of the Galena VORTAC, AK, and within 22 miles of the Galena VORTAC, AK, extending from the 268° radial to the 315° radial of the Galena VORTAC, AK, and within 4 miles north of the 088° radial of the Galena VORTAC, AK, and extending from the 6.7-mile radius of the Edward G. Pitka Airport to 14 miles east of the Galena VORTAC, AK, and within 4 miles south of the 268° radial of the Galena VORTAC, AK; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 73-mile radius of the Edward G. Pitka Airport, AK.

Issued in Anchorage, AK, on October 27, 2008.

Anthony M. Wylie,
Manager, Alaska Flight Services Information Area Group.

[FR Doc. E8–26656 Filed 11–6–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
40 CFR Part 52
Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Revisions to the Nevada State Implementation Plan; Clark County

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Clark County portion of the Nevada State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision consists of transportation conformity criteria and procedures related to interagency consultation and enforceability of certain transportation-related control measures and mitigation measures. We are proposing to approve a local plan to include the transportation conformity criteria and procedures in the applicable SIP.

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by December 8, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA–R09–OAR–2008–0728, by one of the following methods:
2. E-mail: vagenas.ginger@epa.gov.
3. Mail or deliver: Ginger Vagenas (AIR–2), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through http://www.regulations.gov or e-mail. The http://www.regulations.gov portal is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at http://www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ginger Vagenas, EPA Region IX, (415) 972–3964, vagenas.ginger@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the Clark County Transportation Conformity Plan, submitted on April 1, 2008 by the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) to comply with certain requirements that apply to the nonattainment portions of Clark County. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving this local plan in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, section, or paragraph of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: September 24, 2008.

Wayne Nastri,
Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. E8–26515 Filed 11–6–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
40 CFR Part 59
National Volatile Organic Compound Emission Standards for Aerosol Coatings

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to amend the National Volatile Organic Compound Emission Standards for Aerosol Coatings, which establishes national reactivity-based emission standards for the aerosol coatings category (aerosol spray paints) under the Clean Air Act (CAA). In this “Rules and Regulations” section of this Federal Register, we are making these same amendments as a direct final rule, without a prior proposed rule. If we receive no adverse comment, we will not take further action on this proposed rule.

DATES: Comments must be received by December 8, 2008, or December 22, 2008 if a hearing is requested.

