

the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. On or before the comment date, it is not necessary to serve motions to intervene or protests on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on September 12, 2008.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-19812 Filed 8-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP06-61-000; CP01-23-003]

North Baja Pipeline, LLC; Notice of Motion To Vacate Certificate in Part

August 20, 2008.

Take notice that on June 25, 2008, North Baja Pipeline, LLC (North Baja), 1400 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 900, Portland, Oregon 94201, filed in Docket Nos. CP06-61-000 and CP01-23-003, a motion to vacate a portion of the certificate authority granted on October 2, 2007, in those dockets, allowing North Baja to modify and expand its existing pipeline system to facilitate the importation of regasified liquefied

natural gas from Mexico into California and Arizona (Expansion Project). North Baja states that the planned construction of a 45.7-mile, 16-inch diameter lateral pipeline extending from a point north of its Ogilby Meter Station to the Imperial Irrigation District's El Centro Generating Facility in Imperial County, California (the "IID Lateral" proposed as construction Phase I-A), is no longer necessary due to the Imperial Irrigation District's decision to terminate its precedent agreement. North Baja seeks to vacate the portion of its certificate that authorizes the IID Lateral facilities, proposed as Phase I-A of the project.

The motion is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection. This motion is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Carl M. Fink, Associate General Counsel, North Baja Pipeline, LLC (North Baja), 1400 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 900, Portland, Oregon 94201, (503) 833-4256.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of North Baja's request. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to this proceeding should, on or before the comment date listed below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of this filing and all subsequent filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy of all filing to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, other persons do not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the

Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to North Baja's request. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to this project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only in support of or in opposition to North Baja's request should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the applicant. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link.

Comment Date: September 10, 2008.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-19814 Filed 8-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP08-457-000]

CenterPoint Energy Gas Transmission Company-Mississippi River Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 15, 2008.

Take notice that on August 6, 2008, CenterPoint Energy Gas Transmission Company-Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT), 1111 Louisiana Street, Houston, Texas, 77002 filed in Docket No. CP08-457-000 a prior notice request pursuant to sections 157.205 and 157.213(b) of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA), and MRT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-489-000.¹ MRT seeks

¹ 20 FERC ¶ 62,579 (1982).

authorization to drill, own and operate four new vertical storage wells, 3.4 miles of 6-inch and 4-inch associated storage field flow lines, 4-inch orifice meters and appurtenant measurement facilities including one automatic and three manual control valves, and approximately 1.3 miles of new permanent access roads, all within MRT's East Unionville Storage Field (East Unionville) in Lincoln Parish, Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the Web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call toll-free, (886) 208-3676 or TTY, (202) 502-8659.

Specifically, MRT proposes to construct, own and operate the four new wells and appurtenant facilities for the purpose of recovering non-effective gas and restoring late season deliverability for MRT's customers. Pursuant to the requirements of section 157.213(b) of the Commission's regulations, the proposed facilities will not impact the total inventory, pressure, boundaries, or certificated capacity of East Unionville. MRT projects that after successful completion of the project, it will be able to increase its mid-to late-season deliverability from 340 MMcf/d to 390 MMcf/d.² As MRT is obligated by Article 7.4 of its 2001 Uncontested Stipulation and Agreement in Docket Nos. TM00-1-25-000, *et al.*, and RP01-292-000, *et al.*, to restore the late season deliverability and to bear all costs incurred to meet customer deliverability requirements,³ MRT is not requesting rolled-in rate treatment for the approximately \$15.9 million cost associated with the construction of the proposed facilities. These costs will be recorded and maintained in a separate account to be excluded from MRT's rate base in any future rate case.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Lawrence O. Thomas, Director, Rate & Regulatory, CenterPoint Energy-Mississippi River Transmission Corporation, P.O. Box 21743,

Supervisor—Rate & Regulatory, CenterPoint Energy Gas Transmission Company, P.O. Box 21734, Shreveport, Louisiana 71151, or call (318) 429-2804, FAX (318) 429-3133.

Any person or the Commission's Staff may, within 60 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and, pursuant to section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Comment Date: October 14, 2008.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8-19823 Filed 8-26-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8708-9]

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Availability of 30 Modified Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) in Louisiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability for comment of the

administrative record files for 30 modified TMDLs and the calculations for these TMDLs prepared by EPA Region 6 for waters listed in the Lower, Middle, and Upper Terrebonne Basins, under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA). These TMDLs were completed in response to the court order styled *Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford, et al.*, No. 96-0527, (E.D. La.).

DATES: Comments must be submitted in writing to EPA on or before September 26, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the 30 modified TMDLs should be sent to Diane Smith, Environmental Protection Specialist, Water Quality Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 6, 1445 Ross Ave., Dallas, TX 75202-2733 or e-mail: smith.diane@epa.gov. For further information, contact Diane Smith at (214) 665-2145 or fax (214) 665-7373. The administrative record files for these TMDLs are available for public inspection at this address as well. Documents from the administrative record file may be viewed at <http://www.epa.gov/region6/water/npdes/tmdl/index.htm>, or obtained by calling or writing Ms. Smith at the above address. Please contact Ms. Smith to schedule an inspection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Diane Smith at (214) 665-2145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1996, two Louisiana environmental groups, the Sierra Club and Louisiana Environmental Action Network (plaintiffs), filed a lawsuit in Federal Court against the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), styled *Sierra Club, et al. v. Clifford et al.*, No. 96-0527, (E.D. La.). Among other claims, plaintiffs alleged that EPA failed to establish Louisiana TMDLs in a timely manner. EPA originally established these TMDLs pursuant to a consent decree entered in this lawsuit. EPA has modified these TMDLs.

EPA Seeks Comments on 30 Modified TMDLs

By this notice EPA is seeking comment on the following 30 modified TMDLs for waters located within the Terrebonne basin:

Subsegment	Waterbody name	Pollutant
120102	Bayou Poydras	Dissolved Oxygen and Nutrients.
120103	Bayou Choctaw	Dissolved Oxygen, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Nutrients.
120105	Chamberlin Canal	Dissolved Oxygen, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Nutrients.

² MRT's certificated maximum withdrawal volume is 480,000 Mcf/d [51 FPC ¶ 1,866 (1974)].

³ 98 FERC ¶ 61,021 (2002).