§ 15.303 Procedure for review of demands for production of material or provision of testimony in any legal proceeding in which the United States is a party.

Whenever a demand is made upon the Department or an employee of the Department for the production of material or provision of testimony, the employee shall immediately notify the Appropriate Associate General Counsel or Appropriate Regional Counsel.

§ 15.304 Consideration of demands for production of material or provision of testimony.

Consideration of demands shall be within the province of the attorney representing the United States, who may raise any valid objection to the production of material or provision of testimony in response to the demand.

13. Revise § 15.304 to read as follows:

§ 15.304 Consideration of demands for production of material or provision of testimony.

Consideration of demands shall be within the province of the attorney representing the United States, who may raise any valid objection to the production of material or provision of testimony in response to the demand.

14. Add § 15.305 to read as follows:

§ 15.305 Method of production of material or provision of testimony.

If the production of material or provision of testimony has been authorized, the Department may respond by producing authenticated copies of the documents, to which the seal of the Department has been affixed in accordance with its authentication procedures. The authentication shall be evidence that the documents are true copies of documents in the Department’s files and shall be sufficient for the purposes of Rules 803(8) and 902 of the Federal Rules of Evidence and Rule 44(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

15. Revise appendix A to read as follows:

Appendix A to Part 15—Location Information for HUD FOIA Reading Rooms and Contact Information for Regional Counsel

The Department maintains a reading room in Headquarters and in each of the Secretary’s Representative’s Offices. In addition, each of the Secretary’s Representative’s Offices has a Regional Counsel. The location and contact information for the HUD FOIA Reading Rooms and for the Regional Counsel can be found in HUD’s Local Office Directory through HUD’s Internet site at http://www.hud.gov.

Dated: July 14, 2008.

Roy A. Bernardi,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–18282 Filed 8–11–08; 8:45 am]
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27 CFR Part 9

[Notice No. 89; Docket No. TTB–2008–0008]

RIN 1513–AB52

Proposed Establishment of the Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara Viticultural Area (2007R–311P)

AGENCY: Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau proposes to establish the 23,941-acre “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara” American viticultural area in Santa Barbara County, California. This area lies within the larger Santa Ynez Valley viticultural area and the multicity Central Coast viticultural area. We designate viticultural areas to allow vintners to better describe the origin of their wines and to allow consumers to better identify wines they may purchase. We invite comments on this proposed addition to our regulations.

DATES: We must receive written comments on or before October 14, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments on this notice to one of the following addresses:

• http://www.regulations.gov (via the online comment form for this notice as posted within Docket No. TTB–2008–0008 at “Regulations.gov,” the Federal e-rulemaking portal); or

• Director, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, P.O. Box 14412, Washington, DC 20044–4412.

See the Public Participation section of this notice for specific instructions and requirements for submitting comments, and for information on how to request a public hearing.

You may view copies of this notice, selected supporting materials, and any comments we receive about this proposal at http://www.regulations.gov within Docket No. TTB–2008–0008. A link to that docket is posted on the TTB Web site at http://www.ttb.gov/wine/wine_rulemaking.shtml under Notice No. 89. You also may view copies of this notice, all related petitions, maps and other supporting materials, and any comments we receive about this proposal by appointment at the TTB Information Resource Center, 1310 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

Please call 202–927–2400 to make an appointment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: N.A. Sutton, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, 925 Lakeville St., No. 158, Petaluma, CA 94952; telephone 415–271–1254.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background on Viticultural Areas

TTB Authority

Section 105(e) of the Federal Alcohol Administration Act (FAA Act), 27 U.S.C. 205(e), authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to prescribe regulations for the labeling of wine, distilled spirits, and malt beverages. The FAA Act requires that these regulations, among other things, prohibit consumer deception and the use of misleading statements on labels, and ensure that labels provide the consumer with adequate information as to the identity and quality of the product. The Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau (TTB) administers the regulations promulgated under the FAA Act.

Part 4 of the TTB regulations (27 CFR part 4) allows the establishment of definitive viticultural areas and the use of their names as appellations of origin on wine labels and in wine advertisements. Part 9 of the TTB regulations (27 CFR part 9) contains the list of approved viticultural areas.

