

Estimated Number of Respondents: 19,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 5 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,583.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: None.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 21, 2008.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8-28215 Filed 11-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-PP-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-570-896)

Magnesium Metal from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of the 2007-2008 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 28, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Demitrios Kalogeropoulos, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4295.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 1, 2008, the Department of Commerce ("the Department")

published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 73 FR 17317 (April 1, 2008). On April 30, 2008, Tianjin Magnesium International Co., Ltd. ("TMI") requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of TMI's exports to the United States for the period of review ("POR") April 1, 2007, through March 31, 2008. Pursuant to this request, the Department published a notice of the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the PRC. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 73 FR 31813 (June 4, 2008).

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation. On September 2, 2008, TMI timely withdrew its request for a review, and no other interested party requested a review of this company. Therefore, the Department is rescinding this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on magnesium metal from the PRC covering the period April 1, 2007, through March 31, 2008, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.402(f)(3), failure to comply

with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and as explained in the APO itself. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: November 20, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E8-28318 Filed 11-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A-570-851)

Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results Pursuant to Final Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 16, 2008, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT's remand, whereby the Department, in accordance with the CIT's instructions: (1) recalculated the assessment rate for Gerber Food (Yunnan) Co., Ltd. (Gerber) using a rate other than the PRC-wide rate as partial adverse facts available (AFA) with respect to certain period of review (POR) sales of subject merchandise produced by Gerber for which the customs entry documentation identified Green Fresh (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd. (Green Fresh) as the exporter; and (2) recalculated the assessment rate for Green Fresh based on the data it reported, exclusive of the aforementioned transactions, without resorting to facts available or adverse inferences. As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this case,