

notes that the contract may differ in minor respects; for example, prices may vary due to volume commitments, signing dates of the agreements, existence of previous agreements, and other case specific and negotiation related factors. *Id.* at 4–5. The Postal Service maintains, however, that “[i]ncidental differences to accommodate the respective mailer[] do nothing to detract from the conclusion that the[] agreement[] [is] ‘functionally equivalent in all pertinent respects.’” *Id.* at 5.

The Postal Service asks that the contract be added to the existing GEPS 1 product. *Id.* at 2 and 5. It further notes that the contract is “set to expire one year after the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary approvals and reviews of the agreement have been obtained, culminating with a favorable conclusion on review by the Commission.” *Id.* at 2.

II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2008–25 for review of this contract. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

Interested persons may express views and offer comments on whether the planned changes are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642. Comments are due no later than October 2, 2008.

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is Ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2008–25 for consideration of the matters raised in this docket.

2. Comments on issues in these proceedings are due no later than October 2, 2008.

3. The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington as Public Representative to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Steven W. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–22980 Filed 9–29–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold an Open Meeting on Wednesday, October 1, 2008 at 10 a.m., in the Auditorium, Room L–002.

The subject matter of the Open Meeting will be:

Item 1: The Commission will hear oral argument on an appeal by Gary M. Kornman from an initial decision of an administrative law judge barring him from associating with any broker, dealer, or investment adviser. The law judge based her decision to impose associational bars on Kornman’s having been criminally convicted of making a false statement to the Commission in violation of 18 U.S.C. 1001. Issues likely to be considered include whether it is in the public interest to bar Kornman from association with any broker, dealer, or investment adviser.

Item 2: The Commission will hear oral argument on an appeal by Nature’s Sunshine Products, Inc. (“Nature’s Sunshine”) from an initial decision of an administrative law judge. The law judge found that Nature’s Sunshine had violated Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Exchange Act Rules 13a–1 and 13a–13 by failing to file any annual report on Form 10–K since filing its Form 10–K for the year ended December 31, 2004, and by failing to file any quarterly report on Form 10–Q with financial statements that had been reviewed by a registered independent public accounting firm since filing its Form 10–Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005. Issues likely to be considered include whether it is necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors to revoke the registration of Nature’s Sunshine’s common stock.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.

For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact: The Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.

Dated: September 24, 2008.

Florence E. Harmon,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–22830 Filed 9–29–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold a Closed Meeting on Wednesday, September 24, 2008, at 4:30 p.m.

Commissioners, Counsels to the Commissioners, the Acting Secretary to the Commission, and certain staff members who have an interest in the matter will attend the Closed Meeting.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions as set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(8) and (9) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(8) and (9), permit consideration of the scheduled matter at the Closed Meeting.

Commissioner Casey, as duty officer, voted to consider the item listed for the closed meeting in closed session, and determined that no earlier notice thereof was possible.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, September 24, 2008, will be: Matters related to the financial markets.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact:

The Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.

Dated: September 24, 2008.

Florence E. Harmon,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–22858 Filed 9–29–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–58625; File No. SR–Amex–2008–51]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change as Modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 Thereto Related to Amendments to Rule 991 (Communications to Customers) and Rule 921 (Opening of Accounts)

September 23, 2008.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the

“Exchange Act”¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 25, 2008, the American Stock Exchange LLC (the “Amex” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. Amex filed Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 to the proposed rule change on August 22, 2008, and September 5, 2008, respectively.³ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Amex Rule 991 (“Communications to Customers”) to delete references to certain provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) that no longer apply to standardized options⁴ issued by registered clearing agencies and update and reorganize the rule for greater clarity. In addition, the proposal seeks to amend Amex Rule 921 (“Opening of Account”) in connection with the information member organizations must obtain from customers. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, the Commission’s Public Reference Room and <http://www.amex.com>.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

a. Rule 991 (Communications to Customers)