Definition

Section 4.25(e)(1)(i) of the TTB regulations (27 CFR 4.25(e)(1)(i)) defines a viticultural area for American wine as a delimited grape-growing region distinguishable by geographical features, the boundaries of which have been recognized and defined in part 9 of the regulations. These designations allow vintners and consumers to attribute a given quality, reputation, or other characteristic of a wine made from grapes grown in an area to its geographic origin. The establishment of viticultural areas allows vintners to describe more accurately the origin of their wines to consumers and helps consumers to identify wines they may purchase. Establishment of a viticultural area is neither an approval nor an endorsement by TTB of the wine produced in that area.

Requirements

Section 4.25(e)(2) of the TTB regulations outlines the procedure for proposing an American viticultural area and provides that any interested party may petition TTB to establish a grape-growing region as a viticultural area.
Section 9.3(b) of the TTB regulations requires the petition to include—
- Evidence that the proposed viticultural area is locally and/or nationally known by the name specified in the petition;
- Historical or current evidence that supports setting the boundary of the proposed viticultural area as the petition specifies;
- Evidence relating to the geographic features, such as climate, soils, elevation, and physical features, that distinguish the proposed viticultural area from surrounding areas;
- A description of the specific boundary of the proposed viticultural area, based on features found on United States Geological Survey (USGS) maps; and
- A copy of the appropriate USGS map(s) with the proposed viticultural area’s boundary prominently marked.

Petition for Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara

TTB received a petition from Wes Hagen, Vineyard Manager and Winemaker at Clos Pepe Vineyards, Lompoc, California, on behalf of Happy Canyon vintners and grape growers, proposing the establishment of the “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara” American viticultural area. According to the petitioner, the proposed viticultural area encompasses 23,941 acres, 492 acres of which are in commercial viticulture in 6 vineyards. It is entirely within the Santa Ynez Valley viticultural area (27 CFR 9.54), which in turn is completely within the multicounty Central Coast viticultural area (27 CFR 9.75).

The petitioner states that the viticulture of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area, in eastern Santa Ynez Valley, is distinguishable from that of the rest of the valley, including the Sta. Rita Hills viticultural area (27 CFR 9.162), in western Santa Ynez Valley. We summarize below the supporting evidence submitted with the petition.

Name Evidence

According to the petitioner and USGS maps, the “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara” name applies to a canyon located in Santa Barbara County. TTB notes that a search of the U.S. Geological Survey Geographical Names Information System (GNIS) includes ten hits for “Happy Canyon,” three of which are in California. The petitioner originally considered Happy Canyon for the name of the proposed viticultural area. However, based on results of the GNIS search, TTB determined that the Happy Canyon name would require a geographical modifier to pinpoint its physical location and avoid potential consumer confusion with other identical or similar names. After careful consideration, the petitioner modified the name of the viticultural area petition to “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara.” The petitioner believes that the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area name will identify the area as a unique grape-growing region for both consumers and industry members.

According to the USGS Lake Cachuma, Santa Ynez, and Figueroa Mountain maps that the petitioner provided, Happy Canyon is a region that descends in elevation northeast-to-southeast, north and west of Lake Cachuma in Santa Barbara County. Happy Canyon Road, a light-duty road, meanders through the proposed viticultural area.

A road map of Santa Barbara County shows that the Happy Canyon area and Happy Canyon Road are to the east of the town of Santa Ynez (Automobile Club of Southern California, California State Automobile Association, January 2003 edition). The map also shows that the Happy Canyon area is within Santa Barbara County.

Boundary Evidence

The petitioner documents that the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area lies in the eastern part of the 40-mile-wide Santa Ynez Valley and the northern part of Santa Barbara County, California. As shown on USGS maps, Happy Canyon comprises canyon terrain, hills, and river and creek basins to the east and south of the San Rafael Mountains, west of Lake Cachuma, and north of the Santa Ynez River.

