

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Gregory Trussell**,  
*Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of  
 Information Services.*  
 [FR Doc. E8-18919 Filed 8-14-08; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
 Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of  
 information collection and solicitation  
 of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has recently  
 submitted to OMB for review the  
 following proposal for the collection of  
 information under the provisions of the  
 Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44  
 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby  
 informs potential respondents that an  
 agency may not conduct or sponsor, and  
 that a person is not required to respond  
 to, a collection of information unless it  
 displays a currently valid OMB control  
 number. The NRC published a **Federal  
 Register** Notice with a 60-day comment  
 period on this information collection on  
 March 25, 2008.

1. *Type of submission, new, revision,  
 or extension:* Extension.
2. *The title of the information  
 collection:* 10 CFR Part 20—Standards  
 for Protection Against Radiation.
3. *Current OMB approval number:*  
 3150-0014.
4. *The form number if applicable:*  
 N/A.
5. *How often the collection is  
 required:* Annually for most reports and  
 at license termination for reports  
 dealing with decommissioning.
6. *Who will be required or asked to  
 report:* NRC licensees, including those  
 requesting license terminations.
7. *An estimate of the number of  
 annual responses:* 4152.
8. *The estimated number of annual  
 respondents:* 3740.
9. *An estimate of the total number of  
 hours needed annually to complete the  
 requirement or request:* 108,894 hours  
 (4,434 hours for reporting plus 104,460  
 hours for recordkeeping).
10. *Abstract:* 10 CFR Part 20  
 establishes standards for protection  
 against ionizing radiation resulting from  
 activities conducted under licenses  
 issued by the NRC. These standards  
 require the establishment of radiation  
 protection programs, maintenance of

radiation protection programs,  
 maintenance of radiation records  
 recording of radiation received by  
 workers, reporting of incidents which  
 could cause exposure to radiation,  
 submittal of an annual report to NRC of  
 the results of individual monitoring,  
 and submittal of license termination  
 information. These mandatory  
 requirements are needed to protect  
 occupationally exposed individuals  
 from undue risks of excessive exposure  
 to ionizing radiation and to protect the  
 health and safety of the public.

A copy of the final supporting  
 statement may be viewed free of charge  
 at the NRC Public Document Room, One  
 White Flint North, 11555 Rockville  
 Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville,  
 Maryland 20852. OMB clearance  
 requests are available at the NRC  
 worldwide Web site: [http://  
 www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-  
 comment/omb/index.html](http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html). The  
 document will be available on the NRC  
 home page site for 60 days after the  
 signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be  
 directed to the OMB reviewer listed  
 below by September 15, 2008.  
 Comments received after this date will  
 be considered if it is practical to do so,  
 but assurance of consideration cannot  
 be given to comments received after this  
 date.

Nathan J. Frey, Office of Information  
 and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0014),  
 NEOB-10202, Office of Management  
 and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be e-mailed to  
[Nathan\\_J\\_Frey@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nathan_J_Frey@omb.eop.gov) or  
 submitted by telephone at (202) 395-  
 7345.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Russell  
 Nichols, (301) 415-6874.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day  
 of August 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.  
**Gregory Trussell**,  
*Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of  
 Information Services.*  
 [FR Doc. E8-18921 Filed 8-14-08; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Draft Regulatory Guide: Denial of Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory  
 Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of denial of request to  
 extend the comment period of Draft  
 Regulatory Guide (DG)-1200, "An  
 Approach for Determining the Technical  
 Adequacy of Probabilistic Risk

Assessment Results for Risk-Informed  
 Activities."

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
 Mary Drouin, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
 Commission, Washington, DC 20555-  
 0001, telephone: (301) 415-6675 or e-  
 mail to [Mary.Drouin@nrc.gov](mailto:Mary.Drouin@nrc.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
 Commission (NRC) issued for public  
 comment DG-1200, which was  
 published in the **Federal Register**, 73 FR  
 35170, on June 20, 2008. DG-1200 is  
 proposed Revision 2 of Regulatory  
 Guide 1.200 in the agency's Regulatory  
 Guide Series. This series has been  
 developed to describe and make  
 available to the public such information  
 as methods that are acceptable to the  
 NRC staff for implementing specific  
 parts of the NRC's regulations,  
 techniques that the staff uses in  
 evaluating specific problems or  
 postulated accidents, and data that the  
 staff needs in its review of applications  
 for permits and licenses.

#### II. Further Information

The NRC staff requested receipt of  
 comments on DG-1200 by August 25,  
 2008, (including any implementation  
 schedule) and its associated regulatory  
 analysis or value/impact statement.  
 Comments received after August 25,  
 2008, would be considered if practical  
 to do so, but the NRC is able to ensure  
 consideration only for comments  
 received on or before this date.  
 Although a time limit is given,  
 comments and suggestions in  
 connection with items for inclusion in  
 guides currently being developed or  
 improvements in all published guides  
 are encouraged at any time.