On December 23, 2002, the Commission published final rules that exempt standardized options issued by registered clearing agencies and traded on a registered national securities exchange or registered national securities association from the Securities Act (other than the anti-fraud provisions) and the registration requirements of the Exchange Act.⁵ Since the Securities Act and the rules thereunder (other than the anti-fraud provisions) are no longer applicable to such standardized options, the Amex proposes to remove elements of the Securities Act that are embedded in Amex Rule 991. In particular, the Exchange proposes to remove all references to a “prospectus” from Rule 991. Prospectuses are no longer required for standardized options, and The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) has, in fact, ceased publication of a prospectus.⁶ In addition, the proposed amendments would update and reorganize Rule 991. For uniformity, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. and the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. have filed proposed rule amendments with the Commission to implement similar rule language and format changes.⁷

i. Deletion of Certain Provisions of Rule 991

Amex Rule 991 contains a number of references to a prospectus and other Securities Act requirements. The Exchange proposes to delete the following from Rule 991:

- Rule 991(a)(iv), which references the Securities Act prospectus definition;
- Rule 991(d), which incorporates Securities Act principles in that it prohibits written material concerning options (*i.e.*, an offering) from being

furnished to any person who has not previously or contemporaneously received the current ODD;

- Rule 991(e)(ii), which defines the term “Educational Material;”⁸
- Commentary.02A to Rule 991, which outlines what is permitted in an “Advertisement;”⁹ and
- Commentary.03 to Rule 991, which concerns educational material.¹⁰

ii. Re-designation of Rule 991(a) to Proposed Rule 991(d) and Related Amendments

Amex Rule 991(a) currently provides an outline of the “General Rule” for options communications. The Exchange proposes to re-designate paragraph (a) as paragraph (d), and to incorporate limitations on the use of options communications contained in current Commentary.01 to Rule 991 into proposed Rule 991(d). In addition, proposed Rule 991(d)(iii) would amend Rule 991(a)(iii) by clarifying the types of cautionary statements and caveats that are prohibited. As previously noted, the Amex proposed to delete Rule 991(a)(iv).

iii. Proposed Amendments to Rule 991(b)

Amex proposes to amend Rule 991(b) to include the types of communications proposed to be added to the definition of “Options Communications” in proposed Rule 991(a). Proposed Rule 991(b)(ii) and (b)(iii) would also amend the current requirement to obtain advanced approval by a Registered Options Principal (“ROP”) for most options communications, defined as “Correspondence” and “Institutional Sales Material.” Specifically, proposed Rule 991(b)(ii) would exempt Correspondence from the pre-approval requirement unless the Correspondence is distributed to 25 or more existing retail customers within any 30 calendar day period, and make any financial or investment recommendation or otherwise promotes a product or service of the member. All correspondence would be subject to the supervision and review requirements of Rule 922. Proposed Rule 991(b)(iii) would exempt Institutional Sales Material from the pre-approval requirement if the material is

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 modified certain definitions in and made non-substantive corrections to proposed Rule 991.

⁴ “Standardized Option” is defined in Rule 19b–1 under the Exchange Act to mean options contracts trading on a registered national securities exchange, an automated quotation system of a registered national securities association, or a foreign exchange which relate to options classes the terms of which are limited to specific expiration dates and exercise prices, or such other securities as the Commission may, by order, designate.

⁵ See “Exemption for Standardized Options From Provisions of the Securities Act of 1933 and From the Registration Requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; Final Rule,” Securities Act Release No. 8171 and Securities Exchange Act Release No. 47082 (Dec. 23, 2002), 68 FR 188 (Jan. 2, 2003).

⁶ The options disclosure document (the “ODD”) prepared in accordance with Rule 9b–1 under the Exchange Act is not deemed to be a prospectus. 17 CFR § 230.135b. See, e.g., Securities Act Release No. 8049 (Dec. 21, 2001), 67 FR 228 (Jan. 2, 2002).

⁷ See Exchange Act Release No. 57720 (Apr. 25, 2008) 73 FR 24332 (May 2, 2008) (SR–FINRA–2008–13) and Exchange Act Release No. 58138 (Jul. 10, 2008), 73 FR 20886 (Jul. 16, 2008) (SR–CBOE–2007–30).