The petitioner explains that the proposed boundary line of the Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area was drawn by a local committee of viticulturists, consultants, and vintners, all of whom had formal training in geology, geography, and agriculture. The proposed boundary line encompasses a unique geological and climatic grape-growing region on the east side of the Santa Ynez Valley viticultural area. The proposed boundary line skirts the San Rafael Mountains to the north, the Los Padres National Forest to the east, and the Lake Cachuma Recreation Area on the south side. According to the written boundary description, the proposed boundary line follows the dividing line of several land grants. The proposed boundary line cuts through steep, mountainous terrain where elevations are between approximately 800 and 3,400 feet. The petitioner explains that the proposed eastern boundary line uses the same line established in 1983 for the eastern border of the Santa Ynez Valley viticultural area. Local winegrowers in Happy Canyon assessed the eastern boundary line applies equally well to the Santa Ynez Valley and the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural areas.

According to the written boundary description in the petition and the USGS maps, the southern boundary line of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area coincides with the southern boundary line of the Santa Ynez Valley viticultural area along the boundary line of the Lake Cachuma Recreation Area to its intersection with the Santa Ynez River. The proposed boundary line then follows the Santa Ynez River west to its intersection with a road, where the boundary line turns north.

The petitioner explains that the committee, in determining the southwestern portion of the boundary of the proposed viticultural area, considered only areas that were traditionally known as Happy Canyon and that had similar potential for viticulture.

The petitioner explains that the central and northerly portions of the...
The western boundary line of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area define the boundaries of grazed, rolling hills and deep canyons with ridge lines 1,200 to 1,800 feet in elevation. According to the written boundary description and USGS maps, the rolling foothills of the Santa Agueda Creek Valley, where cattle graze both sides of the creek, lie immediately inside the proposed western boundary line. As the Santa Agueda Creek Valley rises to the west, rolling foothills meet steep canyons at the western boundary line of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area. The petitioner notes that the steepness of the terrain to the west and outside of the proposed boundary line contrasts with the topography and geology of the preserved oak scrubland, open rolling grazeland, and vineyards to the east, inside the proposed boundary line.

**Distinguishing Features**

The petitioner states that the distinguishing features of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area are climate, topography, drainage, and soils and geology. Happy Canyon, in the eastern portion of the Santa Ynez Valley, and the western portion of the Santa Ynez Valley have overt differences in climate, geological parent material, and soil drainage patterns.

**Climate**

According to the petitioner, all of the grape-growing areas in the Santa Ynez Valley, Happy Canyon is the furthest inland and has the warmest climate. It is located in the easternmost part of the Santa Ynez Valley, and the daytime highs and nighttime lows in that part of the county vary more in a 24-hour period than those in other parts of the valley. At about 12 miles west of the proposed viticultural area, the inland mountain ranges change direction from west-east to north-south. The north-south mountain ridge blocks the Pacific coastal breezes, preventing them from cooling the canyon. As a result, the ridge traps heat in Happy Canyon during the warmer growing months.

The petition for the Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area includes climatic data for the period 2004–6 provided by Kerry Martin of Coastal Vineyard Care Associates. Some of the data for the Happy Canyon area and the areas to the west and north of Happy Canyon were obtained from data stations located in vineyards and maintained by Coastal Vineyard Care Associates. The data for the areas to the east and south of Happy Canyon were retrieved from the Western Regional Climate Center (at [http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/](http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/)) and the California Irrigation Management Information System (at [http://www.cimis.water.ca.gov/cimis/welcome.jsp](http://www.cimis.water.ca.gov/cimis/welcome.jsp)), respectively. The petitioner used those data in creating the table below, which compares growing degree days, based on the Winkler climate classification system, for Happy Canyon and the surrounding areas; see “General Viticulture,” by Albert J. Winkler, University of California Press, 1974. In the Winkler system, as a measurement of heat accumulation during the growing season, 1 degree day accumulates for each degree Fahrenheit that a day’s mean temperature is above 50 degrees, which is the minimum temperature required for grapevine growth. The data, in degree days, show that, compared to the Happy Canyon area, areas to the north, south, and west of Happy Canyon average between 5 and 20 percent cooler and the area to the east averages 15 percent warmer.

