

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

ACTION: General Notice.

Bureau of Customs and Border Protection

Notice of Cancellation of Customs Broker Licenses Due to Death of the License Holder

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Title 19 of the Code of Federal Regulations at section 111.51(a), the following individual Customs broker licenses and any and all permits have been cancelled due to the death of the broker:

AGENCY: Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

Name	License No.	Port name
Marjorie A. Kilburn	06387	Houston.
Richard E. Lund	07572	Los Angeles.
Chris T. Banis	05247	San Francisco.
Larry Germi	07842	Miami.

Dated: July 11, 2008.

Daniel Baldwin,

Assistant Commissioner, Office of International Trade.

[FR Doc. E8-16559 Filed 7-18-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-14-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R8-R-2008-N0099; 80230-1265-0000-S3]

Sacramento, Delevan, Colusa, and Sutter National Wildlife Refuges

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments: draft comprehensive conservation plan and environmental assessment.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of a Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Assessment (Draft CCP/EA) for Sacramento, Delevan, Colusa, and Sutter National Wildlife Refuges (Refuges) for public review and comment. The Draft CCP/EA, prepared pursuant to the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, describes how the Service will manage the Refuges for the next 15 years. Draft compatibility determinations for several existing and proposed public uses are also available for review and public comment with the Draft CCP/EA.

DATES: Written comments must be received at the address below on or before September 12, 2008.

ADDRESSES: For more information on obtaining documents and submitting comments, see "Review and Comment" under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: Kevin Foerster, Project Leader at Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge Complex or Jackie Ferrier, Refuge Planner at Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge Complex address under "Review and Comment" or at (530) 934-2801 (telephone).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd-668ee), which amended the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, requires us to develop a CCP for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year plan for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and our policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, CCPs identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation and photography, environmental education and interpretation.

Background

Sacramento, Delevan, Colusa, and Sutter National Wildlife Refuges are located in the Sacramento Valley of California approximately ninety miles north of the city of Sacramento. The Refuges manage and protect wintering habitat for migratory birds and endangered and threatened species. The

Refuges contain approximately 24,000 acres of critically important habitats for a great diversity of wildlife, particularly migratory birds. Over forty percent of the Pacific Flyway waterfowl winters in the Sacramento Valley. The vast majority of wetlands in the Sacramento Valley have been converted to agricultural, industrial, and urban development. Remaining wetlands are intensively managed to optimize wildlife benefits.

Alternatives

The Draft EA/CCP evaluates the environmental effects of four alternatives for managing the Sacramento, Delevan, Colusa, and Sutter National Wildlife Refuges for the next 15 years. We propose to implement Alternative C, as described in the EA. Alternative C best achieves the Refuges' purposes, vision, and goals; contributes to the Refuge System mission; addresses the significant issues and relevant mandates; and is consistent with principles of sound fish and wildlife management. This alternative is described in more detail in the CCP.

There are many features of proposed Refuge management that are common to all three alternatives. Features common to all alternatives include ongoing coordination with mosquito control, invasive species management, vernal pool management, habitat management and restoration, implementation of a hunt program, and providing wildlife observation, photography, environmental education, and interpretation opportunities. There are also many features of each alternative that are distinct.

Alternative A, the no action alternative, assumes no change from current management programs and is considered the baseline to compare other alternatives against. Under