

direction for management of the area. Circumstances affecting National Forest System lands in the Rattlesnake Project Area have changed substantially since 2004. (1) The Phase II Amendment to the Forest Plan was approved on October 31, 2005. This amendment altered management direction for the Black Hills National Forest, including the Rattlesnake Project area, by adding broad-scale objectives increasing management emphasis on hazardous fuels, forest structural diversity, and habitat for rare species. These changes directly affect the type and extent of vegetation management actions the Forest Service takes in the Black Hills. (2) The Cement Fire of July 2005 burned 2,079 acres of National Forest System land in the Rattlesnake Project area. Approximately 77 percent of this area burned at moderate or high intensity, resulting in the mortality of an estimated 1,925,300 cubic feet of sawtimber. (3) Population adjacent to the Rattlesnake Project Area has increased in the last four years with subdivision of the Red Canyon Ranch. These developments could be affected by hazardous fuel conditions in the project area. (4) Mountain pine beetle populations have increased dramatically in an area about five miles south of the Rattlesnake Project area, causing high levels of pine mortality on several hundred acres. This infestation has the potential to spread to the Rattlesnake area. (5) The Forest Service has issued new regulations implementing the National Forest Management Act. These new regulations replace earlier direction under which the Cement Project decision was analyzed and approved. The new planning regulations make it clear that they have minimal application at the project level. This project would be conducted in accordance with the requirements of the new regulations.

Responsible Official

Steve Kozel, District Ranger, Bearlodge Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest, 101 South 21st Street, PO Box 680, Sundance, Wyoming 82729.

Nature of Decision To Be Made

The decision to be made is whether to approve the proposed action or alternatives at this time. No Forest Plan amendments are proposed.

Scoping Process

Comments and input regarding the proposed action are being requested from the public and other interested parties in conjunction with this notice of intent. The comment period will be open for thirty days, beginning on the

date of publication of this notice of intent. Response to the draft EIS will be sought from the interested public beginning approximately in March 2009.

Comment Requested

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides development of the environmental impact statement. It is our desire to involve interested parties in identifying the issues related to proposed activities. Comments will assist in identification of key issues and opportunities to develop project alternatives and mitigation measures.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent

Environmental Review: A draft EIS will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft EIS will extend 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**. This notice is expected to appear in February 2009.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft EISs must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions (*Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978)). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft EIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts (*City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980)). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final EIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft EIS. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing

the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points. Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: November 17, 2008.

Craig Bobzien,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. E8-27840 Filed 11-24-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-533-824

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 6, 2008, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register**, the preliminary results of this administrative review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film). See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Preliminary Results of and Partial Recession the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 45699 (August 6, 2008) (*Preliminary Results*). The review covers one respondent, Jindal Poly Films Limited (Jindal). The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007. We invited interested parties to submit comments on our *Preliminary Results*. Based on our analysis of the comment received, we have made a change to our calculations with respect to the treatment of duty drawback. For the final dumping margins see the "Final Results of Review" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 25, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha Douthit, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Since the publication of the *Preliminary Results*, the following event has occurred. On August 25, 2008, Jindal timely submitted a case brief commenting on the calculations with respect to duty drawback. Petitioners, Dupont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film Of America, Toray Plastics (America), Inc., and SKC America, Inc. did not file a case or rebuttal brief.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the antidumping duty order are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed PET film, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET film are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the antidumping duty order is dispositive.

On August 25, 2003, the Department determined, in a scope ruling, that tracing and drafting film is outside of the scope of the order. *See Notice of Scope Ruling*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

Analysis of Comment Received

The sole issue raised in the case brief by a party to this proceeding is addressed in the Memorandum from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Issue and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on PET Film from India, (Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The sole issue raised concerns the treatment of duty drawback. Parties can find a complete discussion of this issue in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 1117 of the Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at: <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and the electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comment received from Jindal, we have made a change to the margin calculations used in the *Preliminary Results*. The adjustment is discussed in detail in the Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted average antidumping margin exists for the period July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007.

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted-Average Margin
Jindal Poly Films Limited (Jindal)	0.00 percent (<i>de minimis</i>)

Assessment

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries pursuant to section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent). The Department intends to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003 (68 FR 23954). *See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by any of the companies for which we are rescinding this review, and for which each non-shipment respondent did not know its merchandise would be exported by another company to the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, consistent with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate will be zero for Jindal; (2)

if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, but was covered in a previous review or the original less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the "All Others" rate established in the original LTFV investigation, adjusted for the export subsidy rate found in the companion countervailing duty investigation, which results in a rate of 5.71 percent. *See Certain Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 8072 (February 17, 2005). These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO as explained in the APO itself. *See* 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 17, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-28018 Filed 11-24-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-823-808]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Ukraine; Preliminary Results of Full Sunset Review of the Suspension Agreement

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of the Full Sunset Review of the Suspension Agreement on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Ukraine.

