*, 444 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2006). On October 9, 2008, the Commission filed a motion for reconsideration with the Court of International Trade (“CIT”), requesting that the CIT reconsider its decision in light of the Federal Circuit’s analysis in *Mittal*. As part of that motion, the Commission also requested the CIT to issue a stay of its remand proceeding pending the Court’s disposition of the motion for reconsideration. Defendant-Intervenor The Timken Company (“Timken”) filed a similar motion for

reconsideration and a motion to stay the remand proceeding.

On October 29, 2008, the CIT granted the motions of the Commission and Timken and ordered a stay of the Commission’s remand proceeding. In that Order, the CIT also directed that the stay shall remain in effect until the Court has ruled on the pending motions for reconsideration.

Accordingly, the remand proceedings in this matter are hereby stayed pending further order.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 24, 2008.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E8–28392 Filed 11–28–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–986 and 987 (Review)]

### Ferrovandium From China and South Africa

#### Determinations

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on ferrovandium from China and South Africa would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on December 3, 2007 (72 FR 67962) and determined on March 7, 2008 that it would conduct full reviews (73 FR 14484, March 18, 2008). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission’s reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on July 8, 2008 (73 FR 39040). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on October 7, 2008, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

Secretary of Commerce on November 24, 2008.

The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4046 (November 2008), entitled *Ferrovandium from China and South Africa: Investigation Nos. 731–TA–986–987 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 24, 2008.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E8–28393 Filed 11–28–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1013 (Review)]

### Saccharin From China

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Scheduling of a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on saccharin from China.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on saccharin from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 24, 2008.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cynthia Trainor (202–205–3354), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**