⁸ This paragraph essentially incorporates language of Rule 134a under the Securities Act. While this amendment would eliminate the separate educational material category, as discussed below, the Exchange also proposes to revise the definition of Sales Literature to include educational material.

⁹ This paragraph essentially incorporates language of Rule 134 under the Securities Act.

¹⁰ See note 7, *supra*.

distributed to “qualified investors” as defined in Section 3(a)(54) of the Exchange Act.¹¹

Pre-approval by a ROP would, however, be required with respect to independently prepared reprints. In addition, proposed Rule 991(b)(iv) would require that firms retain options communications in accordance with the recordkeeping requirements of Rule 17a-4 under the Exchange Act.¹² The proposed rule would also require that firms retain other related documents in the form and for the time periods required for options communications by Rule 17a-4.

iv. Proposed Amendments to Rule 991(c)

Amex Rule 991(c) currently requires members and member organizations to obtain approval for every advertisement and all educational material from the Exchange. This requirement applies regardless of whether the options communications are used before or after delivery of a current ODD. The Exchange proposes to amend this provision to require approval by the Exchange only with respect to communications used prior to the delivery of a current ODD. The Exchange’s pre-approval requirement for options communications used subsequent to the delivery of the ODD would be eliminated because the ODD should help alert the customer to the characteristics and risks associated with trading in options and because Rule 991(b) requires the ROP of a member organization to pre-approve options communications, subject to exceptions for “Correspondence” and “Institutional Sales Material.” Rule 991(c) would also be amended to include the types of communications added to the definition of “Options Communications” in proposed Rule 991(a).

v. Re-designation of Rule 991(e) as Proposed Rule 991(a) and Related Amendments

Rule 991(e) currently defines the terms used in Rule 991. The Amex proposes to re-designate paragraph (e) as paragraph (a). The Exchange also proposes to amend the definition of “Options Communications” in proposed Rule 991(a) to expand the types of communications governed by Rule 991

to include independently prepared reprints and other communications between a member or member organization and a customer. The Exchange proposes to amend the definitions of “Advertisement” and “Sales Literature”; and define “Correspondence,” “Institutional Sales Material,” “Public Appearances” and “Independently Prepared Reprints” to clarify the rule. In addition, as previously noted, Amex proposes to delete the definition of “Educational Material.”

vi. Proposed Rule 991(e)

Proposed Rule 991(e) would set forth (i) standards for options communications that are not preceded or accompanied by an ODD and (ii) standards for options communications used prior to delivery of an ODD. These requirements generally clarify and restate the requirements contained in current Commentary .02 to Rule 991.

vii. Related Commentaries

Proposed Rule 991(e)(i)(B) would require options communications to contain contact information for obtaining a copy of the ODD. Proposed Commentary .01 to Rule 991 would include the provisions found in current Commentary .02A to Rule 991 regarding how this requirement may be satisfied. In addition, as noted above, the provisions of current Commentary .01 to Rule 991 regarding limitations on the use of options communications would be incorporated into proposed Rule 991(d).

As previously noted, the provisions of current Commentary .02 to Rule 991 that outline what is permitted in an advertisement would be deleted, and the provisions relating to standards for options communications used prior to delivery of the ODD would be incorporated into proposed Rule 991(e)(ii).

Current Commentary .03 to Rule 991 regarding educational materials also would be deleted, as noted above.

Current Commentary .04 to Rule 991 sets forth the standards applicable to Sales Literature. Current Commentary .04A sets forth the requirement that Sales Literature shall state that supporting documentation for any claims, comparisons, recommendations, statistics or other technical data will be supplied upon request. The Exchange proposes to re-designate current Commentary .04A as proposed Rule 991(d)(vii).

Current Commentary .04B to Rule 991 relates to standards for Sales Literature that contain projected performance figures. Current Commentary .04C

relates to standards for Sales Literature that contains historical performance figures. The Exchange proposes to re-designate current Commentary .04B as proposed Commentary .02 to Rule 991 and current Commentary .04C as proposed Commentary .03 to Rule 991.