SUMMARY: On August 1, 2008, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated a sunset review of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate (“CTL plate”) from Ukraine pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). See *Initiation of Five-year (“Sunset”) Review*, 73 FR 44968 (August 1, 2008) (“Initiation Notice”). On the basis of notices of intent to participate filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department is conducting a full (240-day) review. As a result of this review, the Department preliminarily finds that termination of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on CTL plate from Ukraine would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Preliminary Results of Review section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 25, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judith Rudman or Jay Carreiro, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-0192 or (202) 482-3674.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History of the Suspension Agreement

On December 3, 1996, the Department initiated an antidumping duty

investigation under section 732 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate (“CTL plate”) from Ukraine. See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from the People’s Republic of China, Ukraine, the Russian Federation, and the Republic of South Africa*, 61 FR 64051 (December 3, 1996). On June 11, 1997, the Department preliminarily determined that CTL plate from Ukraine was being, or was likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value. See *Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Ukraine*, 62 FR 31958 (June 11, 1997).

The Department suspended the antidumping duty investigation on October 24, 1997, on the basis of an agreement by the Government of Ukraine to restrict the volume of direct and indirect exports of CTL plate to the United States in order to prevent the suppression or undercutting of price levels of U.S. domestic like products. See *Suspension of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Ukraine*, 62 FR 61766 (November 19, 1997). Thereafter, the Department completed its investigation and published in the **Federal Register** its final determination of sales at less than fair market value. In the final determination, the Department calculated weighted-average dumping margins of 81.43 percent for JSC Azovstal Iron & Steel Works (“Azovstal”), 155.00 percent for JSC Ilyich Iron & Steel Works (“Ilyich”), and 237.91 for “all other” Ukrainian manufacturers, producers, and exporters of the subject merchandise. See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Ukraine*, 62 FR 61754 (November 19, 1997). A Suspension Agreement (“Agreement”) remains in effect for all manufacturers, producers, and exporters of CTL plate from Ukraine.¹

Background

On August 1, 2008, the Department initiated a sunset review of the suspended antidumping duty investigation on CTL plate from Ukraine, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation Notice*, 73 FR

¹ On September 29, 2008, a revised Suspension Agreement was signed by representatives of Ukrainian CTL plate producers. This agreement became effective November 1, 2008, and replaces the previous non-market economy agreement, and amendments to it, that have been in effect since 1997. For more information, see http://www.trade.gov/press/press_releases/2008/ukraine_092908.asp.

44968. The Department received notices of intent to participate on behalf of ArcelorMittal USA, SSAB North America Division, Evraz S.A. Oregon Steel Mills and Evraz S.A. Claymont, and Nucor Corporation (collectively, “domestic interested parties”), within the applicable deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department’s regulations. See *Notices of Intent to Participate for ArcelorMittal USA, Inc.* (August 18, 2008) and *SSAB North America Division; Evraz S.A. Oregon Steel Mills; and Evraz S.A. Claymont* (August 15, 2008). Domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as producers of the domestic like products. In addition, domestic interested parties assert that they are not related to a foreign producer/exporter and are not importers, or related to importers, of the subject merchandise.

The Department also received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in the Department’s regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). See *Collective Substantive Response for ArcelorMittal USA, SSAB North America Division, Evraz S.A. Oregon Steel Mills and Evraz S.A. Claymont, and Nucor Corporation* (August 29, 2008). On September 2, 2008, the Department received a complete substantive response from Azovstal Iron & Steel Works (“Azovstal”) and Ilyich Iron & Steel Works (“Ilyich”) (collectively, “respondent interested parties”). See *Substantive Response for Azovstal and Ilyich* (September 2, 2008). Respondent interested parties assert that they participated fully in the original investigation and have exported CTL plate from Ukraine in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Agreement. Respondent interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(A) of the Act as foreign manufacturers, producers, and exporters of CTL plate from Ukraine. Domestic interested parties did not submit rebuttal responses.

After examining the substantive responses from all parties, on September 22, 2008, the Department determined that the domestic interested parties’ and respondent interested parties’ responses were adequate, consistent with the requirements of 19 CFR 351.218(e). See *Letter from Edward C. Yang, Director, AD/CVD Operations, China/NME Group, Import Administration, to Robert Carpenter, Director, Office of Investigations, International Trade Commission* (September 22, 2008). Because the responses of both domestic and respondent interested parties