**Growing Degree Days Within and Outside of Happy Canyon, 2004–2006**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location relative to Happy Canyon</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>Overall average</th>
<th>Percent cooler or warmer than Happy Canyon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In Happy Canyon ..................</td>
<td>3,414</td>
<td>3,187</td>
<td>3,419</td>
<td>3,340</td>
<td>Same.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North—Los Alamos</td>
<td>3,250</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>3,050</td>
<td>9% cooler.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South—Santa Barbara. West—Ballard Canyon.</td>
<td>2,795</td>
<td>2,537</td>
<td>2,721</td>
<td>2,684</td>
<td>20% cooler.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>2,950</td>
<td>3,250</td>
<td>3,167</td>
<td>5% cooler.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Topography**

The petitioner explains that the topography of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area includes varying elevations, rolling foothills, and a distinctive southwest drainage. According to the USGS maps, the proposed viticultural area lies on the east side and in the higher elevations of the Santa Ynez Valley region. Elevations within the proposed boundary line range from 500 feet in the southwest corner to 3,430 feet in the northeast corner, in the foothills of the San Rafael Range.

The petitioner explains that between the Pacific Ocean and the Santa Ynez Valley, hills and mountains trend west-to-east. As the elevation of the Santa Ynez Valley rises from west to east, the hills and mountains turn from a west-east direction to a generally north-south orientation. The proposed viticultural area, located inland, lies along mountains and hills with a north-south orientation.

**Drainage**

According to the petitioner, the southwest drainage pattern of the proposed viticultural area is comparatively unique. To the west of the proposed boundary line, between Santa Agueda Creek and Figueroa Mountain Road, the drainage pattern trends south-southeast.

**Soils and Geology**

According to the current soil survey, the two major soil types in the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area are related to topography (“Soil Survey of Northern Santa Barbara Area, California,” issued by the United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 1972).

Alluvial soils are at lower elevations and on bottoms of canyons; upland soils are at higher elevations of canyons and on surrounding peaks and hilltops. The petitioner explains that based on the current soil survey, the soil characteristics of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area include green serpentine (magnesium silicate hydroxide) parent material, elevated levels of exchangeable magnesium, lower levels of exchangeable sodium, and a high cation exchange capacity (CEC). High CEC levels, based on the amount of positively charged ions in the soils,
increase the uptake of nutrients by plant roots.

The proposed viticultural area comprises the Shedd-Santa Lucia-Diablo and Toomes-Climara associations on uplands. The Shedd-Santa Lucia-Diablo association consists of strongly sloping to very steep, well drained shaly clay loams and silty clays. The Toomes- Climara association consists of moderately steep to very steep, somewhat excessively drained and well drained clay loams and clays.

The Chamise-Arnold-Crow Hills association is of greater extent in the western portion of the Santa Ynez Valley viticultural area, west of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area. This association consists of gently sloping to very steep, well drained and somewhat excessively drained sands to clay loams on high terraces and uplands.

The petitioner explains that the soils in the western portion of the Santa Ynez Valley viticultural area, compared to the soils in the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area, have less magnesium, a significantly lower CEC level, and higher amounts of exchangeable sodium. Although drainage patterns change along the proposed western boundary line, the soils on both sides of the boundary line are similar.

The Positas-Ballard-Santa Ynez soil association is scattered throughout much of the southern part of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area. Sedimentary rock, unfavorable for viticulture, is predominant along the south side of the Santa Ynez River, outside the proposed boundary line.

The petitioner provides the results of two soil studies conducted in connection with the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area. The first study details the differences in CEC among soils tested at sites in the proposed viticultural area and in areas immediately southwest and further west of the proposed boundary line, in the western end of the Santa Ynez Valley. The study shows that the soils in the proposed viticultural area have significantly more magnesium and an elevated CEC level as compared to the soils in areas beyond the proposed boundary line to the southwest and west (see table below). The petitioner also notes that the levels of calcium and sodium in the soils in the Happy Canyon are less than half those in the soils to the southwest and west.

The second study that the petitioner provided examines the differences in soils in the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area and in canyons outside the boundary line, as far west as Figueroa Mountain Road, which is located approximately 4 miles away. The study is based on an acreage table of the soils on approximately 35,000 acres within the proposed viticultural area and on an equal number of acres to the west (see “Soil Survey of Northern Santa Barbara Area, California”). The results of that study confirm the differences in total acreage and slope of soils in areas on either side of the proposed western boundary line of the Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area (see table below).

### CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITY (CEC) IN SOILS WITHIN AND OUTSIDE OF HAPPY CANYON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Magnesium</th>
<th>Calcium</th>
<th>Sodium</th>
<th>Total CEC in meq/100g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of total CEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westerly Vineyard (in Happy Canyon)</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour Ranch Road and Hwy 154 (1 mile southwest of Happy Canyon)</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clos Pepe (in the Sta. Rita Hills viticultural area, in the west end of Santa Ynez Valley)</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DOMINANT SOIL MAP UNITS WITHIN AND OUTSIDE OF HAPPY CANYON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil symbol and soil name</th>
<th>Number of map units/percentage of survey area</th>
<th>Percentage slope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara (East of Foothills Adjacent to Santa Agueda Creek)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DaF—Diablo silty clay</td>
<td>28/14</td>
<td>30 to 45 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrG3—Shedd silty clay loam</td>
<td>23/12</td>
<td>9 to 30 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SdC—Salinas silty clay loam</td>
<td>11/6</td>
<td>2 to 9 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChF—Chamise shaly loam</td>
<td>11/6</td>
<td>15 to 45 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrG—Shedd silty clay loam</td>
<td>11/6</td>
<td>9 to 30 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Figueroa Area (West of Foothills and Santa Agueda Creek to Figueroa Mountain Road)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC—Positas fine sandy loam</td>
<td>25/17</td>
<td>2 to 9 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChF—Chamise shaly loam</td>
<td>22/15</td>
<td>15 to 45 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTD—Positas fine sandy loam</td>
<td>13/9</td>
<td>9 to 15 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChF—Chamise clay loam</td>
<td>11/8</td>
<td>30 to 45 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnC—Santa Ynez Gravely fine sandy loam</td>
<td>11/8</td>
<td>9 to 15 percent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to the petitioner, the results of the soil study above show a unique geological pattern that justifies placing the western portion of the proposed boundary line in the vicinity of the Santa Agueda and Figueroa Creeks. The results also show that the Happy Canyon area comprises a group of soils different from those found to the west.

**TTB Determination**

TTB concludes that this petition to establish the 23,541-acre “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara” American viticultural area merits consideration and public comment as invited in this notice.

**Boundary Description**

See the narrative boundary description of the petitioned-for viticultural area in the proposed regulatory text published at the end of this notice.

**Maps**

The petitioner provided the required maps, and we list them below in the proposed regulatory text.

**Impact on Current Wine Labels**

Part 4 of the TTB regulations prohibits any label reference on a wine that indicates or implies an origin other than the wine’s true place of origin. If we establish this proposed viticultural area, its name, “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara,” will be recognized as a name of viticultural significance under 27 CFR 4.39(i)(3). The text of the proposed regulation clarifies this point. Consequently, wine bottlers using “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara” in a brand name, including a trademark, or in another label reference as to the origin of the wine, will have to ensure that the product is eligible to use the viticultural area’s name as an appellation of origin.

On the other hand, we do not believe that any single part of the proposed viticultural area name standing alone, such as “Happy Canyon,” would have viticultural significance if the new area is established. According to GNIS, the “Happy Canyon” refers to 10 locations in 6 States within the United States. TTB believes that a determination of “Happy Canyon” as a term of viticultural significance would lead to consumer and industry confusion and should be avoided. Accordingly, the proposed part 9 regulatory text set forth in this document specifies only the full “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara” name as a term of viticultural significance for purposes of part 4 of the TTB regulations.

For a wine to be eligible to use a viticultural area name as an appellation of origin or a term of viticultural significance in a brand name, at least 85 percent of the wine must be derived from grapes grown within the area represented by that name or term, and the wine must meet the other conditions listed in 27 CFR 4.25(e)(3). If the wine is not eligible to use the viticultural area name as an appellation of origin and that name or other term of viticultural significance appears in the brand name, then the brand name is not in compliance and the bottler must change the brand name and obtain approval of a new label. Similarly, if the viticultural area name or other term of viticultural significance appears in another reference on the label in a misleading manner, the bottler would have to obtain approval of a new label. Accordingly, if a previously approved label uses the name “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara” for a wine that does not meet the 85 percent standard, the previously approved label will be subject to revocation upon the effective date of the approval of the Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area.