Rule 991 currently requires that a copy of the ODD precede or accompany options related sales literature. The Exchange proposes to modify the ODD delivery requirement applicable to sales literature to provide that an ODD must precede or accompany any communication that conveys past or projected performance figures involving options or constitutes a recommendation pertaining to options.¹³

A notice providing the name and address of a person from whom the ODD may be obtained would be required in sales literature that does not contain a recommendation of past or projected performance figures. Because Amex is proposing to merge educational material into the sales literature category,¹⁴ this amendment would continue to allow communications that are educational in nature to be disseminated without being preceded or accompanied by a copy of the ODD.

The Exchange proposes to re-designate current Commentary .04D to Rule 991 as proposed Commentary .04 to Rule 991. The Exchange proposes to delete current Commentaries .04E, F and G to Rule 991. The Exchange believes Commentaries .04E and F are unnecessary because worksheets are included in the definition of Sales Literature. In addition, the Exchange believes Commentary .04G is no longer necessary because the Exchange is proposing to clarify the recordkeeping requirements applicable to options communications in proposed Rule 991(b)(iv).

b. Rule 921 (Opening of Accounts)

The proposal would also amend Rule 921 in connection with the opening of options accounts. Currently, Commentary .01 to Rule 921 requires a member organization to obtain certain information about its options customers in order to comply with the due diligence requirement in opening a new account under Rule 921(c). In order to conform to the requirements of Rule 17a-3(a)(17) under the Exchange Act, the proposed amendments would require that in addition to all the essential information to determine suitability, a member organization must

¹³ See proposed Rule 991(e)(i)(C) and proposed Commentaries .02 and .03 to Rule 991.

¹⁴ See proposed Rule 991(a)(ii).

¹¹ See 15 U.S.C. § 78c(a)(54).

¹² 17 CFR § 240.17a-4. More specifically, Rule 17a-4(b)(4) requires that a broker-dealer retain “originals of all communications received and copies of all communications sent * * * including all communications which are subject to rules of a self-regulatory organization of which the member, broker or dealer is a member regarding communications with the public.”

also obtain the customer's name, Tax Identification Number, address, and telephone number.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule changes are consistent with Section 6 of the Act,¹⁵ in general, and further the objectives of Section 6(b)(5),¹⁶ in particular, in that they are designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, by providing the investing public with options communications rules that are designed to provide appropriate safeguards and greater clarity by promoting harmonization between the Amex and other SRO options communications rules and conforming Rule 921 to the requirements of Rule 17a-3(a)(17) under the Exchange Act. The Exchange also believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Exchange Act because the proposed amendments to Amex Rule 991 reflect amendments to the Securities Act that generally exempt standardized options, and will update and reorganize the Rule.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will (A) by order

approve such proposed rule change, or (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Amex-2008-51 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2008-51. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Amex. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2008-51 and should be submitted on or before October 21, 2008.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Florence E. Harmon,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-22962 Filed 9-29-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-58626; File No. SR-FINRA-2008-046]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change, and Amendment No. 1 Thereto, To Amend the By-Laws of FINRA Regulation To Realign the Representation of Industry Members on the National Adjudicatory Council To Follow More Closely the Categories of Industry Representation on the FINRA Board

September 23, 2008.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 8, 2008, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA," f/k/a National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD")) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been substantially prepared by FINRA. On September 17, 2008, FINRA filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

FINRA is proposing to amend the By-Laws of FINRA's regulatory subsidiary ("FINRA Regulation") to realign the representation of industry members on the National Adjudicatory Council ("NAC") to follow more closely the industry representation on the FINRA Board of Governors ("FINRA Board"), to eliminate the Regional Nominating Committees, to transfer such committees' responsibilities for NAC industry appointments to the FINRA Nominating Committee ("Nominating Committee"), and to change the name of "NASD Regulation" and "NASD" to

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).