

*PRA@fcc.gov* and/or to *Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov*. To submit your comments by U.S. mail, mark them to the attention of Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection(s), contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918 or send an e-mail to *PRA@fcc.gov* and/or *Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*OMB Control No.:* 3060–0678.

*Title:* Part 25 of the Commission's Rules Governing the Licensing of, and Spectrum Usage by, Satellite Network Stations and Space Stations.

*Form No.:* FCC Form 312 and Schedule S.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit entities.

*Number of Respondents:* 4,112 respondents; 4,112 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 1 Hour.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion and annual reporting requirements; Third party disclosure requirement.

*Obligation to Respond:* Required to obtain or retain benefits. The Commission has statutory approval for the information collection requirements under Sections 4(i), 7(a), 303(c), 303(f), 303(g) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Sections 154(i), 157(a), 303(c), 303(f), 303(g) and 303(r).

*Total Annual Burden:* 42,579 hours.

*Total Annual Cost:* \$613,719,126.

*Privacy Act Impact Assessment:* No impact(s).

*Nature and Extent of Confidentiality:* There is no need for confidentiality pertaining to the information collection requirements in this collection.

*Needs and Uses:* On October 17, 2008, the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") released an Eighth Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration titled, "In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review—Streamlining and Other Revisions of Part 25 of the Commission's Rules Governing the Licensing of, and Spectrum Usage by, Satellite Network Earth Stations and Space Stations; Streamlining the Commission's Rules and Regulations for Satellite Applications and Licensing Procedures" (FCC 08–246), IB Docket Nos. 00–248 and 95–117. In the Eighth Report and Order, the Commission further streamlined the Commission's non-routine earth station processing rules by adopting a new earth station procedure

that will enable the Commission to treat more applications routinely than is possible under the current earth station procedures. This rulemaking facilitates the provision of broadband Internet access services.

The PRA information collection requirements contained in the Eighth Report and Order are as follows:

1. The Commission plans to modify the "Application for Satellite Space and Earth Station Authorizations" (FCC Form 312), including Schedule B, in the International Bureau Filing System ("MyIBFS") to reflect the off-axis equivalent isotropically radiated power (EIRP) envelope compliance requirement. In the interim, earth station applicants must submit a table as an attachment to the FCC Form 312 to show their compliance with the off-axis EIRP requirement.

2. Earth station licensees who plan to use a contention protocol must certify that their contention protocol usage will be reasonable. In the future, the Commission will revise the FCC Form 312 in MyIBFS to provide a streamlined method for earth station applicants planning to use a contention protocol to make this certification.

The information collection requirements accounted for in this collection are necessary to determine the technical and legal qualifications of applicants or licensees to operate a station, transfer or assign a license, and to determine whether the authorization is in the public interest, convenience and necessity. Without such information, the Commission could not determine whether to permit respondents to provide telecommunication services in the U.S. Therefore, the Commission would be unable to fulfill its statutory responsibilities in accordance with the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and the obligations imposed on parties to the World Trade Organization (WTO) Basic Telecom Agreement.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E8–27247 Filed 11–14–08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712–01–P**

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Notices

**AGENCY:** Federal Election Commission.

**DATE AND TIME:** Tuesday, November 18, 2008 at 10 a.m.

**PLACE:** 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** This meeting will be closed to the public.

**ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:** Compliance matters pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g.

Audits conducted pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 437g, 438(b), and Title 26, U.S.C.

Matters concerning participation in civil actions or proceedings or arbitration.

Internal personnel rules and procedures or matters affecting a particular employee.

**PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:**

Mr. Robert Biersack, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694–1220.

**Mary W. Dove,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E8–27138 Filed 11–14–08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6715–01–M**

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act; Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** Federal Maritime Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** November 20, 2008—10 a.m.

**PLACE:** 800 North Capitol Street, NW., First Floor Hearing Room, Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** A portion of the meeting will be in Open Session and the remainder of the meeting will be in Closed Session.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

#### Open Session

(1) Semiannual IG Report to the Congress.

(2) FY 2008 Competitive Sourcing Efforts.

(3) FMC Agreement No. 201197, SSA Terminals (Oakland) Cooperative Working Agreement

(4) Docket No. 06–01—Worldwide Relocations, Inc., All-in-One Shipping, Inc., Boston Logistics, Corp., Around the World Shipping, Inc., *et al.*

#### Closed Session

(1) Internal Administrative Practices and Personnel Matters.

(2) Docket No. 06–06—EuroUSA Shipping Inc., Tober Group, Inc., and Container Innovations, Inc.—Possible Violations of Section 10 of the Shipping Act of 1984 and the Commission's Regulations at 46 CFR 515.27.

(3) *Federal Maritime Commission v. City of Los Angeles, California*; Harbor Department of the City of Los Angeles; Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Los Angeles; City of Long Beach, California; Harbor Department of the City of Long Beach; Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Long