

nuclear materials waste as defined in the regulations or orders of the Commission;

4. The regulation of the disposal of such other byproduct, source, or special nuclear materials waste as the Commission from time to time determines by regulation or order should, because of the hazards or potential hazards thereof, not be disposed without a license from the Commission;

5. The evaluation of radiation safety information on sealed sources or devices containing byproduct, source, or special nuclear materials and the registration of the sealed sources or devices for distribution, as provided for in regulations or orders of the Commission;

6. The regulation of byproduct material as defined in Section 11e.(2) of the Act;

7. The regulation of the land disposal of byproduct, source, or special nuclear material waste received from other persons.

ARTICLE III

With the exception of those activities identified in Article II.1 through 4, this Agreement may be amended, upon application by the Commonwealth and approval by the Commission, to include one or more of the additional activities specified in Article II, whereby the Commonwealth may then exert regulatory authority and responsibility with respect to those activities.

ARTICLE IV

Notwithstanding this Agreement, the Commission may from time to time by rule, regulation, or order, require that the manufacturer, processor, or producer of any equipment, device, commodity, or other product containing source, byproduct, or special nuclear material shall not transfer possession or control of such product except pursuant to a license or an exemption from licensing issued by the Commission.

ARTICLE V

This Agreement shall not affect the authority of the Commission under Subsection 161b or 161i of the Act to issue rules, regulations, or orders to protect the common defense and security, to protect restricted data, or to guard against the loss or diversion of special nuclear material.

ARTICLE VI

The Commission will cooperate with the Commonwealth and other Agreement States in the formulation of standards and regulatory programs of the Commonwealth and the Commission for protection against hazards of radiation and to assure that Commission and Commonwealth programs for protection against hazards of radiation will be coordinated and compatible.

The Commonwealth agrees to cooperate with the Commission and other Agreement States in the formulation of standards and regulatory programs of the Commonwealth and the Commission for protection against hazards of radiation and to assure that the Commonwealth's program will continue to be compatible with the program of the Commission for the regulation of materials covered by this Agreement.

The Commonwealth and the Commission agree to keep each other informed of proposed changes in their respective rules and regulations, and to provide each other

the opportunity for early and substantive contribution to the proposed changes.

The Commonwealth and the Commission agree to keep each other informed of events, accidents, and licensee performance that may have generic implication or otherwise be of regulatory interest.

ARTICLE VII

The Commission and the Commonwealth agree that it is desirable to provide reciprocal recognition of licenses for the materials listed in Article I licensed by the other party or by any other Agreement State.

Accordingly, the Commission and the Commonwealth agree to develop appropriate rules, regulations, and procedures by which such reciprocity will be accorded.

ARTICLE VIII

The Commission, upon its own initiative after reasonable notice and opportunity for hearing to the Commonwealth, or upon request of the Governor of the Commonwealth, may terminate or suspend all or part of this agreement and reassert the licensing and regulatory authority vested in it under the Act if the Commission finds that (1) such termination or suspension is required to protect public health and safety, or (2) the Commonwealth has not complied with one or more of the requirements of Section 274 of the Act.

The Commission may also, pursuant to Section 274j of the Act, temporarily suspend all or part of this agreement if, in the judgment of the Commission, an emergency situation exists requiring immediate action to protect public health and safety and the Commonwealth has failed to take necessary steps. The Commission shall periodically review actions taken by the Commonwealth under this Agreement to ensure compliance with Section 274 of the Act which requires a Commonwealth program to be adequate to protect public health and safety with respect to the materials covered by this Agreement and to be compatible with the Commission's program.

ARTICLE IX

This Agreement shall become effective on [date], and shall remain in effect unless and until such time as it is terminated pursuant to Article VIII.

Done at [Richmond, Virginia] this [date] day of [month], [year].

For the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dale E. Klein,
Chairman.

For the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Timothy M. Kaine,
Governor.

[FR Doc. E8-27582 Filed 11-19-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

Notice of Meeting of the Industry Trade Advisory Committee on Small and Minority Business (ITAC-11)

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice of a partially opened meeting.

SUMMARY: The Industry Trade Advisory Committee on Small and Minority Business (ITAC-11) will hold a meeting on Monday, December 8, 2008, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. The meeting will be closed to the public from 9 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. and opened to the public from 1 p.m. to 3 p.m.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for December 8, 2008, unless otherwise notified.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura Hellstern, DFO for ITAC-11 at (202) 482-3222, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: During the opened portion of the meeting the following agenda items will be considered.

- Process for adding or deleting products from GSP eligibility from a country.
- U.S. Customs and Border Protection: Technical Corrections Relating to the Rules of Origin for Goods Imported Under the NAFTA and for Textile and Apparel Products: NPRM.
- Small Business Administration Update.

