

Comments, including names and street addresses of respondents, will be available for public review at the Forest Supervisor's Office, Black Hills National Forest, 1019 North 5th Street, Custer, South Dakota 57730, during regular business hours.

Individual respondents may request confidentiality. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, be advised that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold from public review your personal identifying information, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. If you wish to withhold your name or address from public review or from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. Such requests will be honored to the extent allowed by law. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organization or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Notice is hereby given that an opportunity for a public meeting is afforded in connection with the proposed withdrawal. All interested persons who desire a public meeting for the purpose of being heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request to the Forest Supervisor's Office within 90 days from the date of publication of this notice. Upon determination by the authorized officer that a public meeting will be held, a notice of the time and place will be published in the **Federal Register** at least 30 days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

For a period of two years from the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, the land will be segregated from location or entry under the United States mining laws, unless the application is denied or canceled or the withdrawal is approved prior to that date. The land will remain open to other uses within the statutory authority pertinent to National Forest lands and subject to discretionary approval.

The application will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR 2300.

**Authority:** 43 CFR 2310.3–1(b).

Dated: August 12, 2008.

**Theresa M. Hanley,**

*Deputy State Director, Division of Resources.*

[FR Doc. E8–19262 Filed 8–19–08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–11–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### South Coast Conduit/Upper Reach Reliability Project, Santa Barbara County, CA

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and notice of public meeting for the draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR).

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Federal lead agency and the Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board (COMB) is the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) State lead agency. Together, these agencies have made available for public review and comment the draft EIS/EIR.

The South Coast Conduit (SCC)/Upper Reach Project involves installation of a second water pipeline for improving water supply reliability to Cachuma Project (CP) and State Water Project (SWP) customers on the south coast of Santa Barbara County. This draft EIS/EIR addresses changes to the physical, natural, and human environment that would occur as a result of installing and operating the second pipeline.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on the draft environmental document on or before October 3, 2008.

A public meeting will be held on September 10, 2008 from 6 p.m. to 8 p.m. in Santa Barbara, CA to discuss the purpose and content of the draft environmental document and to provide the public an opportunity to comment on the draft environmental document. Written comments will be accepted at the public meeting.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments on the draft EIS/EIR to Ms. Judi Tapia, Bureau of Reclamation, 1243 'N' Street, Fresno, CA 93721–1831, or Mr. Brett Gray, Cachuma Operation and Maintenance Board, 3301 Laurel Canyon Road, Santa Barbara, CA 93105–2017.

The public meeting will be held at the COMB office, 3301 Laurel Canyon Road, Santa Barbara, CA.

Copies of the draft document may be requested from Ms. Judi Tapia at 1243

'N' Street, Fresno, CA 93721–1831, or at [jtapia@mp.usbr.gov](mailto:jtapia@mp.usbr.gov), or from Mr. Brett Gray, COMB, 3301 Laurel Canyon Road, Santa Barbara, CA 93105, or at [bgray@cachuma-board.org](mailto:bgray@cachuma-board.org).

The draft EIS/EIR is also accessible from the following Web sites: <http://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/index.cfm> or <http://www.comb.org>. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for locations where copies of the draft EIS/EIR are available.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Judi Tapia, Bureau of Reclamation, at 559–487–5138, TDD 559–487–5933, or Mr. Brett Gray, COMB, at 805–687–4401.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The existing SCC/Upper Reach pipeline provides approximately 80 percent of the water supply for communities along the South Coast of Santa Barbara County. Reclamation owns the SCC facilities and COMB manages the facilities under a Transfer of Operations and Maintenance Contract with Reclamation. The SCC operates at capacity for extended periods of time, and during peak demands it is not able to provide the water needed. No redundant supply or pipeline exists to convey CP or SWP water to the South Coast if the Upper Reach of the SCC is out of service due to scheduled and/or unexpected repairs. The proposed project would increase the operational flexibility, reliability, and conveyance capacity of the SCC between the South Portal of Tecolote Tunnel and the Corona Del Mar Water Treatment Plant to accommodate peak demand levels and to allow maintenance of one pipeline while the other is operational. The total amount of water delivered per year, however, would not increase.