Public Hearing: If anyone contacts EPA requesting to speak at a public hearing concerning the proposed regulation by November 17, 2008, we will hold a public hearing on November 24, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Comments. Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OAR–2006–0971 by mail to National Volatile Organic Compound Emission Standards for Aerosol Coatings, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. Please include a total of two copies. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through
hand delivery/courier by following the
detailed instructions in the
ADDRESSES
section of the Direct Final Rule located
in the “Rules” section of this Federal
Register.
Public Hearing: If you are interested
in attending the public hearing, contact
Ms. Joan Rogers at (919) 541–4487 to
verify that a hearing will be held. If a
public hearing is held, it will be held at
10 a.m. at EPA’s Campus located at 109
T.W. Alexander Drive in Research
Triangle Park, NC, or an alternate site
nearby. If no one contacts EPA
requesting to speak at a public hearing
concerning this rule by November 17,
2008, this meeting will be cancelled
without further notice.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For
further information, contact Ms. J. Kaye
Whitfield, U.S. EPA, Office of Air
Quality Planning and Standards, Sector
Policies and Programs Division, Natural
Resources and Commerce Group (E143–
03), Research Triangle Park, NC 27711;
telephone number (919) 541–2509;
facsimile number (919) 541–3470; e-
mail address: whitfield.kaye@epa.gov.
For information concerning the Clean
Air Act (CAA) Section 183(e) consumer
and commercial products program,
contact Mr. Bruce Moore, U.S. EPA,
Office of Air Quality Planning and
Standards, Sector Policies and Programs
Division, Natural Resources and
Commerce Group (E143–03), Research
Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711,
telephone number: (919) 541–5460,
facsimile number (919) 541–3470, e-
mail address: moore.bruce@epa.gov.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Why Is EPA Issuing This Proposed
Rule?
This document proposes to take
action on the National Volatile Organic
Compound Emission Standards for
Aerosol Coatings to move the
applicability and compliance dates for
aerosol coatings from January 1, 2009 to
July 1, 2009. EPA is making initial
notifications required due on the
compliance date, as opposed to 90 days
in advance of the compliance date. We
have published a direct final rule to
make these same amendments in the
“Rules and Regulations” section of this
Federal Register because we view this
as a non-controversial action and
anticipate no adverse comment. We
have explained our reasons for this
action in the preamble to the direct final
rule.
If we receive no adverse comment, we
will not take further action on this
proposed rule. If we receive adverse
comment, we will withdraw the direct
final rule, and it will not take effect. We
would address all public comments in
any subsequent final rule based on this
proposed rule.
We do not intend to institute a second
coment period on the action. Any
parties interested in commenting must
do so at this time.
The regulatory text for the proposal is
identical to that for the direct final rule
and published in the “Rules and
Regulations” section of this Federal
Register.
II. Does This Action Apply to Me?
The entities potentially affected by
this proposed rule are the same entities
that are subject to the Aerosol Coatings
final rule. The entities affected by the
Aerosol Coatings final rule include:
Manufacturers, processors, distributors,
importers of aerosol coatings for sale or
distribution in the United States, and
manufacturers, processors, distributors,
or importers who supply the entities
listed above with aerosol coatings for
sale or distribution in interstate
commerce in the United States.
III. Statutory and Executive Order
Reviews
For a complete discussion of all the
administrative requirements applicable
to this action, see the Direct Final Rule
in the “Rules and Regulations” section
of this Federal Register.
List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 59
Environmental protection,
Administrative practice and procedure,
Air pollution control, Intergovernmental
relations, Reporting and recordkeeping
requirements.
Stephen L. Johnson,
Administrator.
[FR Doc. E8–26613 Filed 11–6–08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
47 CFR Part 73
[DA 08–2334; MB Docket No. 08–197; RM–
11491]
Radio Broadcasting Services;
Silverpeak, NV
AGENCY: Federal Communications
Commission.
ACTION: Proposed rule.
SUMMARY: This document sets forth a
proposal to amend the FM Table of
Allowments, Section 73.202(b) of the
Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 73.202(b).
The Commission requests comment on
a petition filed by Shamrock
Communications, Inc. Petitioner
proposes the allotment of FM Channel
291C at Silverpeak, Nevada, as that
community’s first local service. Channel
291C can be allotted in accordance with
the Commission’s minimum distance
separation requirements with a site
restriction of 42.0 km (26.1 miles) east
of Silverpeak. The proposed coordinates
for Channel 291C at Silverpeak are 47–
49–22 North Latitude and 117–09–53
West Longitude. See SUPPLEMENTARY
INFORMATION infra.
DATES: Comments must be filed on or
before December 15, 2008, and reply
comments on or before December 30,
2008.
ADDRESSES: Federal Communications
Commission, Washington, DC 20554.
In addition to filing comments with the
FCC, interested parties should serve
petitioner’s counsel as follows: Kenneth
É. Satton, Esq., Patricia M. Chuh, Esq.,
Wilkinson Barker Knauer, LLP, 2300 N
Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC
20037.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Deborah A. Dupont, Media Bureau,
(202) 418–7072.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a
synopsis of the Commission’s Notice of
Proposed Rulemaking, MB Docket No.
08–197, adopted October 22, 2008, and
released October 24, 2008. The full text
of this Commission decision is available
for inspection and copying during normal
business hours in the FCC Reference
Information Center (Room
CY–A257), 445 12th Street, SW.,
Washington, DC 20554. The complete
text of this decision may also be
purchased from the Commission’s copy
contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc.,
445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402,
Washington DC 20554, (800) 378–3160,
or via the company’s Web site, http://
www.bcpiweb.com. This document does
not contain proposed information
collection requirements subject to the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,
Public Law 104–13. In addition,
therefore, it does not contain any
proposed information collection burden
“for small business concerns with fewer
than 25 employees,” pursuant to the
Small Business Paperwork Relief Act of
2002, Public Law 107–198, see 44 U.S.C.
3506(c)(4).
The Provisions of the Regulatory
Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to
this proceeding. Members of the public
should note that from the time a Notice
of Proposed Rulemaking is issued until
the matter is no longer subject to
Commission consideration or court
review, all ex parte contacts are
prohibited in Commission proceedings,