Different rules apply if a wine has a brand name containing a viticultural area name or other term of viticultural significance that was used as a brand name on a label approved before July 7, 1986. See 27 CFR 4.39(j)(2) for details.

**Public Participation**

**Comments Invited**

We invite comments from interested members of the public on whether we should establish the proposed viticultural area. We are also interested in receiving comments on the sufficiency and accuracy of the name, boundary, climatic, and other required information submitted in support of the petition. We are also particularly interested in any comments on whether the evidence regarding name and distinguishing features is sufficient to warrant the establishment of this new viticultural area within the existing Santa Ynez Valley and the larger Central Coast viticultural areas. Please provide any available specific information in support of your comments.

Because of the potential impact of the establishment of the proposed Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area on wine labels that include the words “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara” as discussed above under “Impact on Current Wine Labels,” we also are particularly interested in comments regarding whether there will be a conflict between the proposed viticulturally significant terms and currently used brand names. If a commenter believes that a conflict will arise, the comment should describe the nature of that conflict, including any anticipated negative economic impact that approval of the proposed viticultural area will have on an existing viticultural enterprise. We are also interested in receiving suggestions for ways to avoid conflicts, for example by adopting a modified or different name for the viticultural area.

**Submitting Comments**

You may submit comments on this notice by using one of the following two methods:

- **Federal e-Rulemaking Portal:** You may send comments via the online comment form posted with this notice within Docket No. TTB–2008–0008 on “Regulations.gov.” The Federal e-rulemaking portal, at http://www.regulations.gov. A direct link to that docket is available under Notice No. 89 on the TTB Web site at http://www.ttb.gov/wine/wine_rulemaking.shtml. Supplemental files may be attached to comments submitted via Regulations.gov. For complete instructions on how to use Regulations.gov, visit the site and click on “User Guide” under “How to Use this Site.”

- **U.S. Mail:** You may send comments via postal mail to the Director, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, P.O. Box 14412, Washington, DC 20044–4412.

Please submit your comments by the closing date shown above in this notice. Your comments must reference Notice No. 89 and include your name and mailing address. Your comments also must be made in English, be legible, and be written in language acceptable for public disclosure. We do not acknowledge receipt of comments, and we consider all comments as originals. If you are commenting on behalf of an association, business, or other entity, your comment must include the entity’s name as well as your name and position title. If you comment via Regulations.gov, please enter the entity’s name in the “Organization” blank of the online comment form. If you comment via postal mail, please submit your entity’s comment on letterhead.

You may also write to the Administrator before the comment closing date to ask for a public hearing. The Administrator reserves the right to determine whether to hold a public hearing.
Confidentiality

All submitted comments and attachments are part of the public record and subject to disclosure. Do not enclose any material in your comments that you consider to be confidential or inappropriate for public disclosure.

Public Disclosure

We will post, and you may view, copies of this notice, selected supporting materials, and any online or mailed comments we receive about this proposal within Docket No. TTB–2008–0008 on the Federal e-rulemaking portal, Regulations.gov, at http://www.regulations.gov. A direct link to that docket is available on the TTB Web site at http://www.ttb.gov/wine/wine_rulemaking.shtml under Notice No. 89. You may also reach the relevant docket through the Regulations.gov search page at http://www.regulations.gov. For instructions on how to use Regulations.gov, visit the site and click on “User Guide” under “How to Use This Site.”

All posted comments will display the commenter’s name, organization (if any), city, and State, and, in the case of mailed comments, all address information, including e-mail addresses. We may omit voluminous attachments or material that we consider unsuitable for posting.