Colleen J. Litkenhaus,

Assistant U.S. Trade Representative for Intergovernmental Affairs and Public Liaison.
[FR Doc. E8-27652 Filed 11-19-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-W9-P

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. WTO/DS381]

WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding Regarding United States—Measures Concerning the Importation, Marketing and Sale of Tuna and Tuna Products

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative ("USTR") is

providing notice that on October 24, 2008, Mexico requested consultations with the United States under the *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization* (“WTO Agreement”) concerning U.S. limitations on the use of a dolphin-safe label for tuna and tuna products. That request may be found at <http://www.wto.org> contained in a document designated as WT/DS381/1. USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in this dispute.

DATES: Although USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the dispute settlement proceedings, comments should be submitted on or before December 23, 2008 to be assured of timely consideration by USTR.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted (i) electronically to <http://www.regulations.gov>, docket number USTR–2008–0038, or (ii) by fax, to Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395–3640. For documents sent by fax, USTR requests that the submitter provide a confirmation copy to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Priti Seksaria Agrawal, Associate General Counsel, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508, (202) 395–9439.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: USTR is providing notice that consultations have been requested pursuant to the WTO *Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes* (“DSU”). If such consultations should fail to resolve the matter and a dispute settlement panel is established pursuant to the DSU, such panel, which would hold its meetings in Geneva, Switzerland, would be expected to issue a report on its findings and recommendations within nine months after it is established.

Major Issues Raised by Mexico

On October 24, 2008, Mexico requested consultations regarding U.S. limitations on the use of a dolphin-safe label for tuna and tuna products. Mexico challenges three U.S. measures: (1) The Dolphin Protection Consumer Information Act (19 U.S.C. 1385); (2) certain dolphin-safe labeling regulations (50 CFR 216.91–92); and (3) the Ninth Circuit decision in *Earth Island v. Hogarth*, 494 F.3d. 757 (9th Cir. 2007), and alleges that these measures have the effect of prohibiting Mexican tuna and tuna products from being labeled dolphin-safe. Specifically, Mexico alleges that its tuna and tuna products are accorded less favorable treatment

than like products of national origin and like products originating in other countries and are not immediately and unconditionally accorded any advantage, favor, privilege, or immunity granted to like products in other countries. Mexico further alleges that the U.S. measures create unnecessary obstacles to trade and are not based on an existing international standard. Finally, Mexico alleges that the U.S. procedures for assessing conformity with the dolphin-safe labeling requirement create unnecessary obstacles to trade and do not grant access to Mexican suppliers under conditions that are no less favorable than those accorded to suppliers of like products of national origin or originating in any other country under comparable circumstances. Mexico alleges that the U.S. measures appear to be inconsistent with the *General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994*, Articles I and III, and the *Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade*, Articles 2, 5, 6, and 8.

Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in this dispute. Persons may submit their comments either (i) electronically to <http://www.regulations.gov> docket number USTR–2008–0038, or (ii) by fax, to Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395–3640. For documents sent by fax, USTR requests that the submitter provide a confirmation copy to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

To submit comments via <http://www.regulations.gov>, enter docket number USTR–2008–0038 on the home page and click “go”. The site will provide a search-results page listing all documents associated with this docket. Find a reference to this notice by selecting “Notice” under “Document Type” on the left side of the search-results page, and click on the link entitled “Send a Comment or Submission.” (For further information on using the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site, please consult the resources provided on the Web site by clicking on “How to Use This Site” on the left side of the home page.)

The <http://www.regulations.gov> site provides the option of providing comments by filling in a “General Comments” field, or by attaching a document. It is expected that most comments will be provided in an attached document. If a document is attached, it is sufficient to type “See attached” in the “General Comments” field.

A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the submitter. Confidential business information must be clearly designated as such and the submission must be marked “BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL” at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page. Any comment containing business confidential information must be accompanied by a non-confidential summary of the confidential information. The non-confidential summary will be placed in the docket and open to public inspection.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitter believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitter—

(1) Must clearly so designate the information or advice;

(2) Must clearly mark the material as “SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE” at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page; and

(3) Must provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice. The non-confidential summary will be placed in the docket and open to public inspection.

USTR will maintain a docket on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public. The public file will include non-confidential comments received by USTR from the public with respect to the dispute; if a dispute settlement panel is convened or in the event of an appeal from such a panel, the U.S. submissions, any non-confidential summaries of submissions, received from other participants in the dispute; the report of the panel; and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body.

Comments will be placed in the docket and open to public inspection pursuant to 15 CFR 2006.13, except confidential business information exempt from public inspection in accordance with 15 CFR 2006.15 or information determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with 19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2). Comments may be viewed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site by entering docket number USTR–2008–

0038 in the search field on the home page.