The draft EIS/EIR considers the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects on the physical, natural, and human environment that may result from the construction and operation of the SCC/Upper Reach second pipeline. The draft EIS/EIR addresses potentially significant environmental issues and recommends adequate and feasible mitigation measures to reduce or eliminate significant environmental impacts, where possible. Two alternative pipeline alignments as well as no project and no action alternatives are addressed.

Copies of the draft EIS/EIR are available for public review at the following locations:

- Bureau of Reclamation, South-Central California Area Office, 1243 'N' Street, Fresno, CA 93721–1831.

- Santa Barbara Central Public Library, 40 East Anapamu Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101.
- Goleta Public Library, 500 North Fairview Avenue, Goleta, CA 93117.
- COMB office, 3301 Laurel Canyon Road, Santa Barbara, CA 93105-2017.

### Special Assistance for Public Meetings

If special assistance is required at the public meeting, please contact Mr. Brett Gray, COMB, at 805-687-4401, or at [bgray@cachuma-board.org](mailto:bgray@cachuma-board.org), no less than five working days before the meeting to allow Reclamation/COMB to secure the needed services. If a request cannot be honored, the requestor will be notified.

### Public Disclosure

Before including your name, address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: July 11, 2008.

**Donald R. Glaser,**

*Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. E8-19246 Filed 8-19-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-596]

### In the Matter of Certain GPS Chips, Associated Software and Systems, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review a Final Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") final initial determination ("ID") issued on June 13, 2008 finding no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337 in the above-captioned investigation.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential

documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on March 13, 2007, based on a complaint filed by SiRF Technology, Inc. ("SiRF") of San Jose, California. 72 FR 11378 (March 13, 2007). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain GPS chips, associated software and systems, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,304,216 ("the '216 patent"); 7,043,363 ("the '363 patent"); 7,091,904 ("the '904 patent"); and 7,132,980 ("the '980 patent"). The complaint named as respondent Global Locate, Inc. of San Jose, California ("Global Locate"). The complaint and notice of investigation were later amended to include one additional claim of the '904 patent. Subsequently, the investigation was terminated with respect to the '904 patent, the '980 patent, and certain claims of the '216 and the '363 patents, and the complaint and notice of investigation were amended to add Broadcom, Inc. of Irvine, California ("Broadcom") as a respondent to the investigation.

On March 13, 2008, the Commission determined not to review an ID issued by the ALJ granting in part SiRF's motion for summary determination that it had satisfied the importation requirements of 19 U.S.C. 1337. On March 20, 2008, the Commission further determined not to review an ID issued by the ALJ granting SiRF's motion for summary determination that it had satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement.

On June 13, 2008, the ALJ issued his final ID finding no violation of section 337 by respondents Global Locate and Broadcom. The ID included the ALJ's

recommended determination on remedy and bonding. In the subject ID, the ALJ found that Global Locate's products do not infringe asserted claims 1, 6, 10-12, 17-19, 64, 65, 69, 70, 72, or 73 of the '216 patent. The ALJ also found that the asserted claims are not invalid as anticipated by any prior art. The ALJ further found that SiRF failed to prove that a domestic industry exists for articles protected by the '216 patent. In addition, the ALJ found that Global Locate's products do not infringe asserted claims 7, 8, 10-12, 16, and 18-20 of the '363 patent. The ALJ also found that the asserted claims of the '363 patent are invalid as anticipated by each of the GPS Builder System and the First GPS system. The ALJ further found that SiRF has established that a domestic industry exists for articles protected by the '363 patent.

On June 27, 2008, SiRF filed a petition for review seeking review of the ALJ's ID with respect to the '216 patent. Also on June 27, 2008, Global Locate filed a contingent petition for review, seeking review of certain aspects of the ALJ's findings concerning both the '216 and '363 patents. On July 7, 2008, Global Locate filed an opposition to SiRF's petition for review and SiRF filed a response to Global Locate's contingent petition for review. Also on July 7, 2008, the Commission Investigative Attorney filed a response to both SiRF's petition and Global Locate's contingent petition.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 14, 2008.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E8-19209 Filed 8-19-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1140-1142 (Final)]

### Uncovered Innerspring Units From China, South Africa, and Vietnam

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.