You also may view copies of this notice, all related petitions, maps and other supporting materials, and any electronic or mailed comments we receive about this proposal by appointment at the TTB Information Resource Center, 1310 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20220. You may also obtain copies at 20 cents per 8.5- x 11-inch page. Contact our information specialist at the above address or by telephone at 202–927–2400 to schedule an appointment or to request copies of comments or other materials.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

We certify that this proposed regulation, if adopted, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The proposed regulation imposes no new reporting, recordkeeping, or other administrative requirement. Any benefit derived from the use of a viticultural area name would be the result of a proprietor’s efforts and consumer acceptance of wines from that area. Therefore, no regulatory flexibility analysis is required.

Executive Order 12866

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined by Executive Order 12866. Therefore, it requires no regulatory assessment.

Drafting Information

N.A. Sutton of the Regulations and Rulings Division drafted this notice.

List of Subjects in 27 CFR Part 9

Wine.

Proposed Regulatory Amendment

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, we propose to amend title 27, chapter 1, part 9, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

PART 9—AMERICAN VITICULTURAL AREAS

§ 9.10 American Viticultural Areas

(a) Name. The name of the viticultural area described in this section is “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara.” For purposes of part 4 of this chapter, “Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara” is a term of viticultural significance.

(b) Approved maps. The four United States Geological Survey 1:24,000 scale topographic maps used to determine the boundary of the Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area are titled:

(1) Los Olivos, CA, 1995;
(2) Figueroa Mountain, CA, 1995;
(3) Lake Cachuma, CA, 1995; and

(c) Boundary. The Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area is located in Santa Barbara County, California. The boundary of the Happy Canyon of Santa Barbara viticultural area is as described below:

(1) The beginning point is on the Los Olivos map at the intersection of the Santa Lucia Ranger District diagonal line and Figueroa Mountain Road, a light-duty road, section 27, T8N, R30W. From the beginning point, proceed southeast along the Santa Lucia Ranger District diagonal line, crossing onto the Figueroa Mountain map, and continuing east to its intersection with the northwest corner of section 6, T7N, R29W; then
(2) Proceed straight south along the R29W and R30W line, which is a boundary line of the Los Padres National Forest, to its intersection with the southwest corner of section 18 that coincides with one of the two 90-degree, southwest corners of the Los Padres National Forest, T7N, R29W; then
(3) Proceed east, south, and then east, along the boundary line of the Los Padres National Forest, to its intersection with the boundary line of the Cañada de Los Pinos, or College Rancho Grant, at the northwest corner of section 28, T7N, R29W; then
(4) Proceed straight south along the boundary line of the Cañada de Los Pinos, or College Rancho Grant, crossing onto the Lake Cachuma map, to its intersection with the 1,074-foot Bitt elevation point and the Lake Cachuma Recreation Area boundary line, section 17 east boundary line, T6N, R29W; then
(5) Proceed generally southwest along the Lake Cachuma Recreation Area boundary line to its intersection with the Santa Ynez River to the west of Lake Cachuma and Bradbury Dam, T6N, R30W; then
(6) Proceed generally west along the Santa Ynez River, crossing onto the Santa Ynez map, and continuing to its intersection with California State Road 154, northwest of BM 533, T6N, R30W; then
(7) Proceed north-northwest in a straight line 1.2 miles to its intersection with the marked 924-foot elevation point, T6N, R30W; then
(8) Proceed north-northwest in a straight line 1.2 miles to its intersection with the “Y” in an unimproved road 0.1 mile south of the 800-foot elevation line, west of Happy Canyon Road, T6N, R30W; then
(9) Proceed north-northwest in a straight line for 0.5 mile, crossing onto the Los Olivos map, and continuing to its intersection with the marked 1,324-foot elevation point, 0.5 mile southwest of Bar G O Ranch, T7N, R30W; then
(10) Proceed north-northwest in a straight line for 2.5 miles crossing over the marked 1,432-foot elevation point in section 9, then continue in a straight line northerly 1.4 miles to its intersection with the marked 1,721-foot elevation point in section 4, T7N, R30W; then
(11) Proceed north in a straight line 1.4 miles to its intersection with the marked 2,334-foot elevation point, west of a meandering unimproved road and south of Figueroa Mountain Road, T8N, R30W; then
(12) Proceed east-northeast in a straight line, returning to the beginning point.

Signed: June 9, 2008.

John J. Manfreda,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. E8–18536 Filed 8–11–08; 8:45 am]
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