#### III. Request to Extend the Comment Period

##### *Basis for the Request*

The NRC received the following  
 extension request:  
 "This draft regulatory guide revision  
 delineates the NRC's expectations for  
 technical adequacy of PRA  
 [probabilistic risk assessment]  
 information used in support of risk  
 applications and represents a significant  
 expansion over the current Revision by  
 including detailed technical information  
 on fire and external events PRAs. NEI  
 [Nuclear Energy Institute] is  
 coordinating industry comments with  
 the NSSS [nuclear steam supply system]  
 owners group, vendors and licensees to  
 ensure that the comments submitted by  
 industry are of high quality and that

they reflect a consensus industry perspective. However, the comment period provided in the June 20 **Federal Register** Notice is insufficient given the volume of material and need for a thorough technical review. Extending the comment period would provide the time necessary to fully assess the impact of these proposed changes and arrive at a set of comments that are of value to the NRC staff."

#### Response to Request

The request for an extension to the comment period is denied.

The information contained in DG-1200 regarding internal fire and external events has been available to the public prior to the issuance of DG-1200 in June of 2008 for public comment. Therefore, DG-1200 does not "represent a significant expansion over the current Revision [Revision 1] by including detailed technical information on fire and external events PRAs."

DG-1200 provides high level discussions on what constitutes a technically acceptable Level 1 and Level 2 PRA for internal and external events, which has been in the previous revisions of the guide. Specifically, the information for external events in DG-1200 has not changed since the previous revision. The information for internal fires in DG-1200 was revised from the previous revision; however, the information is high level and was revised to be consistent with the standard (i.e., there was not anything added to the DG-1200 that was new or differing from the standard).

With regard to the internal fire standard (Part 3 of the ASME/ANS RA-S-2008 standard), as documented in DG-1200, the staff has no objections to the majority of the standard's requirements. The staff has continually noted its position during development of the standard and in a formal letter to ASME in November 2007 (ADAMS (<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>), under Accession No. 073030364), and in an April 2008 interoffice letter from M. Cunningham to C. Lui (ADAMS under Accession No. 080880202) which are publicly available.

The staff issued DG-1138 in September 2004 which provided the staff position on Revision 0 of the American Nuclear Society (ANS) external events standard. Revision 1 of the ANS standard (which is Part 4 of the ASME/ANS RA-S-2008 PRA standard) addressed the majority of the staff objections. The staff position in DG-1200, for the objections not addressed in Part 4 of the ASME/ANS RA-S-2008 standard, is unchanged from DG-1138.

Requests for technical information about DG-1200 may be directed to the NRC contact, Mary Drouin at (301) 415-6675 or e-mail to [Mary.Drouin@nrc.gov](mailto:Mary.Drouin@nrc.gov).

Electronic copies of DG-1200 are available through the NRC's public Web site under Draft Regulatory Guides in the "Regulatory Guides" collection of the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/>. Electronic copies are also available in ADAMS (<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>), under Accession No. ML081200566.

In addition, regulatory guides are available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), which is located at 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The PDR's mailing address is USNRC PDR, Washington, DC 20555-0001. The PDR can also be reached by telephone at (301) 415-4737 or (800) 397-4205, by fax at (301) 415-3548, and by e-mail to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov).

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of August 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Stephen C. O'Connor,**

*Acting Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. E8-18920 Filed 8-14-08; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

---

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Request of the Postal Service To Add Express Mail Contract to Competitive Product List

**AGENCY:** Postal Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the request of the Postal Service to add a new product (Express Mail Contract) to the competitive product list.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* August 15, 2008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr., 202-268-2989.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Postal Service in accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* is filing a Request before the Postal Regulatory Commission, the addition of a new product, Express Mail Contract 1, to the competitive product list of the Mail Classification Schedule. As required by 39 U.S.C. 3642(d)(1), the Request is

being published in the **Federal Register**. The Request is set out below.

**Neva R. Watson,**

*Attorney, FOIA/Privacy and Government Relations.*

### Before the Postal Regulatory Commission

Washington, DC 20268-0001

[Docket No. MC2008-5]

### Modification of the Mail Classification Schedule; Addition to Competitive Product Category; Express Mail Contract 1

### Request of the United States Postal Service To Add Express Mail Contract to Competitive Product List and Notice of Establishment of Rates and Class Not of General Applicability

July 21, 2008.

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the United States Postal Service hereby requests modification of the Mail Classification Schedule product list. The Postal Service proposes to add Express Mail Contract 1 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup> This is a competitive product not of general applicability within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3).<sup>2</sup> A redacted version of the Governors' Decision establishing the price and classification and a certification of the Governors' vote is provided in Attachment A.<sup>3</sup> Attachment B shows the requested changes in the Mail Classification product list with the addition in brackets.<sup>4</sup> Attachment C provides a statement of supporting justification for this request, as specified in 39 CFR 3020.32.<sup>5</sup>

As explained in the supporting justification, the Postal Service believes that it is appropriate to add this contract to the list of competitive products. The Commission should therefore approve this request as set forth in its rules. As required by 39 U.S.C. 3642(d)(1), this Request is being published in the **Federal Register**.

The Postal Service also gives notice, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5, that the Governors have established prices and classifications not of general applicability for this contract.<sup>6</sup> The prices and class are to be effective one day after the Commission approves the required addition to the product list.<sup>7</sup> An explanation and

<sup>1</sup> 39 CFR § 3020.31(a), (c).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* § 3020.31(d).

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* § 3020.31(b).

<sup>4</sup> *Id.* § 3020.31(f).

<sup>5</sup> *Id.* § 3020.31(e).

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* § 3015.5(a).

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* § 3015.5(b).