Daniel Brinza,

Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E8-27658 Filed 11-19-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-W9-P

**OFFICE OF PERSONNEL
MANAGEMENT**

SES Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the appointment of members of the OPM Performance Review Board.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet Smith, Center for Human Capital Management Services, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20415, (202) 606-4473.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, one or more SES performance review boards. The board reviews and evaluates the initial appraisal of a senior executive's performance by the supervisor, and considers recommendations to the appointing authority regarding the performance of the senior executive.

Office of Personnel Management.

Michael W. Hager,

Acting Director.

The following have been designated as members of the Performance Review Board of the U.S. Office of Personnel Management:

Howard Weizmann, Deputy Director—Chair.

Patricia Hollis, Chief of Staff and Director of External Affairs.

Mark Reger, Chief Financial Officer.

Kay Ely, Associate Director, Human Resources Products and Services Division.

Nancy Kichak, Associate Director, Strategic Human Resources Policy Division.

Kevin Mahoney, Associate Director, Human Capital Leadership and Merit System Accountability Division.

Kathy Dillaman, Associate Director, Federal Investigative Services Division.

Ronald Flom, Associate Director, Management Services Division and Chief Human Capital Officer.

John Maher, General Counsel.

James F. McDermott, Director of Human Resources and Chief Human Capital Officer.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Mark Reinhold, Deputy Associate Director for Human Capital Management Services—Executive Secretariat.

[FR Doc. E8-27533 Filed 11-19-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-38-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2009-8; Order No. 132]

Competitive Products Price Changes

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently filed Postal Service notice of changes to rates of general applicability for competitive products and related classification changes. The price changes are scheduled to become effective January 18, 2009.

DATES: Comments are due December 1, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 and stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 13, 2008, the Postal Service filed notice with the Commission concerning changes in rates of general applicability for competitive products.¹ The Filing also includes related mail classification changes. As required by the Commission's rules, 39 CFR 3015.2(b), the Filing includes an explanation and justification for the changes, the effective date, and a schedule of the changed rates. The price changes are scheduled to become effective January 18, 2009.

Attached to the Filing is the Governors' Decision evaluating the new prices and classification changes in accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3632-33 and 39 CFR 3015.2.

¹ See Notice of the United States Postal Service of Changes in Rates of General Applicability for Competitive Products Established in Governors' Decision No. 08-19, November 13, 2008 (Filing). The Filing is available on the Commission's Web site, <http://www.prc.gov>, under Daily Listings for November 13, 2008. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(2), the Postal Service is obligated to publish the Governors' Decision and record of proceedings in the *Federal Register* at least 30 days before the effective date of the new rates or classes.

The Governors' Decision includes two Attachments. Attachment A provides an analysis of the competitive products' price and classification changes intended to demonstrate that the changes comply with section 3633(a) of title 39 and the Commission's rules.² 39 CFR 3015.7(c).

Attachment B to the Governors' Decision sets forth the price changes and related product description changes to be incorporated into the draft Mail Classification Schedule. Selected highlights of the price and classification changes follow.

Express Mail. Overall, Express Mail prices increase by approximately 5.7 percent, with average retail prices increasing by approximately 6 percent. Changes to the price structure include supplanting the current Commercial Volume Incentives category with a Commercial Plus category that provides lower prices, rather than rebates, for customers meeting specified volume levels. Customers paying through the use of qualifying metered systems will be eligible for the lower prices currently available to customers paying postage online and through authorized payment methods.

Priority Mail. Priority Mail prices increase by 3.9 percent overall, with average retail prices increasing by about 4.7 percent. Changes to the price structure include the creation of a Commercial Plus category, similar to the one for Express Mail, to provide lower prices for customers mailing specified volumes. A small flat-rate box is also added as a new Priority Mail option. Pricing incentives currently available to customers paying postage online or through authorized payment methods are extended to customers paying through the use of qualifying metered systems.

Parcel Select. Parcel Select service increases, on average, by 5.9 percent. Prices are designed to encourage dropshipping at destination delivery units (DDUs), and accordingly, increase more for destination bulk mail center (BMC) entry than for destination sectional center facility entry and DDU entry.

Parcel Return. Parcel Return service increases, on average, by 5.3 percent. Return BMC prices will increase by 7.1 percent with no increase for return delivery unit prices.

Global Express Guaranteed. Global Express Guaranteed service increases, on average, by 11.2 percent. Price

² Page 4 of Attachment A to the Governors' Decision was filed publicly in redacted form. The unredacted version of page 4 was filed under seal in the nonpublic index.